

No. 23A 739

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Arina Collier and for the son — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Governor Newsom — RESPONDENT(S)

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

The petitioner asks leave to file the attached Emergency Application without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Please check the appropriate boxes:

Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the following court(s):

US Supreme Court 2022 Collier v. U/Berkeley case
Federal Circuit Court of Appeals Collier v. Trump 23-2052

Petitioner has **not** previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in any other court.

Petitioner's affidavit or declaration in support of this motion is attached hereto.

Petitioner's affidavit or declaration is **not** attached because the court below appointed counsel in the current proceeding, and:

The appointment was made under the following provision of law: _____, or

a copy of the order of appointment is appended.

ll
(Signature)

**AFFIDAVIT OR DECLARATION
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS***

I, Arina Collier, am the petitioner in the above-entitled case. In support of my motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of this case or to give security therefor; and I believe I am entitled to redress.

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly amount during the past 12 months		Amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>≈ 300,000</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>≈ 300,000</u>
Self-employment	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Interest and dividends	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Gifts	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Alimony	\$ <u>6640</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>
Child Support	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>millions</u>
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Unemployment payments	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>
Other (specify): _____	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Total monthly income:	\$ <u>6640</u>	\$ <u>≈ 300,000</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>	\$ <u>≈ 300,000</u>

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
<u>not applicable</u>	_____	_____	\$ _____
_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
_____	_____	_____	\$ _____

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
<u>UF</u>	<u>Gainesville, FL</u>	<u>~1990-2024</u>	\$ <u>~300,000</u>
_____	_____	_____	\$ _____
_____	_____	_____	\$ _____

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$ _____

Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Type of account (e.g., checking or savings)	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
<u>checking</u>	\$ <u>~2,000</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
<u>savings</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
<u>trust fund</u>	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Husband: many assets, secreted value

Home
Value ~300,000

Other real estate
Value unknown

Motor Vehicle #1
Year, make & model Jeep
Value ~10,000

Motor Vehicle #2
Year, make & model Toyota
Value ~2,000

Other assets
Description secreted assets
Value unknown

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money	Amount owed to you	Amount owed to your spouse
<u>husband</u>	\$ <u>divisible assets</u>	\$ _____
<u>unknown</u>	\$ _____	\$ <u>unknown</u>
<u>husband</u>	\$ <u>unpaid alimony</u> <u>August - October '23</u>	\$ _____

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support. For minor children, list initials instead of names (e.g. "J.S." instead of "John Smith").

Name	Relationship	Age
<u>Cooper Collier</u>	<u>son</u>	<u>22 student</u>
_____	_____	<u>currently slave</u>
_____	_____	<u>laborer at Qualcomm</u> <u>with cash salary</u>

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, or annually to show the monthly rate.

	You	Your spouse
Rent or home-mortgage payment (include lot rented for mobile home)	<u>eviction situation</u> <u>not allowed to pay rent</u> \$ _____	\$ <u>≈ 500</u>
Are real estate taxes included? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
Is property insurance included? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)	<u>\$1,000</u> \$ <u>owed to utilities</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)	\$ <u>0.00</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Food	\$ <u>≈ 500</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Clothing	\$ <u>≈ 10</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Laundry and dry-cleaning	\$ <u>≈ 5</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>
Medical and dental expenses	\$ <u>0-1000</u>	\$ <u>unknown</u>

Statement of the Case

Collier v. Newsom

In the fall of 2020 when I blew the whistle on Fraud Waste and Abuse at UC Berkeley, I knew Stanford is involved, and so is Mensa, I knew my husband is at the bottom of it all, I knew at the top somebody was so powerful, lawyers were afraid to spell their names. Now I know principal names: Trump, governors of Fl. & Ca., president of Stanford, provost of Harvard, general Flynn. Retaliation for my whistleblowing is still on. My husband' ties, leading to the January 6 insurrection, are now preparing for the next one, plotted in the open against all three branches when Trump loses to Biden again, are dead serious about the next brewing coup. The opposition in Collier v. Newsom Et. Al. and Collier v. Trump Et. Al., backing my husband, is determined to wipe the victims/witnesses to the string of penal code violations without statute of limitations off the face of the Earth.

collins v. Newsom

All lower tribunals in States' and US Courts, all government agencies and departments claim lack of jurisdiction, or simply refuse to enforce powers of their offices.

Hate crimes (religiously motivated) underlie the case. My child is still in organized by my husband' family and carried out by faith-based police constructive custody reformatory- reeducation religious involuntary boot camp, with forced labor- modern day slavery. I am thrown in jail repeatedly by faith-based police, all under the Patriot (Freedom) Act' powers, misused and reinterpreted by countless loyalists of Trump. One can't reason with cult-like loyalty to violent religious fanaticism, it just is. My child is in slavery, both of us are in unconstitutional constructive custody internment style; no crime, no good cause, just blatant misuse of power. No freedom to leave the state of Ca., and not allowed to reunite in our safe@home flat in Ca. I have not seen my son in 8 months, he is not allowed to send a selfie.

In February 2020 my husband knew our son's recorded voice was used by traffickers, he used it to convince me he spoke with our son in covering up truth about the child's slavery which he called "volunteering". Today, synthesizing my sons voice is as easy as downloading chat GPT app. I have no real way of confirming if my son is still around; his kidnapping this time was organized by the same team that concocted perjury in judge Church' court and perjury in US Court, the latter dating back to the GAO case covered up under misused government privilege seal, which in turn recycles back to Trump's state department during February 2020, and to the 2016. Contempt of court and Title 9 violations(2018) are also covered up under misused government privileges in this case.

Crimes against myself and my child were conceived in my husbands mind and carried out by government officials, and by US chamber of commerce dignitaries in government-funded UC Berkeley and in privately operated Stanford on Ca.' soil. Government privileges were invoked in covering up the crimes reported to every judge in this case' lower tribunals from states' to federal courts for 6 years straight.

Educational discrimination of my son on campus of UC Berkeley was brutal. He was admitted as honors courses premed student, but ended



Collier v. Newson

up from day one in religious radicalization white Christian nationalist boot camp-human trafficking of extension school instead, at 16y.o. His previous academic history included taking SAT math subject test at 9y.o., scoring above average, being featured on ABC news, and taking biology honors course at UF at the same age. He took physics Sat. at 11, scored even higher than general SAT at 13 and scored near the highest, at 14 he took MCAT and got invited to 35 medical schools before entering college, at 15 he took ACT and scored perfectly. At 21y.o. on 8.15.23. He retook MCAT and scored above perfect, the evaluator couldn't determine how much higher his true score went, as machines don't measure above 100%.

At 9y.o. he proved to be on par with older classmates in UF honors natural science course. At 15y.o. he was ready to complete two years of community college in one academic year, but college did not allow it. He didn't need more than two years at UC Berkeley to finish four years of academic work; he was astonished at how easy science courses at Berkeley are, he helped all in class with homework, but got first intentionally reduced grade instead. Reduced grades and doing everyone's homework on Piazza App followed him through all semesters. First two years were not even on main campus, extension school fraud and daily slavery assignments happened in UC Berkeley, but were conceived while still in San Diego 2016 by paternal family.

Every court case in the last 6 years - state, federal, municipal, civil, criminal, family, small claims, lower and appellate- dealt with serious federal infractions and contempt of state court, that were always classified as civil matters, regardless of documents attesting to violent crimes against DV survivors- mom and son. When case was filed in criminal court, it reversed victim and offender as if by mistake, only no judge corrected it, record in San Diego superior court still lists Irina Collier as restrained DVRO offender, while verbally clerks assured Irina that all 3 charges brought by "victim" Chad White were already dropped since they represented only Chad's perjury and fraud in judges chambers.

Judicial conflict of interest runs red thread through the fabric of this case, with continued Contempt of Court from Ca. Superior in San Jose

Collier v. Newsom

case, with continued Contempt of Court from Ca. Superior in San Jose to Fl. Superior in Gainesville, to Circuit 9 appellate district, and up to the federal circuit appellate Court in Washington D.C. The conflict reflects no unintentional errors in judgement, and presents sufficient evidence of rulings based in judges' family, political, religious affiliation and/or financial interests. The latter constitutes insurmountable obstacle to law and justice in all lower tribunals- Contempt of Court, Habeas Writs, Title 9 and 13th amendment violations are continuously ignored - exposing plaintiff's family to repeated false imprisonment and delivery of the final deadly harm. None of the judges above upheld best interests of the child in this case, all know who protects violent offender of record nationwide from 2016 to today. Direct harm to child's life and health is seared in 8 years - a third of child's life today- spent in reformatory hell, culminating in kidnapped existence as crypto mining slave now; responsibility for the hell is shared by all co-conspirators in all related to Collier v. Newsom: [23-25211](#) cases. Every judge who insisted on shredding the fabric of related cases into unconnected threads knew exactly why they did it, for whom, and how to get away with Contempt of Court in their chambers; they simply obstruct all evidence of contempt and bold criminality, or refuse to produce records of hearings with perjuries and frauds in their chambers. The scope of coverup in this case includes cases without immunity and without statute of limitations in two states and Washington DC. Banking fraud, and hate crime circled the globe in this case.

My son is still kept hostage in broad day light , surrounded by our opposition in court, all alone against organized group united with greed, hate and propensity for violence . He is a survivor of physical tortures and assaults that can't be described openly ; there are real repercussions for recounting. We both learned about repercussions the hardest way.

Case 23-1248 : Collier v. Trump ,(related to 23-2052, and to 23-170, and to [23- 25211](#), 23-6445, 23-678, 18DV000161) was dismissed by the chief judge Sabraw of US District court , San Diego . My initial case in chief Sabraw's court reads: "Regardless of an apparent conflict of interest in District Attorney Sabraw's domain, any court has jurisdiction



Collier v. Newson

interest in District Attorney Sabraw's domain, any court has jurisdiction to apply the law in interstate contempt of court case".

Chief US Court judge Sabraw failed to honorably recuse, indeed bypassed random assignment as soon as he saw his wife's name in the case related to perjury and fraud in court-based matter: m287263.

Judge Church - former US Attorney in chief Sabraw's court presided over the perjury in D.A. Sabraw's territory.

Chief Sabraw' wife - first ignored my reports of DVRO violations, then created a TRO against me. Over five months of impunity for perjury and fraud in San Diego courts a DVRO was created against me. The DVRO went through several reincarnations: judge Davis downgraded it back to TRO, the same week TRO was turned to DVRO again, the next trumped up charge can feature any imaginable offense, the sentence is a solid promise of death penalty in penitentiary. The jury is out on the speed of execution, but not on certainty of the ultimate penalty for report of Title 9 violations, hate crimes and whistleblower retaliation in Ca., FL, and Washington D.C. The name of the "victim" in crimes I'm charged with by Sabraw' circle is Chad White, he is a federal BAR criminal defense attorney for opposition. Judge Church and Chad White are members of the same federal BAR association in San Diego, along with more than a dozen Ca. and US Courts judges, all of whom turned blind eye to Contempt of Court case in their chambers.

Chad impersonated apartment administrator of my son' and my rented flat. He filed a TRO in District Attorney Sabraw court services for victims department. I asked for help in that department in January 2023 as DV survivors, seeking protection under DVRO 18dv000161. D.A. Sabraw allowed undercover attorney for my opposition in case 23-1185, (related to Collier v. Ucb Berkeley whistleblower retaliation case- Qui Tam 22-15369- from 9th circuit appellate, under seal), Chad White, to file TRO in the wrong jurisdiction, under assumed identity, and in a fabricated claim. D.A. Sabraw and her husband dismissed all my Habeas Corpus Writs in their respective jurisdictions, resulting in my repeated jailing simply for living in apartment operated by UCBERKELEY and a Veterans Administration contractee. My son was ordered to live only in UC Berkeley section 8 rental if he wanted to get his UCB diploma:

Collier v. Newsom

only in UC Berkeley section 8 rental if he wanted to get his UCB diploma: live under UCB control and keep slaving, keep following orders of Chad White and the VA police, keep with the reformatory-dictated gag order about his 6 years long involuntary labor— if he wanted to ever be free again.

8.31.23. Judge Davis temporarily declared DVRO against me to not be valid, she stated that case against me is a misdemeanor trespassing within 20 feet of victim Chad on territory of my rented apartment. On 12.8.23. It was still unclear if misdemeanor trespass TRO against me by Chad White is back in force, or if it is upgraded to DVRO felony offense again, or if the newest perjury and fraud by the government officials— under the color of white, doctors and nurses, falsely signing under untrue statements, is going to pass the test of the people fed up with corruption, fed up with seeing too many sane folk thrown in confinements to please insanely bloated brain of Melendez's and Trumps around. The next time I disappear without a trace, it'll be internment in psych unit as presenting some danger to the likes of my husband. He is surrounded with money, power and crème of the crop law firms, but scared of a judicial panel. He was never scared of me or our child. Facing law on laws terms terrifies daylight out of professor Collier—violent offender of record.

Opposition in Collier v. Trump (Newsom - governor of California, and DeSantis the Governor of Fl., & Charles Collier names are among co-conspirators) is never going to present response brief in this case. Documents attest to opposition ignoring all courts from state to federal, to appellate federal circuit and to the US Supreme Court. No one is above the law according to States' and the US Constitution, though opposition's behavior proves that at least two governors put their faith in Trump's pardons over the law in this case.

Respectfully,

lc 1.26.24

Questions Presented

1. Whether former president Trump and sitting governors have immunity from accountability for Whistleblower Retaliation in Title IX Violation report, where the victim of sexual assault and human trafficking was undraped?
2. Whether First Amendment right - Freedom of Speech - on campuses of Berkeley and Stanford was violated when a humanist student was gag ordered by the administrators of both universities, as the student was placed in reformatory boot camp of white christian extremists instead of the main campus education at UC Berkeley, where he was admitted at 16 y. o. as medicine and science major.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1st Amendment Freedom of Speech and of Religion
14th Amendment Bill of Rights; Immunity Question
13th Amendment Antislavery

Jurisdictional provisions

This Application is timely filed: Ca. Supreme Court dismissed \$282929 and \$282951 on 1.10.24; Federal Circuit of Appeals cases 23-2052 and 23-2420 were dismissed on 12.15.23; The original case 18DV000161: interstate Domestic Violence Restraining Order - is still pending in Ca. - proved impossible to enforce in three Circuits: FL., Ca., and the Federal Circuit Appellate Court - without the answer to the question of immunity to the government officials involved.

Original jurisdiction of this Honorable Court is invoked under Rule 14.1(a)(b), and the 28 U.S. Code § 1251(a)(B)

(1)

(2)

(3).

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[M] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Summer Sabraw, Dana Sabraw
Alana Robinson, Todd Robinson
Chief Judge Marguia 9th Circuit
Charles Wade Collier
Bruce Ritchie, Glenn Ritchie, Robert Ritchie
Daniel Sweeney

The list above is not exhaustive, an amended list is forthcoming

RELATED CASES: all dismissed without a hearing

24-cv-22 US Court San Diego
23-2420 Federal Circuit Court of Appeals
23-2052 Federal Circuit Court of Appeals

Cases blocked from transfer to the MDL Panel for consolidation include: US Florida: 123-167; and the US District Court in Washington D.C.: 23-1820 — Collier v. Trump.

23-170: US Court San Diego — Collier v. Collier

Directly related, dismissed by Clerk cases:

23-1185 - Federal Circuit Court of Appeals

23-1442 - Federal Circuit Court of Appeals

23-7357 - US Supreme Court 2023

Collier v. UC Berkeley US Supreme Court 2022

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

None of the lower tribunals can answer the question of immunity to Trump, Governors, and / or any other American government official for crimes committed in this civil case by the defendants.

Indeed, even civil liability is inapplicable, according to State and US Judiciary in this case, when their names include Donald Trump, Governors Newsom and DeSantis, names of the states' and US Judges, Scientology and Mormon churches, president of Stanford and provost of Harvard.

California Supreme Court and the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals declined to answer the answer the Constitutional questions in this case putting lives of Petitioner and her son in limbo, leaving lives at the mercy of offenders.

Reasons for granting the writ
Collier v. Newsom

12.15.23.

June 18, 2023 I saw my child for the last time. He was kidnapped, Chad White ordered him to run as fast as he could to the specified location for ongoing human trafficker' assignment, or be thrown in jail. Less than a month later I was thrown in jail by Chad White.

Evidence confirms Chad perjured in court, and kidnapped my son. Perjury is easily supported by his true address, found in his drivers license. If Chad didn't lie about his true address, it would have been impossible for him to kidnap my son and to throw me in jail illegally, and to control our banking, all while paid by the State of Ca. for damage control work— for Whistleblower retaliation.

Retaliation started back in 2018 with the first report of title 9 violation on campus of UC Berkeley. Regent Newsome' retaliation for reporting title 9 violation to his police force started

Reasons



Collier v. Newson

9 violation to his police force started with an order to police stating to not take any crime reports from me ever. The totality of the order destroyed my sons and my court order protecting us from domestic violence; no matter how severe DVRO violations are we can not get police response in Ca.

Fact that my son is not allowed to send a selfie photo in more than half a year, combined with the fact of his voice being either pre-recorded or synthesized three years ago when his traffickers kept him against his will in Ca., leaves me wondering if my son is still alive. If he is, traffickers control his life, not allowing him out of their site, and still forcing him to mine crypto; all under threats of repeat violence. He is not allowed to leave Ca., not allowed to talk about who and why controls his life.

Personal Emergency Application to Her
Honor Justice E. Kagan.

CONCLUSION

The *Emergency Application* should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

 lc *Arina Collier*

Date: *1. 6 24*

February six, 2024 lc

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Brina Collier — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

VS.

Newsom — RESPONDENT(S)

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, _____, do swear or declare that on this date, _____, 20____, as required by Supreme Court Rule 29 I have served the enclosed MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS* and PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI on each party to the above proceeding or that party's counsel, and on every other person required to be served, by depositing an envelope containing the above documents in the United States mail properly addressed to each of them and with first-class postage prepaid, or by delivery to a third-party commercial carrier for delivery within 3 calendar days.

The names and addresses of those served are as follows:

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on 1.24, 2024

All attempts to serve were sabotaged by Newsom.

llc

(Signature)

Service by a private party on Cal Governor was attempted first in 2020, it was rejected by Attorney General Becerra then, and Bonta ignored service in 2023.

Appendix I

Ca. Supreme Court orders

§282929 and §282951

The Honorable Court declined
to answer the question
of immunity to Governor
Newsom for crimes
in this case

SUPREME COURT
FILED

JAN 10 2024

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

S282929

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

IRINA COLLIER, Petitioner,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CONTRA COSTA COUNTY et al., Respondents;

GAVIN NEWSOM, Real Party in Interest.

The petition for writ of mandamus and application for stay are denied.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

SUPREME COURT
FILED

JAN 10 2024

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

S282951

Deputy

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

En Banc

In re IRINA COLLIER on Habeas Corpus.

The petition for writ of habeas corpus and application for stay are denied.

GUERRERO

Chief Justice

**Additional material
from this filing is
available in the
Clerk's Office.**