

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

---

A.A.R.P. AND W.M.M,

*Applicants,*

— v. —

DONALD J. TRUMP, ET AL.,

*Respondents.*

---

TO THE HONORABLE SAMUEL A. ALITO, JR., ASSOCIATE JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT OF  
THE UNITED STATES AND CIRCUIT JUSTICE FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

---

**NOTICE TO THE COURT OF DISTRICT COURT DECISION**

---

Cecillia D. Wang  
Evelyn Danforth-Scott  
Noelle Smith  
Oscar Sarabia Roman  
My Khanh Ngo  
Cody Wofsy  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
425 California Street, Suite 700  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Brian Klosterboer  
Thomas Busey-Clancy  
Savannah Kumar  
Charelle Lett  
Ashley Harris  
Adriana Piñon  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION OF TEXAS  
1018 Preston St.  
Houston, TX 77002

Lee Gelernt  
*Counsel of Record*  
Daniel Galindo  
Ashley Gorski  
Patrick Toomey  
Sidra Mahfooz  
Omar Jadwat  
Hina Shamsi  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 549-2500  
lgelernt@aclu.org

*Counsel for Applicant*

---

Applicants write to inform the Court of the district court’s May 9 order in this case denying a motion to certify a district-wide habeas class. *See* Attached “Op.”<sup>1</sup>

The district court acknowledged that Applicants’ claims “that the Proclamation is ultra vires . . . may render classwide relief appropriate.” Op. 37; *see also id.* at 26, 38–39. But it denied certification principally on the view that (1) “if the petitioners lose on the merits” of their classwide claims, the remaining claims would be individualized (Op. 38–39), and (2) “injunctive relief” might be unavailable even in individual cases to prevent removal under the AEA because “[t]he sole function of habeas corpus is to provide relief from unlawful imprisonment or custody, and it cannot be used for any other purpose” (Op. 43) (quotation marks omitted); *but see Trump v. J.G.G.*, 145 S. Ct. 1003, 1005 (2025) (“Challenges to *removal* under the AEA . . . must be brought in habeas.”) (emphasis added).

The district court’s decision underscores the need for this Court to maintain its injunction while this matter proceeds in the lower courts, and either to grant *certiorari* or to provide guidance on class certification and the contours of meaningful notice under *J.G.G.* Every other district court faced with this issue has certified a district-wide habeas class to address the threshold claims challenging the Proclamation. *See, e.g., J.A.V. v. Trump*, No. 1:25-CV-072, 2025 WL 1257450, at \*18, 20 (S.D. Tex. May 1, 2025) (Rodriguez, J.) (granting summary judgment to certified district-wide habeas class on ground that the Proclamation exceeds the President’s authority under the AEA given the absence of an “invasion” or “predatory incursion”).<sup>2</sup> And every other lower court to consider the issue has enjoined removals under the AEA while judicial review proceeds. In the absence of a class, and given the government’s current notice protocols, individual (and overwhelmingly unrepresented) detainees would be forced, on an exceedingly short timeframe, to bring dozens if not hundreds of separate habeas cases, potentially followed by emergency applications to this Court, all raising the same threshold legal challenges.<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> After stay briefing in this Court was completed, the district court renamed the case *W.M.M. v. Trump*. *See* Order, *W.M.M. v. Trump*, No. 1:25-cv-59 (N.D. Tex. Apr. 21, 2025), ECF No. 44.

<sup>2</sup> *See J.A.V. v. Trump*, No. 1:25-CV-072, 2025 WL 1256996, at \*6 (S.D. Tex. May 1, 2025) (Rodriguez, J.) (certifying district-wide habeas class); *A.S.R. v. Trump*, No. 3:25-CV-00113, 2025 WL 1225979, at \*2 (W.D. Pa. Apr. 25, 2025) (Haines, J.) (same); *D.B.U. v. Trump*, No. 1:25-CV-01163, 2025 WL 1304198, at \*10 (D. Colo. May 6, 2025) (Sweeney, J.) (same); *G.F.F. v. Trump*, No. 25-CV-2886, 2025 WL 1166482, at \*3 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 9, 2025), *amended*, 2025 WL 1166909 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 11, 2025) (Hellerstein, J.) (same).

<sup>3</sup> Applicants intend to seek reconsideration in the district court, and, at a minimum, certification of certain threshold issues under Fed. R. Civ. P. Rule 23(c)(4).

Respectfully submitted,

Cecillia D. Wang  
Evelyn Danforth-Scott  
Noelle Smith  
Oscar Sarabia Roman  
My Khanh Ngo  
Cody Wofsy  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION  
425 California Street, Suite 700  
San Francisco, CA 94104

Brian Klosterboer  
Thomas Busey-Clancy  
Savannah Kumar  
Charelle Lett  
Ashley Harris  
Adriana Piñon  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES  
UNION FOUNDATION OF TEXAS  
1018 Preston St.  
Houston, TX 77002

Lee Gelernt  
*Counsel of Record*  
Daniel Galindo  
Ashley Gorski  
Patrick Toomey  
Sidra Mahfooz  
Omar Jadwat  
Hina Shamsi  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION  
125 Broad Street, 18th Floor  
New York, NY 10004  
(212) 549-2500  
lgelernt@aclu.org

Dated: May 11, 2025