

No. 24-389.

**THE  
SUPREME COURT  
OF THE UNITED STATES**

JEAN COULTER, Petitioner  
v.  
JAMES P. COULTER, et. al. Respondents

Petition for Rehearing

Jean Coulter, Petitioner  
3000 Chestnut Street  
P. O. Box 8094  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19101  
412-616-9505

### Introduction

On December 9, 2024, the decision by the Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States (which denied my Petition for Certiorari) was docketed. Their denial was in response to the Third Circuit's dismissal of all of Petitioner's Jean Coulter's ("Jean's") Claims against a group of "Legal Professionals" including *one Federal Judge and one State Judge, as well as a Private Attorney* (who, for a number of decades, also served as (part-time) Counsel for the municipality where Jean had lived for much of her life).

Jean's Petition for Certiorari is/was based exclusively on District Judge Kearney's apparent "mis-understanding" of the various sections of Jean's Civil Complaint and the Third Circuit's "blanket affirmation" of Kearney's lengthy (and, in reality, frequently untruthful) decision - **possibly because District Judge Kearney (and the entire Third Circuit) found it hard to believe that the Defendants (many of whom are Legal Professionals) *would so publicly and so carelessly behave in such an entirely improper (and in some ways illegal) manner!*** In fact, a small group of Legal Professionals had chosen to act together to **conceal the actual reason that U. S. District Judge Horan chose to publicly**

**post 2 copies of an Open Letter which supposedly describes Horan's confusion over the facts surrounding Jean's "primary residence" (in New Jersey). It is clear that the co-conspirators were acting in that manner, in order to conceal the real reason for Horan's entry into a conspiracy, as they realized the true reason for Horan's supposed confusion about Jean's "winter residence" – is the so-called facts surrounding Horan's supposed confusion are all patently untruthful!**

In reality, because District Judge Horan has been Jean's (part-time) "small town neighbor ... one door up" for nearly half a century, it should not be surprising that Horan is well aware that **Jean has not/had not stayed in the home in Butler (during much of the fall and spring and *all* of the winter months) for at least a decade!** In fact, Jean's brother (and co-owner of the home) even informed the Police (correctly) that the home has no working furnace - and untruthfully stated that the home also has no electric service!

**"Erroneous" Dismissal of Claims Against  
District Judge Marilyn Horan**

Specifically, the Supreme Court has, in its decision to Deny Jean's Petition for Cert, essentially affirmed all of the decisions by U. S. District Court Judge Kearney, despite the fact that Judge

Kearney willfully ruled that Respondent Horan is immune for all of the claimed acts by Horan in support of the Color of Law Conspiracy (including those which occurred on Sunday January 2, 2022) - based exclusively on Judicial Immunity (Decision page 24) :

“... Judge Horan has absolute immunity from suit and will not be liable for her judicial acts ... even as the administrative judge of Butler County. ...” (emphasis added)

*And, no one has ever identified any matter which was before Horan (during the pertinent time period), and which involved even one single individual who is one of the defendants in the instant matter, or even any matter which was being heard by any Federal Jurist which might possibly concern this issue!*

So, clearly Judge Kearney used either an entirely fabricated set of “circumstances”, or “erroneously expanded” upon the limits of Judicial Immunity – for the obvious reason that both Judge Kearney and all of the Defendants/Respondents wanted to permit a “determination” that Horan possessed immunity for all of Horan’s actions on Sunday, January 2, 2022, **despite the fact that**

**Horan's actions were unrelated to any matter being heard by any federal judge!**

In the section of Judge Kearney's dismissal of all of my claims against Marilyn Horan, Judge Kearney erroneously **"ruled" that Jim was not "forced" to delay the transfer of the case from Philadelphia to Butler.** However, that is a "determination" which obviously exists for the sole purpose of *diverting the attention from Kearney's blatant bias* – as the Complaint clearly explains that, because Horan's assignment to the position of "Administrative Judge" in Butler County was delayed for so long, Defendant Atty. James P. Coulter (Jim) was "forced" to repeatedly delay paying Butler County's filing fee for the Philadelphia case (which had been completed by August 24), as both Jim and Yeager needed to assure that the transfer could not be completed until after Horan was actually assigned the responsibilities of Administrative Judge. And, Jim's delays were required simply because Horan's assignment (to the newly created position of Administrative Judge for Butler County) did not occur until *more than two months after the transfer into the Butler Court was Ordered by the Philadelphia judge*) – as that was the only way for the co-conspirators to assure that Yeager would be assigned to hear the case!

Further, there has never been any dispute about the fact that Horan intentionally chose to conspicuously post two (2) Open Letters on the Coulter Family Home – **for the obvious purpose of providing “support” for Jim’s *completely unsupported request* for Officer Howard to break-down the door to the Family Home (and leave without ever resealing the home in any manner).** While Jim was ostensibly concerned about his sister’s “welfare”, instead the sole purpose was to permit Jim to enter the home alone (so that the door could be left in a manner which would not require even the opening of a screen door, or turning a door knob) – as both of those conditions needed to be overcome so that Yeager’s subsequent (secret) entry would not ever possibly be adjudicated to result in a determination that Yeager had acted in a manner which resulted in Yeager having criminally accessed the home!

It is patently obvious, therefore, that Jim’s true intention for having the case transferred into the Butler Courthouse, was exclusively to permit his co-conspirator (Common Pleas) Judge Yeager to (finally) see the interior of the home which Yeager has publicly admitted to finding completely and obsessively fascinating to him (Yeager)!

**“Erroneous” Dismissal of Claims**

**Against Yeager**

The Third Circuit willfully affirmed District Judge Kearney’s “erroneous” determination (of a “point” which was which was never argued by any of the Parties) that Jim Coulter, as a co-owner, secretly lead Common Pleas Judge Yeager (and Yeager’s daughter) on a guided tour of the Family Home :

“... Jean also claims in late December 2021 or early January 2022 Judge Yeager toured the Coulter family home with Jim and his daughter.<sup>164</sup> But there are no allegations anyone has offered to buy the Coulter family home from Jean, including Judge Yeager or his daughter, or the home has been sold or taken away from Jean. ...” (Page 23 of the Decision)

and

“... Jean also claims in late December 2021 or early January 2022 Judge Yeager toured the Coulter family home with Jim and his daughter. ...” (Decision pages 22 – 23)

However, the facts which would permit Jim to lead a secret guided tour, do not exist in either of Jean’s Complaints, or indeed, are not found in any filing by any of the Parties, simply because the Coulter Family had previously agreed that, in exchange for Jean agreeing to pay almost all expenses of the

home, that Jim's and Barbara Coulter's rights would be limited to that of a Landlord, and Jean alone, exclusively would have the Right of unfettered access and/or use of the property

“... Jean would pay all the usual, ongoing expenses of the Coulter family home, instead of rent, and Jim and Barbara's rights to the home would be limited to those of a landlord in a typical landlord/ tenant relationship ...”

(Decision page 2)

**This supposed “misunderstanding” of the facts of this matter is of particular importance as otherwise, the Code of Conduct (which both Kearney and the entire Third Circuit are obligated to comply with), would absolutely require that Kearney as well as the entire Third Circuit report the criminal wrong-doings by a private attorney (James P. Coulter) acting in concert with a Pennsylvania Common Pleas Court Judge (S. Michael Yeager) as well as a former PA Judge who is now employed as a U. S. District Court Judge (Marilyn Horan)!**



**“Erroneous” Dismissal of Claims Against  
James P. Coulter**

The District Court “erroneously” ruled (and the subsequent appellate judges affirmed the District Court’s decisions) – that Jim never cashed the check which was overnighted to Jim immediately after Jean received Jim’s email threatening to have the Family Home Partitioned first (accurately) stating :

“... Jean gave him a cashier’s check after she accepted his written offer to sell his one-third share of the home. 11 ...”

and then

“... Jean claims she never received Jim’s ownership rights to the Coulter family home despite giving him a cashier’s check for his interest in the home in 2011.<sup>30</sup> Jim cashed the cashier’s check Jean gave him in 2011 at some unidentified time.<sup>31</sup> But then a bank employee told Jean at an unknown time an unidentified person eventually “returned to the bank” the money from the check.<sup>32</sup> ...”

and finally ruled :

“... But Jim had the cashier’s check in his possession during the November 2022 hearing where “he waved [it] in front of Judge Yeager, but neither Jim nor Judge Yeager opened the envelope or placed it into evidence.<sup>68</sup> ...”

It should be noted that at no point, whatsoever, did Jean assert that Jim actually had a check in his possession, and instead, the Complaint clearly states that **Jim claimed to have in his possession an envelope which supposedly contained the uncashed check**, in his hands, as claimed in the second complaint :

4. In fact, the Co-Conspirators purposefully acted to assure that YEAGER alone would be assigned to hear the Civil Case against JIM – as ... JIM clearly must be required to complete the sale of JIM's share of the home to his sister, especially because JIM had accepted, and even cashed, the Cashier's Check which he had received from JEAN after she accepted his written offer to sell his share in 2011.

However, **the District Court has chosen to interpret that phrase as being written in a manner which would permit Judge Kearney to rule that Jim had the actual check in his possession**, and thus "finding" that Jim had not actually cashed the check, and thus permitting argument that perhaps Jim was justified in refusing to complete the sale of his share of the property :

"... , Judge Yeager still refused to order specific performance during a November 2022 hearing.<sup>66</sup> And Attorney Elliott continues to deny the existence of the cashier's check.<sup>67</sup> But Jim had the cashier's check in his

possession during the November 2022 hearing where “he waved [it] in front of Judge Yeager, but neither Jim nor Judge Yeager opened the envelope or placed it into evidence.68 ...”

### Conclusion

As a “civilian”, I find it **unconscionable** for any Judge (federal or state), to willfully rule in a manner which **might possibly convince a Juror to believe that Jim is anything less than a liar and a thief – and the same holds true for Yeager and Horan and Officer Howard along with all of Jim’s extended family!**

*And, I find it even more egregious that the judges in our state and federal courts, do not hesitate to cover-up the crimes of their fellow jurists – apparently for the sole purpose of protecting the “reputation” of the judiciary!*

S. Michael Yeager is paid \$173,271 annually, for his job as President Judge – and upon his retirement, he can earn \$707.00 each day as a Senior Judge. And, in addition to the yearly pension of the same amount as the Senior Judge earned at the time of retirement, Retired Judges can earn a per diem for added days working as a Senior Judge. **So, I can find no legitimate reason for any jurist (either**

**federal or state), to believe that they should be permitted to criminally utilize the prestige of their employment (or former employment) – as additional “compensation” for their “service”!**

The time is long past when this type of behavior can be considered as “acceptable” – and this is especially so, as when these “professionals” are “caught red-handed” (as Jim and Yeager and Horan and Kearney have been. And it is for this reason that I am sending copies of this filing to :

- a. Every member of the U. S. Senate  
Judiciary Committee**
- b. Every member of the U. S. House  
Judiciary Committee**
- c. Every Federal Legislator from  
Pennsylvania**
- d. Every Federal Legislator from New  
Jersey**
- e. Every Federal Legislator from  
Delaware**
- f. Every Federal Legislator from United  
States Virgin Islands**
- g. Every State Legislator from  
Pennsylvania**
- h. Every State Legislator from New  
Jersey**
- i. Every State Legislator from Delaware**
- j. Every Territorial Legislator from the  
United States Virgin Islands**
- k. The Governor of Pennsylvania**

- l. The Governor of New Jersey
- m. The Governor of Delaware
- n. The Governor of the Virgin Islands of the United States
- o. Print Media
- p. Broadcast Media,
- q. Internet Media

and

r. Attorney Disciplinary Boards for the Law Clerks assigned to each of the Supreme Courts' Justices

We owe our children an Honest Justice System, instead of one where members of the Just Us System are *repeatedly permitted to subject "civilians to theft and other abuses,* when there is no need for us all to continue to pretend that these abuses rarely occur!

Certifications

I hereby certify that the word count for this Petition for Rehearing, (according to the counter in my word processing program) is 2280 words.

I further certify that the grounds presented in this Petition for Rehearing are limited to substantial grounds not previously presented, and that it is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully Submitted,



Jean Coulter, Pro Se Petitioner


## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day, the 11<sup>th</sup> day of February 2025 served a true and correct copy of the accompanying PETITION FOR REHEARING upon the Respondents by email at the addresses shown below (which are the email addresses for counsel of Respondents) :

lkrofcheck@c-wlaw.com  
hogue@mhandl.com  
mpipak@jonespassodelis.com  
csaul@margolisedelstein.com  
brl@whc-pc.com jay@smlaw.com  
relliott@dmkcg.com blloyd@d-wlaw.com  
legaldepartment@pacourts.us  
mlang@margolisedelstein.com  
mpotchny@margolisedelstein.com  
charrington@jonespassodelis.com

Additionally, I will be sending copies to the pertinent Attorneys' Disciplinary Boards (for each of the Justices' Law Clerks and applicable defendants) and to the appropriate Judicial Conduct Boards, as well as to the offices of Elected Officials, and to various members of the Media.

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jean Coulter", with a large, stylized flourish at the end.

Jean Coulter, Petitioner