

No. \_\_\_\_\_

24-5091 ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED

JUN 11 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Linda J. Feaser — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

George L. Landress — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

State of Connecticut  
Supreme and Appellate Court  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Linda J. Feaser

(Your Name)

687 Reservoir Road

(Address)

Southbury, Ct. 06488

(City, State, Zip Code)

(203) 770-3909

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1. Does a case filed in small claims court for a \$5000.00 contract require a good faith certificate?
2. Even after the defendant admitted fault to myself and the peer review?
3. If a case is transferred over to the regular docket from small claims does that now make me the defendant?
4. Does the defendant now need to show his burden of proof?
- 5.) The only thing that the defendant and I needed the court to do is come up with a monetary amount. So now I get no amount?
- 6.) I have been lost in the judicial system for 7 years. Shouldn't there be a statute of limitations on this?
- 7.) Dr. Landress should be held

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

accountable for all my pain and suffering. Who should be held accountable for the loss of my adrenal glands? The State?

- 8.) Shouldn't a summary judgement decision be based on statements and evidence? what evidence?
- 9.) The judge states that I was a patient of dr. Landress in 2013? I sent in Dr. Landress medical records for me, where he was doing work in 2014 like I stated. aren't his medical Records proof?
- 10.) I faxed over all my documentation in a timely manner, sometimes it wouldn't get entered into the system until a week later. Isn't this the clerks fault?

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

11.) upon leaving the appellate court, I  
could hear Attn. John Jowdy talking  
to the judges about the case?  
Is this called Ex-parte communication?

12.) How am I suppose to heal from this?  
emotionally, physically and financially,  
without being awarded any monies?

## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Attorney for the defendant:

John Jowdy Juris Number 10333  
67 West St. Danbury, Ct. 06810  
Phone # (203) 792-1677  
E-Mail: Johnjowdy@jowdyLaw.com

**RELATED CASES**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	3
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	6
CONCLUSION.....	7

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	Decision of the State Court of appeals.	8
APPENDIX B	Decision of the State Trial Court Memorandum of Decision.	9
APPENDIX C	Decision of the State Court Denying Rehearing. Motion to open judgement.	16
APPENDIX D	Order of the State Court Denying	
APPENDIX E	Order on motion to reconsider Appelate - motion to reconsider	22
APPENDIX F	False documents filed to appellate court by John Jowdy Assignment Rule 3.3 a Lawyer shall not make false statements of fact to Tribunal.	45
Appendix G	Original affidavit with false accusations. Evidence showing this.	53

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Rule 4.1 Ex-Parte Communication Section 201(b) Statute of Bidery 33 U.S. Code § 931 Penalty for Misrepresentation. Should be enforced on the Claimant and the attorney who represented him they knowingly and willfully made false statements for the purpose of obtaining payments from me.	
C.G.S. ss 53a-156 Perjury purposely testified falsely.	
Under section 191 of IPC Isn't the person legally bound by law to STATUTES AND RULES the declaration of truth.	
Under Rule 1.2(d) John Jowdy assisted his client in a conduct he knew was fraud.	
ABA # Rule # 3.1 Meritorious Claims on the Affidavit.	
ABA # Rule 3.3 Candor towards the Tribunal Chapter 69-1 Assignment of Cases. Appendix	
ABA Rule 4.3 Dealing with an unrepresented person.	
6 <sup>th</sup> and 7 <sup>th</sup> Amendments were violated. It would have protected me from the CORRUPTNESS of the Judge and Attorney.	
Summary Judgment! They ignored the facts when in fact there was plenty of evidence. The Judge showed no findings. The Courts Clerk, Attorneys Sister in Law didn't put my faxed over documents in for a week.	

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[] reported at Supreme Court State of Connecticut  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished. Case # PSC-230333

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was April 16, 2024. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix A.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. §1257(a).

*L.A.*  
7/11/2024

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I (Linda Teaser) was a patient of Dr. Landress from the year 2012 thru 2018, as seen in my patient records from Dr. Landress stating this also showing where he received the money that was being withdrawn from my bank account totalling over \$19000.00. I filed a small claims action against the defendant on 2/05/2021, in the amt. of \$5000.00 for a contract in which the defendant made under false misrepresentation as a result I became unjustly enriched. In response the defendant filed a motion to transfer to the regular court. This action was made under Hearsay in which false, groundless accusations were made. Allegations stemming back to the years 2012, 2013 which did not exist. The defendant's attorney John Jowdy was trying to make it look like this matter was "past the Statute of Limitations" (His only line of defense) in order to close the case. I called the attorney the day that I received the affidavit I was so upset I explained that this was pertaining to a new contract in the amt. of \$5000.00 which we entered into on 04/17/2017 for the work that I originally went in for.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

April 20, 2017 Amended agreement. which Dr. Landress made in his office for Supplys + work. first payment being 5/28/2017 ending 11/2019. He acted in bad faith and it was an act of intentional dishonesty. He didn't fulfill his obligations, deliberately misleading me. The defendant breached his fiduciary duty as a dentist. The dentist recommended and preformed without my consent. He performed unnecessary procedures. Connecticut Statute C.G.S. 52-576 Breach of a Contract the Statute of limitations is 6 years from the occurrence. Being a written or implied contract.

December 5, 2018 - I received a confirmation letter from the Ct. Dental Assoc. that peer review would begin.

November 21, 2019 I received Text messages from Dr. Ben Frank Chair of the Danbury dental society Peer Review committee. Saying that Dr. Landress admitted to his dereliction, damages and direct cause. He wanted to know how much money did I want? Evidence sent.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

To restore public trust for all those losing  
faith in the system. Not to rely on  
taking justices into their own hands.  
Building trust one community at a time  
Help and prevent a justice in the  
corrupt judicial system. I need help  
to resolve this unfair summary judgement  
I have been lost in the "System" for 7 yrs  
I need to come out and help others  
in the same situation I am a victim  
of a kangaroo court. Court backlogs,  
a puffedogger attorney and a dentist  
who raped me of 3 teeth and 2 adge  
glands. I would like to prevent  
this from happening anymore. No one  
should be a victim innocent woman  
and the system only to find out  
has failed you.

Justice needs to be served.

For the 8th and 9th amendments.

Thank you so much for reviewing  
my case. It is "so" much appreciated

Linda Mease