

No. 24-242

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

IN RE: PETER K. STERN, EX PARTE,

Petitioner.

**ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND
WRIT OF PROHIBITION TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT**

PETITION FOR REHEARING

PETER K. STERN
Pro Se
P.O. Box 326
Franklin, NC 28744
(828) 349-3007
pkstern@dnet.net

120051



COUNSEL PRESS

(800) 274-3321 • (800) 359-6859

RECEIVED
OCT 24 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ARGUMENT

The Petitioner respectfully now moves the Court pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 21 for a REHEARING based on the following grounds;

The Petitioner filed this action on July 24, 2024 and the action was docketed on September 4, 2024.

The Solicitor General of the United States was properly and timely served on August 28, 2024.

On September 11, 2024, the Solicitor General of the United States declared that “The Government hereby waives its right to file a response to the petition in this case . . .”

This motion is not premature since the Government has received timely notice, has not objected, and declined to contest the Petitioner’s allegations which are now deemed admitted and conclusory.

There being no genuine material facts nor questions of Law in dispute, and since no controversy exists, the Petitioner asserts the claim that he is entitled to a Judgment in his favor and the Petitioner does not believe that the Supreme Court really intends to be on the record that by denying his Petition, they are ratifying and condoning the clear, egregious and unmistakable Constitutional and fundamental structural errors and obvious miscarriage of Justice complained of in the Petition.

anyone in the absence of Congressionally granted subject matter jurisdiction and in the absence of statutory Criminal Law, knowingly allow fraud, judicial tyranny and prosecutorial misconduct supposedly barred by the Canons of Ethics to saturate judicial proceedings while denying those negatively affected by that misconduct any remedy or relief.

It also tells the public that “Justice and Fair Play” for the common man is a mere illusion and propaganda.

With all due respect, the Justices are reminded that when they took their respective Oath of Office and began receiving their paychecks funded by the American taxpayer, they assumed the character of a fiduciary trustee owing a material obligation and duty to the American people to do due diligence, act in good faith and perform with loyalty to the Constitution and Law impartially under Canons #1, #2 and #3, which does not appear to be the case in this action where the Justices appear to breach their duties, deliberately ignore the overwhelming indisputable ultimate facts and Law in the Petitioner’s favor, thereby deceiving the public with respect to the obviously misplaced perception of honesty, fairness and integrity of the System of Justice.

Does this Court want to be seen as covering up the obvious misconduct of the lower courts’ judges? As has been all too often stated, it is the cover up which is worse than the wrongful acts the cover-up attempts to hide.

Is this the impression the Supreme Court wants to make in the eyes of the public?

CERTIFICATE OF GOOD FAITH

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, Petitioner certifies that the Petition is restricted to the grounds specified in the Rule with substantial grounds not previously presented. Petitioner certifies that this Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay.

Respectfully submitted,



PETER K. STERN
Pro Se
P.O. Box 326
Franklin, NC 28744
(828) 349-3007
pkstern@dnet.net

October 22, 2024

