

No. _____

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

PAUL SILADI,

Petitioner,

v.

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, AS
TRUSTEE FOR WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH
CERTIFICATE SERIES 2005-AR6

Respondent

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
A PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT

To the Honorable Sonia Sotomayor, Associate Justice
Of the Supreme Court of the United States and Circuit
Justice for the Second Circuit.

Paul Siladi
66 Augusta Drive
Milford, CT 06461
(203) 219-2160

To the Honorable Sonia Sotomayor, as Circuit Justice for the Second Circuit:

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rules 13.5, the applicant, Paul Siladi, respectfully requests a sixty-day extension of the time in which to petition this Court for a writ of certiorari to the Supreme Court of the State of Connecticut for the Petition of Certification which was denied on February 15, 2024. Mr. Siladi, pro se is filing for an extension of time to search for an attorney who has practiced successfully before the U. S. Supreme Court to represent him in this action.

On the other hand, if the 77 year old Petitioner is unsuccessful in obtaining legal counsel in this matter he will need the additional time requested to prepare his petition for a writ of certiorari. Without an extension the petition for writ of certiorari is due on May 15, 2024. Applicant files this application more than 10 days before that date in compliance with Supreme Court Rule 13.5. The sixty day extension requested by the Applicant would extend the due date to July 15, 2024. This Court will have jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1257

BACKGROUND

The Case was commenced by the Respondent Deutsche Bank National Trust Company as Trustee for WAMU Mortgage Pass- Through Certificate Series 2005-AR6 (hereinafter DBNTC) alleging to be the holder of the subject Mortgage and Note. However, a material issue ignored by the CT Superior Court is that DBNTC did not actually have standing to initiate this Foreclosure action. According to the Pooling and Servicing Agreement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission dated May 11, 2005 the entity Entitled to pursue foreclosure is the Servicer not the Trustee

DBNTC claims to be the Trustee. The Pooling and Servicing Agreement clearly vests the rights to initiate and pursue foreclosure to another entity; the Servicer. JP Morgan Chase Bank, NA, who the Respondent DBNTC has asserted had the rights to assign the subject Mortgage to them has admitted in the United States District Court of Massachusetts otherwise. Petitioner will provide supporting documents and citations in his forthcoming writ of certiorari to the JP Morgan Chase Bank admission as to the falsely alleged ownership of the subject Mortgage and Note.

Over one year before DBNTC commence this action the Note associated with this action was discharged in a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy prohibiting DBNTC or any other entity from enforcing the subject Note as to the Petitioner.

Petitioner timely filed Special Defenses to the original Complaint challenging DBNTC's standing to pursue this foreclosure action. Nearly two years after commencement DBNTC, having never been required to respond to the Special Defenses of the petitioner by the CT Superior Court, filed a Motion for Summary Judgment over the Objections of the Petitioner. On a Motion by the Petitioner the Superior Court ordered Discovery to proceed. However, when he attempted to pursue discovery DBNTC Motioned the Court and was granted Protection Orders prohibiting Petitioner from questioning DBNTC as to the acquisition of the subject Mortgage and Note and how it had standing to initiate and pursue the foreclosure according to the Pooling and Servicing Agreement which created the Trust.

Despite the fact that a trial had not been held and many material issues had not been addressed by the Court; in December, 2016 the CT Superior Court granted Summary Judgment and soon after in March, 2017 foreclosed on the Petitioner's home. All the triable issues raised by the Petitioner were

ignored and a trial was never held.

The CT Superior Court in granting Summary Judgment and Strict Foreclosure without conducting a trial or even an evidentiary hearing at which the Petitioner could, as provided for in the 5th and 14th Amendments to the U.S. Constitution and as construed by the U.S. Supreme Court, ASSERT his due process rights to:

1. Be heard in a meaningful time and manner.
2. Present evidence including the right to call expert witnesses.
3. Cross examine adverse witnesses and affiants.
4. Receive written findings of fact for tribunal decisions.

These proceedings stripped the petitioner of his rights guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution. In particular as to the denial of a trial and the Superior Court's granting protective orders denying his right to pursue discovery and cross examine witnesses and affiants.

Petitioner's Appeals as to the Respondent's standing to pursue this litigation and the violations of Petitioner's due process rights in the CT Appellate and CT Supreme Courts were Denied without any written findings of fact or reference to existing state or federal law. These rulings by the CT Appellate Courts will be challenged by the Petitioner as to their compliance with the 5th and 14th Amendments of the U. S. Constitution by the Petitioner in his forthcoming Writ of Certiorari.

REASONS FOR GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME

1. Since the decision by the Connecticut Supreme Court was issued on February 15, 2024 the Applicant has been educating himself as to the procedures and legal research involved in preparing a petition for writ of certiorari.

Applicant is in the process of finding an attorney with suitable experience who Is willing to assist him in this case. Additional time is necessary to find an attorney or in the worst case scenario for Petitioner to study the record and the legal issues involved in this case and prepare a petition for writ of certiorari on his own.

2. There is a reasonable prospect that this Court will grant the petition. The blatant disregard by the Connecticut Judicial System of the Petitioners due process rights as guaranteed by the 5th and 14th Amendment to the U.S. Constitution in this matter is so egregious that if presented to the Court properly it is certainly possible that this Court may grant the petition.

JURISDICTION

This Court's jurisdiction would be invoked under 28 U.S.C. Section 1257

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Applicant respectfully requests that this Court grant him a 60-day extension of time, to and including July 15, 2024 within which to file a writ of certiorari.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul Siladi, Pro Se
66 Augusta Drive
Milford, CT 06461
203 219-2160
Email: snep10@aol.com

FINAL DECISION OF THE CONNECTICUT SUPREME COURT ATTACHED



SUPREME COURT
STATE OF CONNECTICUT

PSC-230135

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY

v.

PAUL SILADI

ORDER ON PETITION FOR CERTIFICATION TO APPEAL

The defendant's petition for certification to appeal from the Appellate Court, (AC 46534), is denied.

Paul A. Siladi, self-represented, in support of the petition.
Geraldine A. Cheverko, in opposition.

Decided February 15, 2024

By the Court,

/s/

Sarah Howard
Assistant Clerk - Appellate

Notice Sent: February 15, 2024
Petition Filed: August 14, 2023
Hon. Walter M. Spader, Jr.
Clerk, Superior Court, NNH-CV13-6037510-S
Clerk, Appellate Court
Reporter of Judicial Decisions
Staff Attorneys' Office
Counsel of Record

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

NO _____

PAUL SILADI, *Applicant*


v.

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY
AS TRUSTEE FOR WAMU MORTGAGE PASS-THROUGH
CERTIFICATE SERIES 2005-AR6, *Respondent*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Rule 29.5 of the Rules of this Court, I certify that all parties required to be served have been served. On March 4, 2024 I caused a copy of an Application for an Extension of Time To File Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Connecticut Supreme Court to be served by first class mail, postage prepaid to the following:

Eckert Seamans Cherin & Mellot, LLC
Geraldine A. Cheverko, Esq.
10 Bank Street. Suite 700
White Plains, NY 10606
Tel: (914) 286-2812
Fax: (914) 949-5424
Email: gserverko@eckertseamans.com



Paul Siladi
66 Augusta Drive
Milford, CT 06461
Tel: (203) 219-2160
Email: snep10@aol.com