

SAMPLE COVER TO FOLLOW AS TO FORM

No.

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

Angel Sanchez

MY CLIENT(S),

Petitioner(s)

v.

MY OPPONENT(S)

Respondent(s)

Warden Teresa Cisneros

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The (court whose judgment you seek to review)

U.S. Supreme court
"petition for Rehearing"
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MY NAME Angel Sanchez

Counsel of Record


MY FIRM N/A

MY STREET ADDRESS

CITY, STATE, ZIP CODE

MY TELEPHONE NUMBER

P.O. Box 5242
Corcoran, CA 93212
N/A



No. _____

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Angel Sanchez — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Warden Teresa Cisneros — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Supreme court
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Angel Sanchez #BM5483
(Your Name)

P.O. Box 5242
(Address)

Corcoran, CA 93212
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Whether Petitioner Was Denied His Fifth, Sixth, And Fourteenth Amendment Right to Due process And Fair Trial Because of the Court's use of Prior Acts Instructions CALCRIM 375 And 1191A..

Whether petitioner Was Denied Effective Assistance of counsel in Violation of His Sixth Amendment Rights..

Review is Necessary to Determine Violation's of My 5th, 6th, and 14th Amendment Right's..

The court Abused it's Discretion By Abmitting Jane Doe 2's Testimony pursuant to Evidence Code Section 1101 and/or 1108..

Reversal of Counts 1, 2, and 5 is Required Because the court's Prior Acts Instructions (CALCRIM 375, 1191A) Deprived Appellant of Due Process and A Fair Trial..

Appellant's \$5,000 Restitution/parole Revocation
Fine Violates My State and Federal
Constitutional Rights to Due process,
Equal protection, And to be free from
Excessive Fines..

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES NO: 24-5123

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☒ reported at U.S. Supreme court; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 10/7/24.

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ____ A ____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Defense counsel Never disputed the intent or Motive of Jane Doe's attacker.. The sole dispute Was Whether or not appellant Was that man..

Because only Identity Was disputed and not intent or motive..

Failing to Defend Me..

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I Was Denied My Fifth, Sixth And Fourteenth Amendment Right to Due process And Fair Trial..

Violation's of My State and Federal Constitutional Rights to Due process, Equal protection..

I Was Denied Effective Assistance of Counsel in Violation of My Sixth Amendment Rights..

Review is Necessary to Determine Violation's of My 5th, 6th, and 14th Amendment Rights..

The court Abused it's Discretion and Deprived Me of My Due process And A Fair Trial..

The court Abused it's Discretion by
Abmitting Jane Doe 2's Testimony pursuant
to Evidence Code Section 1101 and/or 1108..

Reversal of counts 1, 2, and 5 is Required
Because the court's Prior Acts Instructions
CCALCRIM 375, 119(A)...

I did filed A rehearing with the California
Court of Appeal..

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Angel Sanchez

Date: 11/3/24

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Angel Sanchez — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Warden Teresa Cisneros — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Angel Sanchez, do swear or declare that on this date, 11 / 3 /, 2024, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 11 / 3 /, 2024

(Signature)

SAMPLE CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD COUNT

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

No. 24-5123

Angel Sanchez

MY CLIENT(S),

Petitioner(s)

v.

MY OPPONENT(S)

Warden Teresa Cisneros

Respondent(s)

As required by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(h), I certify that the petition for a writ of certiorari contains 291 words, excluding the parts of the petition that are exempted by Supreme Court Rule 33.1(d).

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 11/3/, 2024



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUN 28 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANGEL SANCHEZ,

Petitioner-Appellant,

v.

TERESA CISNEROS,

Respondent-Appellee.

No. 23-55490

D.C. No.

2:21-cv-07730-SPG-SHK

Central District of California,
Los Angeles

ORDER

Before: FRIEDLAND and MENDOZA, Circuit Judges.

The request for a certificate of appealability is denied because appellant has not shown that “jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling.” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); *see also* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Gonzalez v. Thaler*, 565 U.S. 134, 140-41 (2012); *Miller-El v. Cockrell*, 537 U.S. 322, 327 (2003).

Any pending motions are denied as moot.

DENIED.

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
321 EAST 2nd STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-4202
213-894-2854
213-8940-0081 FAX

CUAUHTEMOC ORTEGA
Federal Public Defender
AMY M. KARLIN
Chief Deputy

ANGELA VIRAMONTES
Riverside Branch Chief
KELLEY MUNOZ
Santa Ana Branch Chief
K. ELIZABETH DAHLSTROM
Chief, Capital Habeas Unit

October 25, 2024

LEGAL MAIL
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

Angel Sanchez
CDCR No. BM5483
COSP-SATF CALIFORNIA SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILITY
Corcoran Facilities D & E
P.O. Box 5242
Corcoran, CA 93212-5242

Please note: Unless and until a federal court appoints this office to your case, we cannot represent you in any legal proceedings. Nor can we provide you with legal advice. All we can provide you with is legal information.

Dear Mr. Sanchez:

Today we received the paperwork you addressed to Ms. Farrand. A copy has been made and shared with Ms. Farrand. Since you previously indicated that you do not possess copies of the paperwork, I am returning the originals to you.

Take care,



Jessica Ruvalcaba, Legal Assistant to
MARGARET A. FARRAND
Deputy Federal Public Defender
Chief, Writs and Appeals

Encl(s).

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
321 EAST 2nd STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-4202
213-894-2854
213-8940-0081 FAX

CUAUHTEMOC ORTEGA
Federal Public Defender
AMY M. KARLIN
Chief Deputy

ANGELA VIRAMONTES
Riverside Branch Chief
KELLEY MUNOZ
Santa Ana Branch Chief
K. ELIZABETH DAHLSTROM
Chief, Capital Habeas Unit

September 19, 2024

LEGAL MAIL
PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

Angel Sanchez
CDCR No. BM5483
COSP-SATF CALIFORNIA SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT FACILITY
Corcoran Facilities D & E
P.O. Box 5242
Corcoran, CA 93212-5242

Please note: Unless and until a federal court appoints this office to your case, we cannot represent you in any legal proceedings. Nor can we provide you with legal advice. All we can provide you with is legal information.

Dear Mr. Sanchez:

On behalf of Deputy Federal Public Defender Margaret A. Farrand, I enclose here two (2) copies of the Ninth Circuit's order denying your request for a certificate of appealability in case no. 23-55490.

Take care,

/s/ Jessica Ruvalcaba

Jessica Ruvalcaba, Legal Assistant to
MARGARET A. FARRAND
Deputy Federal Public Defender
Chief, Writs and Appeals

Encl(s).