

part 1 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania/Fw: Your Electronic Filing record has been submitted.

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 04:38 PM EST

---

Good afternoon Donald Baker,

I filed a brief for the above referenced case by dropping off 22 boxes with the US Capital Police of the US Capital Police early morning on December 26, 2023, and mailed the same before 8:30 Am this morning per the attached certificate of service with pictures of the drop off and postage with tracking. I could not fit a letter to the clerk or the certificate of service in the electronic filing with the 20 page document limit.

I assert my 1st, 5th and 6th Amendment rights to petition fully, and fairly and publicly in this criminal-like proceeding. So, my pleadings were most important to place on the public docket to have a public docket pursuant to the 6th Amendment right to a public proceeding of an accused. I could not fit the attached letter to the docket.

On December 25, 2023 early morning my computer stopped working completely and suddenly. I was a little scared not only because I lost access to my work I stayed up late for in this matter, but also because a different computer completely broke on August 23, 2021 after I electronically submitted an appeal to this court in the matter for which I am being disciplined Kelly v Trump, No 21-5522.

I am not scared of people I know. Republican local law makers Brian Pettyjohn and former Senator Smyk helped me in secret on occasions even when they disagree with me. And even though I associate as a democrat. That is leadership to care for those outside your own even those with whom you disagree.

It was strangers who attacked me based on perceived religious/political beliefs, speech or association. It was a little scary that people threatened me based on religious-political belief that Trump incited by his establishment of government religion which I argued in 21-5522 Biden continues.

Donald Baker I did not appreciate your suggestion that I contact the news or law makers concerning my belief the courts, people lawyers and the rule of law is in danger. I contacted all 541 law makers with proposals to impeach Trump. I think that is why complete strangers including an out of stater at BJs selectively targeted me as allegedly pro Biden, scaring other people to prompt a young man to come to my defense to say maam is this man bothering you.

It is not normal for people to throw things at my car, talk about shooting me, and the cases labeling me disabled because I petitioned the DE Courts for help due to the government incited attacks endangers me should anything happen in the future.

I actually feel safer with the ongoing lawsuit with Shen Zi-Xiang and by copying David Weiss, and I want to thank them for that. Should my cases be dismissed I won't be safe and if anything happens to me. Assholes will label me disabled and as the problem instead of the greater problem the establishment of gov religion has caused through paid partnerships, or social, physical or other backing.

George Cole one of longest serving state elected Republican officials in DE now retired said the courts will not read my stuff. I know that no one read my stuff when I contacted all 541 law makers unless it was to disagree with me. I heard a republic attack and talk about my writings on public radio as if they were the democrats. No, it was me.

When I checked on the status of my ideas or articles sent to federal law makers, no one could confirm receipt or acknowledge the documents I sent. I got letters, even one where President Obama called me Linda, with another letter where he responded to a different issue which was the exact same letter where he got my name correctly.

With regards to news people yes, I have connections, like an ex-boyfriend who works in DC now married Geoffrey Melada (albeit he appears to have left the journalism field) and others. But contacting the news will not resolve my lawsuits or the claims and issues I assert. The rule of law is not a matter of political opinion or enticing the mob's fickle desire for trendy ever fleeting fads and marketed not actual beliefs. Justice is a matter of truth where we protect people's freedom to believe, even if it is different from our own or conflicts with our faith so long as they do not enslave us to do their will by eliminating our own freedom of religious belief based on economic substantial burdens through partnerships by unions of church and state or otherwise.

Justice is not a matter of popularity. It is a matter of doing what is right, not profitable or convenient.

Only courts are empowered to resolve my pleas and the asserted Constitutional law's protections to protect unpopular individual exercise of rights including mine.

I am in tears now. I had to piece together the brief I filed today from memory sticks because the computer I worked on crashed.

Zi-Xiang Shen my apologies for sending you pics of my computer while you are out of the office enjoying your loved ones.

I drafted an in forma pauperis and a petition for more pages. Even if the courts should disagree with my position, it is the courts, not congress or bureaucrats, who should say "what the law is." Marbury.

I strongly oppose self-regulation and third-party regulation. A case and controversy by appeal or otherwise is sufficient to correct a judge a party believes erred under Art III. The courts must not contract away other people's Constitutional protections under the deception of serving them by creating a court partial towards the regulations that may be used to impeach them instead of the impartial application of the rule of law in an actual case or controversy. I believe the courts are in danger and there is a real aim to eliminate their authority to eventually eliminate the government after 2050. I state this in the attached imperfectly, the brief I had to put together under great duress.

Allowing partial self-serving politicians to attack the Supreme Court Justices by filing disciplinary complaints where they do not have standing in an Art III court allows false allegations or irrelevant opinions by non-parties to harm the court and eliminate the independence needed to uphold the rule of law from lawless partiality to needlessly preserve their positions from attacks grounded not in mere words but desire to use discipline to impeach. US Amend V. The Courts should eliminate the threats to itself in my case even if they think my writing is imperfect or they disagree with me.

I hope the courts read it and proves George Cole wrong by showing the courts care about truth not the deceptive appearance of justice or fickle popular support or opinions which is ever changing.

This case may be a way for the court to limit congress and to say what the law is as applied to itself before others with more sinister aims harm the court not merely with societal peer pressured attacks but unwarranted impeachments based on ethics and regulations which violate the equal protections clause by making judges partial towards the regulations instead of the impartial application of the Constitution to the rule of law.

On an aside David Weiss, I have high regards for you. This is not my best work, but I have to assert my rights to prevent them from being vitiated. So, I am sorry if I let the world down as I seek to preserve these United States from a believed schemed overthrow after 2050. I think that you are smart, and I get sad when people endanger you with their allegations in the news. I want my opponent and the DE AG to protect you.

Thank you.

Very truly,  
Meg  
Meghan Kelly  
34012 Shawnee Dr.  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** "no-reply@sc-us.gov" <no-reply@sc-us.gov>

**To:** "meghankellyesq@yahoo.com" <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 08:49:28 AM GMT-5

**Subject:** Your Electronic Filing record has been submitted.

Your Petition for a Writ of Certiorari has been submitted. It will be reviewed once the hard copy is received. If you are not expecting this email, please contact the Supreme Court Electronic Filing Support Group at [eFilingSupport@supremecourt.gov](mailto:eFilingSupport@supremecourt.gov).



Cert of service Dec 26 2023.pdf  
1.7MB



0 Rule 12.6 Letter to the clerk of court.pdf  
1.2MB



0 Motion for leave to file in forma pauperis.pdf  
11MB



0 Petition for leave to exceed the page limit for good cause in the Eastern District Court of PA Appeal.pdf  
171.1kB



Petition part 1 Table of contents authorities questions presented 22-3372 Eastern district Court.pdf  
399.6kB



Petition Part 2 substance 22 3372 Eastern District of PA.pdf  
702.5kB



Signature page to appeal Eastern District of PA.pdf  
58.4kB



1 1-A Eastern PA CONSTITUTIONAL STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED.pdf  
319.3kB



2 App A to H H1 to H5 Orders and exhibits Notice of appeal.pdf  
5.4MB

part 2 part 1 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly,  
Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov;  
david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:12 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you.

Very truly,  
Meg  
Meghan Kelly  
34012 Shawnee Dr.  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



3 App I J K health records averred collapsed dehydration no vehicle or easy access to law library.pdf  
8.3MB



4 Appendix L Rehearing on Nov 13th order defect Const Rts.pdf  
307.2kB



5 Exhibit to Appendix L Emergency filing Multiple exhibits.pdf  
3.5MB

part 3 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v.  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov;  
david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:15 PM EST

---

Please see the attached. I am not done breaking down all of the exhibits in emailable form. Nevertheless, I thought it prudent to do so since this court wrongfully removed other pleadings not only on the public docket but on electronic receipt while it kept other rejected documents on electronic receipt.

This additional act of erasing receipt on the electronic side inhibits the US Supreme Court justices from curing potential clerical errors and was done in bad faith.

Thank you,  
Meg



6 3DI 56 Motion for Reconsideration of Order dated June 30.pdf  
24.6MB

part 4 not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:17 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you.

Very truly,  
Meg  
Meghan Kelly  
34012 Shawnee Dr.  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



7 19th Affidavit DI127 Big Exhibit.pdf  
12.3MB



8 26th affidavit to 9.pdf  
9.7MB

part 5 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v.  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov;  
david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:17 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you.

Very truly,  
Meg  
Meghan Kelly  
34012 Shawnee Dr.  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



9 26th affidavit 134-10 pages 1-21.pdf  
15.9MB

part 6 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:18 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

I had to break down 9 26th Affidavit even more, It is bigger on the docket contained in one PDF without breaking it down into three sections.

Thank you.

Very truly,  
Meg  
Meghan Kelly  
34012 Shawnee Dr.  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



9 26th affidavit 134-10 22-40.pdf  
11.4MB



26th affidavit 134-11-14.pdf  
8.6MB



part 7 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v.  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov;  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:19 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

I had to break down one big PDF I filed to 4 separate exhibits therein.

Thank you.

Very truly,  
Meg  
Meghan Kelly  
34012 Shawnee Dr.  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com



77th Affidavit.pdf  
22.2MB



93rd Affidavit.pdf  
947.4kB

Part 8 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v.  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov;  
supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:19 PM EST

---

Please see the attached. I had to break down one big PDF I filed to 4 separate exhibits therein.

Thank you,  
Meg



107th affidavit.pdf  
7MB



108th affidavit.pdf  
7.8MB

part 9 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v.  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov;  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:25 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you,  
Meg



116th affidavit.pdf  
20.2MB

part 10 124th and 127th affidavits Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144  
Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of  
Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; zi-  
xiang.shen@delaware.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:29 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you,  
Meg



124th Affidavit.pdf  
16.5MB



127th affidavit.pdf  
6.2MB

## 11 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:35 PM EST

---

Please see the attached. I had to break down the Exhibit Agenda to eliminate people judges and people lawyers into two parts, though I electronically and physically submitted it as one.

I apologize it is taking so long.

Thank you,  
Meg



12 133rd affidavit.pdf  
5.2MB



broken down agenda eliminate people lawyers and people judges.pdf  
14.4MB

part 12 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:37 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you,  
Meg



Broken down small part to agenda eliminate people judges.pdf  
19.5MB

## 13 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:38 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you,  
Meg



14 Exhibit showing belief danger based on partnerships.pdf  
18.9MB

## 14 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: david.weiss@usdoj.gov; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 05:41 PM EST

---

Please see the attached.

Thank you,  
Meg



15 April 26 letter part 1 DI 58 part.pdf  
12.4MB



part 15 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Date: Tuesday, December 26, 2023 at 06:31 PM EST

---

Please see the last of the documents with the certificate of service again provided.

I invoke my 1st Amendment right to petition without disparate denial based on viewpoint contained in my speech or based on the partial whims of staff who prevent justices from considering pleas that may preserve the courts and the rule of law which binds these United States and every other Constitutional right I assert and do not waive.

I note I petition for grievances against a state court this court's staff appeared to commit in my case and another attorney case based on viewpoint contained in the speech to correct clerical errors and mistakes as a matter of law.

My intent is never to destroy the courts or those who work in them but to require they uphold the rule of law and not violate it to preserve the superseding Constitutional rule of law that preserves these United States.

I do not condone the forced retirement of two Delaware Court staff I petitioned to correct not destroy, not only because DE Supreme Court prevented me the 6th Amendment right to cross examine accusers and witnesses, necessary to my defense, but because I care about these two people. I did not want them to lose their jobs. I did not like hearing about them crying as they departed per a bailiff.

People make mistakes. Courts are where they may be corrected instead of destroyed as opposed to disciplinary proceedings which would destroy federal judges and mar the US Supreme Court justices credibility and word based on threats and fabrications that is sure to come unless the courts use one of my cases to draw the line in the sand and say what the rule of law is, and where it cannot be crossed by the other two branches.

Please grant me an opportunity to be heard. Injustice is guaranteed when pleas are rejected even before they are heard. Please do not deprive me of seeking to cure mistakes by cover ups as DE did by continuing to selectively remove rejected documents on the e filing side.

Thank you and good night,  
Meg



16 part 2 April 26 letter.pdf  
1.9MB



17 Motion to waive speedy trial lawyer bad behavior protecting problems to protect position and pay instead of alleviating them.pdf  
2.5MB



Cert of service Dec 26 2023.pdf  
1.7MB

## Part 9 Case No not assigned related application No. 23A144 Meghan Kelly, Applicant v. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Thursday, December 28, 2023 at 01:45 PM EST

---

Good afternoon,

I looked in my sent mail and saw this was not sent and write to correct myself. Please see part 9 of the December 26, 2023 filing.

Something creepy happened. I saw this was in my draft folder, but it would not let me to send this to you. Then it deleted out of my draft folder moments later.

I drafted this as a new email.

When I drafted the final email on December 26, 2023 something took over my computer. I lost the draft I worked on which was much better than the one I sent. It was not saved in my draft folder.

I am little bit scared because I knew about how third parties may access everything through the back door's opened up by the Patriot's act to our devices since 2007 through my baby brother through one of his best friend's, another baby brother who used to life guard on the beach with my legendary father and baby brother. He is an FBI agent.

I knew about how the back doors to our devices would allow for digital currency which would be used to control to eliminate the government when I pled in Kelly v Trump. If you read the documents in Kelly v Trump, 21-5522 you will see how I hoped US AG would close the back doors through the bribery statute 18 USC 201.

It is not the government which is my main concern. Though I do not approve of the government violating the law, or firing two court staff I care about to cover up mistakes or misconduct instead of correct or improve the administration of justice. It is the government backed private and foreign partners who will control the resources to control to eliminate the government down the line if the courts do not save us.

I believe the courts are in danger of being eliminated after 2050. I believe the courts must choose to save themselves or not in my cases to prevent the schemed overthrow.

I am quite distraught that 4 filings were not docketed and I am scared this court will not docket this not only to safeguard all of my fundamental rights and the fundamental rights of all citizens to buy and sell without elimination of their licenses based on religious belief in Jesus, but because I believe there really is a schemed overthrow. I learned things when I ran for office in 2018. :(

Thank you,  
Meg



116th affidavit.pdf  
20.2MB

## Kelly v Eastern District of PA/Fw: Not in sent mail but is in in box

---

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: dbaker@supremecourt.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com; zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov; supremectbriefs@usdoj.gov

Date: Thursday, December 28, 2023 at 02:11 PM EST

---

Good afternoon,

I see in my in box it appears you in fact did receive part 9, in my sent box it was missing.

I am rightly concerned.

I do not want you to waste paper or time by printing out or reviewing what you already have.

Thank you. I hope you have a good day.

Very truly,  
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

**To:** Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

**Sent:** Thursday, December 28, 2023 at 02:07:48 PM EST

**Subject:** Not in sent mail but is in in box

Sent from my iPhone



IMG\_3619.jpg

1.4MB



IMG\_3620.jpg

1.3MB