

No. 24-365

**In The
Supreme Court of the United States**

COMCAST CABLE COMMUNICATIONS, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

CHARLES RAMSEY.

*ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL,
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT*

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

Michael Weisbuch
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS
HAUER & FELD LLP
100 Pine Street, Suite 3200
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 765-9500

Marshall Baker
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS
HAUER & FELD LLP
1999 Avenue Of The Stars,
Suite 600
Los Angeles, CA 90067
(310) 229-1000

Pratik A. Shah
Counsel of Record
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS
HAUER & FELD LLP
2001 K Street N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 887-4210
pshah@akingump.com

Counsel for Petitioner

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

Pursuant to Court Rule 15.8, petitioner submits this supplemental brief to inform the Court that on December 31, 2024, the California Supreme Court denied review of the California Court of Appeal's decision in *Kramer v. Coinbase*, 326 Cal. Rptr. 3d 217 (Ct. App. 2024). See Supreme Court of California, *Results from the petition conference of 12/31/2024* at 5.¹

In *Coinbase*, the California Court of Appeal adopted the reasoning of *Mejia v. DACM Inc.*, 268 Cal. Rptr. 3d 642 (Ct. App. 2020), *Maldonado v. Fast Auto Loans, Inc.*, 275 Cal. Rptr. 3d 82 (Ct. App. 2021), and the decision below. See 326 Cal. Rptr. at 222. Under those decisions, none of which the California Supreme Court has disturbed, the anti-waiver rule set forth in *McGill v. Citibank, N.A.*, 393 P.3d 85 (Cal. 2017), applies to virtually any request to enjoin an allegedly unlawful business practice. See Pet. 2-3, 9-10, 26. And under those decisions, the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA) does not preclude that broad application of *McGill*. See Pet. 23-24; *Coinbase*, 326 Cal. Rptr. at 228 n.8. By contrast, the Ninth Circuit has held that the FAA preempts it. See *Hodges v. Comcast Cable Commc'ns, LLC*, 21 F.4th 535, 547 (9th Cir. 2021).

The California Supreme Court's denial of review in *Coinbase* thus reinforces two key points. *First*, the broad application of *McGill* is settled as a practical matter in the California courts, eliminating any state-

¹ <https://supreme.courts.ca.gov/sites/default/files/supremecourt/default/documents/cr123124.pdf>.

law uncertainty that might have militated against review in years past. *See* Pet. 1, 27-29. *Second*, the status quo—under which arbitration agreements are subject to conflicting treatment under the FAA between federal and state court—will continue without this Court’s intervention. *See* Pet. 29.

* * * * *

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted.

Pratik A. Shah
Counsel of Record
Michael Weisbuch
Marshall Baker
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS
HAUER & FELD LLP

Counsel for Petitioner

January 7, 2025