Gregory G. Garre
Direct Dial: (202) 637-2207
gregory.garre@lw.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

June 16, 2025

Via Hand-Delivery

Mr. Scott S. Harris Clerk of the Court Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, NE Washington, DC 20543–0001 555 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20004-1304

Tel: +1.202.637.2200 Fax: +1.202.637.2201

www.lw.com

FIRM / AFFILIATE OFFICES
Austin Milan
Beijing Munich
Boston New York
Brussels Orange Cour

Brussels **Orange County** Century City Paris Riyadh Chicago Dubai San Diego Düsseldorf San Francisco Frankfurt Seoul Hamburg Silicon Valley Singapore Hong Kong Tel Aviv Houston London Tokvo

Los Angeles Washington, D.C.

Madrid

Re: Port of Tacoma, et al. v. Puget Soundkeeper Alliance, No. 24-350

Dear Mr. Harris:

On Friday, petitioners filed a supplemental brief responding to the Solicitor General's invitation brief and respondent's supplemental brief responding to that invitation brief. In rereading their supplemental brief, petitioners noticed an error.

- On page 7, the brief states: "The district court rejected Soundkeeper's argument, reasoning 'that vehicle maintenance and/or equipment cleaning [as defined by the federal regulations]' did not occur simply because 'the large mechanical cranes are maintained *and cleaned* in place on the [W]harf.' Pet.App.46a (emphasis added)."
- That sentence should read: "The district court rejected Soundkeeper's argument that 'vehicle maintenance and/or equipment cleaning [as defined by the federal regulations]' occurred 'because the large mechanical cranes are maintained *and cleaned* in place on the [W]harf.' Pet.App.46a (emphasis added)."

This change does not impact the substance of petitioners' argument, which is that the district court held that no industrial activity occurred on the Wharf and Soundkeeper did not appeal that holding. Petitioners will file a corrected version of their supplemental brief promptly, changing only that sentence. We apologize for this oversight.

Respectfully,

Gregory G. Garre

of LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Juny M. Huur

Counsel for Petitioners

cc: Claire E. Tonry, Esq.
Counsel for Respondent