

Case No _____

In the Supreme Court of The United States

Curtis D. Vaughn

v.

Missouri State University Administrator Sean M. Flannery, The Board
of Governors of Missouri State University, Missouri State University
Information Services (Missouri State University)

**ON APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE WRIT OF
CERTIORARI FROM THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS**

**Application to The Constitutional Scholar and Honorable Justice
Kavanaugh on Application for Extension of Time to File Writ of
Certiorari For the Eighth Circuit Court**

Pro-Se Plaintiff

Service to be Made: Opposing Counsel

Curtis Dwayne Vaughn

Bryan O. Wade

417-718-0156

417-268-4000

vau123@live.missouristate.edu


bryan.wade@huschblackwell.com

1825 East Republic Rd. Apt 6404

3810 E Sunshine Ste 300

Springfield, Missouri 65804

Springfield, Missouri 65809



FACTS:

On Vaughn: Curtis Vaughn, is a 2022 graduate of Missouri State University. In 2022—he was a student of Missouri State University—and broke from studies to focus on the court case—but intends a return to Missouri State University to finish the second bachelor's degree.

Vaughn has multiple debilitating cognitive, communication disabilities. He lives with high functioning autism, severe adhd, writing disabilities, depressive anxiety disorder, learning disabilities—and the effects thereof, not less than inhibit executive functioning, planning ahead, focus, organization, dependable progress, organizing his thoughts, organizing his thoughts onto paper, organizing thoughts, ideas, words, onto paper in an understandable way, does not always understand social ques, the unspoken, shuts down from time to time, cannot see errors, cannot always know, cannot guarantee if from mind to conveyance of accuracy, finds time wasted by stemming, incapacity to focus, restart, has difficulty with mind to hand coordination and poor handwriting, and other issues. These issues have plagued all of the Vaughn cases, Vaughn v Flannery et al and appeals, Vaughn v. Ashcroft et al at appeals, Vaughn v. Missouri et al and appeals, Vaughn

v. Proctor et al and appeals—and on the basis of these disabilities, Vaughn has faced a gauntlet, from denial of protections of laws, to denial of privileges and immunities of the United States—in United States jurisdiction—and abridgments of conscience, press, speech, due process, protections from excessive fees, security in papers and effects, fair redress, equal protections of the laws—perhaps citizenship itself.

Vaughn will need to consider a writ of certiorari in this case, alongside an injunction—and a writ of Certiorari in on of the Vaughn v Ashcroft cases on candidate, voter freedom of conscience and due process—a full review on certified questions from a judicial misconduct proceeding—and probably a mandamus for full review, alignment with law, of all Vaughn cases.

ON TIMING, EXTENSION

Vaughn requests two months' time extension of filing the writ of certiorari, or the most Just consideration, in light to the barriers, the laws, withholdings of fundamental by the lower courts. For authority,

for 23-2962

see Judicial Conference 255, fifth amendment, ninth amendment, fourteenth amendment.

Vaughn v. Flannery, 23-2962, was decided on October 13th, 2023—

Vaughn believes, without extension, he currently has until January 10th, 2024 to file writ of certiorari with the court.

Argument--

1. **Vaughn lacks equal protections of laws, due process, privileges and immunities of the United States, first amendment, fourth amendment, fifth amendment, eighth amendment, ninth amendment, fourteenth amendment, and Congressional Laws, in United States jurisdiction:**

A. The lower courts upheld controversy of the abridgment of press on content, topic, purpose, and controversy of abridgment of speech on content, topic purpose at the public computer labs, public printers, public library of Missouri State University—allowing the defendants to bar from Vaughn, the free press, and the free speech (as cash, coin, currency to pay for the free press)—at the public library, public printers, public computer labs, public university, of Missouri State University—and

autistic, severely adhd, writing disabled Vaughn is now, broadly, without the first amendment right to print, nor to with first amendment liberty, pay for the print, as free speech, at the public facilities. Without this right, Vaughn, is now to hand petition, write, for redress to the United States Supreme Court, without the protections of the first amendment, the fifth amendment, the ninth, nor the fourteenth amendment, or privilege, immunity of the United States.

- B. The lower courts, refused to allow equal protections, applications of the laws, due process of Judicial Conference 255, refused communication accommodations, before judgments, no communication accommodations granted.
- C. The lower courts, refused protections from excessive fees, fines, and Vaughn, is both unable to pay excessive fees of PACER, court records, documents, and unable to see, access, manage, or acquire, full court records, documents. This violates fair due process, procedural due process, protections of excessive fees. Vaughn does not have the capacity to contest PACER nor fees on this day—but *Timbs V. Indiana*, *Austin* needith be applied.

2. The lower courts, refused the reading, proper application of Americans With Disabilities Act 2008 Amend, and Americans With Disabilities Act onto the U.S. Courts, judiciary; Chief Justice Levinski Smith, is currently weighing the arguments, readings, interpretations, and seeking an answer to this problem, proposition of law, in Judicial Misconduct proceeding, Vaughn v. Magistrate X, 22-90119; Vaughn may need multiple reviews.

Conclusion:

Vaughn requests two months' time of an extension to file writ of certiorari, from January 10th, or as prudent, allowed, as the actions of the defendants, the two original statement of claim, on abridgment of free press by discriminatory measure on content, topic purpose, and second, on abridgment of speech, on content, topic purpose, have been upheld by the lower courts—at the public printing labs, at the public library, at the public university—and communication disabled Vaughn, without protections of laws, must now hand-write the petitions to the United States Supreme Court. In addition, Vaughn lacks protections from excessive fees and cannot access full court records—Vaughn does have motions, if the court allows, he may file to request revision of these issues.

CLOSE:

Pro-Se Plaintiff

Curtis Dwayne Vaughn

1825 East Republic Rd. Apt 6404

Springfield, Missouri 65804

vau123@live.missouristate.edu

417-718-0156

Opposing Counsel On Record To Be Served:

Bryan O. Wade

Husch Blackwell LLP

3810 E Sunshine Ste. 300

Springfield, Missouri 65809

Bryan.wade@huschblackwell.com

417-268-4000

Caleb D. Hunt

Husch Blackwell LLP

3810 E Sunshine Ste 300

Springfield, Missouri 65809

caleb.hunt@huschblackwell.com

417-268-4000

STATEMENT OF DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE OF 28 US 1746

The Service is occurring today, 12/30/2023, sent with a commercial carrier. The Meyer public library, and the Missouri State University Printers, Computer Labs, are closed for holiday break; Vaughn is typing from the Brentwood Branch, of Greene County Public Library System. Service is made, by typing, today, but not on choice, alumnus and non traditional student Vaughn, feels at home, and part of the Missouri State University community, and chooses to use the Meyer Library, the public

computer labs, public printers, of Missouri State University; yet no freedom of press, freedom of speech (to without abridgment, pay for the press) exists. It is of note a contrast of Brentwood library, from as noted on November 21st, 2022, in the court records, Vaughn sought to speak with his cash, to purchase print, print allotment, at the Missouri State University Bookstore, at the Missouri State University Meyer Library computer lab, and again with Missouri State University administrator, Sean M. Flannery—as the defendants precluded Vaughn’s ability and first amendment rights, to freely speak with his cash, to purchase and pay for print, press, so as to freely print unabridged, non course related material—Vaughn is free at the non Missouri State University, public Brentwood Library, to use his cash, coin, currency, to speak, and does pay the ten cents a page, to print, without abridgment on content, topic, purpose, this here redress. Moving forward, as the Meyer Library, the public computer labs are open, it will be impossible to print redress, rather handwriting is necessary, due to the maintained, ongoing broad abridgments of press and speech on content, topic, purpose. Vaughn is doing the best he can, trying to function, and stand the winds that consume, without law, and relegated to that lot, of non-citizen, as if he were in Justice Taney’ Court, Vaughn asks the court, for leniency, as Vaughn is learning, trying, but cannot always see the error, function, convey, or not shut down; he has been denied not less than in multiple cases, Americans With Disabilities Act 2008 Amend, Americans With Disabilities Act 1990, Judicial Conference 255, Eighth Amendment Proctions, Fourth Amendment, The Fifth Amendment, the ninth amendment, the fourteenth amendment, has been libeled by a straw man argument—and wrongs, the controversy, two abridgments of this case, are maintained, and Vaughn attempts to be functional amidst all of this alone; yet know that he isn’t—there are others after who will know, all Americans, including all disabled have a right to access court, and be full citizens of this nation, just as anyone else. If any errors, typos, miss conveyances be, it is asked to let Vaughn be made aware and correct, as he cannot see them after this effort to produce this document, and is waning in ability and cannot function much further. Vaughn no proceeds to print this document, and visually confirm, he is shutting down. Time is 4:22 PM December 20th, 2023, at Brentwood 2214 s Brentwood, Springfield Missouri, 65804, Library, computer one, Vaughn can not no longer function to edit and moves to print, five copies. Vaughn cannot

locate his government id, and does not know if the commercial carrier shall accept send off. He shall attempt to proceed.

“I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, with best effort of Vaughn, without protections of laws given,

Executed 12/30/2023



Curtis D. Vaughn

Statement of Service

Vaughn is using 3rd party carrier—to serve papers onto opposing counsel, Husch Blackwell, Bryan Wade, and copies to the United States Supreme Court.

“I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct, with best effort of Vaughn, without protections of laws given,

Executed 12/30/2023



Curtis D. Vaughn