

# APPENDIX A

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 23-14034

---

ISAIAH L. DUNBAR,

Petitioner-Appellant,

*versus*

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondents-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Florida  
D.C. Docket No. 8:20-cv-00598-KKM-JSS

---

ORDER:

Isaiah Dunbar is a Florida prisoner serving a 20-year sentence for burglary of a structure with assault. He appeals the denial of a fourth Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion, following the dismissal of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition as untimely. Dunbar seeks a certificate of appealability (“COA”) and leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* (“IFP”).

A COA is required to appeal from the denial of a Rule 60(b) motion for relief from a judgment in a § 2254 proceeding. *See Gonzalez v. Sec'y for the Dep't of Corr.*, 366 F.3d 1253, 1263 (11th Cir. 2004) (*en banc*). To obtain a COA, a petitioner must make “a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.” 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Where the district court denied a habeas petition on procedural grounds, the petitioner must show that reasonable jurists would debate (1) whether the petitioner states a valid claim alleging the denial of a constitutional right, and (2) whether the district court’s procedural ruling was correct. *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

Here, reasonable jurists would not debate whether the district court abused its discretion by denying the instant fourth Rule 60(b) motion, as Dunbar did not identify any mistake, newly discovered evidence, fraud, or other valid circumstance that would authorize relief. *See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)*. Notably, Dunbar merely reraised the same arguments already presented in his three previous Rule 60(b) motions, which alone justified the denial of the instant motion. *See Wilchcombe v. TeeVee Toons, Inc.*, 555 F.3d 949, 957

23-14034

Order of the Court

3

(11th Cir. 2009). Additionally, his argument that his third Fla. R. Crim. P. 3.850 motion, which the state court deemed untimely, tolled the limitation period was meritless, as an untimely state habeas petition is not “properly filed” within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2) and, thus, does not toll the limitation period. *See Pace v. DiGulielmo*, 544 U.S. 408, 417 (2005). Accordingly, Dunbar’s COA motion is DENIED, and his IFP motion is DENIED AS MOOT.

/s/ Robin S. Rosenbaum

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

# APPENDIX B

MIME-Version:1.0  
From:cmecc\_f1md\_notification@f1md.uscourts.gov  
To:cmecc\_f1md\_notices@localhost.localdomain  
Bcc:  
--Case Participants:  
--Non Case Participants:  
--No Notice Sent:  
  
Message-Id:<23745182@f1md.uscourts.gov>  
Subject:Activity in Case 8:20-cv-00598-KKM-JSS Dunbar v. Secretary, Department  
of Corrections et al (De Soto) Order on Motion to Proceed In Forma Pauperis  
Content-Type: text/plain  
This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system.  
Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.  
\*\*\*NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS\*\*\* Judicial Conference of the United States  
policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se  
litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed  
electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER  
access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of  
each document during this first viewing. However, if the referenced document is  
a transcript, the free copy and 30 page limit do not apply.

U.S. District Court  
Middle District of Florida

Notice of Electronic Filing  
The following transaction was entered on 11/7/2023 2:22 PM EST and filed  
on 11/7/2023

Case Name: Dunbar v. Secretary, Department  
of Corrections et al (De Soto)  
Case Number: 8:20-cv-00598-KKM-JSS  
<https://ecf.flmd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/DktRpt.pl?375900>

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 10/08/2021

Document Number: 56

Copy the URL address from the line below into the location bar  
of your Web browser to view the document:  
56 (No document attached)

Docket Text:  
**ENDORSED ORDER** denying Dunbar's  
[55] motion for relief for the reasons stated in the Court's earlier  
[38] [46] [49] orders and denying as moot his [55] motion to proceed in forma  
pauperis. A certificate of appealability and leave to appeal in forma pauperis  
are DENIED. Signed by Judge Kathryn Kimball Mizelle on 11/7/2023. (JT)

8:20-cv-00598-KKM-JSS Notice has been electronically mailed to:  
Sonya Roebuck Horbelt [sonya.horbelt@myfloridalegal.com](mailto:sonya.horbelt@myfloridalegal.com),  
[CrimAppTpa@myfloridalegal.com](mailto:CrimAppTpa@myfloridalegal.com),  
[nadia.hernandez@myfloridalegal.com](mailto:nadia.hernandez@myfloridalegal.com)

8:20-cv-00598-KKM-JSS Notice has been delivered by other means to:  
Isaiah L. Dunbar  
582230  
Desoto Correctional Institution  
13617 SE Highway 70

Arcadia, FL 34266-7800

---

# APPENDIX C

In the  
United States Court of Appeals  
For the Eleventh Circuit

---

No. 23-14034

---

ISAIAH L. DUNBAR,

Petitioner-Appellant,

*versus*

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,  
ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondents-Appellees.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Florida  
D.C. Docket No. 8:20-cv-00598-KKM-JSS

---

Before ROSENBAUM and LUCK, Circuit Judges.

## BY THE COURT:

Isaiah Dunbar has moved for reconsideration, pursuant to 11th Cir. R. 22-1(c) and 27-2, of this Court's order denying a certificate of appealability on appeal from the denial of his fourth Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) motion for relief from judgment, regarding the dismissal of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition as untimely. His motion for reconsideration is DENIED because he has offered no new evidence or arguments of merit to warrant relief.

# APPENDIX D

**Additional material  
from this filing is  
available in the  
Clerk's Office.**