|        | 11   |  |  |
|--------|--|--|--|
| 1      | Norma Ortiz Fernandez  |  |  |
| 2      | 2108 Garnet Circle<br>Vallejo, CA, 94591<br>(707) 624-5605                             |  |  |
| 4<br>5 | Email address: normaortiz88@yahoo  | .com   |  |
| 6      | Norma Ortiz Fernandez, IN PRO PER  | ₹  |  |
| 7      | SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES   |  |  |
| 8      |  | 19   |  |
| 9      | Norma Ortiz Fernandez, Appellant (Plaintiff),  | <ul><li>Supreme Court of the United States Case No.:</li><li>Supreme Court of California Case No.: \$283027</li></ul>        |  |
| 11     |  | <ul> <li>Court of Appeal, First Appellate District D2: A162542</li> <li>Solano County Superior Ct. No.: FCS039298</li> </ul> |  |
| 12     |  | ) APPELLANT'S APPLICATION REQUESTING   |  |
| 13     | vs.  | <ul> <li>PERMISSION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO</li> <li>FILE WRIT OF CERTIORARI.</li> </ul>                                 |  |
| 14     | La Clinica,  |  |  |
| 15     | Respondent (Defendant).  | )<br>)   |  |
| 16     |  |  |  |
| 17     |  | · ·  |  |
| 18     |  |  |  |
| 19     | On Appeal from the Judgmen   | nt of the Superior Court of California, County of Solano and   |  |
| 20     | subsequent appeal courts. The Hono   | orable Circuit Justice of the Supreme Court of the United  |  |
| 21     | States, Elena Kagan, Respectfully S  | ubmitted.  |  |
| 22     | ADDELL ANTES ADDLICATION D   |  |  |
| 23     | TIME TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIC  | EQUESTING PERMISSION FOR AN EXTENSION OF ORARI.  |  |
| 24     |  |  |  |
| 25     | I, appellant, Norma Ortiz Fernar   | dez, respectfully request that this court please grant Appellant'  |  |
| 26     | Application Requesting Permission For An Extension of Time to File Writ of Certiorari. |  |  |
| 27     |  |  |  |
| 28     |  |  |  |
|        | APPELLANT'S APPLICATION RITIME TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIC                                  | EQUESTING PERMISSION FOR AN EXTENSION OF DRARI.  |  |

I. On January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2024, the Supreme Court of California issued the denial of Appellant's Petition for Review, attached hereto, labeled, "EXHIBIT 01, PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIAL."

II. On January 19<sup>th</sup>, 2024, appellant received via standard mail, United States Postal Service, a copy of the order which states, "The Petition for Review is denied," January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2024," case number **S283027**.

- III. Appellant wishes to seek review to this highest court as a matter of law, petition/writ of Certiorari, as I believe it is intrinsically important not only to this cause of action, but also to other large groups of people, including but not limited to those in the state of California and nationally (public interest).
- IV. Appellant has 90-days from the date of the denial from the Supreme Court of California to request relief to the Supreme Court of the United States via Writ of Certiorari.
- V. 90-days from January 17<sup>th</sup>, 2024, would be April 16<sup>th</sup>, 2024, according to a google count between these two dates, and according to clerical staff of the Supreme Court of The United States.
- VI. I have read the rules of court located in your website for Writs of Certiorari and other (and have tried my best to understand the content at my level of understanding).
- VII. I am a pro per and don't do this for a living. I also don't have experience on how to effectively provide a clear legal analysis of the issues being sought.
- VIII. Nevertheless, I will never give up and pray that one day this court could, at the very least, grant me the opportunity to be heard, due process. I will continue to advocate in this legal matter because it is the right thing to do.
- IX. The light of hope is still there. The thrive for positive change keeps me going in this journey. I have made it here and the path has been an ongoing learning experience. I am not the same person.
- X. The outcome derived from the denial of the petition of review at the Supreme Court of California and earlier orders/decisions from the respective lower courts is impacting the lives of my family, including my daughter and self.
- XI. Appellant strongly believes that the appeal court was misled and inaccurately applied the facts of this case depriving appellant of a disposition on the merits, resulting in a miscarriage of justice.
- XII. I need more time to complete this highly important document. I have been doing research and extensive readings. I have also been following the rules of court regarding format, length, and procedure in my draft of appellant's writ of certiorari to the best of my abilities.
  - XIII. I am requesting a 60-day extension for time from the date of the present request.

XIV. Among other items, appellant has started a master's degree program in Leadership.

XV. I am a single parent and have multiple parental responsibilities, including afterschool activities.

XVI. I am also advocating in diverse topics of interest and importance in California.

XVII. I also work.

XVIII. Respondent will not be prejudiced.

**XIX.** Conclusion: For these reasons outlined, appellant feels, there is good cause to favorably grant the present request.

I declare under oath and penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above information has been indicated to the best of my abilities and beliefs.

**DATE:** April 16th, 2024

Norma Ortiz Fernandez

Appellant, Plaintiff

IN PRO PER

## SUPREME COURT FILED

Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, Division Two - No. A162542

JAN 17 2024

S283027

Jorge Navarrete Cler

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

Deputy

En Banc

NORMA ORTIZ-FERNANDEZ, Plaintiff and Appellant,

٧.

LA CLINICA, Defendant and Respondent.

The petition for review is denied.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

| 1        | BELOW IS ORDER PERTAINING TO:  |
|----------|--|
| 2        |  |
| 3        | PROPOSED ORDER, THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.  |
| 4        | Appellant's Application Requesting Permission for an Extension of Time to File Writ of Certiorari. |
| 5        |  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        | GRANTED  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       | DENIED   |
| 11       |  |
| 12       | DATE:  |
| 13       | 8  |
| 14       |  |
| 15       |  |
| 16       |  |
| 17       |  |
| 18       | SIGNATURE OF CIRCUIT JUSTICE, UNITED STATES  |
| 19<br>20 | SUPREME COURT, ELENA KAGAN   |
| 21       |  |
| 22       |  |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |
| 26       |  |
| 27       |  |
| 28       | ADDELL ANTIC ADDITION DEOLIECTING DEDITION DOD AN EXPENSE OF                                       |
|          | APPELLANT'S APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIORARI. |

28

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

1

27

28

2 Supreme Court of the United States, case number: 3 California Supreme Court Case No.: S283027 Appeal Case No.: A162542, 4 Solano Superior Court, Case No.: FCS039298 5 Ortiz Fernandez vs. La Clinica de la Raza 6 7 I, Angelica Parra Tellez, reside in the County of Napa, State of California. I am over 8 the age of 18, I am not a party in this action. My address is 2163 Ethel Porter Drive, 9 Napa, CA, 94558. 10 On April 16th, 2024, served the following document(s) attached described as: 11 1) APPELLANT'S APPLICATION REQUESTING PERMISSION FOR AN EXTENSION 12 OF TIME TO FILE WRIT OF CERTIORARI. 13 AND 14 2) PROOF OF SERVICE. 15 **USPS:** I deposited the present bundle in a sealed envelope addressed to **Mr. Steven** 16 **Disharoon**, La Clinica's attorney of record to: Attorney of Record, Mr. Steven Rob Disharoon. 17 Wood Smith Henning & Berman, 18 201 First Street, Suite 209, 19 Petaluma, CA 94952 20 Respondent, Defendant. 21 The Original and 10 copies to the Supreme Court of The United States. 22 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 23 foregoing is true and correct. 24 Executed on April 16th, 2024, Napa, California 25 26

Angelica Parra Tellez