

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Gifford D Rosby 05825045
AKA Gregory D Rosby — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.
Digital Sales of America RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE


I, Gifford D Rosby, do swear or declare that on this date,
Wednesday 6-25-24, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have
served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS
and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding
or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing
an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed
to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party
commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

No Electronic Decs
Local S MAGG U.S. Army
2910 44th S. G. GRANT ST

I declare under penalty of perjury, that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on Wednesday 6-25-24,


(Signature)

CC, B&L, R&W
Copy
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED
United States Court of Appeals
Tenth Circuit

FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

June 12, 2024

Christopher M. Wolpert
Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GREGORY D. CROSBY,

Defendant - Appellant.

KAN 6/18/24

No. 24-3018
(D.C. No. 5:09-CR-40049-KHV-1)
(D. Kan.)

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before MORITZ, BALDOCK, and MURPHY, Circuit Judges.

Gregory Crosby, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se,¹ appeals the district court's order denying his motion for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)(A)(i). Finding no abuse of discretion, we affirm.

* After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist in the determination of this appeal. *See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G).* The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument. This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata, and collateral estoppel. But it may be cited for its persuasive value. *See Fed. R. App. P. 32.1(a); 10th Cir. R. 32.1(A).*

¹ We construe Crosby's pro se filings liberally, "but we do not act as his advocate." *United States v. Griffith*, 928 F.3d 855, 864 n.1 (10th Cir. 2019).

Appendix A

*#
DOCZP
6/18/24*