

24-5002

No. _____

FILED
FEB 15 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT U.S.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

Maurice L. Scott - PETITIONER

vs.

Judge Gregory M. Davis - RESPONDENT
Senior Judge Not
ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO
UNITED STATES COURT
OF APPEALS FOR THE
FOURTH CIRCUIT

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

1000 W. Main St.
Ste. P-0-860
Oakwood, VA 24631

APPENDIX B

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

On 9-27-23 in the U. S. Dist. Ct. Judge Elizabeth K. Dillon U. S. Dist. Judge, dismissed with out of the petitioners motion to proceed in forma pauperis under the imminent danger exception on 9-28-23 petitioners filed an appeal to the U. S. Ct. of Appeal (S) to the 4th Cir. on ~~Oct.~~ Dec. 4-23 and stayed at direction of the panel. Judge's Name is ~~not~~ the wait, as respondent dismissed petitioners (HAP) Appeal for the imminent danger exception. It has not conclude petitioners that petitioners has not shown dear imminent danger. I disagree for the reasons provided along to the wait.

LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of case on the cover page.

[] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as following:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW

JURISDICTION

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

REASON FOR GRANTING WRIT

CONCLUSION

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

Opinions Below

APPENDIX B

Jurisdiction

APPENDIX C

Const. stat. Pro. (s) Involved

APPENDIX D

Statement of the case

APPENDIX E

Reason for granting writ

APPENDIX F

Conclusion

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

Abdul-Akbar v McElvie, 239 F.3d 307, 315 (3d Cir. 2001)

Roller v Guan, 107 F.3d 227, 231 (4th Cir. 1997)

Ramos v Lamm, 639 F.2d 559, 577 (10th Cir. 1980)

(1915 (9) PLRA)

IFP, Imminent danger exception

STATUTES AND RULES

42 USC 1983

1915 (9) PLRA

IFP, Imminent danger exception

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI
OPINIONS BELOW

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of Appeals at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Appeals 2; or,
[] has been designed for publication but not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished

The opinion of the United States district court at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at Appeals 3; or,
[] has been designed for publication but not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished

[] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of Appeals at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designed for publication but not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished

The opinion of the United States district court at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[] reported at _____; or,
[] has been designed for publication but not yet reported; or,
[] is unpublished

APPENDIX A

JURISDICTION

~~4~~ For cases from federal courts: No. 23-7041

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1-24-23. Jan. 19. 24

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: July 20, 1968, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix 1.

[] An extension of time to file the petition for writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C § 1254(1)

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest court decided my case was _____.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date:
, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix

[] An extension of time to file the petition for writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A .

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1257(a).

Appendix C

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISION INVOLVED

8th Amendment U.S. Const.
42 U.S.C. 1983, 1985(g) PLRA
TTP. Immunity danger
exception.

APPENDIX D

case. No. 23-70 STATEMENT OF THE CASE 41

on Jan-19-24 on ~~Jan-19-23~~ the Panel Judges executed an order reason to dismiss petitioners. Appeal to place charged exception. by declaring that a bipolar disorder possessed. As (P) of all mental illnesses. that it is unable to keep up psychotropic drugs due to inadequate and life threatening measure. VA Dept. of Mental Health. allowed certified nurses to dispense these potent dangerous drugs to cause harm. that it had to force the psychiatrist to discontinuing long term uses. of adverse side effects.

Appendix E

REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Petitioner is being denied
adequate mental health
treatment and care due
to psychiatrist violating
her oath not to cause
harm to her patient which
she advertently did in
negligence breached by her
negligence of being told
of possible side effects. Peti-
tioner is suffering from
but she did not care
it regarded prior to visiting
psychiatrist. No crush or
crush for petitioner. anti-dep-
ressant 20 mg. of drug. the
petitioner been off for six
days. 24-23

APPENDIX F

CONCLUSIONS

The Judge is in the U. S. Ct. of AP. (S) for the 4th Cir. Judge against dismissal of petition. Appeal under the due process danger exception. He is to be placed under (AP) due to petition has established the similar claim under recent. In citing Ramos v. 46392d 559 77-78 (4th Cir. 1980) Allegations of continuing as a result of being denied medical treatment of bipolar disorder except for denial of continuation exception due to his diagnosis of bipolar also been off his medication Aug. 24-23 of his Med. since

Appendix ~~A~~

CONCLUSION

The petition for writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Maurice Scott

Date: Feb. 2 - 24