


In The
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED
STATES

IN RE: PALANI KARUPAIYAN, Petitioner

On Petition for **Writ of Mandamus**,
Prohibition or alternative to
United States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit (Dkt 24-1067)

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Palani Karupaiyan.
Pro se, Petitioner,
566 Coney Island Ave
Brooklyn, NY 11218
212-470-2048(M)



I. TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents

I. Table of Contents	i
II. Table of Authorities.....	ii
III. Pro se Standards	1
IV. Petition for Rehearing.....	1
V. Reasons For Granting Rehearing	1
VI. Rehearing granting standard	3
VII. Conclusion	3
VIII. Appendix – A: US Supreme Court denying Petition for Mandamus Oct 21, 2024	

II. TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<u><i>Boumediene v. Bush</i></u> , 551 US 1160 -	
Supreme Court 2007	3
<u><i>Conner v. Simler</i></u> , 367 US 486. Sup.Ct(1961)	2
<u><i>Erickson v. Pardus</i></u> , 551 US 89 - Supreme	
Court 2007.....	1
<u><i>Estelle v. Gamble</i></u> , 429 U.S. 97, 106, 97	
S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d 251 (1976).....	1
<u><i>Federal Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki</i></u> , 552 US	
389 - Supreme Court 2008	1
<u><i>Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. v. Sebelius</i></u> , 568 US	
1401 - Supreme Court 2012	2

Statutes

<u>28 U. S. C. § 1651</u>	2
<u>All Writs Act</u>	2

III. PRO SE STANDARDS

Because of Petitioner is pro se, Petitioner prays this Court for his pleadings are to be "*liberally construed*". Federal Exp. Corp. v. Holowecki, 552 US 389 - Supreme Court 2008 at 1158, pro se litigants are held to a lesser pleading standard than other parties. See Estelle v. Gamble, 429 U.S. 97, 106, 97 S.Ct. 285, 50 L.Ed.2d 251 (1976) (Pro se pleadings are to be "*liberally construed*")

Erickson v. Pardus, 551 US 89 - Supreme Court 2007 @ 2200

A **document** filed pro se is "to be liberally construed," Estelle, 429 U.S., at 106, 97 S.Ct. 285, and "a pro se complaint, however inartfully pleaded, must be held to less stringent standards than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers.

IV. PETITION FOR REHEARING

Pursuant to Rule 44.2, Petitioner(s) Palani Karupaiyan respectfully Petition for Rehearing of the Court's order denying Petition for Mandamus or Prohibition. The PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS OR PROHIBITION is denied on **Oct 21 2024**, (Appendix-A)

V. REASONS FOR GRANTING REHEARING

On Apr 9, 2024, this Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition was filed..

On Apr 9 2024, this court order the Defendants/Respondent(s) to file Response by Sep 26 2024.

United States Solicitor General filed Waiver on Sep 26 2024 and did not give a copy of US waiver to this Petition at changed address of Petitioner.

Attorney General of New Jersey failed to file New Jersey's either response or waiver on Sep 26 2024.

On Oct 14 2024, New Jersey's attorney General filled NJ's waiver and failed to provide a copy of waiver to the petitioner at change of address

In this case, US solicitor general and New Jersey attorney general did violation of Court order.

In Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc. v. Sebelius, 568.U.S.1401 – S.Ct 2012@643

The only source of authority for this Court to issue an injunction is the All Writs Act, 28 USC §1651(a) and Following a final judgment, they [Petitioner] may, if necessary, file a petition for a writ of certiorari in this Court.

In Hohn v. United States, 524.U.S.236–S.Ct 1998@264 (“We can issue a common-law writ of certiorari under the All Writs Act, 28 USC§1651)

For any and all reasons stated above, Petitioner prays this court for Petition for rehearing to be granted and ordered US solicitor General and New Jersey Attorney General to file their response to Petitioner's

Petition for Mandamus or Prohibition or alternative.

VI. REHEARING GRANTING

STANDARD


In *Conner v. Simler*, 367 US 486. Sup. Ct (1961), Certiorari was originally denied, 365 US 844 (1961), in which on rehearing, that order was vacated and Certiorari granted; the case was then decided on the merits. Same in *Boumediene v. Bush*, 551 US 1160 - Supreme Court 2007.

VII. CONCLUSION

Palani Karupaiyan respectfully pray this Honorable Court grant this petition for rehearing and grant his Petition for a Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition. Vacate the denial order Oct 21, 2024.

And order the US solicitor general and New Jersey attorney General to file their response to Petition for writ of Mandamus, Prohibition or alternative

Respectfully submitted.



Palani Karupaiyan

Oct 23 2024 3

VIII. APPENDIX – A: US SUPREME COURT DENYING PETITION FOR MANDAMUS OCT 21, 2024

No. 24-209

Title: In Re Palani Karupaiyan, Petitioner

Docketed: August 27, 2024

Lower Ct:

Date Proceedings and Orders

Aug 09 2024 Petition for a writ of mandamus and/or prohibition filed. (Response due September 26, 2024)

Appendix ,Petition, Certificate of Word Count, Proof of Service

Sep 26 2024 Waiver of right of respondent United States to respond filed.

Main Document

Oct 02 2024 DISTRIBUTED for Conference of 10/18/2024.

Oct 14 2024 Waiver of right of respondent State of New Jersey to respond filed.

Main Document

Oct 21 2024 Petition DENIED.

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
------	---------	-------

Attorneys for Petitioner

Palani (212) 470-2048

Karupaiyan 1326 W. William St.
Philadelphia, PA 19132

Party name: Palani Karupaiyan

Attorneys for Respondent

Patrick L. O'Hara

Counsel of Record

New Jersey Office of the
Attorney General
25 Market Street
Trenton, NJ 08611

patrick.ohara@law.njoag.gov 609-376-2474

Party name: State of New Jersey

Elizabeth B. Prelogar

Counsel of Record

Solicitor General

United States Department of Justice

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20530-0001

SUPREMECTBRIEFS@USDOJ.GOV 202-514-2217

Party name: United States

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE
UNITED STATES

In Re: PALANI KARUPAIYAN


Certificate Of Good Faith and font
& words count

The Petitioner hereby certify that the grounds are limited to intervening circumstances of substantial or controlling effect or to other substantial grounds not previously presented.

The Petitioners, we believe this petition for rehearing to be meritorious and hereby certify that this petition is presented in good faith and not for purpose of delay.

The Petition for rehearing was prepared with Word 2013, Century schoolbook font 14 and contains words count 550 approx.

Respectfully Submitted



Palani Karupaiyan. Oct 23 2024.

In re:Palani Karupaiyan, petitioner	In the United States Supreme Court 24-209
-------------------------------------	---

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Palani Karupaiyan, do swear or declare that on this date,

Oct 24, 2024 XXXX, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed

Copy of PETITION FOR Rehearing

on each party to the above proceeding or

that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.


The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

Party(s)	Served attorney or Parties address
Solicitor General Of United States	SOLICITOR GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE 950 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW WASHINGTON DC 20530 Emailed copy at SupremeCtBriefs@USDOJ.gov
State of New Jersey	MATTHEW J. PLATKIN (in Dist court record) ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY PO BOX 45029 124 HALSEY STREET NEWARK NJ 07101-8029 Email - NJAG.ElectronicService.CivilMatters@law.njoag.gov
Union of India	India's embassy 2107 Massachusetts Ave NW, Washington, DC 20008 Email: psamb.washington@mea.gov.in
Twp of Woodbridge, NJ	These Woodbridge defendants did not appear in Lower courts.
Officer Gandhi(5038)	Woodbridge township
Police Dept of Woodbridge	1 Main Street Woodbridge, NJ 07095 john.mitch@twp.woodbridge.nj.us
Kullar Construction	

Kullar Joe	Kullar Construction and Kullar Joe, 33 Silzer Ave, Iselin, NJ
Amsad Syed	Amsad Syed 42 Bedle Rd , Hazlet NJ 07730 Email: amzadali16@gmail.com

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on ,Oct 24 2024



Palani Karupaiyan