

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

JUN - 6 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 24-136

In the
Supreme Court of the United States

ESHED ALSTON,

Petitioner,

v.

KENT COUNTY LEVY COURT ET AL.,
DOVER DE, SCOTT WILCOX,
Respondents.

*On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the
U.S. Court of Appeal for the Third Circuit*

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

EShed Alston, *pro se*
406 Arnold Court
Generals Greene
Dover, Delaware 19901
(302) 264-9568
star777prophet@gmail.com

RECEIVED
AUG - 7 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

USAF Vet Christian Prophet Shaman in reality of the **King of Spirits Most High GOD**. As is real US Constitution's First Amendment Proclamation relied upon (**Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof**) Relevantly uniquely American **Creed in GOD WE TRUST** context. Reader are not judicial oaths of office over a Christian Bible. Are not **2024** events the very same racist prohibited First Amendment violations. Complained of as real denial of exercise of Religion expression freedom before US Supreme Court raising the same issues of violations of these federal Statutes. Predicated on anti-Christian racism are occurring as a matter of fact again **Q-1** Was it not unlawful violations of applications of invoked Statutory laws 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/241/242** provisions. For Merit Panel of Krause Matey and Chung to dismiss a validated uncontested witness observations pending discovery of Statutory law violations by the clerk and others. Concealed pending sought discovery was briefed to the panel. Is it not true US District Court judge Stark knowingly created unlawful color of law 2020 precedent violating provisions of 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/241/242** victim informant and witness protection from abuse true intent. Of inherent penalties for informing witnesses victimizations **Q-2** Was not material evidence of fraud timely presented to the biased panel preserved in 40 uncontested memorandum. Of record preservation known to be documenting incorporated Statutory law violations. Did not the panels biased lack of due diligence negate Petitioner due required due process rights **Q-3**. Was

not comprehensive contemporaneous material evidence ignored seeking this highest federal court's attention. Regarding sought unmet pending Brady Rule discovery requirements. Were not US District court Judges Stark and Williams and subsequent Third Circuit panel decision reversible error. Based on meaningful willful perversion by corrupt judges of Statutory laws witness protections. Against color of law fraud abuses by them violating invoked incorporated operations therein requiring inherent federal penalties. **Q-4** Are not material facts uncontested by appellees or Scott Wilcox or either of the two complicit law firm. Are these not now materially uncontestable actionable violations of 18 USC Sec **241,242,1001,1512,1513,1341** and **2071** invoked provisions. Victim witness informant protections laws regardless of inherent racism **Q-5** Did not Petitioner thoroughly extensively document unconstitutional implausible US Court of Appeal Third Circuit voided **PERSONS RIGHTS** court and judge imposed disability to testify on own behalf as victimized witness. Was not petitioner placed again in US Court of Appeals unlawful conundrum of unheard relevant 18 USC Sec **241/242/1001/1512/1513/2071** witness victimization evidence under these laws voided provisions. **Q-6.** Was not compelling proof of fraud provided to the panel inherent in Appellant's 13 Memorandum of record contents preserved therein Chagares **11/28/2023** order. Documenting fraudulent conclusions drawn by the panel that did not and do not conform to the evidence or invoked Statutory provisions. **Q-7** Was there not premeditated biased abject refusal by this panel to consider the abundance of contemporaneous uncontested evidence of Scott

Wilcox fraud in the order of **11/28/2023 APP G-7 Q-8**. Was not pending factual credible unanswered discovery questions timely raised pursuant to Rule 37 provisions. Was not Wilcox **Failure to make disclosures or to cooperate in posed discovery**. Actionable violations requiring dismissal of offending appellees and Scott Wilcox. **Q-9** Did enabling Clerk Dodszuweit adhere to appropriate standards upon receipt of Wilcox **defective rejected reply** by filing it in secret acted wrongfully **Q-10**. Did not Appellant in preservation of record documents objections. Contesting credible evidence of Wilcox defective **reply**. Of obstructed court disability to testify in any oral argument or pending hearing on own behalf. Before this court or any federal court over course of 43 years are of lost **Whistleblower** opportunities **Q-11**. Did not Appellant in obstructed witness status observations expose **R.I.C.O.** Criminal enterprise corruption patterns concerns **APP F-6 and J-10 Q-12** Was not Scott Wilcox affirmation by the panel meaningfully unlawful while Wilcox was in two known contested LAR defaults. Resulted in significant Appellant victimizations demonstrative of US Constitutional Eighth Amendment violations. Consistently **CRUEL and USUAL** rather than **cruel and unusual when institutional racism is factored in nevertheless are violation of**. Petitioners Rights of protection from persistent **Cruel and unusual punishment is in** 6 year to date continuing pattern dating back to. See filing of ***EShed ALSTON v. Delaware District Court Judge Stark*** U.S. District Court 2019 CA NO.19-0483 (MN) **Demand for JURY_TRIAL**. **Q-13** Does not employing scientific methodology of objective

proof requires pattern of repeatability. As in law science uses hypothetical as explanation of foreseeable results. Is not repeatability absolutely demonstrative of the reality of continuing patterns of corruption **requiring supervisory authority ability of the US Supreme Court. Q-14.** Was it not noted from US Supreme Court filing instructions “**by means of a writ of certiorari is not a matter of RIGHT, but of judicial discretion.**” Unbiased judicial discretion absolutely includes ACCESS as a US Constitutional and procedural **RIGHT.** “**The primary concern of the Supreme Court is not to correct errors in lower court decisions, but to decide cases presenting issues of importance beyond the particular facts and parties involved.**” This important noteworthy case representing real issues of social importance far. Beyond this particular case involving federal officials color of law abuses of authority. Given “**the court grants and hears argument in only 1% of the cases that are filed each Term.**” June 20 2018 documented in US Supreme Court Docket # 18-785 again regards objectively reasonable Questions again Presented. Of repeated pattern of unabated misconduct of willful deliberate. Criminal miss spelling of Petitioners legal Prophetic Christian name as grounds for review. As protected First Amendment rights of Religious expression freedom of uniquely Prophetic Christian identity. Are these not actionable violations of invoked Statutory law provisions in criminal omissions of the panel **Q-15.** Is it not uncontested Scott Wilcox was both agent of Moore and Rutt and law firm noted in Exhibit 12 and Memorandum of Record #37. And does not contest the

uncontested record of Appellants filed objections exposing and opposing Wilcox's misconducts prior to any 18 USC Sec 2071 Rule 60 surprise concealed filing. Officially contesting inability to testify is objected to as a gifted Child of the Most High **GOD KING** of SPIRITS. **Q-16** Was not preserved evidence of patterns of frauds accomplished in 40 uncontested memorandums of record. In public interest imperative to be exposed as material proof of cover up as such **Q-17**. Did not Petitioner produce compelling incontrovertible showing. Beyond any reasonable doubt of criminality of federal court judges and Clerks. That caused real harm preserved in relevant Questions antecedently raised and herein seeking emergency judicial review as **Rule 11** notice provided in U.S. Supreme Court provisions. Referenced invoked **Rule 11** relied upon as guidance states [“(A PETITION for a writ of certiorari to review) (a case PENDING in a United States court of Appeal before judgment) (is entered in that court,) (will be granted only upon a showing) (that the case is of such imperative public importance to justify deviation) (from the normal appellate practice and to require immediate determination) Petitioner inform this court of experiencing antecedent reality. Of emergency critical crisis Whistleblower circumstances needs regarding legal status standing and capacity Statutorily as a real PERSON and CITIZEN. Were not factual crisis circumstances deprivations of sought access to federal judge of this court. Denial while in elder abuses emergency crisis circumstances **Q-18**. BIDEN Law suit of 3/17/2022 regards 2021 fraud complaint by Plaintiffs, Henry Alston and Miller Judge Stark was assigned.

Defendants Democratic Republic of the United States of America **and its 46th Commander and Chief JOE BIDEN et al.** Delaware one of the true last American slave States factually to in **1901 to ratify 13th Amendment abolishing slavery.** Is this not fraud by premeditated misrepresentation of material fact willful actionable political pandering to the Black community in particular on vice President Harrises behalf. This materially fake so call federal holiday is to be repealed because Biden complicit US DOJ absolutely unquestionably knew of **1901 Delaware slavery** history perpetrated deceptions **Q-19** Late great Honorable Reverand Doctor Martin Luther king Junior said “the arc of the moral universe is LONG but it bends toward justice.” To the Supreme court of the United States as a collective body injustices before all of you requires this courts immediate attention. Because both morality and justice demands it.**Q-20**

LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

EShed and Pamela Alston Plaintiffs v. Kent County Levy court et al. Defendants., Scott Wilcox counsel of record between 2021 and 2023 **antecedently** agent of record for Moore and Rutt PA law firm located at 122 W. Market P.O. Box 544 Georgetown DE. 19947 and or Moore and or Rutt 1007 Orange Street suite 446 Wilmington DE. 19801 Scott Wilcox advising counsel of record agent of Moore and Rutt PA law firm during filing of four counter JP court 7 losses during 2020-2023 subsequently 2023 agent of record for Giordano Delcoll Werb and Gagne LLC 5315 Limestone Road Wilmington DE 19808 **subsequently**. US District Court Judges Robinson, Stark, Noreika and Williams. US Court of Appeal third circuit Chief Justice Chagares, and judges Bibas Greenaway Krause Matey Chung and McKee noted color of law violation invoked operations of applications of 18 USC Sec 242/241/1001/1512/1513 and 2071 provisions include federal clerk Dodszuweits and implicated complicit case manager timothy

SIGNIFICANT RELATED PROCEEDINGSAND CASES

CA NO. 2009008920 Arraignment charging party State of Delaware CEC Silvagni and Kent County Levy court et al v. EShed Alston Defendant, Scott Wilcox counsel of record advising filing of 4 same subject matter counter Criminal claims on all 4 antecedent prior preexisting US District court Civil Alston claims. Subsequently criminal Defendant/Appellant and herein Petitioner was arraigned without merit. In terms of initial 4 US

District court claims made before judge Stark. All four claim's charges were contested by self-represented criminal Defendant Alston. In writing memorandums of record and in verbal counter to CEC Silvagni in oral argument of Scott Wilcox Moore and Rutt law firms advised false counter claims. Defendant Alston contested criminal charges claims made against him and his wife Pamela Alston in Kent County Delaware Justice of the Peace Court of the State of Delaware #7. Whereas ultimately at trial all 4 meritless same subject matter Wilcox criminal counter claims made on. Wilcox's advice and counsel were all 4 dismissed.

CA 15-1112-(SLR) EShed Alston . plaintiff v. The Administrative offices of the Delaware courts, Superior court and supreme court of Delaware, court on the judiciary, State Dept. of Justice, UNITED STATES BANKRUPCY court for the District of Delaware, State Human Relations Commission and judge Vaughn Seventh Amendment Jury Trial Demanded

CA NO. 18-18-1743 (LPS) Plaintiff EShed Alston v. Verizon LLC (Del. Civ. Cv. 006520 Seventh Amendment Jury trail Demanded

CA. NO. 19-483 (MN) Plaintiff EShed Alston v. Defendant Delaware District Court Judge Stark Seventh Amendment Jury Trial Demanded

CA. NO. 19-978 (LPS) Plaintiff EShed Alston Office of Circuit Executive, United States Third Circuit Margette Wiegand et al., Seventh Amendment Jury Trial Demanded

CA NO. 21-681 (LPS) Plaintiffs Chris Miller, EShed Alston, Ronnier Henry v. Defendant The Democratic

Republic of the United States of America and its 46th Commander and Chief Joe Biden et al. seventh Amendment Jury trial demanded

CA. NO. : 20-00828 (LPS) Plaintiff EShed Alston v. Defendant Draper holding business trust and draper media, WBOC Inc., Broadcasting and FOX CBS and NBC affiliates and of steve Hammond and Tom Lehman and additionally WMDT of ABC Marquee Broadcasting at 2020 Seventh Amendment Jury Trial Demanded

CA NO. 1:21-00742(LPS) Plaintiff EShed Alston v. Kathleen Jennings State of Delaware Attorney General Seventh Amendment Jury Trial Demanded

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|-----|
| QUESTION PRESENTED..... | i |
| LIST OF PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING..... | vii |
| SIGNIFICANT RELATED PROCEEDINGS AND CASES | vii |
| TABLE OF AUTHORITIES | xi |
| OPINIONS BELOW | 1 |
| JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT | 2 |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED | 2 |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE..... | 2 |
| REASON FOR GRANTING THE PETITION..... | 12 |
| CONCLUSION..... | 35 |
| APPENDIX | 1a |

TABLES OF AUTHORITIES

KING OF SPIRITS

Most High GOD 8, 13, 27, 28, 38

CASES

18-785 *EShed Alston v. Administrative office of the courts et al.* 10

2020 *EShed Alston v. Verizon* 9, 27, 33

EShed Alston v. Delaware District Court, Judge stark
2019 CA NO. 19-0483(MN) iii

EShed Alston, Ronnier Henry, Chris Miller
v. Democratic Republic of the United States
of America and its 46th commander and Chief
Joe Biden et al. viii

US CONSTITUTION

US CONST. Amend. 1 2, 19

US CONST. Amend. 8 2, 10

US CONST. Amend. 13 2

US CONST. Amend. 14 2, 12

FEDERAL STATUTES

R.I.C.O. Racketeering Influence
Corrupt Organization 12, 15, 18, 23, 27

18 U.S.C. Sec. 241 2-4, 7, 10-17, 20, 24, 28, 30, 32

18 U.S.C. Sec. 242 2-4, 7, 9-16, 17, 21, 24, 26, 28,
30, 32, 34, 35, 36

18 U.S.C. Sec. 1001 2-4, 7, 8, 9

| | |
|---|---|
| 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1341 Mail fraud | 2-4, 7, 10 |
| 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1512 | 2, 7-10, 12-17, 20, 24, 26, 30-32, 34-36 |
| 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1513 | 2, 7, 8, 10, 12-17, 20, 24, 30-32, 35 |
| 18 U.S.C. Sec. 2071 | 2-4, 7-10, 13, 14, 17, 18, 21, 22, 27, 30, 31, 35-37 |
| 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1257(a) | 3 |
| 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1981 | 2, 11, 13, 15, 17, 26, 27, 36 |
| 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1983 | 2, 11, 15, 17, 34, 36 |
| 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1985 | 2, 11, 17 |
| 45 U.S.C. Sec. 1986 | 2, 11, 17 |
| 42 U.S.C. Sec. 1988 | 11 |
| Civil Rights Act of 1964..... | 11, 15 |
| FDCPA Fair Debt Collections Practices Act..... | 27, 33 |
| Freedom of information act FOIA..... | 11 |
| 1860 Delaware Slave Codes..... | 11, 28 |
| RULES | |
| United States Supreme court Pro. Rule 11..... | 12 |
| LAR Local Appellate Rules..... | 3-8, 23, 25, 33, 34 |
| 2010 Christian Religion oriented name change by court order..... | 27, 38 |

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment(s) below.

OPINIONS BELOW

Opinion of US Court of Appeals Third Circuit Krause Matey and Chung appears at Appendix C-3 to Petition to my knowledge unpublished dated **2/2/2024**

Opinion of US Court of Appeals Third Circuit rehearing denial notice appears at Appendix D-4 to Petition to my knowledge unpublished dated **3/20/2024**

First orders of US Court of Appeal Third Circuit Chief Justice Chagares **7/27/2023** and Clerk Dodzuweit appears at Appendix E-5

Second order of US Court of Appeal Third Circuit Chief Justice Chagares **10/6/2023** and Clerk Dodzuweit appears at Appendix F-6

Third order of US Court of Appeal Third Circuit Chief Justice Chagares **11/28/2023** and Clerk Dodzuweit appears at Appendix G-7

Incorporated reference made of arraignment RELATED CASES CA No. 200900820 EShed Alston 2020 dismissal of 4 Scott Wilcox initiated losing counter criminal charges by court order

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

Jurisdiction of this United States Supreme Court is invoked pursuant to provision of USC Sec 1257(a) and for this courts consideration of invoked application of the operations of provisions of us bill of rights us constitution first 8th 13th and 14 Amendments .

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

US Constitution 1st, 8th, 13th,and 14th Amend. Invoked and of pursuant to Statutes provisions of 18 USC Sec 241,242,1001,1512,1513,1341,2071 and including 42 USC Sec 1981,1983,1985,1986

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Unlawfully despised Christian American Lenape Shaman Prophet 18 USC Sec 241/242/1001/2071 1341/1512/1513 victimized PERSON. Deprived unconstitutionally of 14th Amendment first PERSON Statutory ability complaint to testify on own behalf. Informant witness or Whistleblower between 2018 and 2024. Criminally obstructed testimony by US Court of Appeal Third Circuit panel of Krause Matey Chung and Chief Justice Chagares and his willfully dishonorable Clerk Dodszuweits. In collusion with Scott Wilcox appellees anti-Christian racist attorney of record. Aided and abetted in criminal violations of hereinabove invoked Statutory law's witness victim informant protections. From retaliation and reprisals provisions, unlawfully circumvented by US Court of Appeal Third Circuit. Under color of law deceptions includes US Court of Appeal in willful commission of judicial fraud. Petitioners current plausible relevant objectively

reasonable complaint is **Argument 1**. Possessing credible uncontested case claims made herein. Of material evidence documentation of continual pattern of willful intent to defraud appellant on Scott Wilcox twice LAR defaulted behalf. Petitioner's compelling facts that incorporates and utilizes three court orders originating with Chief Justice Chagares. Noted as incontrovertible proof provided by these corrupt court orders contested contents preserved in 40 uncontested memorandums of record. That discredits the totality of the corrupt US Court of Appeal Third Circuit panel decision. As unlawful anti-Christian willful intentional denial of due process as a premeditated commission of fraud to defraud. Is **Argument 2** Deliberate scheme exposed Rule 60 deceptions. Documented unheard material uncontestable evidence of criminal collusion commission of complicit clerk in premeditated 18 USC Sec **242/241/2071/1001/1341** concealment crimes committed in reprisals and collusion is. **Argument 3** Three referenced Chagares orders are meaningfully fraudulent starting with **7/27/2023, 10/6/2023** and **11/28/2023** regarding 2024 events. Raising legitimate issues for a second time of this clerk's criminally concealed achievements without consequences. Described misconducts as such in **Question Presented Section Q-1-19** is. **Argument 4** herein exposing meaningful significant violations of judicial employee code of conduct terms regarding serious violations illegally of a **PERSON under color of law deceptions to defraud Petitioner**. Of both Native American and Amer-African culture. Considerate of obstructed Constitutionally protected guarantees is. **Argument 5** Review of Appendix E-App 5 Notes

initial filing regarding LAR court imposed **7/27/2023** 14 days timeframe. For timely compliance outlined in the Clerks notice given to both. Because required conditions had not been meet timely by Wilcox **8/10/2023** Appellant's dismissal was unlawful circumstantially fraudulent abuses of authority is. **Argument 6** It is Chagares fraudulent second Appendix F-App 6 order of **10/6/2023** that was criminally fabricated conspiracy and. Circumstantial collusion between Wilcox Chagares and Dodszuweit. The court acting as pivotal participants in Wilcox's collusion. As willing advocates accomplices, in fraud subsequent to Wilcox's **8/10/2023** first default is. **Argument 6** the **10/6/2023** order is material of US Postal Service proven **Mail fraud** evidence. Valid examples of commission of conspiracy to commit federal 1341 **Mail fraud** by Wilcox Chagares and Dodszuweit in collusion to defraud Petitioner. Very serious actionable violations of **18 USC Sec 2071, 1001, and 1341** provisions noted is. **Argument 7** It is unabated continual **criminal intent** of the corrupt subject matter. Of all three fraudulent orders referenced noted in **collusion especially of 11/28/2023**. So important in understanding criminal dynamics of these three orders it is presented herein both **2024 Statement of the case**. And **Reason to grant the Petition**. Chief Justice Chagares incriminating deceptive words used capitalized [IT IS NOTED THAT ATTORNEY SCOTT WILCOX FILED AN ENTRY OF APPEARANCE FORM ON AUGUST 17 2023. THE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ATTACHED TO THE FORM, HOWEVER, INDICATED THAT HE WAS FILING A REPLY BRIEF. WITHIN SEVEN (7) DAYS OF THE DATE

OF THIS ORDER, COUNSEL MUST FILE AND SERVE A CORRECTED CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE REFLECTING THE CORRECT DOCUMENT THAT WAS FILED ON AUGUST 17, 2023. **Argument 7** readers in fact there was no as stated in Chagares deception [THE CORRECT DOCUMENT THAT WAS FILED ON AUGUST 17, 2023] By Wilcox because of two very important relevant reasons. One as of 8/10/2023 Wilcox was factually in federal rules of Civil Procedure and LAR out of time defaults is. **Argument 8** Reason two inept Wilcox so call reply brief was incompetent fatal legal error filed before Appellants 9/5/2023 brief was filed. Which required the panel to dismiss Wilcox in Petitioners favor. Rather in fraud Wilcox in known contested default uncontested incompetence. Was illegally rewarded in the corrupt panels order of dismissal is. **Argument 9** Dismissed while filing written contesting memorandums recording Wilcox's flawed filings known of illegally. Ignored by this corrupt panel as real racist cruel anti-Christian benefit to Wilcox as unlawful entitlements. Petitioner followed the courts rules and is severely punished by color of law unwarranted unjustified dismissal is. **Argument 10** To date Appellant has received no brief from Wilcox or either of 2 law firms noted contesting any of 40 memorandum of record filed. It is a material fact Wilcox incompetently filed premature reply objected to and contested in writing by Appellant below as. REPLY briefs are never filed by a competent appellees by LAR Rule or Rule of Civil and Appellate procedures at any time is **Argument 11**. Initial brief of Appellant was officially filed 9/5/2023. No matter when Chief Justice Chagares

and clerks meaningfully fraudulent order was written. LAR procedurally requiring Wilcox's answer brief due within 30 days of **9/5/2023** or by LAR Rule by **10/5/2023** not **10/6/2023** By applications of LAR Rules of Court of **10/6/2023** Appeal would be and is based on 14 day default requirement for Wilcox's and Appellees noncompliance dismissal as of **8/10/2023** and or additionally **10/5/2023** default by LAR 30 days applications requiring by rule dismissals twice obstructed in fraud on the court is. **Argument 12** The corrupt panel criminally trivialized required due diligence acknowledgement of the two binding LAR Appellate procedures defaults. Which required dismissal of Wilcox twice in two **contested defaults preserved in 40 uncontested Memorandum of record** is. **Argument 13** Wilcox nor Appellees were entitled as a matter of law to relief by not only Chagares and clerk in collusion. Also resulting from known information omitted criminally by complicit racist Panel. Ask yourself reasonable questions reader is **Argument 14**. When and where initial antecedent order of **7/27/2023** in LAR stated 14 day court imposed timeline has expired. That plausibly last day is no later than **8/10/2023**. How is any subsequent same subject matter **10/6/2023** Chagares authored order subsequently lawful or do anything but aid twice defaulted Wilcox. While Appellant contesting in writings both Wilcox default and fraud was brought timely to the attention of the panel is. **Argument 15** LAR required Wilcox's dismissal in Appellants favor twice in 2 noncompliance defaults. Rather this malevolent racist anti-Christian panel aggressively attacked Petitioner in pretext repeatedly. Petitioner violated no rule and followed

all the courts filing requirements timely. Considerate of unlawful Wilcox promotion in spite of meaningful defaulted court ordered. Requirements twice defaulted Unlawfully rewarded in incompetence racist anti-Christian beneficiary of white skin entitlements. Is **Argument 16** Knowingly Chagares **10/6/2023** order falsely blaming Appellant in schemed continuing pattern to defraud. Creating privileges for Wilcox violating applied federal Statutory law 18 USC Sec **241/242/1001/1512/1513/1341/2071** contemporaneous uncontested provisions invoked are violations thereof and of LAR Rule of 14 and or 30 days requirements. Did not and does not allow as color of law acts of fraud identified as. Chief Justice Chagares reasoning behind resulting 18 USC Sec **1001/1341 Mail Fraud** circumstances is. **Argument 17** Mail fraud deigned by he and colluding clerk to falsify documents on Wilcox's twice defaulted behalf. In conspiratorial collusion of all three and the complicit panel. In exposed attempt to cover up Chagares and Dodszuweits malevolent concealed refused receipt. Criminally miss spelled defaulted documents in schemed tricks and tracks to illegally defraud Appellant again exposed as such. Because of the blessing of US Postal Service tracking receipt documentations. Fraud perpetrated by Chagares and the clerk committed in collusion was not only discovered. It was also exposed as such as mail fraud documented by credible US Government Postal Service evidence is. **Argument 18** It is the third referenced order of **11/28/2023** at appendix G-App7 Used for completely destroying lacking integrity or any credibility of the meritless Panel also. Reasons to grant Petition because both irrevocable inalienable

PERSONS US Citizens US Constitutional **RIGHTS** that apply to all were omitted for Petitioner. While a Prophetic Christian natural man of color is racistly disenfranchised. Deprived of First Amendment US Constitutional protected Religious freedom of expressions rights. Circumventing ability to present significant victimized witnesses evidence testimony is **Argument 19** Unheard illegally maligned victimized despised witnesses testimony of 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/2071/1001** Christian witness testimony noting factual existence of reality of ALL Mighty **GOD** King of Spirits as real. Substance of Petitioners and of all true reality is. **Argument 20** Fraud claims are **absent of Statute limitations** documented in 43 determined years to current events context. 2024 case as in antecedent 2018 and 2020. Court of Appeal Third circuit Chief Justice and Clerk illegally placed deliberate obstacles for Appellant documented as fraud on the court. Regards Caucasian privileges entitlements criminal abuses of federal authority and LAR in officials misconducts consistently rewarded. Criminal perpetrators who as a matter of racism are absent of required due legal consequences or legal accountability is. **Argument 21** Whereas a **PERSON** of color Petitioner EShed Alston faces persistent schemed unwarranted unjustified punishments and. Deprivation of rights violations dismissal of invoked federal Statutory laws provisions. Caucasians abuses consistently rewarded criminal misconduct are held unaccountable for federal crimes. With court of Appeal Third Circuit Chief Justice Chagares complicity as part of the decision process done in fraud as in 2020 is. **Argument 22** Uncontested facts demonstrative of racist antichristian intent to

defraud Appellant are again occurring. By Caucasian criminal perpetrators lacking legal liability accountability of significant federal laws violated for a second time without consequences. As was done in **Alston v. Verizon** 2020 **BAIT** and **SWITCH** accusations. Made against Verizon illegally changed in colluding fraud by Judge Stark. Done by Chagares and Dodszuweit acting in schemed Rule 60 trickery collusion in. Concert of racist anti-Christian criminal intent to unlawfully defraud Appellant. That Wilcox created initial Exhibit #12 apparently was unlawfully filed. Subsequently in 18 USC Sec 1001/2071 fraud illegally concealed from Petitioner is. **Argument 23** Violations of 18 USC Sec **1001/2071/1512** and **242** provisions. Were uncontested by Wilcox are antecedent evidence of federal Statutory law violations. Preserved purposefully for purposeful Appellate purposes in spite of obstructed written **Brady Rule** legal demands made. In attempted discovery no documents have been turned over. Facts preserved in memorandum of record **24-37**. Significant in fact crucial information was in fact. Known pursuant to the panels incontrovertible knowledge of contents of Appellants 40 Memorandum of record and in particular 28-37 is. **Argument 24** This clerk in unlawful collusion with Chief Justice Chagares intent acted as aggressive racist anti-Christian advocates. On behalf of two colluding implicated law firms with. Clerk Dodszuweit intentionally of concealed material evidence of Rule 60 violation by her unlawful surprise filings. Contested by Petitioner in writing are documents filed by the Clerk criminally. Noted as 18 USC Sec 2071 and 8th Amendment cruel and unusually usual

surprise concealment circumstances which are repeated misconduct is. **Argument 25** Violations of US Constitution 8th Amendment. Invoked herein against cruel and unusual punishment. As well as violations of invoked US Statutory law's supporting provisions of violated application of 18 USC Sec. 1341/1512/1513/1001/2071/241/and 242/ illegally voids intended lawful primary first **PERSON** uses operations is. **Argument 26** That are federal Statutory laws invoked provisions of witness victim and informant protections. Not to be unlawfully miss used perverted deliberately by corrupt cunning judges and clerks. Acting willfully unlawfully under color of law frauds. In twisted actionable violations of invoked 18 USC Sec 1512/1513 provisions systematically unconstitutionally perpetrating fraud. Under color of law deceptions schemes to defraud Petitioners. Noted willful perpetrators of cruel and usual punishment exclusively on Appellant. Such as that of US District Court judge Williams usual abuses rather than unusual abuses noted as cruel is. **Argument 27** Are usual common mistreatment for a disenfranchised despised Christian Prophetic human being **PERSON** and **CITIZEN**. When factoring in racism into the fraud equations racist usual institutional corruptions prevails. References herein one of 10 or more US Supreme Court docket numbers. Noted case 18-785 *EShed Alston v. Administrative Office of the Courts et al., 2018*. Seeking writ of certiorari six years ago. Filed with the words office of the clerk June 20 2018 stamped on front cover. Incorporates information herein from antecedent filing of 2018 page 11. With incorporation of the word **again** where appropriate is. **Argument 28** ['The statement of the

case that is currently placed herein before the United States Supreme Court again are circumstantially valid references to numerous and repeated violations of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 provisions and of the United States Code 18 USC 241,242, 1001 deprivation of Constitutional and civil rights protections occurred in application of violations of 42 USC 1981,1983,1985,1986,1988 provisions again.] Taken from 2018 Statement of case is. **Argument 29** Documenting recurrent continual pattern of fraud and corruption continues unabated to this day in. Obstruction of justice reminiscent of cruel and usual 1860 slave code current events realities whereas. Petitioner is consistently treated without any due consequences to federal Caucasian abusers caught literally in criminal misconduct. None are ever held accountable because of systemic institutional RICO racism nor punished for significant violations of invoked laws provisions is. **Argument 30** Because of outlawed prohibited Caucasian privileges entitlements schemes. There are no as required penalties for criminal misconduct. Information incorporated from page 12 of the 2018 filing [whereas over the course of 30 years appellant is circumvented and ignored whereas others are heard on the first instance. The Amer-African Christian honorably discharged USAF Vet is consistently maligned. And disrespected disenfranchised by the United States Supreme court over the course of many years is **Argument 31** Prior petitions seeking certiorari again having been filed since 1981 and over the course of 30 years to this current FOIA inquiry] Noting to date 2024 many years of FOIA inquiry made to receive official

certification of exactly how many Petitions were filed to this court over span of 43 years. Seeking certiorari considerations from US Supreme court. Relevant information is in context of 2024 documents 6 times returned to a PERSON. A senior citizen in real elder abusive emergency distress circumstances. Petitioner facing known real critical crisis 18 USC Sec 241/1512 noted inability to timely testify against the known perpetrators on own behalf were facts known by the panel of Krause Matey and Chung is **Argument 32** Petitioner seeking timely US Supreme Court attention before the corrupt pending orders were written in preservations of the record. Of material facts circumstances that was not timely received attention needed pursuant to Rule 11 relied upon provisions from either Chief Justice **ROBERTS** or Circuit Justice **ALITO** as contesting in writing 18 USC Sec 1512/1513/241/242 victimized witness informant experiencing real harm due to perceived color of law fraud and corruption is **Argument 32**.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Cornerstones of American Democracy are not slavery of Black people. And or Native American exploitations but rather Bill of Rights irrevocable guarantees. Of freedom of Religion and of unobstructed speech. Petitioner Senior **CITIZEN** 68 year old **PERSON** of color seeking Writ of Certiorari. EShed Alston Christian Lenape Moor Amer-African victimized Prophetic witnessing informant. Obstructed of US Constitutional 14th Amend. due process right of invoking of Statutory laws. **ANY PERSON** provisions. Inherent protections purposes applications therein to protect **ANY PERSON** from.

Abusive relentless exploitation by racist obstinate anti-Christian federal judges unlawfully under color of law fraud. Significant issues of meaningful importance exposing federal court judicial criminal corruption misconducts in. Premeditated willful violations of invoked Statutory law provisions. Of such National imperative importance to now be heard far beyond Petitioners uncontested contemporaneous evidence of judicial frauds. Exposing judge's schemes intentional violations of these federal laws. Literally illegally concealing material evidence. Of federal judges and clerk Dodzuweit fraud of assisting in concealing meaningful criminal violations evidence preserved in 40 memorandums. Of incorporation of federal Statutes operations uses therein of provisions of 18 USC Sec 241,242,1001,1512/1513/2071. Granting the petition has far greater National importance purpose other than just to Petitioner. Of exposing uncontestable evidence of systemic institutional corruption of influence peddling by. Judges McKee, Bibas, Greenaway, Chagares, Krause, Matey and Chung all. Acting in deliberate violations of 18 USC Sec 241,242,1512,1513,2071,1001. Victim's witnesses and informant's Statutory laws protections from intimidation and witness tampering fraud. Inherent protections from color of law abuses are provided as a matter of these particular laws provisions. Petitioner seeking writ of certiorari again after 43 years of contacts made for that purpose. Between 1981 and 2024 EShed Alston's longevity is by blessing of the King of Spirits Most High **GOD**. US Court of Appeal Third circuit noted Judges are departing again from accepted usual required lawful course of unbiased judicial proceedings. This court's

corrupt influence used in RICO racketeering manner illegally obstructing victimized informant Whistleblower prevented from testifying on own behalf against fraud witnessed. To deliberately knowingly harm Appellant/Petitioners legitimate interest of US Constitution guarantee of fair hearing. Identified literally malevolent criminal misconduct by federal judges and clerk Dodszuweit. Exposed unlawfully denied Brady Rule discovery in 18 USC Sec 2071 concealment fraud criminal misconduct. To be over ruled remanded by this Honorable US Supreme Court by granting of Petition to federal jury trial. Noted meaningful unlawful schemed color of law intent was to defraud illegally voiding intended Statutory protections. Inherent intent in plain language of and contained in 18 USC Sec **241/242/1512/1513/2071/1001** provisions. Intended Statutory legal protections are from unlawful color of law judicial exploitations. Information in the public interest to be known and exposed as color of law. Fraud on the court proceedings objected to as US Court of Appeal Third circuit schemed trickery. Objectively reasonable credible compelling reasons are given to grant the petition. Petitioner was ignored by the fake press and. Unconstitutionally given no freedom of press or of speech rights. Although both are US Constitutionally guaranteed protected **PERSONS** Rights. United States Court of Appeals Third Circuit is aiding US District Court's in frauds. In willful official misconducts in abuses of authority. Has departed from accepted course of unbiased judicial review conduct illegally willfully obstructed justice again as a pattern. Petitioner unlawfully deprived of US Constitutionally protected right to

testify as **CITIZEN** or. **Whistleblower** witness **PERSON** informant against relentless malevolent law breakers. Documents meaningful abuses of authority violations of applications of 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/241/242**. And **42 USC Sec 1981/1983 provisions**. Noted as **43 years of victimizations of violations of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 provisions**. In federal Government official's denial unlawfully of legal proceeding witness testimony. Requiring and **calling for exercise of US Supreme Court supervisory power and authority required in this case of**. Contemporaneous documenting of criminal violations of invoked federal laws provision knowingly. Personal observations are made of denial victimization. Experiences in Federal court legal proceeding to date. Incapable of holding racist Caucasian criminal abusers legally accountable to these laws violated. Invoked intended inherent retribution reprisal and retaliation consequences for offenders. Are unlawfully ignored to illegally void referenced invoked witness intimidation tampering reprisal laws operations intent. Of primary first person victimized witness protections. Proven validated claims made are beyond a reasonable or unreasonable doubt real and true. Using scientific method of critical analysis for proving a pattern. Petitioner is unconstitutionally stripped by the court of **ANY** invoked primary or first **PERSON** operations of applications of these federal Statutory laws intended protections. From repeated judicial fraud exploitation schemes. Complained of to date as exposed outlawed prohibited judicial fraud. Of unlawfully obstructed witness testimony of federal court restricted access imposed color of law restraints.

That do not in fact exist lawfully. Were used illegally to obstruct legitimate Appellant from being heard. As victimized informant knowledgeable of federal court. **Whistleblower** witness unconstitutionally restrained of freedom of speech prevented from testifying against color of law criminality. Regarding Appellees Scott Wilcox subsequent relevant counter criminal charging party 4 losses. Dismissal of Wilcox's 4 Res Judicata counter criminal same subject matter claims made resulted in 4 JP Court 7 losses. Relevant to Petitioners 4 antecedent same subject matter US District Court Appellant's prior Civil filings Wilcox subsequently validated those counter claims made. Intentionally ignored validated claims by the panel as benefit to Scott Wilcox. Petitioner deprived of status and standing as counter criminal defendant winner. Unlawfully deprived by the panel court officers of Statutory witness informant protections illegally voided. Victimized from associated Statutory use or due penalties for violations of these federal Statutes 18 USC Sec 1512/1513/241/242. Includes inherent legal capacity protections rights to be heard applications against witness tampering schemes. Circumventing invoked federal **Witness Victim and or Informant** law's inherent protections from intimidation exploitations. Provisions employed which outlaw exploitation by color of law official misconduct abusers of authority objected to that is currently taking place. While these three in panel are in fact exploiting Petitioner in Statutory violations. Under color of law criteria deprivations unlawfully in intimidation violating these Statutes provisions. Criminally obstructing and suppressing a true known to be victimized **PERSON**. Petitioner maligned

trivialized and disrespected in favor of Scott Wilcox uncontested defaulted incompetence. With no hearing ability to testify against Wilcox incompetence. Because of organized RICO obstinate relentless criminal anti-Christian. US Court of Appeal Third Circuit racial discrimination retribution against. A self-represented Pro se Petitioner now standing before US Supreme Court. As victimized informant witness. Unlawfully prevented from testifying or blowing the Whistle on a R.I.C.O. Criminally corrupt Caucasian influenced controlled dominated court of Appeal Third Circuit. Seeking this highest courts attention confronted with uncontested proof of anti-Christian. Racist judiciary system and legal profession acting as complicit colluding Caucasian confederates. In violations of Statutory law's provisions protections of lost. Whistleblower opportunities exposing evidence of victimized witnessed observations of significant federal official's criminal violations. Petitioner possessing irrefutable incontrovertible by Appellees. Evidence of Wilcox's failure to contest factual material evidence. Of reality of Delaware US District Court Judges Stark and Williams and Court of Appeal Third Circuit Philadelphia Pennsylvania corruptions. Caught and exposed as premeditated commission of criminal R.I.C.O fraud colluding of. Witness observations experiences of organizational criminal corruption taking place as proven pattern again. Of these particular incorporated relied upon Statutory laws incorporated provisions inherent legal protections that are both primary and first PERSON. 18 USC Sec 1512/1513/1001/2071/241/and 242 violations. And of 42 USC Sec 1981/1983/1985 and 1986.

Notable invoked operations of federal laws provisions applications that have been unconstitutionally violated. Victimize Appellant in violation of 18 USC Sec 2071 and 1001 felony provisions. Were meaningless to the panel although meaningful relevant facts were known by the panel before their reversable order written. **Documentation** of claims made preserved in Memorandum of record 28 and 37. Employed **Exhibits 1 of 3** referenced Memorandum #28. And record #37 is #2 of three. Third exhibit is US Postal Service tracking receipt evidence of **9/9/2023** factual independent US Postal service **MAILFRAUD** verification identification. Two Memorandums 28 and 37 document repeated written objections. Contested objected to in writing absolutely in fact materially. Relevant pertinent meaningful compelling credible contemporaneous information trivialized favorable to **Appellant**. Known factually by the panel that **Appellant** sought pending discovery. Noted with aiding abetting collusion condoning criminal misconduct intentionally. Reducing **Appellants** abundance of relevant credible compelling plausible uncontested evidence to nothing. Trivializing important significant evidence material information of criminal misconduct. Panels defrauding intent is to be of no importance or relevance. Although uncontested material evidence of actual very serious commission of Wilcox's defaulting frauds. Literally commission of criminal misconduct. Of deliberated malicious calculated omissions of the panel in. Meaningful deprivation literally of Petitioner's Statutory rights violated by victimizations by three criminally complicit federal court judges deliberately. Knowingly suppressing meaningful uncontestable

evidence showing that. The panel aggressively attacked Petitioner instead of noting Wilcox multiple defaults plural. Who was rewarded in racist pretext by the panel and court. Appellant victimized witness is berated by these three throughout entirety of the corrupt color of law order. With noted malice aforethought intent to irreparably harm and injure Petitioner going forward. Of obstructed US Constitutional First Amendment Right to be heard violated. By this court's ordered dismissal in Wilcox favor subsequently. Unjustly affirming Judge Williams fraudulent wrongful dismissal of validated US District Court antecedent civil action unlawfully dismissed in terms of Delaware JP Court 7 validation by winning criminal Defendant Appellant Petitioner Alston. Are deliberately obstructed unconscionable reprisal done to trivialized Defendant Petitioners experiences that are and were validated by Wilcox 4 losses. Criminally glossed over factual official documentation of fraud. Therefore now uncontestable as a legal matter going forward. One particular uncontestable documented circumstance is. Memorandum of Record #37 employed as compelling evidence with attachment of. Memorandum of record #28. Originally presented to this panel as uncontested evidence. Both recordation's are incontrovertible contemporaneous evidentiary proof beyond any reasonable or unreasonable doubt. Documenting literally criminal complicit motives of this tribunal's racist fraudulent scheme was and is to defraud Appellant. Exposing that in fact they knew of PETITIONERS 40 uncontested Memorandums of record of evidentiary documentation. Favorable to Appellant unquestionably in their possession timely.

As official documents #28 and #37 herein used to impeach absent credibility or integrity of the racist anti-Christian order but. Also document these three federal court judges deliberated and. Acted criminally under color of law fraud themselves are complicit individually and collectively. Acting in significant violations of federal laws invoked witness victim informant protections provisions from color of law exploitation abuses. Because in fact they all knew 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/241/242** federal Statutes were invoked as offences literally meaningfully committed significant crimes. That Statutory laws invoked by an informant was reported. To these federal judges panel as such of meaningful violations of Statutory law provisions and. Were aware of antecedent violations by both Chief Justice Chagares and co-conspirators in collusion with Wilcox Clerk Dodszuweit. Three federal judges had both ethical and legal duty to act. And investigate 18 USC Sec **242/1512** legal Statutory obligations to expose and address Appellants report with appropriate charges. Against Wilcox and Dodszuweit rather these three acted knowingly willingly and criminally collectively. In colluding complicity aiding abetting cover up to reward Wilcox. While this panel intentionally depriving victimized Petitioner under color of law fraud to defraud. Petitioner irreparably harmed injured by dismissal as result of court imposed. Impediment to testify against the very same criminal corruption noted over the course of many years. US Supreme Court Chief Justices Roberts, Alito, Thomas, Kavanaugh, Jackson, Kagan, Sotomayor, Gorsuch, and Barrett. I have given credible compelling plausible justiciable reasons to grant the Petition. Because all three judges

acted criminally all knew of existence of Petitioners preservation of uncontested by Appellees or attorney Wilcox evidence therefore uncontestable preserved in. 40 Memorandums of record preservation of criminal misconduct taking place right before the eyes of complicit anti-Christian racist panel. Therefore all three are complicit criminal co-conspirators in noted acts complained of as fraud to defraud. Memorandum of record #28 heading is capitalized due to importance and relevance. Seeking rejection and impeachment of the malevolent court order as a whole. To be viewed as product of corruption of the tribunal fraudulent deceptive intent. Aiding criminal abusers knowingly are complicit criminal abuser judges knowingly. Failing to expose known meaningful to Appellant criminal misconduct timely brought to their attention. Are themselves judges caught in color of law official criminal misconduct abuses of authority participates in 18 USC Sec 2071 concealment fraud. Filed months before Memorandum #37 [ESHED ALSTON MEMORANDUM OF RECORD #28 DOCUMENTATION 12/19/2023 OF APPELLANT'S MATERIAL EVIDENCE THAT UNLAWFULLY REFUSED RECEIPT DOCUMENTS WITH APPELLANTS LEGAL NAME MISSPelled ORIGINATING WITH GDWG, 5315 LIMESTONE ROAD WILMINGTON DE 19808 APPENDIX EXHIBIT # 12 IS DOCUMENTATION OF REAL EVIDENCE OF MAILFRAUD CONSPIRACY AND OF FRAUDULENT DOCUMENTS COLLUSION SECRETLY ILLEGALLY USED BY BOTH CHIEF JUSTICE CHAGARES AND CLERK DODSZUWEIT CONSPIRACY TO DEFRAUD IN REFERENCED MEMORANDUM OF RECORD #26 AND 27 MERIT

PANEL JUSTICES.] Irrefutably antecedently documenting notice given to Merit panel judges were directly referred to. Regarding #28 wording that was incontrovertibly known by the panel. Relevant wording contesting criminal cover up complained of recorded **12/19/2023**. {"This documentation of US court of Appeal Third Circuit both **MAILFRAUD** and criminal RICO. Collusion complaint as were Memorandum of record #26 and 27. Document criminal wrong doing."} and {"That were concealed from Appellant illegally **MERIT PANEL Justices...**"} and {"**MERIT PANEL Justices** written Brady Rule discovery demands were made. In Memorandum of record #26, #27 and herein #28."} MERIT PANEL judges knowingly illegally defrauded Appellant as benefit to Scott Wilcox. Regarding information ignored yet known by the panel's omissions. Demonstrating judicial corruption or manifest ineptitude or both in collective incompetence. Nevertheless incontrovertibly had antecedent knowledge provided of written pending timely discovery demands made not answered and. Of unlawful violation of Brady Rule requirements concealment issues addressed in. Written Appellants legal Statutory demands for possession of illegally concealed exculpatory evidence. Not timely turned over to Petitioner to date Reader. Documents exclusively in clerk Dodszuweit possession. Were to be turned over to Petitioner timely as evidence of fraud. Noted unlawful concealment crime of **18 USC Sec 2071** felony. Which is and was with intent to defraud Appellant antecedently identified as factual. Reason given for granting the Petition identified in heading of Memorandum of record #37 filed

2/15/2024. Placed timely before the racist anti-Christian tribunal's. Finding no fault whatsoever with Scott Wilcox's obvious defaulted uncontested incompetence criminal misconduct. Contested by Appellant is unheard evidence of Wilcox's twice defaulted LAR violations status and standing. Being twice in out of time defaults circumstantially. Referenced incorporated Memorandum of record. HEADING opens with phrases capitalized due to relevant importance. As incontrovertible and contemporaneous uncontestable by Appellees factual suppressed evidence. Of the panels willful actionable fraud deliberately intended to deprive defraud and irreparably injure Petitioner. Appellant references. [VICTIMS MEMORANDUM OF RECORD # 37 2/15/2024 TO THE ATTENTION CASE MANAGER TIMOTHY IN WRITTEN NOTICE GIVEN AGAIN TO MERIT PANEL JUSTICES CHUNG MATEY AND KRAUSE OF ANTECEDENT DOCUMENTATION OF WRITTEN LEGAL EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE DEMAND MADE TO THE MERIT PANEL FOR POSSESSION OF ANY AND ALL SCOTT WILCOX DOCUMENTS FILED UNLAWFULLY FRAUDULENTLY BY CLERKS DODSZUWEIT IN MOORE AND RUTT COLLUSION.] Before review of this one page memorandum of record evidence the heading caption alone speaks volumes. In impeachment of credibility of maliciously collectively authored racist anti-Christian discreditable dishonorable order. Incontrovertibly resulting in fraud and criminal corruption circumstantially. **There is no statute limitation on fraud** or Appellants documentation of it. Nor any Appellees or Wilcox's written filing

whatsoever contesting any contesting documents filed by Appellant to date. Only Appellant/Petitioner is formally contesting these criminal events. In writing officially preserved in memorandums of record. In 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/241/242** victimized witness accusation timely made filed by Petitioner. Contesting and objecting to Wilcox defective non-conforming documents subsequently criminally **"FILED UNLAWFULLY FRAUDULENTLY BY CLERK DODSZUWEIT IN MOORE AND RUTT COLLUSION"**. This is evidence of known relevant significant information illegally ignored by the corrupt panel beyond any reasonable doubt. They had Judicial accountability requirements legal duty to apply fairness. Regardless of race or racism equally in a unbiased manner did not do so rather. Reader they willfully criminally ignored known Statutory legal standards in terms of trivializing the informant Appellants. Victimized witnesses status in written antecedent contemporaneous documentations. Two relevant documents are attached exhibits used as evidence in **MEMORANDIM OF RECORD # 28 and 37** and copy of **US POSTAL SERVICE 9/92023** tracking verification of **MAIL FRAUD** receipt. The Panel unlawfully ignored US Postal Service tracking receipt evidence presented as exhibit in. Petitioners Memorandum of record #37. The court possessing unlawfully concealed criminally defaulted documents. Not turned over reader at that late stage in the proceedings. 14 years as a licensed legal professional documenting these witnessed experiences. Of unlawfully suppressed evidence ignored of. Literally felony criminal misconduct committed perpetrated by malevolent federal court

judges and clerks without penalty. Who are absolutely legally accountable to federal laws prohibitions they violate. Face neither required penalties or necessary due process punishment. Because of reality of federally outlawed Constitutionally prohibited color of laws Caucasian privileges entitlement fraud abuses of authority are. Beneficiaries of circumstantial influence peddling racketeering of the noted judges and clerk. Caught **10/6/2023** by Chief Justices Chagares own incriminating words and order. Fraudulently writing the Court he represents had not received. Appellant's **9/5/2023** 7 brief and 4 Appendix of 12 evidentiary exhibits. That was in fact proven to be in Chief Justice Chagares possession verified officially as such by US Postal Service dated US Mail tracking receipt material evidence verifications 9/9/2023. Obstinate acting relentlessly to defraud Appellant again. Both the clerk and Chief Justice making false written statements. In a fraudulent federal court documents with the official seal of the federal court. Thereon bearing official legal notice, authorized by law. To the effect those false written statements referenced are punishable by invoked Statutory law provisions. Done to defraud petitioner with exposed racist malevolent malicious intent noted herein criminally filings in Rule 60 surprise. Scott Wilcox's defaulted non-conforming to local appellate Rules LAR. Defective filings containing criminally miss spelled Appellants Christian Prophetic legal name intentionally. Objected to and contested regarding Wilcox's illegally filed crap not worthy of further description. Filed in incompetence by another defaulted rewarded inept incompetent favored Caucasian lawyer. (Currently in

possession of this US Supreme court are documents. Not returned retained by US Supreme court includes. Criminally miss spelling of Petitioners Appellant's Prophetic Legal Name. Rejected subsequently filed as nonconforming vexatious 18 USC Sec 1512/242 objectionable reprisal that were unlawfully. Filed by the clerk with Petitioners as part of the record contesting these events. Of thoughtful unconscionable illegal anti-Christian acts. In violations of invoked provisions of federal laws referenced is. Objective evidence of fraud on both Chief Justice Chagares three court orders. And the clerks noteworthy actions assisting. Frauds plural that have no **Statute Limitations** especially. Obstructing justice and Whistleblower victimized witness seeking justice. Exposing realities of suppressed material evidence of RICO crimes. Being again covered up in criminal acts. Constitutionally outlawed and prohibited in any legal proceedings. Petitioner possessing meaningful and credible uncontested compelling significant unheard. Antecedently contemporaneous evidence of which. Is uncontestable by Scott Wilcox or either of the two law firms of record currently colluding with Chief Justice Chagares and Clerk. As referenced as encountered by the Petitioner in 1981 in Alston v. USAF. Complaints occurred over span of 43 years to current 2024 events. These are real abuses of authority of substance that continue unabated and untold under critical emergency crisis circumstances. In the public interest to be told to the American people. After years of unconstitutional color of law obstruction of justice. And CBS ABC NBC news violations of both freedom of press and speech rights. Over the span of many years. Regarding uncontested evidence of Caucasian

criminal abuser privileged entitlements. Literally getting away with color of law abuses of federal court authority for years. Are absent of Statutory penalties liability or punishment or acknowledgement for literally criminal misconduct. Felony abuses of authority being committed. Who collectively in fraud are without conscious or consequences to them. Whereas panel of federal court judges McKee Bibas and Greenaway also acted in similar fraud in 2020. Committing the very same criminal acts of concealed misrepresentation deceptions in **Alston v. Verizon**. FDCPA fraud which was committed then by then US District Court Judge Stark's collusion. It is literally unlawful suppression of contemporaneous material evidence. Documenting official criminal misconduct of official abuses of authorities by the court including Us District Court Judge Stark, US District court judge Williams. Also Court of Appeal Third Circuit Chief Justice Chagares and fellow corrupt Court of Appeals judges Krause Matey and Chung. Are aiding and abetting 18 USC Sec 2071 and 1001 violators. Abuser in conspiracy with clerk Dodszuweit thus a criminal actor who's misconduct was known and. Illegally ignored by the panel deliberately criminally. Petitioners first filing of Petition to U.S. Supreme Court. Seeking needed attention due to predictable foreseeable and continual institutional federal government. Racism encountered then complained of was through filing of 1981 Writ of certiorari. As is and was documented by US Supreme Court docketing records. Before Petitioners Christian Prophetic **LEGAL** name was changed in 2010 by **GOD** and court order. Referenced therein then are made herein again in 2024. As one of 12 or more such Writs Christian

Shaman Petitioner filed. Seeking needed attention of the US Supreme Court jurisdiction. To Petitioners best recollections 14 in number were filed. Question presented addresses exactly how many were filed. Officially Documenting therein perpetual existence of Petitioners unabated reality of unconscionable malevolent malicious "Jim Crow" 1860 Delaware slave code racism environment. In racist and discriminatory environment of deliberate collective of continual continuing Caucasians 18 USC Sec 241/242 deprivation schemes complained of. Where a competent **PERSON** Petitioner of color with knowledge and experience in law. A 14year licensed legal professional of extraordinary skilled abilities and. Experienced in federal courts proceedings nevertheless. Lacking outlawed Caucasian privileges entitlement of race of Scott Wilcox or. Of color entitlement of privileged Constitutionally outlawed treatment. Statutorily prohibited favor in unlawful entitlement of "white skin exceptions exemptions. Petitioner a **PERSON** of color possessing no racial, civil, Native American or. Any other respected social or "**Dred Scott** nigger rights." A racist anti-Christian Caucasian perpetrator lawyer or judge is required to respect includes respecting Appellants Shaman Christian Prophetic **LEGAL** name changed by **GOD**. Are not held accountable for disrespecting both **GOD** or a court order. Which are valid descriptions of both Christian experiences and observations made. That are in fact well documented realities of institutional racism environment complained of for years. Christian Pro se EShed Alston has witnessed every manner of Caucasian privileges complaint issue of concerns heard. Petitioner's complaints are in 43

years of patiently waiting to be heard. By this highest US Supreme Court while this racially disenfranchised Prophetic aboriginal Christian Amer-African male Senior **CITIZEN**. Victimized veteran of Honorable service to his Country natural man yet unheard with witnesses. Unable to testify to date in any official hearings. With incontrovertible evidence of suppressed obstructed realities of. Unconstitutional unlawful deprivations of irrevocable inalienable American **CITIZEN** Bill of Rights and Statutory laws obstructed unlawfully again taking place. Absent of due consequences for literally repeated criminal violations. If not to be heard now after 43 years of Petitions. When is the question presented to US Supreme court of 9 Justices? 43 years ago Petitioner was 25 years old. In 2024 I am 68 year old Senior citizen as a matter of law. Making Wilcox the court Chief Justice Chagares and Clerk Dodszuweit criminal. Elder abuses as a matter of law as well as other additional Statutory crimes committed by them. 43 years from now 9 Justices of this highest American court. Petitioner will be 111 years old. Unlikely to be filing any federal court documents. Noted are unconstitutional criminal violations of invoked Statutory law legal status and standing **ANY PERSON** applications or **ANY STATE** provisions. Have no color of law restrictions whatsoever. Documenting US Constitution and or US Statutory law primary use purposes are unrestricted **ANY PERSON** provision and legal capacity Rights. Protected status and standing under these federal Statutes employment also includes **ANY STATE** provisions. Incorporated relied upon plain language noted needs no interpretations. Meaning there is in

fact no lawful color of law restraint restrictions as. Imposed by federal court Judge Stark in 2020 or by **ANY** other corrupt federal court judge unlawfully subsequently. Imposed on a federal victimized witness of abusive federal **color of law** informant victimization such as the case at bar. There is no lawful impediment to a federal **WITNESS VICTIM** or **INFORMANT** seeking and or using **ANY** appropriate inherent legal protections from color of law abuses. In **ANY** federal Statute used herein by a competent skilled Appellant of color employed uncontested by the appellees or by Scott Wilcox. There is as a matter of fact and law no such federal **“judges control”** or restriction whatsoever in either invoked US Constitutional or Statutory law provisions. Inherent in either US Constitution or Statute directives given. To **ANY** federal judge as contested challenged unlawful precedent and as substantive legal error in US District Court Judge Starks unlawful 2020 schemed contested order. Inferring therein **ANY PERSON** restrictions restraint on Appellant unlawfully. Referenced fraudulent orders having no statute limitation on Petitioner. Challenges the referenced order as without legal merit or substance in US Constitution or Statutory law. In fraudulent deception intended purposes and rights intent objected to. Which were considerate of 2020 unlawful color of law restrictions of Appellants. Petitioner’s employment of these invoked Statutory laws protections in conjunction use as 2020 **Whistleblower**. Witness victim informant of unlawful denial of applications of observations of violations of 18 USC Sec **1512/1513/241/242/1001/** and 2071 provisions. The schemes of restraint goal

purpose objective is and was. To deprive Appellant of Statutory protections illegally voiding circumventing and short circuiting. Federal law protections against color of law unlawful judicial abuses herein exposed as fraud. For emphasis of employed incorporated relevant example is applications of significant controlling operations of provision of 18 USC Sec 1512 Tampering with a federal witness, victim, or an informant law at Statute [(a)(A) prevent the attendance or testimony of **ANY PERSON** in an official proceeding)]. And of [(a)(B) prevent the production of a record, document, or other object in an official proceeding.)] Are controlling law directly related to uncontested relevant contents of memorandum 28 and 37 contents documentation. Of criminal fraud on the court tribunals corrupt order. Regarding their very serious meaningful criminal violations of provisions of 18 USC Sec 1512/1513 noted at A and B. It is specificity of provision at C that requires prosecution of Chief Justice Chagares clerk Dodszuweit also of complicit panel. Because pursuant to application of 18 USC Sec 1512 provisions it is unlawful to [(prevent the communication by **ANY PERSON** to a law enforcement officer OR judge of the United States of information relating to the commission or possible commission of a federal offense.)] The Statutes controlling wording stand alone in plain language relied upon. Are absent of any color of law restrictions or limitations imposed whatsoever. By **ANY** federal judge unlawfully on **ANY PERSON** of Statutory provision inherent protections in the laws operations. Noting in fact no lawful color of law restriction exist. Or limitation on **ANY PERSON** testimony intended to be heard from

a victimized first **PERSON** or primary witness informant of federal crimes. Committed witnessed and victimized by as a matter of law. These laws 18 USC Sec **1512/1513 241/242** provisions operations applications in particular to this case are without Statutory restrictions. Intent of these referenced laws is to expose color of law criminal misconduct in abuses of authority. The stated purpose of these law's intent is to protect at risk witnesses victims informants from color of law abusive **ABUSERS** exploitations. From corrupt judges manifest color of law fraud and. Judicial retribution reprisal abuses and retaliatory misconduct of. Federal judges and clerks unlawfully acting in fraudulent schemes. As though they are sovereign citizens who are above these laws above them are in fraudulent schemes actors. With no real authority or ability in laws willfully violated by them. Escape liability in fraudulent schemes their voided acquired required accrued legal consequences avoided. Through use of fraudulent deceptions concealment schemed trickery contested as such for years. Documenting knowingly intentionally willfully unlawfully. Unabated pattern of relentless long-term obstruction of justice continuing as. Violation of US Statutory laws invoked provisions unconscionably unscrupulously without consequences by these federal court racist judges and clerks. Acting criminally never to face due punishment in required legal accountability or. Consequences to them for what is literally illegally suppressing of compelling material uncontested. 18 USC Sec **1512/1513 ANY PERSON** provision evidence. Consistent with **ANY LAW** provision of 18 USC Sec 242 applications. Literally commission of criminal misconduct

requiring prosecution in public light of news media exposure. **Requiring supervisory abilities of US Supreme Court be initiated.** Committed willfully by judge Stark in 2020 fraudulent FDCPA schemes. Antichristian cruel and usual intent advocate for Verizon's defaulted and incompetent attorney Brian Calistri Stark illegally in Alston v. Verizon unlawfully changed EShed Alston's **BAIT** and **Switch** complaint filed. Illegally in collusion on behalf of the corrupt law firm of Weber Gallagher in 2020. Aided and abetted in 2020 was dishonorable clerk Dodzuweit. Where upon arrival in US Court of Appeal Third Circuit were documents. Unlawfully filed as Rule 60 fraud surprise deceptions by the clerk. Requiring reversal and or setting aside of the corrupt 2020 resulting order. Facts in public interest to be heard of racially motivated cruel **unusually**. **Usual** anti-Christian racist infliction consistently of pains intentionally. That are inflicted deprivations again on my PERSON due to Petitioners disrespected. Christian beliefs race and aboriginal Native American Lenape Shaman Moor culture. US Postal service receipt documents verification of tracking of three Appellant mailed parcels. Sent **9/5/2023** arriving at 21400 US Courthouse 601 Market Street Philadelphia PA 19106 on **9/9/2023** see attached tracking receipt evidence. Proven by Petitioners in material evidence antecedently. Uncontested by Scott Wilcox. Established as material fact by US Postal service mail tracking receipt verification. The order of **10/6/2023** is materially corrupt on several relevant exposed levels of fraud. First reader there is no 31day LAR whereas Appellants initial brief was officially filed **9/5/2023**. With 30 day LAR mandate response requirement.

Which would be on or before **10/5/2023** not after. Chief justice Chagares knowingly misrepresented LAR and lied committed falsified fraud knowingly. As Wilcox's colluding advocate beneficiary on the bench. When and where in the order of **10/6/2023** Chief Justice Chagares violated federal laws plural. For examples 42 USC Sec 1983 and 18 USC 1001 and or 2071 provisions were simultaneously ALL violated. Quoting 18 USC Sec 1001 applications at [(a) except as otherwise provided in this section, **WHOMEVER**, in **ANY** matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative or **JUDICIAL branch of government of the United States** knowingly and willfully-(1) **falsifies, conceals, or cover up by trick, scheme or device a material fact**; (2) **Make ANY materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry** (3). **Make or uses ANY false writing or document knowing the same to contain ANY materially false, fictitious, or fraudulent statement or entry**. Fraud singularly as described hereinabove is meaningfully serious crimes. Accompanied with unlawful concealment for a second time as proven pattern of circumstances. Arrest of these guilty federal judges should be made because in AMERICA no one is above the law as stated. **[Shall be fined under this title imprisoned not more than five years.]** Quoting 18 USC Sec 2071 Concealment removal or mutilation Statute provisions. Violated by Chief Justice Chagares and his willing aiding clerk accomplice. Literally are actionable calculated criminal violations of both referenced laws provisions. Requires 18 USC Sec 1983 prosecution of both as deprivations of **RIGHTS** violations of US Statutory law 18 USC 1512 /242.

Documenting deprivations of federal rights secured by US Constitution and invoked in US Statutory laws.

CONCLUSION

Where does racially despised deprived Pro se Christian Shaman and Prophet self-represented legal professional Petitioner of color. Victimized **PERSON** and US Citizen obstructed ability to testify. By complicit judges criminally acting under color of law deceptions. Appellant seeking due process of legal accountability for violations of invoked provisions of application of federal laws. Exposing willful abuses of authority official exposed misconducts. Of judges Krause Chung and Matey in. Review sought exposes compelling reason given to grant petition. Are due to incontrovertible irrefutable material evidence. Of meaningful fraud on the court proceedings dynamics complained of. the judges involved intent was to do irreparable real harm to Appellant by unjustified dismissal causing real injuries. Conclusion is well stated brief summary of those compelling numerous reasons herein given. Documenting material evidence showing dishonorable clerk Dodzuweit acted unlawfully. Aiding and abetting conspiracy in Rule 60 collusion fraud with Scott Wilcox to defraud Appellant intentionally. Was and are serious violations of invoked federal laws provisions. Giving rise to reason for Chief Justice Chagares **10/6/2023** documented commission of federal **MAILFRAUD**. Resulting in unjustified foreseeable dismissal because of this panels unjust unjustified unwarranted decision. Complained of as significant retaliatory thoughtful violations of incorporated operations of **1512/1513/241/242/1001/2071** provisions. Because

Krause Matey and Chung all knew of the witness reported violations of invoked 18 USC Sec 1512 provisions of commission or possible commission of a federal offenses noted by Appellant. Regarding clerk Dodszuweit 18 USC Sec 2071 concealment crimes also regarding judge Chagares 18 USC Sec 1001 false statements made relating to Chief Justice Chagares official misconduct abuses of authority dynamics considerate of 42 USC Sec 1981/1983 reprisal retribution and retaliation committed by the Chief Justice. These meaningful violations of incorporated federal laws noted provisions. Are by both Chief Justice and Clerk which were known misconduct by the panel. It was intent of his panel to irreparably harm and injure petitioner deliberately with willful intent to do harm through. Premeditated dismissal resulting from judicial fraud on the court. Expressed through three colluding in color of law frauds judges. Purposely purposefully wrongfully ignoring maligned Petitioners uncontested by appellees abundance of uncontestable evidence of. Relevant JP court 7 winning criminal defendant same subjects criminal Defendant Appellant Alston wins are evidence. Noting Scott Wilcox 4 subsequent same subject matter counter claims losses. Through criminal plaintiff CEC Silvagni are 4 losses. Same subject Res judicata criminal defendant wins that subsequently validated. Preexisting legal merit of Appellants 4 antecedent US District court same subject matter civil actions claims made. What is before the court is intended unlawful denial of US Constitutional equal protections of invoked Statutory law's provisions protections. Whereas US Constitutional entitlement privileges protections are unlawfully exclusively only

for Caucasian by this biased court and panel whereas. Petitioner is consistently continually punished in deprivation violations of protections from abuses or. Exploitation tampering or retaliation retribution inherent in provisions of these invoked federal laws operations suppressed due to institutional racism. Violations of incorporated Federal laws provisions protections for color of law abused witnesses victims and federal informants **were never meant by Congress.** To be intentionally misused by corrupt federal judges acting under color of laws deceptions. Illegally voided applicable Statutory laws intended provisions circumvented. By corrupt judges to insulate otherwise guilty federal officials. And incompetent Caucasian lawyers of accrued legal liabilities. Otherwise legally liable to the victim for injuries suffered by Appellant. Are criminal actors caught in willful violations of federal Statutory law provisions. Who are protected illegally by noted federal courts judges acting as advocates on the bench. Are protecting Scott Wilcox from accrued legal liabilities as a schemed color of law deception trickery to defraud Appellant again in institutional fraud. Resulting in unwarranted unjustified dismissal as a product of organized crime systemic institutional corruption. Circumstantially a valid R.I.C.O. complaint the appellate record of proceeding notice is given herein noting. **There was no filing of brief or answer or any documentation received from Scott Wilcox to date of this petition.** Filed by Wilcox or either of two offending Appellees law firms of record antecedently contesting any of Appellant's 40 filed contemporaneous memorandums of record. Preserved 8th Amendment evidence that are CRUEL

and unusually usual violations. When anti-Christian racism is factored into the equation of illegally obstructed pattern of judicial review. For example obstinate US District Court Judge Stark refused to spell Appellants Prophetic Legal Christian name according to GOD and controlling 2010 court order. For the record 40 memorandums are meaningfully uncontested by both offending law firms of record. And by Scott Wilcox on the record of events and circumstances uncontested meaningful evidence of fraud on the court. Uncontestable at this late stage of appellate proceedings are damages sought. Therein memorandums identifying two contemporaneously documented law firms of record. Because no one is above US Constitutional laws incorporated provisions including written Notices given and received by both Moore and Rutt LLC and GDWG LLC law firms. Both are liable uncontested preserved in 40 antecedent memorandums. Documenting judicial corruption as such including uncontested US Constitutions Seventh Amendment **Jury demanded** right includes written antecedent notices given to both referenced complicit law firms contemporaneously documented damages of \$ 252,000,000.00 sought from each of two criminally offending law firms of record. Total amount before a jury is \$504,000,000.00 five hundred 4 million dollars

The Petition for Writ of Certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted

EShed Alston, *pro se*
406 Arnold Court
Generals Greene
Dover, Delaware 19901
(302) 264-9568
star777prophet@gmail.com

Date: July 25, 2024