

JUL 27 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No: 23A99

---

**In the Supreme Court of The United States**

---

Brigetta D'Olivio and All Other Occupants

*Applicant,*

v.

Hilary Thompson Hutson

*Respondent.*

---

On Appeal From The Fifth District Court Of Appeals, Dallas Texas  
Case No: 05-20-01118-CV

---

On Application For Stay

---

**SUPPLEMENTAL EMERGENCY APPLICATION TO STAY THE MANDATE  
OF THE FIFTH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEALS PENDING THE  
FILING AND DISPOSITION OF A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

---

Brigetta D'Olivio  
2916 Creekbend Dr  
Plano, TX 75075  
214-733-7204  
bdt2916@gmail.com  
Applicant *Pro Se*

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

The lower court so far departed from in its obligation to pursue a course of legal proceedings according to applicable rules and principles for like cases, that such a departure violated Applicant's right to due process and equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, thereby also violating Applicant's First Amendment rights. Where the Fifth District Court of Appeals sanctioned such a departure by the lower court, the Fifth District Court of Appeals' Opinion is so clearly wrong as to call for an exercise of this Court's supervising power.

1. Did the lower court violate Applicant's right to due process and equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment by:
  - a. Hearing and deciding the case when it lacked subject matter jurisdiction under Texas Government Code §27.031(b)(4) and §1022.005(a) & (b);
  - b. When the District Court's final judgment upon which Respondent predicated her suit to evict, and upon which the lower court relied, was rendered without the District Court having subject matter jurisdiction to hear the trespass to try title case and to render its final judgment under Texas Est. Code §1022.001(a); §1022.002(c) & (d); §1022.005; §1022.006; §32.005(a) & (b); §32.007, and the Texas Constitution Article V, §8;
  - c. When it failed to conduct the mandatory trial de novo as required under Article V, §1 of the Texas Constitution and Rule 510.10(c) of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure; and
  - d. When Applicant was deprived of adequate Notice as required under Texas Property Code §24.005 and §24.005(g).
2. In rendering its final judgment, did the lower court violate Applicant's right

to due process and equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment when the issue of the subject property is a matter in the Probate Proceeding pending in the Collin County Statutory Probate Court; where Applicant made demand for a jury trial under Article V, §10 of the Texas Constitution, and where said Demand For A Jury Trial is filed in the pending Probate Proceeding?

## PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

The parties to the proceeding are as follows:

Applicant is Brigetta D'Olivio. She was the Defendant in the County Court At Law 2, Collin County Texas, and the Appellant in the Fifth District Court of Appeals, Dallas, Texas. Inclusive of the \$20,000.00 supersedeas bond, which the county court ordered, and which Applicant paid by cashiers' check in lieu of a bond, Applicant has, thus far, paid a total of \$82,000.00, (\$62,000.00 for the \$2,000.00 per month "*in lieu of rent*", which the County Court also ordered).

Respondent is Hilary T. Hutson. She was the Plaintiff in the County Court At Law 2, Collin County Texas, and the Appellee in the Fifth District Court of Appeals, Dallas, Texas.

## RELATED PROCEEDINGS

This case and the related cases arose from the Guardianship Proceeding.

1. *“Brigetta D’Olivio aka Brigetta Alix Anderson, Alix Brigetta, Defendant/Applicant, v. Hilary T. Hutson, Plaintiff/Respondent”*  
Fifth District Court Appeals, Dallas, TX, No: 05-20-00969-CV  
Renewed Application For Stay Of Mandate of Fifth District Court Of Appeals currently pending before The Honorable Clarence Thomas, (22A1087)
2. *“In The Estate Of Richard W. Thompson, Jr”, Deceased”*  
No: PB1-1381-2019, pending in the Collin County Statutory Probate Court, Collin County, Texas.
3. *“In The Guardianship Of Richard W. Thompson, Jr., An Alleged Incapacitated Person”*  
No: 05-22-00768-CV, pending in the Fifth District Court Of Appeals, Dallas, TX.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED .....	ii
PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING .....	iv
RELATED PROCEEDINGS .....	v
INDEX OF AUTHORITIES .....	vii
OPINIONS BELOW .....	viii
JURISDICTION .....	ix
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE STAY .....	1
CONCLUSION .....	8
SWORN AFFIDAVIT .....	9
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE .....	10
SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	11

### APPENDIX

1. Fifth District Court of Appeals Order, dated July 25, 2023
2. Fifth District Court of Appeals Mandate, dated July 25, 2023
3. Texas Supreme Court Notice of Order, dated July 26, 2023
4. Fifth District Court of Appeals Case Summary
5. FedEx Proof of Delivery

**INDEX OF AUTHORITIES**

*Arnett v Kennedy*,  
416 U.S. 134 (1974) .....4

*McMillen v Anderson*,  
95 U.S. 37, 40 (1877) .....4

*Morrissey v. Brewer*,  
408, U.S. 471, 481 (1972) .....4

**STATUTES, CODES, RULES**

**Constitutional Provisions:**

US Const. Amend XIV, §1.2 .....3, 6, 8

**Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure:**

18.1(2) .....4

18.1(c) .....5

18.2 .....6

## OPINIONS BELOW

The Fifth District Court of Appeals' issued its Memorandum Opinion and judgment, on July 28, 2022, wherein it affirmed the County Court At Law final judgment, dated December 31, 2020. The Fifth District Court Of Appeals Memorandum Opinion is not published, and is reproduced at [Appendix A] <sup>1</sup> and the County Court At Law's final judgment, dated December 31, 2020 is reproduced at [Appendix D]. On September 21, 2022, the Fifth District Court Of Appeals denied Applicant's motion for rehearing or rehearing en banc and is reproduced at [Appendix B]. On December 28, 2022, the Fifth District Court Of Appeals denied Applicant's motion for reconsideration, and is reproduced at [Appendix C]. On April 28, 2023, the Supreme Court of Texas denied Applicant's Petition For Review and is reproduced at [Appendix E]. On July 7, 2023, the Supreme Court Of Texas denied Applicant's Motion For Rehearing, and is reproduced at [Appendix F]. On July 13, 2023, Applicant requested the Fifth District Court of Appeals to stay the issuance of its mandate since Applicant's motion for reconsideration en banc was still pending before the Texas Supreme Court. On July 13, 2023, the Supreme Court Of Texas denied Applicant's Motion For En Banc Reconsideration, and is reproduced at

---

<sup>1</sup> References to the Appendix are to the Appendix, which is part of the Applicant's Emergency Application To Application To Stay The Mandate Of The Fifth District Court Of Appeals Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For Writ Of Certiorari", dated July 17, 2022



[Appendix G]. On July 14, 2023, Applicant filed an amended motion to stay the issuance of the mandate in the Fifth District Court of Appeals, since Applicant's motion to reconsider order denying motion to vacate was still pending before the Texas Supreme Court and is reproduced at [Appendix H]. On July 25, 2023, the Fifth District Court Of Appeals denied Applicant's amended motion to stay the issuance of its mandate and is reproduced here at [Suppl. Appendix 1]. On the same date, July 25, 2023, the Fifth District Court of Appeals issued its mandate, and is reproduced here at [Suppl. Appendix 2]. On July 26, 2023, the Texas Supreme Court issued its order, and is reproduced here at [Suppl. Appendix 3]. On July 27, 2023, Applicant filed a motion in the Fifth District Court of Appeals to recall the Mandate and to set aside its order denying Applicant's amended motion to stay the issuance of the mandate.

### JURISDICTION

This Court has jurisdiction to stay the mandate of the Fifth District Court Of Appeals' mandate pending the filing and disposition of a petition for certiorari. See 28 U.S.C. § 2101(f).

To The Honorable Samuel Alito, Associate Justice Of The Supreme Court Of The United States:

Applicant, Brigetta D'Olivio, ("Applicant") moves pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2101(f) and Rules 22 and 23 of the Rules of this Court for an order of this Court staying the mandate of the Fifth District Court Of Appeals pending the filing of a Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to review the Fifth District Court of Appeals' Memorandum Opinion, which was rendered on July 28, 2022, [Appendix A] and the lower court's final judgment, which was rendered on December 31, 2020, [Appendix D].

The Fifth District Court Of Appeals has issued its mandate prematurely. Where the mandate could not be issued prior to August 5, 2023, the Fifth District Court of Appeals issued its mandate on July 25, 2023.

The Fifth District Court of Appeals' issued its Memorandum Opinion and judgment, on July 28, 2022, wherein it affirmed the County Court At Law final judgment, dated December 31, 2020. The Fifth District Court Of Appeals Memorandum Opinion is not published, and is reproduced at [Appendix A] <sup>1</sup> and the

---

<sup>1</sup> References to the Appendix are to the Appendix, which is part of the "Applicant's Emergency Application To Application To Stay The Mandate Of The Fifth District Court Of Appeals Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For Writ Of Certiorari", dated July 17, 2022. Applicant's emergency application was sent to this Court on July 20, 2023 via FedEx, (tracking no: 772802567100) and was confirmed by FedEx to have been delivered on July 24, 2023. The Supreme Court of Teas issued its final order on July 26, 2023. See [Suppl. Appendix 5].

County Court At Law's final judgment, dated December 31, 2020 is reproduced at [Appendix D]. On September 21, 2022, the Fifth District Court Of Appeals denied Applicant's motion for rehearing or rehearing en banc and is reproduced at [Appendix B]. On December 28, 2022, the Fifth District Court Of Appeals denied Applicant's motion for consideration, and is reproduced at [Appendix C]. The Supreme Court of Texas declined to hear the merits of the case and on April 28, 2023, denied Applicant's Petition For Review, which is reproduced at [Appendix E]; On July 7, 2023, the Supreme Court Of Texas denied Applicant's Motion For Rehearing, and is reproduced at [Appendix F]. On July 13, 2023, Applicant requested the Fifth District Court of Appeals to stay the issuance of its mandate since Applicant's motion for reconsideration en banc was still pending before the Texas Supreme Court. On July 13, 2023, the Supreme Court Of Texas denied Applicant's Motion For En Banc Reconsideration, and is reproduced [Appendix G].

On July 14, 2023, Applicant filed an "Amended Motion To Stay Issuance of Mandate Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari In The Supreme Court Of The United States", since Applicant's motion to reconsider order denying motion to vacate, (m. for en banc reconsideration) was still pending before the Texas Supreme Court, and is reproduced at [Appendix H]. On July 25, 2023, the Fifth District Court Of Appeals denied Applicant's amended

---

request to stay the mandate and is reproduced here at [Suppl. Appendix 1]. On the same date, July 25, 2023, the Fifth District Court of Appeals issued its mandate and is reproduced here at [Suppl. Appendix 2]. On July 26, 2023, the Supreme Court of Texas issued its order denying Applicant's Motion To Reconsider Order Denying Motion To Vacate, and is reproduced here at [Suppl. Appendix 3].<sup>2</sup> On July 27, 2023, Applicant filed an emergency motion in the Fifth District Court of Appeals to recall the mandate and to set aside its order denying Applicant's amended request to stay the issuance of the mandate.

The Due Process Clause of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment to the U.S. Constitution provides, in part: "*nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty or property, without due process of law, nor shall any person be denied the equal protection of the laws*".

U. S. CONST. amend XIV, §1.2. This Court has construed the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause to impose the same procedural due process limitations on the states as the Fifth Amendment does on the Federal Government. *Arnett v Kennedy*, 416 U.S. 134 (1974). Procedural due process requires state actors to provide certain procedural protections before they deprive a

---

<sup>2</sup> Appendix I in Applicant's "Emergency Application To Stay The Mandate Of The Fifth District Court Of Appeals Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For Writ Of Certiorari", dated July 17, 2022, was left blank since, at that time, the Supreme Court Of Texas had not yet issued its July 26, 2023 order.

person of any protected life, liberty, or property interest. See *Morrissey v. Brewer*, 408, U.S. 471, 481 (1972). This Court has always accepted that the property interest attaches to the ownership of personal and real property. See *McMillen v Anderson*, 95 U.S. 37, 40 (1877). When a protected interest is at stake, due process requires that government actors must follow certain procedures before they may deprive a person of a protected life, liberty or property interest and that the procedures by which laws are applied must be evenhanded, so that individuals are not subjected to the arbitrary exercise of government power.

Rule 18.1 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure provides, in part: “The Clerk of the appellate court that rendered the judgment must issue a mandate in accordance with the judgment and send it to the clerk of the court to which it is directed and to all parties to the proceeding when one of the following periods expire...(2) Ten days after the time has expired for filing a motion to extend time to file a motion for rehearing of a denial, refusal, or dismissal of a petition for review, or a refusal or dismissal of a petition for discretionary review if not timely filed motion for rehearing or motion to extend time is pending”. TEX. R. APP. P., 18.1(2).

Here, Applicant is the sole devisee of the subject property under the “Last Will And Testament Of Richard Wells Thompson, Jr.”. [1 CR 300-311; 313-316].<sup>3</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> See also Applicant’s “Emergency Application To Stay The Mandate Of The Fifth District Court Of Appeals Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For Writ Of Certiorari”, which was delivered to this Court on July 24, 2023. [Suopl. Appendix 5].

Applicant is thus entitled to Constitutional protections, which the Fourteenth Amendment guarantees. Under the Fourteenth Amendment, the Fifth District Court of Appeals was required to adhere to the rules governing the issuance of mandates.

The Fifth District Court of Appeals issued its mandate before the Supreme Court of Texas issued its last order. The Fifth District Court of Appeals issued its mandate on July 25, 2023. [Suppl. Appendix 2]. The Supreme Court of Texas issued its order on July 26, 2023. [Suppl. Appendix 3]. Pursuant to Rule 18.1(2) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, the Fifth District Court of Appeals' mandate could not issue before August 5, 2023.

Rule 18.1(c) of the Texas Rules Of Appellate Procedure provides: "The mandate may be issued earlier if the parties so agree, or for good cause on the motion of a party". TEX. R. APP. P. 18.1(c).

Here, there was no agreement between Applicant and Respondent to issue the mandate earlier than the rules require, and in this case, earlier than August 5, 2023, nor did either party file any motion to issue the mandate early. On the contrary, pursuant to Rule 18.2 of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, Applicant filed an amended motion to stay the issuance of the mandate. At the time the Fifth District Court Of Appeals issued its mandate on July 25, 2023, Respondent hadn't filed a

Response to said motion to stay, and did not file a Response until after the Court issued its mandate. [Appendix H]; [Suppl. Appendix 4].

As evidenced by the Fifth District Court of Appeals case summary, as of July 27, 2023, this Court further issued its mandate without any Order from the Supreme Court, which would have, otherwise, authorized this Court to issue its mandate. The case summary shows that there is no such order, nor even a Notice of an Order from the Supreme Court Of Texas Order filed in this appeal, and nor has Appellant been served any such Order. [Suppl. Appendix 4].

By prematurely issuing its mandate and by issuing its mandate in contravention of Rule 18.1(2) of the Texas Rules of Appellate Procedure, and without an Order from the Supreme Court of Texas, which would have, otherwise, authorized the Fifth Court of Appeals to issue the mandate, not only did the Fifth District Court Of Appeals interfere with the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court of Texas, but it also violated Applicant's right to procedural due process and equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution by erroneously depriving Applicant of her property interests without adhering to its obligation to ensure that it complied with the applicable rules and principles for like cases and that its application of the law was equal.

Finally, there are no countervailing reasons to alter the status quo during the

certiorari stage. There is already a supersedeas bond in place and regular \$2,000.00 per month payments, which have totaled \$82,000.00, thus far. [Appendix, Q, R] Considering that Respondent has intimated on more than one occasion that she intends to sell the subject property, once the property is sold, Applicant may never be able to recover the property even if this Court accepts this case for review and ultimately reverses. Because the Fourteenth Amendment requires due process before one may be deprived of their property, and because of the risk of erroneous deprivation of Applicant's property interest, and the irreversibility of the harm to Applicant if the property is sold, there is good cause to stay the mandate.

Applicant intends to file timely a petition for writ of certiorari with the Supreme Court. Sup. Ct. R. 13(1). Accordingly, Applicant requests a stay of the mandate pending the filing her petition for a writ of certiorari, with a continuance of the stay to follow official notification that the petition has been filed. Fed. R. App. P. 41(d)(2)(A)-(B). Applicant's emergency application and supplemental emergency application demonstrates the requisite substantial question and good cause, and a stay should therefore be granted. Because the Fifth District Court Of Appeals' mandate was premature and could not have been issued prior to August 5, 2023, Applicant respectfully asks this Court to also administratively stay the Fifth District Court of Appeals' mandate pending disposition of this Application. Absent a




stay of the Fifth District Court of Appeals' mandate and an administrative stay of the Fifth District Court Of Appeals' mandate pending disposition of this Application and Applicant's Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari, Applicant's right to due process and equal protection of the law under the Fourteenth Amendment will be violated and Applicant will be deprived of her property interest and forced to vacate the subject property for which she is the sole devisee, [1 CR 301-311; 313-316].

### Conclusion

There is a reasonable probability that the United States Supreme Court will grant a petition for writ of certiorari in this case, and if it does, there is a significant possibility of reversal. Respondent will suffer no discernible harm if a stay is issued. Absent a stay, it is clear that Applicant will suffer irreparable harm. Applicant respectfully requests that the Court grant Applicants's motion for a stay of the mandate pending the filing of petition for writ of certiorari and the United States Supreme Court's disposition of Applicant's petition for a writ of certiorari.

Respectfully Submitted;



Brigetta D'Olivio  
2916 Creekbend Dr  
Plano, TX 75075  
214-733-7204  
bdt2916@gmail.com

Dated: July 27, 2023

No: \_\_\_\_\_

**In the Supreme Court of United States**

---

Brigetta D'Olivio And All Other Occupants

*Applicant,*

v.

Hilary Thompson Hutson

*Respondent.*

---

**SWORN AFFIDAVIT**

---

**BEFORE ME**, the undersigned, on this day personally appeared Brigetta D'Olivio, known to me to be the person whose signature is set forth herein. My name is Brigetta D'Olivio. I am over 18 years of age. I am a resident of Collin County, TX and am fully competent to make this Affidavit and do solemnly swear that the facts stated in the foregoing "Supplemental Emergency Application To Stay The Mandate Of The Fifth District Court of Appeals Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For Writ Of Certiorari", dated July 27, 2023, are within my personal knowledge and the same are true and correct.


  
Brigetta D'Olivio  
2916 Creekbend Dr  
Plano, TX 75075  
214-733-7204  
bdt2916@gmail.com

**SUBSCRIBED and SWORN** to before me,  
the undersigned, on this 27<sup>th</sup> day of July 2023,  
to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.



**NOTARY PUBLIC**

In and for the State of Texas



No: 22A1087

**In the Supreme Court of United States**

---

---

Brigetta D'Olivio And All Other Occupants

*Applicant,*

v.

Hilary Thompson Hutson

*Respondent.*

---

---

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

---

---

I, Brigetta D'Olivio, Applicant, hereby certify that the following parties required to be served have been served with a copy of "Supplemental Emergency Application To Stay The Mandate Of The Fifth District Court of Appeals Pending The Filing And Disposition Of A Petition For Writ Of Certiorari", dated July 27, 2023, at the last known address filed with the Courts, via FedEx, this July 27, 2023. Attached hereto is a copy of the FedEx receipt, dated July 27, 2023.

Pravati Capital LLC  
Bruce D. Cohen  
8117 Preston Rd., Suite 300  
Dallas, TX 75225



Brigetta D'Olivio, Applicant, *Pro Se*  
2916 Creekbend Dr.,  
Plano, TX 75075  
214-733-7204  
bdt2916@gmail.com

ORIGIN ID: DNEA (214) 733-7204

BRIGETTA DOLIVIO

2916 CREEKBEND DR.

PLANO, TX 75075

UNITED STATES US

SHIP DATE: 27 JUL 23

ACTWGT: 1.00 LB

CAD: 252872373/NET4640

BILL SENDER

TO **BRUCE DAVID COHEN**  
**PRAVATI CAPITAL, LLC**  
**8117 PRESTON RD. STE. 300**

**DALLAS TX 75225**


(111) 111-1111

REF:

INV:

PO:

DEPT:



**FedEx**  
Express



58046AE49AE3

**FRI - 28 JUL 10:30A**

**PRIORITY OVERNIGHT**

TRK#

0201

**7728 7536 4854**

**AD TRLA**

**75225**

TX-US

**DFW**



## **SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX LIST**

1. **Fifth District Court of Appeals Order, dated July 25, 2023**
2. **Fifth District Court of Appeals Mandate, dated July 25, 2023**
3. **Texas Supreme Court Order, dated July 26, 2023**
4. **Fifth District Court of Appeals Case Summary**
5. **FedEx Proof of Delivery**

**SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX - 1**

**Order entered July 25, 2023**



**In The  
Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

---

**No. 05-20-01118-CV**

---

**BRIGETTA D'OLIVIO, Appellant**

**V.**

**HILARY THOMPSON HUTSON, Appellee**

---

**On Appeal from the County Court at Law No. 2  
Collin County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 002-02704-2020**

---

**ORDER**

Before Justices Partida-Kipness, Reichel, and Goldstein

Before the Court is appellant's July 14, 2023 amended motion to stay issuance of mandate. We **DENY** the motion.

/s/ **ROBBIE PARTIDA-KIPNESS**  
**JUSTICE**

**SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX - 2**





**Court of Appeals  
Fifth District of Texas at Dallas**

**MANDATE**

**TO THE COUNTY COURT AT LAW NO. 2 OF COLLIN COUNTY,  
GREETINGS:**

Before the Court of Appeals for the Fifth District of Texas, on the 28th day of July 2022, the cause on appeal to revise or reverse the judgment between

BRIGETTA D'OLIVIO, Appellant

No. 05-20-01118-CV      V.

HILARY THOMPSON HUTSON,  
Appellee

On Appeal from the County Court at  
Law No. 2, Collin County, Texas  
Trial Court Cause No. 002-02704-  
2020.

Opinion delivered by Justice Partida-  
Kipness. Justices Reichel and  
Goldstein participating.

was determined; and this Court made its order in these words:

In accordance with this Court's opinion of this date, the judgment of the trial court is **AFFIRMED**.

It is **ORDERED** that appellee HILARY THOMPSON HUTSON recover her costs of this appeal from appellant BRIGETTA D'OLIVIO.

**WHEREFORE, WE COMMAND YOU** to observe the order of the Court of Appeals for the Fifth District of Texas, in this behalf, and have it duly obeyed and executed.

**WITNESS** the HON ROBERT D. BURNS, III, Chief Justice of the Court of Appeals, with the Seal thereof affixed, at the City of Dallas, this 25th day of July 2023.



/s/Ruben Morin

Ruben Morin, Clerk

**SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX - 3**



Brigetta D'Olivo-Thompson <bdt2916@gmail.com>

---

**Case Notices for: 23-0125**

---

noreply-tames@txcourts.gov <noreply-tames@txcourts.gov>  
To: bdt2916@gmail.com

Wed, Jul 26, 2023 at 6:00 PM

Notice(s) for the following case(s) are attached:

COA # 23-0125 / TC # 002-02704-2020 (1)

1. MISC MOTION DISP\_\_DENIED\_FILECOPY.pdf

Thank you,  
Claudia Jenks, Chief Deputy Clerk  
Supreme Court of Texas

Do not reply to this message. If you have questions, please contact the Court at (512) 463-1312.

[NoticeBatchID: 30359472-40b7-419f-8a82-25f10997f02e]

---

 23-0125\_MISC MOTION DISP\_\_DENIED\_FILECOPY.pdf  
34K

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 23-0125  
COA #: 05-20-01118-CV  
STYLE: D'OLIVIO v. HUTSON

DATE: 7/25/2023  
TC#: 002-02704-202C

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Motion to Vacate in the above-referenced case.

BRIGETTA D'OLIVIO  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 23-0125  
COA #: 05-20-01118-CV  
STYLE: D'OLIVIO v. HUTSON

DATE: 7/25/2023  
TC#: 002-02704-202C

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Motion to Vacate in the above-referenced case.

MR. RUBIN MORIN  
CLERK, FIFTH COURT OF APPEALS  
600 COMMERCE STREET, SUITE 200  
DALLAS, TX 75202  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 23-0125  
COA #: 05-20-01118-CV  
STYLE: D'OLIVIO v. HUTSON

DATE: 7/25/2023  
TC#: 002-02704-202C

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Motion to Vacate in the above-referenced case.

MR. BRUCE DAVID COHEN  
PRAVATI CAPITAL, LLC  
8117 PRESTON RD STE 300  
DALLAS, TX 75225-6347  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*

FILE COPY

RE: Case No. 23-0125  
COA #: 05-20-01118-CV  
STYLE: D'OLIVIO v. HUTSON

DATE: 7/25/2023  
TC#: 002-02704-202C

Today the Supreme Court of Texas denied the Motion to Reconsider Order Denying Motion to Vacate in the above-referenced case.

COUNTY CLERK COLLIN COUNTY  
COLLIN COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BLDG.  
2300 BLOOMDALE RD, STE 2106  
MCKINNEY, TX 75071  
\* DELIVERED VIA E-MAIL \*



**SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX - 4**

**CASE: 05-20-01118-CV**

Case:  
**05-20-01118-CV**Date Filed:  
 12/30/2020  
 Case Type:  
 Miscellaneous/other civil  
 Style:  
 Brigetta D'Olivio  
 v.:  
 Hilary Thompson Hutson  
 Orig Proc:  
 No  
 Transfer From:  
  
 Transfer In:  
  
 Transfer Case:  
  
 Transfer To:  
  
 Transfer Out:  
  
 Pub Service:  
 West Publishing

**APPELLATE BRIEFS**

Date	Event Type	Description	Document
09/22/2021	Reply brief filed	Appellant	[ PDF/2.09 MB ] Appellant Reply Brief [ PDF/81 KB ] Notice
08/26/2021	Brief filed - oral argument not requested	Appellee	[ PDF/499 KB ] Appellee Brief [ PDF/81 KB ] Notice
07/28/2021	Amended brief filed	Appellant	[ PDF/3.04 MB ] Appellant Amended Brief [ PDF/80 KB ] Notice
07/09/2021	Brief filed - oral argument not requested	Appellant	[ PDF/5.66 MB ] Appellant Brief [ PDF/84 KB ] Notice

**CASE EVENTS**

Date	Event Type	Disposition	Document
07/25/2023	Response filed		[ PDF/976 KB ] Appellee Response to Motion to Stay
07/25/2023	Mandate issued		[ PDF/148 KB ] Mandate [ PDF/82 KB ] Notice
07/25/2023	Motion to stay disposed	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/102 KB ] Order to Deny Motion Stay Mandate [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
07/14/2023	Motion to stay filed		[ PDF/284 KB ] Amended Motion to Stay Issuance of Mandate
07/13/2023	Motion to stay filed		[ PDF/570 KB ] Motion stay issuance of mandate
07/12/2023	Letter filed		[ PDF/311 KB ] Appellee Letter
07/12/2023	Corrected document requested		[ PDF/109 KB ] Notice
07/07/2023	Order entered		[ PDF/144 KB ] Order From Supreme Court
04/28/2023	Petition for review disposed by Supreme Court	Motion or Writ Denied	
03/22/2023	Petition for review filed in Supreme Court		
03/06/2023	Motion for extension of time to file petition for review disposed by Supreme Court	Motion or Writ Granted	
03/03/2023	Motion for extension of time to file petition for review filed in Supreme Court		

Date	Event Type	Disposition	Document
	Court		
02/22/2023	Motion for extension of time to file petition for review disposed by Supreme Court	Motion or Writ Granted	
02/14/2023	Motion for extension of time to file petition for review filed in Supreme Court		
12/28/2022	Order entered	Reinstate Appeal	[ PDF/115 KB ] Order to Reinstate Appeal and Dismiss [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
12/21/2022	Letter filed		[ PDF/301 KB ] Appellee Letter
11/21/2022	Order received from trial court	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/172 KB ] Supreme Court Order to Deny Transfer
11/09/2022	Certificate of service filed		[ PDF/76 KB ] Appellant Amended Certificate of Service
11/09/2022	Certificate of service filed		[ PDF/60 KB ] Appellant Amended Certificate of Service
11/02/2022	Sent to publisher		
10/13/2022	Letter filed		[ PDF/1.48 MB ] Appellant's Court Record Deemed Filed
10/10/2022	Notice filed		[ PDF/354 KB ] Cover Letter with 2 attached documents
10/07/2022	Letter received		
10/03/2022	Order entered	Abated	[ PDF/100 KB ] Order to Abate Appeal [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
09/30/2022	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/128 KB ] Letter Regarding Request to Transfer [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
09/29/2022	Motion to reconsider filed		[ PDF/741 KB ] Appellant Motion for Reconsideration
09/29/2022	Fee paid		[ PDF/80 KB ] Notice
09/21/2022	Motion to stay disposed	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/101 KB ] Order on Appellant Emergency Motion to [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
09/21/2022	Motion for rehearing denied	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/100 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion for Rehearing [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
09/20/2022	Motion for rehearing en banc disposed	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/125 KB ] Order denied appellants motion en banc [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
09/16/2022	Motion to stay filed		[ PDF/480 KB ] Appellant Motion to Stay
09/07/2022	Fee paid		[ PDF/82 KB ] Notice
09/07/2022	Motion for rehearing en banc filed		[ PDF/2.41 MB ] Motion en banc rehearing
09/07/2022	Motion for rehearing filed		[ PDF/2.41 MB ] Motion rehearing and en banc rehearing
08/29/2022	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/102 KB ] Notice
08/29/2022	Motion for rehearing due		
08/25/2022	Letter filed		[ PDF/976 KB ] Letter Request Receipts
08/22/2022	Motion to reconsider disposed	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/101 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion for Reconsideration [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
08/22/2022	Order entered	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/104 KB ] Order on Appellant Emergency Motion [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
08/18/2022	Motion for extension of time to file motion for rehearing disposed	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/100 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion Extension Rehearing [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
08/16/2022	Motion filed		[ PDF/699 KB ] Emergency motion for ruling
08/10/2022	Motion to reconsider filed		[ PDF/1.13 MB ] Appellant Motion to Reconsider
08/10/2022	Motion for extension of time to file motion for rehearing filed		[ PDF/331 KB ] Appellant Motion for Extension Rehearing
08/04/2022	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/163 KB ] Letter Response to Rule 12 [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
08/04/2022	Order entered	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/100 KB ] Order on Appellant Supplemental Motion [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
08/02/2022	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/97 KB ] Response Letter to Rule 12
08/02/2022	Motion to abate appeal filed		[ PDF/204 KB ] Appellant Supplemental Motion to Abate
08/01/2022	Motion to abate appeal disposed	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/100 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion to Abate [ PDF/79 KB ] Notice
07/28/2022	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/67 KB ] Letter Response to Rule 12
07/28/2022	Memorandum opinion issued	Affirmed	[ PDF/161 KB ] Memorandum Opinion [ PDF/81 KB ] Notice
07/28/2022	Retention time case file will be retained	Destroy	
07/27/2022	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/116 KB ] Letter Sent

Date	Event Type	Disposition	Document
07/27/2022	Motion to abate appeal filed		[ PDF/402 KB ] Motion to Abate
07/20/2022	Letter received		
04/05/2022	Submitted		
01/20/2022	Set for submission on briefs		[ PDF/92 KB ] Notice
01/05/2022	Notice received		[ PDF/1.80 MB ] Copy of Supreme court Filing [ PDF/307 KB ] Copy of Supreme Court Filing
12/03/2021	Letter received		[ PDF/435 KB ] Relator's Emergency Motion to Extend 1
09/22/2021	Reply brief filed		[ PDF/2.09 MB ] Appellant Reply Brief [ PDF/81 KB ] Notice
09/17/2021	Notice received		[ PDF/157 KB ] Amended Certificate of Service [ PDF/78 KB ] Certificate of Service
09/15/2021	Notice received		[ PDF/3.16 MB ] Copy of Petition
08/31/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/146 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion to Stay [ PDF/80 KB ] Notice
08/30/2021	Motion filed		[ PDF/256 KB ] Appellant Emergency Motion for Ruling
08/27/2021	Appellees brief due		
08/26/2021	Case ready to be set		
08/26/2021	Brief filed - oral argument not requested		[ PDF/499 KB ] Appellee Brief [ PDF/81 KB ] Notice
08/08/2021	Appellees brief due		
08/05/2021	Motion to stay filed		[ PDF/1.28 MB ] Appellant Motion to Stay
08/03/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Denied	[ PDF/151 KB ] Order on Appellant Second Motion Ext [ PDF/80 KB ] Notice
07/30/2021	Motion for extension of time to file brief filed		[ PDF/251 KB ] Appellant Second Motion Extension Am
07/30/2021	Certificate of service filed		[ PDF/39 KB ] Amended Certificate of Service
07/28/2021	Amended brief filed		[ PDF/3.04 MB ] Appellant Amended Brief [ PDF/80 KB ] Notice
07/26/2021	Amended brief due		
07/21/2021	Amended brief due		
07/19/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/146 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion Extension An [ PDF/80 KB ] Notice
07/16/2021	Motion for extension of time to file brief filed		[ PDF/114 KB ] Appellant Motion Extension Amended Br
07/16/2021	Electronic Supplemental Reporter/Recorders Record Filed		[ PDF/84 KB ] Notice
07/15/2021	Electronic Supplemental Clerks Record Filed		[ PDF/86 KB ] Notice
07/13/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/110 KB ] Order on Appellant Motion to Withdraw e [ PDF/82 KB ] Notice
07/12/2021	Motion to withdraw attorney filed		[ PDF/232 KB ] Appellant Motion to Withdraw as Couns
07/12/2021	Motion to file amended brief filed		[ PDF/109 KB ] Appellant Emergency Motion for Permis
07/12/2021	Notice filed		[ PDF/33 KB ] Cover Letter and Notice of Discharge of A
07/09/2021	Brief filed - oral argument not requested		[ PDF/5.66 MB ] Appellant Brief [ PDF/84 KB ] Notice
07/09/2021	Appellants brief due		
07/07/2021	Electronic Supplemental Clerks Record Filed		[ PDF/86 KB ] Notice
06/21/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/143 KB ] Order to Grant Appellant Brief Extensio [ PDF/82 KB ] Notice
06/21/2021	Appellants brief due		
06/18/2021	Motion for extension of time to file brief filed		[ PDF/238 KB ] Appellant Second Motion Extension Bri
06/02/2021	Letter received		
06/02/2021	Additional copies of documents received after initial filing		
06/01/2021	Amended notice of appeal filed		[ PDF/734 KB ] AMEND NOA
06/01/2021	Electronic Supplemental Clerks Record Filed		[ PDF/86 KB ] Notice
06/01/2021	Electronic Supplemental Clerks Record Filed		[ PDF/86 KB ] Notice
06/01/2021	Appearance of counsel		[ PDF/213 KB ] Appellant Notice of Appearance
06/01/2021	Response due		

Date	Event Type	Disposition	Document	
05/21/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/130 KB ]	Order to Grant Emergency Motion to Ex
05/21/2021	Supplemental clerks record due		[ PDF/80 KB ]	Notice
05/20/2021	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/162 KB ]	Second Letter Regarding Amended NO/
			[ PDF/80 KB ]	Notice
05/14/2021	Motion for extension of time to file brief filed		[ PDF/755 KB ]	Motion for extension of time to file appel
05/13/2021	Electronic Supplemental Clerks Record Filed		[ PDF/83 KB ]	Notice
05/12/2021	Appellants brief due			
05/11/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/127 KB ]	Order Granting Motion to Correct the Cl
			[ PDF/81 KB ]	Notice
04/28/2021	Motion filed		[ PDF/1.43 MB ]	Appellant Challenges Clerk's Record C
04/27/2021	Response filed		[ PDF/319 KB ]	Appellant Response Filed
04/26/2021	Response due			
04/14/2021	Letter issued by the court		[ PDF/158 KB ]	Letter Regarding Amended NOA
			[ PDF/80 KB ]	Notice
04/12/2021	Electronic Clerks Record Filed		[ PDF/84 KB ]	Notice
04/12/2021	Clerks record due			
04/09/2021	Corrected document requested		[ PDF/85 KB ]	Notice
04/09/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/130 KB ]	Order grants county clerk extension
			[ PDF/81 KB ]	Notice
04/09/2021	Clerks record due			
04/08/2021	Notice filed		[ PDF/1.16 MB ]	Appellant Notice Filed
04/08/2021	Extension of time to file clerks record filed		[ PDF/264 KB ]	Extension Request Clerk's Record
04/07/2021	Extension of time to file clerks record filed		[ PDF/184 KB ]	Third Extension Request Clerk's Record
03/31/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/161 KB ]	Order to Grant Motion for Extension Cle
			[ PDF/81 KB ]	Notice
03/31/2021	Response filed		[ PDF/416 KB ]	Appellee Response to Appellant Motion
03/30/2021	Letter filed		[ PDF/126 KB ]	Letter of Non-Payment
03/30/2021	Clerks record due			
03/29/2021	Motion for extension of time to file record filed		[ PDF/31 KB ]	Appellant Cover Letter for Motion to Fil
			[ PDF/1.86 MB ]	Appellant's Emergency Motion for Exte
03/18/2021	Clerks record due			
03/16/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/124 KB ]	Order Granting County Clerk Extension
			[ PDF/81 KB ]	Notice
03/15/2021	Extension of time to file clerks record filed		[ PDF/182 KB ]	Second Extension Request Clerk's Recd
02/23/2021	Order entered	Motion or Writ Granted	[ PDF/117 KB ]	Order Granting District Clerk Extension I
			[ PDF/82 KB ]	Notice
02/16/2021	Record due			
02/12/2021	Notice filed		[ PDF/756 KB ]	Notice Filed
02/12/2021	Extension of time to file clerks record filed		[ PDF/152 KB ]	Request for Extension to File Clerk Rec
02/09/2021	Letter filed		[ PDF/413 KB ]	Appellant Challenges the Reporter's Re
01/20/2021	Electronic Reporter/Recorders Record Filed		[ PDF/85 KB ]	Notice
01/12/2021	Letter filed		[ PDF/150 KB ]	Appellant Letter Confirm Receipt
01/12/2021	Docketing statement filed		[ PDF/2.46 MB ]	DS
01/06/2021	Fee paid		[ PDF/82 KB ]	Notice
12/30/2020	Fee requested		[ PDF/84 KB ]	Notice
12/30/2020	Docketing statement due		[ PDF/84 KB ]	Notice
12/30/2020	Notice of appeal filed in court of appeals		[ PDF/784 KB ]	NOA
			[ PDF/93 KB ]	Notice
12/28/2020	Notice of appeal filed in trial court			
12/18/2020	Judgment signed by trial court judge			

CALENDARS

**Set Date**  
07/25/2023  
07/28/2028

**Calendar Type**  
Case Stored  
Retention

**Reason Set**  
Case stored  
Date civil case will be destroyed (6 yrs after  
mandate)

## **PARTIES**

**Party**  
Thompson Hutson, Hilary  
D'Olivio, Brigetta

**PartyType**  
Appellee  
Appellant

**Representative**  
Bruce David Cohen  
Brigetta D'Olivio  
Niles S. Illich

## **TRIAL COURT INFORMATION**

Court  
County Court at Law No. 2  
County  
Collin  
Court Judge  
Honorable Barnett Walker  
Court Case  
002-02704-2020  
Reporter  
Kristen Kopp  
Punishment

**SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX - 5**



July 27, 2023

Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 772802567100

---

**Delivery Information:**

---

<b>Status:</b>	Delivered	<b>Delivered To:</b>	Mailroom
<b>Signed for by:</b>	K.HACKERSON	<b>Delivery Location:</b>	
<b>Service type:</b>	FedEx Priority Overnight		
<b>Special Handling:</b>	Deliver Weekday		WASHINGTON, DC,
		<b>Delivery date:</b>	Jul 24, 2023 10:19

---

**Shipping Information:**

---

<b>Tracking number:</b>	772802567100	<b>Ship Date:</b>	Jul 21, 2023
		<b>Weight:</b>	6.0 LB/2.72 KG
<b>Recipient:</b>		<b>Shipper:</b>	
WASHINGTON, DC, US,		PLANO, TX, US,	

Signature image is available. In order to view image and detailed information, the shipper or payor account number of the shipment must be provided.

Thank you for choosing FedEx