ORIGINAL

NO.	
INO.	

FILED JUN 2 0 2024

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OFFI	SE OF	THE C	FRK
SUPF	REME C	COURT	US

Tommie Dickinson, Lindsey Gremont, Amber Cloy, Jason Scott Buster, Alexandra Campo, James L. Clark, Juan Carlos Arias, a candidate of Harris County, Texas; Jose Christine Silvester, Robert James Brooks, Jr., Alana S. Phillips, Lynn Davenport, candidate of Dallas County, Texas; Lester Rand, Allyson Raskin, Sharon Jennifer Lipper

Applicants,

v.

Jane Nelson, John B. Scott; Jose "Joe" A. Esparza, Ruth R. Hughs, Keith Ingram, Jacquelyn Callanen, Nelson Wolff, Rebeca Clay-Flores, Bexar County Commissioner; Justin Rodriguez, Marialyn Barnard, Tommy Calvert, Bobbie Koepp, Frank Phillips, Andy Eads, Ryan Williams, Ron Marchant, Bobbie J. Mitchell, Dianne Edmondson, Lina Hidalgo, Rodney Ellis, Adrian Garcia, Tom S. Ramsey, Isabel Longoria, Harris Jennifer Doinoff, Ruben Becerra, Debbie Ingalsbe, Mark Jones, Lon Shell, Walt Smith, Ron Massingill, Michele Carew, Pat Deen, Crickett Miller, George Conley, Craig Peacock, Larry Walden, Steve Dugan, Heider Garcia, R. Jack Cagle, Roy Charles Brooks, Devan Allen, Gary Fickes, Andrew Steven Brown, Dana Debeauvoir, Rebecca Guerrero, Bill Gravell, Christopher Davis, Terry Cook, Cynthia Long, Valerie Covey, Ross Boles, Suzie Harvey, Robert C. Walker, Charlie Riley, James Noack, James Metts, J. D. Johnson, Susan Fletcher, Darrell Hale, Chris Hill, Cheryl Williams, Duncan Webb, Bruce Sherbet, Mark Keough, Sarah Eckhardt, B. Glen Whitley, Cynthia Jaqua, Clifford Tatum,

Respondents.

On Application for an Extension to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

APPLICATION TO JUSTICE ALITO FOR A 60-DAY EXTENSION TO FILE A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

To the Honorable Associate Justice Samual Alito, as Circuit Justice for the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.

RULE 29.6 STATEMENT: Applicants are natural persons without parent companies or stock.

Pro Se Applicants Tommie Dickinson, Lindsey Gremont, Amber Cloy, Jason Scott Buster, Alexandra Campo, James L. Clark, Juan Carlos Arias, Jose Christine Silvester, Robert James Brooks, Jr., Alana S. Phillips, Lynn Davenport, Lester Rand, Anne Stone; Allyson Raskin, and Kristen Plaisance (Applicants), herein respectfully apply for a 60-Day Extension to file a Petition for Writ of Certiorari pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 13(5). The Fifth Circuit (App.1) issued its Rule 36 Judgment on April 3, 2024. Therefore, without an extension, Applicants are required to file their petition for writ of certiorari by July 2, 2024. For the reasons stated below, Applicants respectfully request a 60-day extension to file their petition for writ of certiorari up to and including August 31, 2024.

- 1. The Petitioner will seek review of the *Per Curiam* Opinion in Fifth Circuit Case No. No. 23-10936, dated April 3, 2024 (App.1a), wherein the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit affirmed the dismissal of this action based on a lack of Article III standing. This jurisdiction of this Court will be invoked under 28 U.S.C. § 1254(1).
- 2. Applicants have been conducting research and drafting their arguments for their petition and are seeking council to assist them in its preparation. Applicants acting pro se currently perceive that the Writ of Certiorari preparation time limits will surpass the present filing deadline. Applicants require further time to carefully gather material and craft their arguments.

- 3. The second amended complaint that is the subject of the Fifth Circuit's dismissal contains over 500 paragraphs of factual allegations, hundreds of pages of exhibits, and numerous county defendants. Applicants believe that this case presents important unsettled federal questions regarding the scope of Article III standing of voters to seek judicial redress for illegally-conducted elections, having exhausted all other available remedies known to them. The intricate and interconnected nature of election infrastructure required Applicants to develop a sprawling factual record that includes numerous contracts, memoranda of understanding, and reports. This case also presents what Applicants argue is an unconstitutional usurpation of state authority over elections by the federal government, as Applicants present information that they believe demonstrates that the federal government essentially runs elections (by way of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security) despite their administration being constitutionally prescribed to states. As such, Applicants need ample time and are seeking to employ counsel in order to perfect a succinct petition for this Court's review that most clearly articulates the graveness of the issues on which Applicants seek standing to litigate. Supreme Court Rule 14(1)(g),(h).
- 4. Upon successful engagement of counsel, Applicants' counsel will need additional time to familiarize themselves with the voluminous record of their case so that counsel may provide the most effective assistance possible to perfect Applicants' petition.

- 5. The 60-day extension would not prejudice the respondents. In fact, granting the extension will relieve Respondents of their obligation to respond to the petition for writ of certiorari during the summer holidays and a time in which this Court will be in summer recess. As such, the earliest possible conference date for consideration of the petition, even if filed by the normal deadline, would be September 30, 2024.
- 6. As this application for an extension is made at least 10 days in advance of the 90-day deadline, Applicants respectfully request that this application for extension be granted.

Warm regards,

/s/ Lindsey Gremont
Contact for Petitioners Pro Se
307 Westhaven Drive
West Lake Hills, TX 78746
(512) 879-7069
linny2777@protonmail.com

/s/ Tommie Dickinson

/s/ Jason S. Buster

/s/ Alexandra Campo

/s/ James L Clark

/s/ Jose Christine Silvester

/s/ Robert James Brooks Jr

/s/ Alana S. Phillips

/s/ Lester Rand

/s/ Amber Cloy

/s/ Juan Carlos Arias

/s/ Lynn Christine Davenport

/s/ Allyson Raskin

/s/ Sheron Jennifer Lipper

APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS

Judgment, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit	.App.1
Opinion, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit	.App.5



United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

Certified as a true copy and issued as the mandate on Apr 25, 2024

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 3, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

Attest: Jule W. Cayea Clerk, U.S. Court of Appears, Fifth Circuit

No. 23-10936

TRAVIS WAYNE EUBANKS, a voter of Bexar County, Texas; LINDSEY GREMONT, a voter of Travis County, Texas; KRISTEN PLAISANCE, a voter of Montgomery County, Texas; JASON SCOTT BUSTER, a voter of Bexar County, Texas; ALEXANDRA CAMPO, a voter of Williamson County, Texas; JAMES L. CLARK, a voter of Hays County, Texas; JUAN CARLOS ARIAS, a voter and candidate of Harris County, Texas; JOSE CHRISTINE SILVESTER, a voter of Comal County, Texas; TOMMIE DICKINSON, a voter of Atascosa County, Texas; ROBERT JAMES BROOKS, JR., a voter of Travis County, Texas; Alana S. Phillips, a voter of Denton County, Texas; Amber Cloy, a voter of Tarrant County, Texas; Sheron Jennifer Lipper, a voter of Dallas County, Texas; Lynn Davenport, a voter and candidate of Dallas County, Texas; Lester Rand,

Plaintiffs—Appellants,

ANNE STONE; ALLYSON RASKIN,

Appellants,

versus

JANE NELSON, Texas Secretary of State; JOHN B. SCOTT, in his individual capacity and in his official capacity as the Texas Secretary of State; JOSE "JOE" A. ESPARZA, in his individual capacity and in his official capacity as Deputy Secretary of State; RUTH R. HUGHS, in her individual capacity and in her official capacity as 113 Texas Secretary of State; KEITH INGRAM, in his individual and official capacity as the Director of the Elections Division; JACQUELYN CALLANEN, Bexar County Elections Administrator; NELSON WOLFF, Bexar County Judge and head of the Bexar County

No. 23-10936

Elections Commission; REBECA CLAY-FLORES, Bexar County Commissioner; JUSTIN RODRIGUEZ, Bexar County Commissioner; MARIALYN BARNARD, Bexar County Commissioner; TOMMY CALVERT, Bexar County Commissioner; BOBBIE KOEPP, Comal County clerk; FRANK PHILLIPS, Denton County Elections Administrator; ANDY EADS, Denton County Judge; RYAN WILLIAMS, Denton County Commissioner; RON MARCHANT, Denton County Commissioner; BOBBIE J. MITCHELL, Denton County Commissioner; DIANNE EDMONDSON, Denton County Commissioner; LINA HIDALGO, Harris County Judge; RODNEY ELLIS, Harris County Commissioner; ADRIAN GARCIA, Harris County Commissioner; TOM S. RAMSEY, Harris County Commissioner; ISABEL LONGORIA, Harris County Elections Administrator; JENNIFER DOINOFF, Hays County Elections Administrator; RUBEN BECERRA, Hays County Commissioner's Court Judge; DEBBIE INGALSBE, Hays County Commissioner Court; MARK JONES, Hays County Commissioner Court; LON SHELL, Hays County Commissioner Court; WALT SMITH, Hays County Commissioner Court; RON MASSINGILL, Hood County Judge and head of the Hood County Elections Commission; MICHELE CAREW, Elections Administrator of Hood County; PAT DEEN, Parker County Judge and head of Parker County Elections Commission; CRICKETT MILLER, Elections Administrator of Parker County; GEORGE CONLEY, Parker County Commissioner; CRAIG PEACOCK, Parker County Commissioner; LARRY WALDEN, Parker County Commissioner; STEVE DUGAN, Parker County Commissioner; Heider Garcia, Tarrant County Elections Administrator; R. JACK CAGLE, Harris County Commissioner; ROY CHARLES BROOKS, Tarrant County Commissioner; DEVAN ALLEN, Tarrant County Commissioner; GARY FICKES, Tarrant County Commissioner; ANDREW STEVEN BROWN, Travis County Judge; DANA DEBEAUVOIR, Former Travis County Clerk; REBECCA GUERRERO, Travis County Clerk; BILL GRAVELL, Williamson County Judge; CHRISTOPHER DAVIS, Williamson County Elections Administrator; TERRY COOK, Williamson County Commissioner; CYNTHIA LONG, Williamson County Commissioner; VALERIE COVEY, Williamson County Commissioner; Ross Boles, Williamson County Commissioner; Suzie HARVEY, Montgomery County Elections Administrator; ROBERT C. WALKER, Montgomery County Commissioner; CHARLIE RILEY,

No. 23-10936

Montgomery County Commissioner; James Noack, Montgomery County Commissioner; James Metts, Montgomery County Commissioner; J. D. Johnson, Tarrant County Commissioner; Susan Fletcher, Collin County Commissioner; Darrell Hale, Collin County Commissioner; Chris Hill, Collin County Judge; Cheryl Williams, Collin County Commissioner; Duncan Webb, Collin County Commissioner; Bruce Sherbet, Collin County Elections Administrator; Mark Keough, Montgomery County Judge; Sarah Eckhardt, Former Travis County Judge, Current State Senator D-14; B. Glen Whitley, Tarrant County Judge; Cynthia Jaqua, Comal County Elections Coordinator; Clifford Tatum,

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:22-CV-576

Before SMITH, HAYNES, and DOUGLAS, Circuit Judges.

JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and the briefs on file.

IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court is AFFIRMED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that appellants pay to appellees the costs on appeal to be taxed by the Clerk of this Court.

The judgment or mandate of this court shall issue 7 days after the time to file a petition for rehearing expires, or 7 days after entry of an order denying a timely petition for panel rehearing, petition for rehearing en banc, or motion

No. 23-10936

for stay of mandate, whichever is later. See FED. R. APP. P. 41(b). The court may shorten or extend the time by order. See 5TH CIR. R. 41 I.O.P.

United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

United States Court of Appeals
Fifth Circuit

FILED

April 3, 2024

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

No. 23-10936

TRAVIS WAYNE EUBANKS, a voter of Bexar County, Texas; LINDSEY GREMONT, a voter of Travis County, Texas; KRISTEN PLAISANCE, a voter of Montgomery County, Texas; JASON SCOTT BUSTER, a voter of Bexar County, Texas; ALEXANDRA CAMPO, a voter of Williamson County, Texas; JAMES L. CLARK, a voter of Hays County, Texas; JUAN CARLOS ARIAS, a voter and candidate of Harris County, Texas; JOSE CHRISTINE SILVESTER, a voter of Comal County, Texas; TOMMIE DICKINSON, a voter of Atascosa County, Texas; ROBERT JAMES BROOKS, JR., a voter of Travis County, Texas; ALANA S. PHILLIPS, a voter of Denton County, Texas; AMBER CLOY, a voter of Tarrant County, Texas; SHERON JENNIFER LIPPER, a voter of Dallas County, Texas; LYNN DAVENPORT, a voter and candidate of Dallas County, Texas; LESTER RAND,

Plaintiffs—Appellants,

ANNE STONE; ALLYSON RASKIN,

Appellants,

versus

JANE NELSON, Texas Secretary of State; JOHN B. SCOTT, in his individual capacity and in his official capacity as the Texas Secretary of State; JOSE "JOE" A. ESPARZA, in his individual capacity and in his official capacity as Deputy Secretary of State; RUTH R. HUGHS, in her individual capacity and in her official capacity as 113 Texas Secretary of State; KEITH INGRAM, in his individual and official capacity as the Director of the Elections Division; JACQUELYN CALLANEN, Bexar County Elections Administrator; NELSON WOLFF, Bexar County Judge and head of the Bexar County

Elections Commission; REBECA CLAY-FLORES, Bexar County Commissioner; JUSTIN RODRIGUEZ, Bexar County Commissioner; MARIALYN BARNARD, Bexar County Commissioner; TOMMY CALVERT, Bexar County Commissioner; BOBBIE KOEPP, Comal County clerk; Frank Phillips, Denton County Elections Administrator; Andy EADS, Denton County Judge; RYAN WILLIAMS, Denton County Commissioner; RON MARCHANT, Denton County Commissioner; BOBBIE J. MITCHELL, Denton County Commissioner; DIANNE EDMONDSON, Denton County Commissioner; LINA HIDALGO, Harris County Judge; RODNEY ELLIS, Harris County Commissioner; ADRIAN GARCIA, Harris County Commissioner; Tom S. Ramsey, Harris County Commissioner; ISABEL LONGORIA, Harris County Elections Administrator; JENNIFER DOINOFF, Hays County Elections Administrator; RUBEN BECERRA, Hays County Commissioner's Court Judge; Debbie Ingalsbe, Hays County Commissioner Court; MARK JONES, Hays County Commissioner Court; LON SHELL, Hays County Commissioner Court; WALT SMITH, Hays County Commissioner Court; RON MASSINGILL, Hood County Judge and head of the Hood County Elections Commission; MICHELE CAREW, Elections Administrator of Hood County; PAT DEEN, Parker County Judge and head of Parker County Elections Commission; CRICKETT MILLER, Elections Administrator of Parker County; GEORGE CONLEY, Parker County Commissioner; CRAIG PEACOCK, Parker County Commissioner; LARRY WALDEN, Parker County Commissioner; STEVE DUGAN, Parker County Commissioner; Heider Garcia, Tarrant County Elections Administrator; R. JACK CAGLE, Harris County Commissioner; Roy CHARLES BROOKS, Tarrant County Commissioner; DEVAN ALLEN, Tarrant County Commissioner; GARY FICKES, Tarrant County Commissioner; Andrew Steven Brown, Travis County Judge; DANA DEBEAUVOIR, Former Travis County Clerk; REBECCA GUERRERO, Travis County Clerk; BILL GRAVELL, Williamson County Judge; CHRISTOPHER DAVIS, Williamson County Elections Administrator; TERRY COOK, Williamson County Commissioner; CYNTHIA LONG, Williamson County Commissioner; VALERIE COVEY, Williamson County Commissioner; Ross Boles, Williamson County Commissioner; Suzie HARVEY, Montgomery County Elections Administrator; ROBERT C. WALKER, Montgomery County Commissioner; CHARLIE RILEY, Montgomery County Commissioner; JAMES NOACK, Montgomery County Commissioner; JAMES METTS, Montgomery County Commissioner; J. D. JOHNSON, Tarrant County Commissioner; SUSAN FLETCHER, Collin

County Commissioner; Darrell Hale, Collin County Commissioner; Chris Hill, Collin County Judge; Cheryl Williams, Collin County Commissioner; Bruce Sherbet, Collin County Elections Administrator; Mark Keough, Montgomery County Judge; Sarah Eckhardt, Former Travis County Judge, Current State Senator D-14; B. Glen Whitley, Tarrant County Judge; Cynthia Jaqua, Comal County Elections Coordinator; Clifford Tatum,

Defendants—Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas USDC No. 4:22-CV-576

Before Smith, Haynes, and Douglas, Circuit Judges. Per Curiam:*

A large group of pro se Plaintiffs sued dozens of state and county officials in Texas challenging the use of electronic voting machines. The district court dismissed on the basis that Plaintiffs lack standing. For the reasons that follow, we AFFIRM.¹

I. Background

Over twenty pro se Plaintiffs collectively sued over sixty Defendants, all of whom are state and county officials in Texas. Plaintiffs characterize their 163-page lawsuit as "a civil rights action for declaratory and injunctive relief to prohibit the use of electronic voting equipment and systems (machines) in the State of Texas." They seek an order requiring the use of

^{*} This opinion is not designated for publication. See 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.

¹ Because we affirm the dismissal for lack of standing, we need not address Plaintiffs' additional arguments.

No. 23-10936

"hand-marked paper ballots that can be cast with anonymity . . . and hand-counted by residents of the state of Texas . . . instead of with machines."

Defendants moved to dismiss under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) and (6). The district court accepted the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of the magistrate judge to the extent the magistrate judge recommended dismissal for lack of standing under Rule 12(b)(1). But the district court sustained Plaintiffs' objection to the magistrate judge's recommendation of a dismissal with prejudice and instead dismissed the lawsuit without prejudice. Plaintiffs timely appealed.

II. Standard of Review

We review de novo the grant of a motion to dismiss, applying the same standards as the district court. *LeClerc v. Webb*, 419 F.3d 405, 413 (5th Cir. 2005). "When a motion to dismiss for lack of jurisdiction is filed in conjunction with other Rule 12 motions, [we] consider the Rule 12(b)(1) jurisdictional attack before addressing any attack on the merits." *Ramming v. United States*, 281 F.3d 158, 161 (5th Cir. 2001) (per curiam). On a Rule 12(b)(1) motion to dismiss, the party asserting jurisdiction bears the burden of proving that jurisdiction exists. *Id.*

III. Discussion

"Article III of the Constitution limits federal courts' jurisdiction to certain 'Cases' and 'Controversies.'" Clapper v. Amnesty Int'l USA, 568 U.S. 398, 408 (2013). "One element of the case-or-controversy requirement is that plaintiffs must establish that they have standing to sue." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). To establish standing, Plaintiffs "must show (i) that [they] suffered an injury in fact that is concrete, particularized, and actual or imminent; (ii) that the injury was likely caused by the defendant[s]; and (iii) that the injury would likely be redressed by judicial relief." TransUnion LLC v. Ramirez, 594 U.S. 413, 423 (2021).

No. 23-10936

Plaintiffs contend that they have individually "experienced their own unique injury as a result of the noncompliant, uncertified electronic voting equipment and systems." In a section of their second amended complaint titled "Standing," Plaintiffs list two injuries that allegedly confer standing. The first injury is "that their votes were not counted as intended and diluted." The second is that "the release of combined private and personal information to [the Department of Homeland Security] and CIS Security and their third-party partners, that appears in Texas' voter data; has been and will continue to be released."

We addressed a substantially similar pro se challenge to electronic voting systems in *Lutostanski v. Brown*, 88 F.4th 582 (5th Cir. 2023) (summarizing plaintiffs' alleged injuries as: "(A) their votes were 'illegalized' by the defendants and not counted, and (B) their personal information was unlawfully disclosed"). We held that "[n]either injury is sufficient for Article III standing." *Id.* at 586.

Like the plaintiffs in *Lutostanski*, Plaintiffs here do not allege that their votes have or will be treated differently from other votes, but that all voters across the state who use electronic voting machines are at risk of having their votes not counted as intended. *Id.* (concluding that a substantially similar alleged injury does not confer standing). Such an injury does not confer standing because a plaintiff who raises only a "generally available grievance about government—claiming only harm to his and every citizen's interest in

² Plaintiff Travis Wayne Eubanks, who filed a separate appellate brief, addresses standing only minimally. To the extent his standing arguments differ from the other Plaintiffs' standing arguments, they were neither presented to the district court nor included in the second amended complaint, so we need not consider them. *See Collins v. Dull. Leadership Found.*, 77 F.4th 327, 330 n.2 (5th Cir. 2023) ("[E]ven a pro se appellant cannot raise new theories for relief for the first time on appeal." (italics omitted)).

³ Plaintiffs do not further identify this entity.

No. 23-10936

proper application of the Constitution and laws, and seeking relief that no more directly and tangibly benefits him than it does the public at large—does not state an Article III case or controversy." Lance v. Coffman, 549 U.S. 437, 439 (2007) (per curiam) (quotation omitted). Nor does Plaintiffs' allegation that the electronic voting machines at issue are illegal. See id. at 442 (stating that broad allegations that the law "has not been followed" are "precisely the kind of undifferentiated, generalized grievance about the conduct of the government that we have refused to countenance in the past"); Lutostanski, 88 F.4th at 586 (quoting same).

Plaintiffs' second theory of standing, which stems from the alleged disclosure of their personal information, fares no better. The "Standing" section of their second amended complaint states:

Plaintiffs have information and belief that the release of combined private and personal information to [the Department of Homeland Security] and CIS Security and their third-party partners, that appears in Texas' voter data; has been and will continue to be released. Exposing Plaintiffs to intimidation or harassment for merely exercising their right to vote, and will cause apprehension in their exercise of First Amendment rights including the right to vote and freedom of association. Plaintiffs believe that the release of their private and personal combination of information make them easy to identify and thus susceptible to harassment.

Like Plaintiffs' first alleged injury, this alleged injury constitutes an "undifferentiated, generalized grievance" that is not particular to them. See Lance, 549 U.S. at 442. It is also too "speculative" to provide a basis for standing. See Clapper, 568 U.S. at 409; cf. Lutostanski, 88 F.4th 587. The Supreme Court has "repeatedly reiterated that threatened injury must be certainly impending to constitute injury in fact, and that allegations of possible

No. 23-10936

future injury are not sufficient." *Clapper*, 568 U.S. at 409 (internal quotation marks, citation, and alteration omitted).

Finally, Plaintiffs argue that because several Plaintiffs ran for office and one is currently holding office, they have standing on that basis. The district court rejected this theory of standing because allegations of candidate-specific injuries "appear[] nowhere" in Plaintiffs' second amended complaint. On appeal, Plaintiffs do not explain how the district court erred on this point, cite to allegations in their second amended complaint to refute the district court's conclusion, or direct us to any relevant caselaw to support their position. We therefore hold that Plaintiffs have forfeited this argument by failing to adequately brief it. See Rollins v. Home Depot USA, 8 F.4th 393, 397 n.1 (5th Cir. 2021) (explaining the numerous ways a party can forfeit an argument by failing to adequately brief it, including "failure to address the district court's analysis and explain how it erred," "failure to offer record citations," and "failure to offer any supporting argument or citation to authority" (internal quotation marks and citations omitted)); see also Hotze v. Hudspeth, 16 F.4th 1121, 1124 (5th Cir. 2021) (holding plaintiffs forfeited candidate-standing argument by failing to meaningfully brief it).4

⁴ "Although we liberally construe briefs of pro se litigants and apply less stringent standards to parties proceeding pro se than to parties represented by counsel, pro se parties must still brief the issues and reasonably comply with the standards of [Federal] Rule [of Appellate Procedure] 28." *Grant v. Ceullar*, 59 F.3d 523, 524 (5th Cir. 1995) (per curiam).

No. 23-10936

IV. Conclusion

In sum, Plaintiffs have failed to demonstrate standing. Accordingly, we AFFIRM the district court's order dismissing the lawsuit without prejudice.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

No. TBD

Tommie Dickinson et al., Petitioners,

Jane Nelson, Texas Secretary of State et al., Respondents.

STATE OF MASSACHUSETTS) COUNTY OF NORFOLK

) SS.: Being duly sworn, I depose and say under penalty of perjury:

- 1. That I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to this action. I am an employee of the Supreme Court Press, the preparer of the document, with mailing address at 1089 Commonwealth Avenue, Suite 283, Boston, MA 02215.
- 2. On the undersigned date, I served the parties in the above captioned matter with the TOMMIE DICKINSON ET AL. APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION, by mailing one (1) true and correct copy of the same by USPS Priority mail, prepaid for delivery to the following addresses which Counsel of Record avers covers all parties required to be served.

Bickerstaff, Heath, Delgado & Acosta, L.L.P. 3711 S. Mopac Expressway Suite 300, Building 1 Austin, TX 78746 (512) 472-8021

bheath@bickerstaff.com Counsel for Valerie Covey; Terry Cook; Cynthia Long; Bill Gravell; Christopher

Davis; and Ross Boles

Robert William Piatt, III District Attorney's Office for the County of Bexar 101 W. Nueva Street 7th Floor

San Antonio, TX 78205

(210) 335-0785

robert.piatt@bexar.org

Counsel for Rebeca Clay-flores; Nelson Wolff;

Marialyn Barnard; Justin Rodriguez; Tommy

Calvert; and Jacquelyn Callanen

Natalie G. Barnett

Parker County Attorney's Office

101 N. Main Street

Weatherford, TX 76086

(817) 594-8414

natalie.barnett@parkercountytx.com

Counsel for Craig Peacock; Steve Dugan; George Conley; Pat Deen; Crickett Miller; and Larry Walden

Daniel Dale Plake

County Attorney's Office

for the County of Montgomery

501 N. Thompson Street

Suite 300

Conroe, TX 77301

(936) 539-7828

daniel.plake@mctx.org

Counsel for Charlie Riley; Suzie Harvey;

Mark Keough; James Metts; James

Noack; and Robert C. Walker

{ See additional addresses on the following page }

Lucas DeDeus

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

No. TBD

Tommie Dickinson et al., Petitioners,

v.

Jane Nelson, Texas Secretary of State et al., Respondents.

{ Continued from Previous Page }

Tiffany Bingham
Harris County Attorney's Office
1019 Congress Street
15th Floor
Houston, TX 77002
(713) 274-5132
tiffany.bingham@harriscountytx.gov
Counsel for Clifford Tatum; Lina
Hidalgo; Isabel Longoria; R. Jack Cagle;
Tom S. Ramsey; Adrian Garcia; and
Rodney Ellis

Robert Jacob Davis
Matthews, Shiels, Knott, Eden, Davis & Beanland, L.L.P.
8131 Lyndon B. Johnson Freeway
Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75251
(972) 234-3400
bdavis@mssattorneys.com
Counsel for Duncan Webb; Chris Hill;
Darrell Hale; Susan Fletcher; Bruce
Sherbet; and Cheryl Williams

Anthony J. Nelson
County Attorney's Office
for the County of Travis
P.O. Box 1748
Austin, TX 78767
(512) 854-9513
tony.nelson@traviscountytx.gov
Counsel for Rebecca Guerrero; Andrew
Steven Brown; and Dana Debeauvoir

Stephen Andrew Lund
Tarrant County Criminal District Attorney
401 W. Belknap
9th Floor
Fort Worth, TX 76196
(817) 884-1233
Counsel for Gary Fickes; J. D. Johnson; B.
Glen Whitley; Roy Charles Brooks; Heider
Garcia; and Devan Allen

Heather Lee Dyer
Office of the Attorney General
Special Litigation Division
P.O. Box 12548 (MC-019)
Austin, TX 78711
(512) 936-1162
heather.dyer@oag.texas.gov
Counsel for Ruth R. Hughs; Jane Nelson;
John B. Scott; Jose "joe" A. Esparza; and
Keith Ingram

Matthew Jacob Shovlin
District Attorney's Office
for the County of Denton
1450 E. McKinney Street
Suite 3100
Denton, TX 76209
(940) 349-2717
matt.shovlin@dentoncounty.gov
Counsel for Ryan Williams; Andy Eads; Ron
Marchant; Frank Phillips; Bobbie J.
Mitchell; and Dianne Edmondson

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

No. TBD

Tommie Dickinson et al., Petitioners,

v.

Jane Nelson, Texas Secretary of State et al., Respondents.

{ Continued from Previous Page }

Michael A. Shaunessy
McGinnis Lochridge, L.L.P.
1111 W. 6th Street
Building B
Austin, TX 78703
(512) 495-6061
mshaunessy@mcginnislaw.com
Counsel for Lon Shell; Debbie Ingalsbe;
Walt Smith; Mark Jones; Cynthia
Jaqua; Ruben Becerra; Jennifer Doinoff;
and Bobbie Koepp

Matthew Alexander Mills
Hood County Attorney's Office
1200 Pearl Street
Granbury, TX 76048
(817) 579-3218
Counsel for Michele Carew, Elections
Administrator of Hood County and Ron
Massingill, Hood County Judge and Head of
the Hood County Elections Commission