

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

The Honorable Colm F. Connolly

Care of the Clerk of Court

Office of the Clerk

United States District Court

844 North King St Unit 18

Wilmington, DE 19801-3570

RE: / Kelly v Swartz /doctored praecipe/ concealing elimination of key witness by retiring Arline Simmons and Katrina Krugar/ Free speech argument ruling that DRDC Rules 12 and 13 are unconstitutional per se and as applied

April 26, 2022

Dear Honorable Colm F. Connolly:

On Friday, April 22, 2022, with a heavy heart, I went to the Chancery Court in Sussex County to pick up the attached praecipe, dated October 5, 2022, labeled as **Exhibit A**. A Chancery Court staff member, Arline Simmons wrote on the praecipe, without my authorization, testifying as a witness without cross examination, on a public court record, misleading courts on appeal, which contributed to the confusion as to why I could not serve US Attorney General, David Weiss in *Kelly v Trump* Chancery Court No. 20-0809, DE Supreme Court No. 119-2021, and United States Supreme Court No., 21-5522.

I did not know Arline Simmons wrote on it, and could not understand why I was not issued the Summons for the First Amended Complaint or the Second

amended Complaint for local counsel, US Attorney General David Weiss, through the civil process clerk. The handwritten notes give some clarity.

Per the attached exhibit, incorporated herewith as **Exhibit B**, I wrote a letter dated July 12, 2021 and filed with the Delaware Supreme Court, upon discovery that the Civil Process clerk's address was crossed off. Arline Simmons, flipped through the documents and instructed me to cross off the address, with initials. I complied, thinking she may have found a typo, not knowing this would prevent service to the Civil process clerk.¹ In tears I lamented, "I was booby trapped by the Court staff Arline Simmons." Attached, please find **Exhibit C**, the praecipe to serve Delaware local counsel, through the Civil Process clerk, with the address crossed off and my initials, through the instructions of Arline Simmons.

The signature pages were apparently switched. See **Exhibit D**, the praecipe with the switched signature page.

¹ I apologize that I am a poor typist. In high school I did so poorly in my typing class that I dropped out so I did not affect my GPA, which was over 100 because academic courses were weighted. My little brother, Andrew Patrick Kelly was valedictorian, class of 98 at Indian River High School, and my beautiful big sister Amanda Elizabeth Kelly Gordines and had a better GPA than I did too. I have family of beautiful, smart successful people. I am the least of these in my family and in the eyes of the world, but I am rich in faith for God, your honor. My father is a little ugly like I am, but my dad is my hero because he does that right thing, even at a material loss. My dad, the legendary R. Pat Kelly teaches high school, used to be a lifeguard at the beach, coached football and continues to coach basketball.

My dad makes doing the right thing look cool and he drove our prejudice against people of diverse races, socio-economic statuses and places of origin by using his coolness to drive out cruelty, when he taught Civics at Indian River High School, located in Sussex County Delaware. I was in Girl's State in High School only because my dad is smart. I listened to him speak of history on the car ride to school and repeated my father's captivating analysis, not mine, that dazzled my history teacher, Mr. Abbott. Mr. Abbott nominated me and Mary Wilgus. My Principal Dr. Patterson nominated me as student liaison. I had surgery in high school that made me forever weak. That is why I studied healthcare courses at Law School and a course at UD to improve our care that kills and harms and I believe damns people to hell. Just because I am poor, weak and ugly does not mean I am worthless your honor. I am priceless. I gave my life to God, not man or money that means I care about others as myself, and I cannot turn a blind eye in the face of oppression.

I did not understand why no subpoena was issued to me for the Civil Process clerk. Per **Exhibit E**, attached hereto, in a letter dated, October 19, 2020, I wrote Master Patricia Griffin regarding inter alias, that Defendants had 60 days to respond to my complaint as opposed to 20 days like a normal person, since they were agents of the federal government. On a side note, that is unfair, granting partiality and the luxury of more time to the powerful federal government, and less opportunity for the common lay person to assert their grievances against the federal government. I also received disparate treatment by the Chancery Court staff, and noted I acted as a party not as an attorney advocate, to alert the court it is okay for staff to yell at lawyers, but it is prejudicial to yell at parties. Id.

In a letter attached hereto as **Exhibit F** dated November 10, 2020 to the assigned Vice Chancellor, I noted I served President Trump and William Barr the Complaint and Amended Complaint, and stated, “The US Attorney for the District of Delaware has not been served... I requested subpoenas, including the one for the civil process clerk, in a letter to the Honorable Master, dated October 30, 2020.” (**Exhibit F** at 3, and the entire document, also see **Exhibit G**, the letter to Master Griffin, dated October 30, 2020, albeit it related to time constraints and removal.)

We were in a dangerous part of the pandemic when former President Trump was diagnosed with Covid-19, and I erroneously thought William Barr also contracted Covid-19.

Despite, the perils of germ spreading to and from notaries, the Chancery Court staff required I notarize documents. So, I sought a waiver, which the Delaware Supreme Court had already granted unbeknownst to the Chancery Court staff and myself.

Per **Exhibit H**, through a letter dated, October 9, 2020 to Master Patricia Griffin, a letter dated October 7, 2020 to the assigned Vice Chancellor of the Chancery Court, and a letter dated October 7, 2020 to the Delaware Supreme Court, I requested a waiver of the notary requirements to prevent loss of life and health, and copied William Barr on these requests. ²

The Delaware Supreme Court sent me a letter attaching the emergency order, while copying the Defendant's administrator to the Board of Professional Responsibility, Karlis Johnson. (**Exhibit I**).

Throughout this time I noticed disparate treatment towards me, based on religion, political beliefs or association or poverty in violation of the equal

² Albeit your honor I made a mistake by not sending copies to local counsel, David Weiss. My mistake is not a mental disability, but based on my desire to act quickly to prevent irreparable loss in terms of government incitement infringement and loss of my First Amendment rights. I did not have easy access to research or even a working computer, or a printer at the time. I did not make the same mistake twice in this case. I served the Defendants through their local counsel, Zi-Xiang Shen, despite the fact this court withheld issuing service of the complaint and amended complaint.

protections clause, by the Chancery Court staff, apparently based on religious belief, political beliefs and or poverty. Per **Exhibit J**, attached hereto, in a letter dated, December 1, 2020, I wrote the Master Patricia Griffin of the Delaware Chancery Court concerning the prejudicial treatment based on protected beliefs and activity, and attached emails to **Katrina Kruger and Arline Simmons concerning the same.** (Emphasis Intended)

On or about November 6, 2020, I wrote Master Patricia Griffin regarding her staff, Arline Simmons, misleading me to almost miss my filing deadline to appeal, attached hereto as **Exhibit K.**

I sought discovery and alerted the Defendants of potentially calling Arline Simmons as a witness in the state proceeding. To my absolute horror, as I picked up the Praecipe dated October 5, 2020, on Friday April 22, 2022, I discovered Arline Simmons and Katrina Kruger were no longer with the Chancery Court, apparently encouraged to retire to protect themselves and the Court in this federal proceeding. Albeit I did not desire to sue or harm either of these individuals. Though, Arline Simmons mistreated me, she is my friend. I desired to safeguard my ability to worship Jesus without government incited economic, social, or physical persecution for my religious beliefs in God as savior, not as money as savior.

Defendants prevented discovery concerning potential witnesses to hide the fact these two witnesses with first hand knowledge, were no longer available through the state in the Board proceeding.

I emailed Defendants I did not want Arline Simmons to get into trouble, before learning she was no longer with the Court. I merely desired the ability to exercise my constitutionally protected liberties without state persecution. I also noticed another government agent with a connection to me, Secretary of Education Dr. Celeste Bunting, retired, probably by state pressure after learning of her ties to me. **(Exhibit L)** Please note, I inadvertently sent the email, in Exhibit L, to the wrong Lisa, and meant to send it to Lisa Dolph, to end interference in my active case.

In addition, I noted Judge Smalls of the Court of Common Pleas, may have been forced to retire when the state learned, he told me to go back to Pennsylvania, not knowing I am from Delaware, showing prejudice based on place of origin. **(Exhibit L)**. He was the first judge I made an appearance before, as an attorney on behalf of another lawyer who requested I fill in for them. Please see my letter to the Delaware Supreme Court concerning partiality by judges, incorporated herein by reference at D.I. 4 Exhibit 5. (Also see, D.I. 4, Exhibits 2, 3, 4 and 6. regarding partiality towards money and convenience at the cost of injustice by the state's agents, towards religious beliefs.)

I have been devastated, reasonably emotionally distraught, at the prospect Arline and Katrina may have lost their jobs because of the Defendants desired to conceal disparate treatment by state actors towards me based on disdain for my religious, political beliefs, speech, affiliation or poverty. I did not want them to lose their job. I did not desire to sue them either. I care about them. I merely love God more than them, other people and my own life, and must assert my right to love God without government economic, social or physical persecution. I gave my life to God your honor, not man or money.

I am also disappointed in Defendant David White, and Kathleen Vavala for persecuting me based on my religious-political petitions, speech, association and exercise. I sent them page 39 of the Fourth Industrial Revolution which includes the elimination of lawyers, as you know there is a plan to eliminate judges too, to automate justice in the decades to come. **(Exhibit M)**

David White knows I am a good lawyer. I drafted the attached pleading for an arbitration he handled upon my request for Insight Homes. **(Exhibit N)** I understand Insight retained him for other cases.

I believe the ODC's function worsens the practice of law and guarantees injustice by its focus on serving business greed not good, by focusing on what I believe is the mark of the Antichrist, partiality towards self, looking after their own, the appearance of the profession, money, convenience, positions and power,

not unearned required care for the public and professionals, while protecting individual liberties from satanic mob reign of lusts. I hate it when judges in courts misbehave by feigning humility to get out of doing their duty by indicating “the people elected the President or congress, I cannot overstep by rewriting the law, or acting as executive by cry babying “political question.”

The Court must balance these two imbalanced branches to protect individual liberty, the freedom of the individual from the satanic conditional conformed reign of lusts, with no ability to unconditional love. The Courts must exercise their duty to prevent the planned elimination of the dollar, the intended crash of the global economy, and the planned elimination of governments down the line. The Courts must not give into temptation to immediate gratification, ease, position, profit, only to lose it all down the line. There are plans for our Country’s harm and the world’s harm. The World Government Summit met on March 29-30, 2002, and alluded to a cold war after a hot war, and a depression and much more concerning issues. If they predict it, they plan it. The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, aka, IMF, also had their yearly meeting, April 18-24th and plan inflation. Your honor, can place his foot down on the President or Congress and prevent the planned inflation and worsening conditions to cause a crash of the dollar and world economy, albeit in another case. You are my hope of a hero, as well as the Delaware Courts, who persecute me now. Jesus says justice, with mercy and

faithfulness are more important commands than laws dealing with material gain. Citing *Matthew 23:23*. God teaches partiality is sin. Justice in the courts is a command. *Amos 5:15*. You may save lives and eternal lives if you freely choose, your Honor. I hope you do.

With regards to this outside Court balancing the partiality and injustice guaranteed by self-regulation of state courts, lawyers, professional lawyer associations and Professional Disciplinary Procedures and counsel, the ODC stifles improvement and correction within by requiring training all lawyers through CLE's to equally provide poor service, seeking sameness, conformity, uniformity, not growth and learning.

As I mentioned previously in Exhibits and in my Complaint, comments of diverse suggestions for improving the practice of law, were not well received at continuing lawyer classes. The State through their agents desire "their will be done," like Satan, controlling attorneys like widgets, human commodities, not caring for them and the public. (See, 1 *John 5:19*, "We know that we are children of God, and that the **whole world** is under the **control of the evil one.**" (Emphasis intended), note the reference to control, not caring for humanity while protecting their freedom to choose even choose wrongly. When we force our will upon others, that is not freedom but tyranny, albeit just laws govern, guide and correct people who kill, steal and destroy to serve business greed, the mark of the beast,

conditionally caring for your own with no unconditional love); (See also , 2 *Corinthians* 4:4, “the **god of this world** [Satan] hath blinded the **minds** of them [with enticing temptations of reward, avoidance of harm, societal peer pressure, shame, praise and conditional caring with no God in them, controlling humanity through temptations] which believe not, lest the light of the glorious gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine unto them.”)

The ODC merely treats the practice of law as a business, cold hearted while feigning superficial optimism and concern to sell a product to the exploited public, while treating lawyers as human capital as opposed to human beings with souls.

The Courts provide a government service to all unearned, required, even to those with religious-political beliefs, religious-political exercise which state agents do not understand, disagree with and find repugnant, like my own your honor. Maybe your honor, may require the Board and the ODC to care for, not control lawyers, while encouraging improvements of the profession, instead of stifling innovation through conformed bad standards that exploit and oppress people for convenience and profit.

I filed the attached complaint against Judge Kavanaugh, and it appeared the ODC cowardly cared about retaliation from its ruler, the highest court, Supreme Court Justice Kavanagh, and did not improve the profession, just created a false appearance of justice. (**Exhibit O**).

The time for correction for Justice Kavanagh was during the appointment of nominees. That time has passed. Vengeance is injustice, with no room for correction that guides the misguided to become better by love, not by material gain or money. So, no impeachment should be made for him or for Justice Thomas regarding Anita Hills.

Upon learning this hard truth of the ODC's marketing function creating injustice guaranteed, I believe the Courts should not self-regulate, but should allow impeachment to be the only means of a government check. There is corruption and internal bias to look after your own at the public's expense, while creating the illusion of justice, it creates injustice guaranteed. That said, I do not desire Justice Kavanagh or Justice Thomas to be impeached for their past errors or with regards to Justice Thomas's, his wife's conduct relating to the attempted coup on January 6, 2020. Half of the nation were misled by former President Trump's sweet nothings. Courts must guide the misguided, not punish them for being human as opposed to cold hearted machines seeking cold hard or electronic currency.

I also desire to include additional count and claim, to declare Disciplinary Rules 13 and 14 Unconstitutional, in violation of our Constitutional protections relating to free speech, and inhibiting gathering aide in my defense to accusations against me for my political-religious petitions, speech, association and exercise.

Delaware Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, Rule 13 requires:

- a) Confidentiality. Prior to the Hearing Panel's submission to the Court of its final report, and except as otherwise described in these rules, disciplinary and disability proceedings and the official record in such matters are confidential. If the Hearing Panel's report recommends that the matter be dismissed, that a lawyer not be transferred to disability inactive status, or that a private sanction be imposed, any further proceedings by the Court and the official record in the matter are confidential unless and until otherwise ordered by the Court. (b) Protective orders. Upon proper application with good cause shown, or on a sua sponte basis, the Chair or Vice Chair of the Board, the Chair of the Hearing Panel, or the Court may issue appropriate protective orders with respect to any proceedings, reports, documents, or other information which may otherwise be made public, for the purpose of preserving confidentiality. (c) Complainant's right to appear. The complainant in a disciplinary matter, if any, shall have the right to appear at any Board hearing on sanctions or any Court hearing on a petition for interim suspension relating to the matter for the sole purpose of making a statement on the record regarding the matter. (d) Requests for confidential information. A request for the release of confidential information as described under these Rules shall be made by written application, with good cause shown, directed to the Administrative Assistant. Such application shall be considered and determined by the Court. (e) Release of confidential information. The work product of the ODC may not be disclosed or released except pursuant to Rule 13(f). The pendency, subject matter, or status of a disciplinary matter may be disclosed or released if: (1) the respondent has waived confidentiality in writing; (2) the proceeding is based upon allegations which include the conviction of any crime; (3) the respondent has been placed upon interim suspension or disability inactive status; (4) such disclosure or release is necessary to obtain the assistance of another person, agency, or organization, provided that such person, agency, or organization agrees to maintain the confidentiality mandated by these Rules; (5) the proceedings are based upon allegations which have otherwise been made public; or (6) with the approval of the Court, such disclosure or release is necessary in order to correct false or misleading public statements with respect to any otherwise confidential proceeding or information, or is necessary to prevent public confidence in the disciplinary system from being undermined. (f) Cooperation with criminal justice authorities. Any evidence or information obtained through the disciplinary process indicating criminal conduct by a lawyer, including documents,

transcripts, and work product, or any selected portions thereof, may be disclosed or turned over to the appropriate criminal justice authorities for their independent review and investigation. (g) Duty of participants. All participants in a proceeding under these Rules shall conduct themselves so as to maintain the confidentiality mandated by these Rules. (Amended, effective May 14, 2008.)

Delaware Rules of Disciplinary procedure Rule 14 provides:

“The ODC shall publicly disseminate all information relating to disciplinary matters and proceedings as is consistent with these Rules. In particular, the ODC shall transmit notices and information regarding the imposition of all public discipline, transfers to or from disability inactive status, or reinstatements to:

- (a) the disciplinary enforcement agency in any jurisdiction in which the respondent is admitted,
- (b) the chief judicial officers of all courts of this State,
- (c) the chief judicial officers of all federal courts located in this State, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court,
- (d) any national data bank maintained for the purposes of reporting disciplinary action relating to lawyers, and
- (e) the news media.”

Rule 13, allegedly protects the accused, including me, while protecting the ODC from libel law suits. It does not require I keep the proceeding confidential.

However, Rule 14, gives the illusion the State, ODC, and coconspirators have immunity to verbally persecute the accused, should they win, , including me inciting social, economic and physical persecution verbal government attacks cause, based on my religious-political beliefs, religious-political speech, religious political association, and religious-political petitions.

I argued the Defendants are not immune from suit and Rule 14 will not protect them should they attack me further in the future, per the analysis in my Complaint. See Id. 2.

With new and additional information commonly arising in my case, I have a running request to **amend the complaint to conform with additional and new evidence**, as they arise at the end of the proceeding, to include additional or new claims or evidence.

I am also mailing you and Defendants electronic copies

1. Video where I spoke at a Democratic function, showing I misbehaved by accepting donations from the Democratic party before I learned how it caused oppression and injustice per Jesus the Christ's teachings in *Matthew* 6:1-4. I sinned and I am sorry your honor.

2. Video of a vulture that was pecking at the window that was not scared of me despite yelling at it in the winter of 2022.

3. Video of me on TV in the news complaining of rats in my dorm apartment from a Christian School Duquesne Law School.

4. Pictures of Judge Hardiman and me in law school, to show my personal connection to argue he should not be assigned the case should he be chosen due to potential partiality.

5. Pictures of me attending a Democratic function, misbehaving, when I should have been available to all people, regardless of party to prevent **conditional conformity** with the party's agenda, which prevents **unconditional love** and service for all citizens, regardless of each individual's position and party affiliation.

6. Video of me lamenting about how Nancy Pelosi and congress people misbehaved by failing to impeach. Nancy Pelosi remarked on respecting the flag when she transferred the articles for impeachment, placing her hand to my face on my article of impeachment to safeguard the NFL player's freedom to associate and speak out on alleged disparate treatment towards Black Americans in the judicial system. Our officials put on a deceptive horse and pony show, feigning concern on government racist persecution of the people. They do not seek justice for the oppressed as I asked them to. They misbehave, and are need of Court correction and guidance.

7. PDF's of the pleadings I filed against the democrats, suing them without violating Jesus Christ's teachings by asking for donations or signatures, which I believe damns people to hell. I would be a bad leader if I encouraged conduct that harmed people in this life and damned them to hell forever your honor.


8. I also attached some of my marketing material so you can understand my heart, but I feel dirty. Government is a service not a business. Officials should be elected not based on appearance or popularity but based on their heart. The people should vote on their ideas not appearance. Jesus teaches us judge correctly, not based on appearance. The title marketing appears naughty to me, your honor.

I am not a bad guy. I am an imperfect Christian, not crazy. I freely choose to have the mind of Christ, not the mind of the world.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

April 26, 2022

Very truly,



/s/Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
Bar Number 4968
(4,039 Words)

I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: April 26, 2022

Meghan Kelly (printed)

Meghan Kelly (signed)

2e MK

Table of Exhibits for Letter

Exhibit A Doctored up praecipe, dated October 5, 2020, filed October 6, 2020

Exhibit B July 12, 2021 Letter to the Delaware Supreme Court regarding “I was booby trapped by the Court staff Arline Simmons”

Exhibit C The praecipe to serve Delaware local counsel, through the Civil Process clerk, with the address crossed off and my initials, through the instructions of Arline Simmons.

Exhibit D The praecipe with the switched address/signature page.

Exhibit E Letter to Master Patricia Griffin regarding I am not an attorney advocate in the case, and the 60 days allowed for Federal agents to respond.

Exhibit F Letter to Assigned Vice Chancellor, dated November 10, 2020, regarding I served both Attorney General William Barr and President Trump, both the complaint and amended complaint, and requested subpoenas to serve local counsel Unites States attorney General David Weiss through the civil process clerk.

Exhibit G Letter to Master Patricia Griffin, dated October 30, 2020, regarding removal, immunity removed, and President Lincoln’s misbehavior that President Trump copied.

Exhibit H Letters to the Delaware Courts requesting waiver of notary requirements since a party President Trump contracted Covid-19 at the time.

Exhibit I Letter from the Court indicating a waiver of notary requirements has already been issued unbeknownst to the Chancery Court staff and myself.

Exhibit J Letter to Master regarding disparate treatment, based on religion, political beliefs or association or poverty in violation of the equal protections clause, with attached emails to Court staff Katrina Kruger and Arline Simmons.

Exhibit K Letter to Master regarding Chancery Court staff misled me to almost miss the appeal deadline.

Exhibit L Email to David Weiss and opposing counsel regarding Dr. Bunting, Judge Smalls potentially relating to my petitions.

Exhibit M Email to opponents, with page 39 of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, relating to the elimination of lawyers, and courts down the line.

Exhibit N My pleading before arbitrator, Defendant David White.

Exhibit O An ODC's response to my complaint against Justice Kavanaugh, and the complaint against Judge Kavanaugh

Electronic Data

1. Video where I spoke at a Democratic function, showing I misbehaved by accepting donations from the Democratic party before I learned how it caused oppression and injustice per Jesus the Christ's teachings in Matthew 6:1-4. I sinned and I am sorry your honor.

2. Video of a vulture that was pecking at the window that was not scared of me despite yelling at it in the winter of 2022.

3. Video of me on TV in the news complaining of rats in my dorm apartment from a Christian School Duquesne Law School.

4. Pictures of Judge Hardiman and me in law school, to show my personal connection to argue he should not be assigned the case should he be chosen due to potential partiality.

5. Pictures of me attending a Democratic function, misbehaving, when I should have been available to all people, regardless of party to prevent conditional conformity with the party's agenda, which prevents unconditional love and service for all citizens, regardless of each individual's position and party affiliation.

6. Video of me lamenting about how Nancy Pelosi and congress people misbehaved by failing to impeach.

7. PDF's of the pleadings I filed against the democrats, suing them without violating Jesus Christ's teachings by asking for donations or signatures, which I believe damns people to hell. I would be a bad leader if I encouraged conduct that harmed people in this life and damned them to hell forever your honor.

8. I also attached some of my marketing material so you can understand my heart, but I feel dirty. Government is a service not a business. Officials should be elected not based on appearance or popularity but based on their heart. The

people should vote on their ideas not appearance. Jesus teaches us judge correctly, not based on appearance. The title marketing appears naughty to me, your honor.

Exhibit A

EFiled: Oct 06 2020 03:29PM EDT
Transaction ID 65994549
Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
Bar Number 4968

October 5, 2020

Via/Hand Delivery
Register in Chancery
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

REGISTER IN CHANCERY
GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE
2020 OCT -5 A 11:25

RE: *Meghan Kelly v. the President of the United States Defendant, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, his official capacity as President of the of the United States Case No. 2020-0809*

Dear Honorable Court:

Plaintiff, Meghan Kelly, has filed with this Honorable Court, on behalf of herself, Pro Se, a Verified Complaint, exhibits thereto, Motions for a Temporary Restraining Order, a Motion to Expedite and a Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Motions for a Temporary Restraining Order and Expedited Relief against Defendant.

Pursuant to Chancery Court Rule 15, Plaintiff has also filed a Motion to Amend her Complaint, a First Amended Complaint and an Order with this Honorable Court.

Please prepare a summons directing Plaintiff to serve said summons and a copy of the Amended Complaint, Plaintiff's Motion to Amend the

Complaint and Motion for an extension to serve both the Complaint and Amended Complaint together, the Verified Complaint, exhibits thereto, Motions for a Temporary Restraining Order, a Motion to Expedite and a Memorandum of Law in Support of Plaintiff's Motions for a Temporary Restraining Order and Expedited Relief against Defendant, on the defendants at the following address, via US Mail, return Receipt:

**President Trump
C/O Civil Process Clerk for
the US Attorney's Office for the District
of DE, US Attorney's Office
1313 N. Market St.
Wilm., DE 19801**

Please contact me should this Honorable Court require additional information. Thank you.

Very truly,



/s/Meghan M. Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

Pro Se

DE Bar Number 4968

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

*10/15/2020 Meghan Kelly Esq. Said to efile this
please
letter of instructions + RPT not to drop Summons.*



Exhibit B

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

July 12, 2021

RE: The reason for the Withheld Subpoena to the Civil Process Clerk

Dear Honorable Justices of the Delaware Supreme Court:

I am in tears. Today July 12, 2021, I found the reason why I never got the subpoena for the civil process clerk to serve the Amended Complaint. I was booby trapped by the Court staff Arline Simmons, a self-proclaimed (former at least) Trump supporter, I thought was my friend. It was not Katrina or the Honorable Master or any Vice Chancellor.

I just saw Arline flipped my October 12 sheets, my requests for summons to the Amended Complaint. I crossed out the correct address per her guidance. I remember she directing me to do so for the amended complaint letters of instruction. Attached, please find the letters dated October 5, 2020 in a single 6 page document.

She handed over the two subpoenas, but did not hand over the one for the civil process clerk. When she provided them, she said I could not continue the action without a Delaware attorney. I remember thinking she is not giving me permission? Why else withhold the one subpoena, while handing over two.

I followed up on the missing subpoena. She requested I file additional documents after documents. I drafted document after document, full of anxiety to hurry the matter since I requested immediate expedited relief.

I did not understand why I could not get the subpoena. I had served both Trump and Barr both complaints, the Complaint and Amended complaints in the same box to each.

It would have been okay for the Master to make a determination to withhold subpoenas pursuant to her power under the statute in question, Del. Code Ann. tit. 10, § 8803(c). I was getting upset by the fact the court gave me two instead of none or all three. Why mislead me into thinking I may go forward when she intended to halt service?

Arline indicated I needed to file additional paperwork to get the civil process clerk's subpoena. I drafted paper after paper, but decided to hold off since I was intending to swiftly file a third amended complaint. I was eager and sought to expedite the case, to cure errors quickly, and thought additional paper may slow it down at that point, despite Arline's suggestion.

Arline is a self-proclaimed supporter of Trump, and believes differently than my religious beliefs. I am ardent in separation of church and state to prevent inhibiting people's free will, by cloak of government

authority, such government servant, employee or agent's shared belief inherently creates to those he or she serves.

I am in tears. Attached, please find the scans I made of all 6 pages of my letters requesting the summons. See how the civil process clerk's address is missing?

I got booby trapped by Arline when she sought to obstruct me from receiving notice so I could timely file a notice of appeal from the November 2, 2020 determination, by instructing me to cross of the address, switching the pages, and then also by telling me to file more docs.

I am in tears. I am devastated. I cannot go to the ODC since they went after me for standing up for Jesus per Judge Clark. I just discovered the reason why I did not get the subpoena now.

This is not the master's fault nor is it the Chancellor's fault. I need some time because I am so upset and heart broken.

I care about God and my case, and I care about the integrity of the court too, impartiality and justice in the courts are commanded by God too. We should not cover up evil with more evil, deceit. We should fight for truth to our own death to the end, albeit with words not weapons by shedding light on darkness to transform it, correct it to become light. See, *Ecclesiasticus 4:28*.

If possible, can you take a little more time to respond to my motion? I do not want to hurt my heart. I am devastated, shocked, and need time to regroup and heal from this painful distraction.

Thank you.

Dated: July 12, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
(Word Count 720)

Exhibit C

EFiled: Oct 12 2020 03:03PM EDT
Transaction ID 66011956
Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
Bar Number 4968

October 12, 2020

Via/Hand Delivery
Register in Chancery
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

2020 OCT 12 P 2:09
REGISTER IN CHANCERY
GEORGETOWN DELAWARE

RE: Meghan Kelly v. the United States, and, the President of the United States, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, in his official capacity as President of the of the United States C.A. No. 2020-0809-PWG
Civil process clerk Praecipe

Dear Honorable Clerk of Court:

Plaintiff, Meghan Kelly, has filed with this Honorable Court, on behalf of herself, Pro Se, a Second Amended Complaint against Defendant.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Register in Chancery, prepare a summons directing the Plaintiff to serve said summons and a copy of the Second Amended Complaint, at the following address, via registered or certified mail, pursuant to 10 Del. C. Section 3104 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 4(i).


Civil process clerk
for the US Attorney's
Office for the District of Delaware
U.S. Attorney's Office

1313 N Market Street
Wilmington, DE 19801

M.K.
M.K.

Please contact me should this Honorable Court require additional information. Thank you.

Very truly,



/s/Meghan M. Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

Pro Se

DE Bar Number 4968

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

Exhibit D

EFiled: Oct 12 2020 03:03PM EDT
Transaction ID 66011956
Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
Bar Number 4968

October 12, 2020

Via/Hand Delivery
Register in Chancery
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

REGISTER IN CHANCERY
GEORGETOWN DELAWARE
2020 OCT 12 P 2: 09

RE: Meghan Kelly v. the United States, and, the President of the United States, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, in his official capacity as President of the of the United States C.A. No. 2020-0809-PWG
Attorney General Barr Praecipe

Dear Honorable Clerk of Court:


Plaintiff, Meghan Kelly, has filed with this Honorable Court, on behalf of herself, Pro Se, a Second Amended Complaint against Defendant.

Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Register in Chancery, prepare a summons directing the Plaintiff to serve said summons and a copy of the Second Amended Complaint, at the following address, via registered or certified mail, pursuant to 10 Del. C. Section 3104 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule 4(i).

Attorney General
William Barr, Esquire
950 PA Ave. NW Ste 7141
Washington, DC 20530

**Please contact me should this Honorable Court require additional
information. Thank you.**

Very truly



/s/Meghan M. Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

Pro Se

DE Bar Number 4968

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(Word Count 199)

Exhibit E

October 19, 2020

Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

**RE: Meghan Kelly v. United States, President of the United States,
Defendant, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President
Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, his official capacity as
President of the United States, No. 2020-0809- Master PWG
Plaintiff Not officer of the Court/Economic Crash/Forum**

Dear Honorable Master Griffin:

Thank you for taking the case.

I am not an attorney advocate in this case. I am without the insulation and protection of counsel. I am a pro se litigant. I am the party, not the party's counsel. If I was a mere attorney, an officer of the Court, as opposed to the party, it would be different. Lawyers are permitted to talk to judges, but not on matters relating to matters, ex parte. I am not allowed to talk to you ex parte period.

The court's staff adds a buffer, and are not the Judge on the case.

It is strange for the staff, and for me. For instance, I could not share the rules that required 60 day notice instead of 20 days notice to the staff ex parte to give to you, even though I had them in my hand, and the staff kindly said she could take them.

Instead, I must communicate with the defense counsel simultaneously, electronically, or by mail while speaking directly to this honorable Court.

I do not know whether this case will remain in this Court or be removed to federal Court. This area heavily supports President Trump. One of Defendant's biggest campaign contributors allegedly lives in Sussex, and Delaware's liason for President Trump, Rob Arlett, lives in Sussex.

Although I am cognizant of the pro Trump atmosphere in this county, I have faith in the fair impartial rule of law by this Honorable Court.

The federal courts are more of a concern for me. The President's sister presided as a judge in the Third Circuit until recently. In addition, the President placed two Third Circuit judges on his list of potential nominees for Supreme Court, creating a concern that the federal judges may be biased toward their colleagues' potential nominations at the death of the next Supreme Court justice, Justice Hardiman and Justice Phipps.

I believe this Honorable Court, from the smallest state, has more power to save the country than the Supreme Court.

This is the corporate capital of the globe.

The biggest government expense globally is healthcare. (See the web site cited in Second Amended Complaint ("SAC"), Count 234). The US spends more money on social security and healthcare than any other sector.

That fact is often hidden by discretionary charts, instead of mandatory spending charts. The US now must pay out more.

The country and the globe have the biggest bill in the history of the world that has fallen due, healthcare and retirement for the baby boomers. Those who value money more than humanity are seeking to get out of paying this earned and owed debt, by bad business. Bad business, made to fail, made to bail out or bankrupt as directors continue to collect hefty salaries and potential bonuses, should not be rewarded by the government for the profit of the few at the expense of the many. (See, SAC, Counts 229-286)

Directors have the power to artificially inflate stocks through stock buy backs. Entities may resell worthless debt in bankruptcy remote entities into infinity while creating the illusion the economy is above water. I used to draft these bankruptcy remote entities at RLF, Delaware's largest home-grown corporate law firm.

With regard to business bailouts and business incentives, creating artificial debt and charging interest to give to businesses to enslave the people to desperate conditions to require the people pay debt back is the sin of inequity and oppression, arguably violating the 13th Amendment by creating wage slaves, or forced volunteering.

Creating jobs is not helping the people. (See, Romans 4:4. “Now to the one who works, wages are not credited as a gift but as an obligation.”)

Creating jobs is not a gift.

It is exploiting the people to serve those who already profited at their expense with bail outs and incentives, under the deception of doing people a favor by employment.

Soon we will see entities exploit people in a different way only to gain praise by those they oppress, by the elimination of welfare such as social security and medicare, and replacing it with charity violating Matthew 6 misleading people to hell.

This Honorable Court is the only Court in the world that can prevent a global economic crash, while saving government pensions, retirement, social security, lives’ savings and Medicare by cutting through the corporate veil of entities to hold those people, directors or otherwise, responsible for made to fail, made to profit from, bad business.

Misleaders and deceivers exacerbate desperate conditions to exploit those they are charged to serve for self-gain. Leaders look after those they serve by alleviating desperate conditions.

This Court is the king of corporate law. Now we need this Court to be a servant leader by slicing the ill gained fat of a few to save the many.

Years ago, I negotiated for a really cool lawyer tool either from Westlaw or Lexis that gave me people and entity's information, including people's social security numbers, except the last two, which is scary because you could go upstairs in the Superior Court and get the last two numbers for Defendants.

The source provided relationships with other people and entities, including entity information in other countries relating to entities here.

Lawyers now have the means to cut through entity shells. The Court is powerless to save the world if lawyers do not have the courage to ask.

I am grateful for the opportunity to be heard.

Please find additional hearing exhibits, to include with the exhibits to the complaint, and a filing list.

Thank you,



Meghan Kelly

Pro Se

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(Words 1000)

Exhibit F

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

November 10, 2020

Via/Hand Delivery
Register in Chancery
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

RE: *Meghan Kelly v. Defendant, The United States, the President of the United States, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, in his official capacity as President of the of the United States C.A. No. 2020-0809-PWG*

Supreme Court’s Response to letter dated, October 7, 2020, and Plaintiff does not desire to sue Defendant President Donald Trump in his personal capacity

Dear Honorable Assigned Vice Chancellor:

On or about October 7, 2020, I sent the Honorable Chancery Court and the Honorable Supreme Court, through the Honorable Chief Justice Seitz, a letter regarding notary and paper requirements.

Upon hearing of Defendant’s diagnosis of Covid19, I sought to protect the Honorable Court and its staff, the parties, and myself from potentially catching Covid19 and transmitting it to the community I love.

In a letter dated, October 21, 2020, the Honorable Supreme Court kindly responded, in part “Under the Delaware Judges Code of Judicial Conduct, the Chief Justice cannot comment on a pending case.” (Citing,

2020 NOV 10 A 15
REGISTER IN CHANCERY
GEORGETOWN DEL. MADE

Exhibit A, the Honorable Supreme Court's letter, attachment to the letter excluded).

The Honorable Court kindly "enclosed a copy of the latest judicial emergency order as well as the order *suspending notary requirements during the judicial emergency.*" (*Id.* emphasis intended).

I apologize to the Honorable Court for needlessly panicking about the lives and health of this Court and others, when like a good parent, the Court already looked out for the lives and health of the people it serves and their own lives too. I did not know, but I should have known the notary requirements are waived. I am sorry.

In addition, to avoid needless paper battles and confusion, I am not seeking to sue President Trump in his individual capacity. The relevant federal statutes require I sue him in his official capacity to maintain this action.

It is important to alert the Court and the Defendant through its Attorney, Attorney General William Barr, Esq., to this fact since only Attorney General William Barr and President Trump have been served both the Complaint and Amended Complaint at this time. I served them both the Complaint and the Amended Complaint, simultaneously, in the same

package, pursuant to my request in the Motion to amend the initial verified complaint. (See Docket at 27).

The US Attorney for the District of Delaware has not been served anything at all. I requested the subpoenas, including the one for the civil process clerk, in a letter to the Honorable Master, dated October 30, 2020, regarding removal standards/remand/US Supreme Court case of first impression. (See Docket at 76). No subpoena has been received for the Amended Complaint for the agent of the US Attorney for the District of Delaware, despite the fact the Court issued subpoenas to serve President Trump and Attorney General William Barr the Amended Complaint.

In my Amended Complaint, I removed the Defendant, President Trump, in his individual capacity, and only pled to sue him in his official capacity.

I do not desire the President to needlessly retain a private attorney to represent him in his personal capacity, when upon this Honorable Court's allowance, assuming this case is not dismissed, subpoenas may be issued to permit the US Attorney for the District of Delaware to represent him in his official capacity.

I do not desire to increase the workload upon this Court for alleged claims I do not intend to make.

In addition, on November 9, 2020, I mailed US Attorney General William Barr various documents, including but not limited to, the subpoena-less Second Amended Complaint, the redline thereto, the Honorable Master Patricia W. Griffin's Final Report, dated November 2, 2020, Plaintiff's letter, dated November 6, 2020, relating to the second amended complaint and exceptions, Plaintiff's Notice of Exceptions to Special Master's Final Report, dated November 2, 2020. (See, Docket at 82 and 85).

In the subpoena-less unserved Second Amended Complaint, I also made revisions to the Counts relating to Jurisdiction. I do not desire this Honorable Court or potentially the Defendant to needlessly expend time on the initial complaint's pled jurisdiction when I no longer seek to plead the same. (See Exhibit B, page 2-3 of the Second Amended Complaint referring to Jurisdiction, and Exhibit C, a redline of the changes from the initial complaint and the Second Amended Complaint related to jurisdiction).

Finally, in the letter, dated November 6, filed November 9, 2020, I respectfully requested, the unserved Second Amended Complaint remain unserved, with hopes I could revise a new Second Amended Complaint to address the Court's concerns, make corrections, and replace the agent for the US Attorney's Office in the District of Delaware, the Civil Process Clerk for the US Attorney's Office for the District of DE, with the agent, the named

US Attorney General for the District of Delaware to avoid the conflicts between local and federal service requirements. (See, Docket at 84).

Under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 4(i), the in state Defendant United States, through the agent of the civil process clerk, is required to be served via certified or registered mail, a method local rules do not expressly allow for in state service.

If I replace the agent with the named US Attorney for the District of Delaware in place of the civil process clerk, I may serve the Defendant, United States, in a manner complying with both local and federal rules, via the sheriff.

Thank you for the opportunity to plead my case, and for considering my desire to avoid needless paper battles.

Respectfully Submitted,



Meghan Kelly

Pro Se


34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(Word Count 955)

Exhibit G

EFiled: Oct 30 2020 10:35AM EDT
Transaction ID 66067640
Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



October ³⁰, 2020

Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

REGISTER IN CHANCERY
GEORGETOWN, DELAWARE
2020 OCT 30 A 9:35

RE: Meghan Kelly v. United States, President of the United States
Defendant, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President
Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, his official capacity as
President of the United States, No. 2020-0809- Master PWG
**Removal standards/Remand/US Supreme Court case of first
impression**

Dear Honorable Master Patricia W. Griffin:

Thank you for taking the case.

I am writing to you and copying this to the Defendants to discuss what would likely happen should defendant(s) seek to remove the case, and the standards related to such request.

I also am writing to let you and Defendants know why, through no fault of this Honorable Court, this case is likely to be appealed, all the way up to the US Supreme Court. That is unless the Defendants lose and do not appeal, which would pleasantly surprise me.

Pursant to 28 USC § 1446 (a)...A defendant or defendants desiring to remove any civil action from a State court shall file in the district court of the United States for the district and division within which such action is pending a notice of removal ... containing a short and plain statement of the

grounds for removal, together with a copy of all process, pleadings, and orders served upon such defendant or defendants in such action....

(1) The notice of removal of a civil action or proceeding shall be filed within **30 days** after the receipt by the defendant, through service or otherwise, of a copy of **the initial pleading** setting forth **the claim for relief** upon which such action or proceeding is based, or **within 30 days** after the service of summons upon the defendant if such initial pleading has then been filed in court and is not required to be served on the defendant, **whichever period is shorter.**”

I think Attorney General William Barr may seek to remove the case in a few days. May I please have the subpoenas before the election in hopes to serve them before the case is removed?

The reason why this case will likely be appealed is because the Supreme Court has never ruled on whether a state Court may enjoin a federal officer, albeit 5 USCS Section 701-702 waives sovereign immunity for federal officers. Admittedly, the annotation, 22 to 5 USCS section 551 excluded the President as an employee for discretionary choices. I think I can distinguish the case law in the present case since the President has no discretion to violate the same statute he uses as a sword to cut up our freedoms safeguarded by the shield of Constitutional law. (See Exhibit 1, 42

USC section 2000bb, see his unholy executive order is the first annotation of the statute)

This is a case of first impression where I trust this Honorable Court to teach federal employees they are not above the law, to protect me personally, and indirectly protect all of us, even those tempted to sin as they sit in federal seats.

On an aside attached, please find the Westlaw form that I mentioned in my previous letter. Lexis allegedly has public records access too. Lawyers have the ability to uncover data relating to global relationships concerning oil, student loan companies and more, so this Honorable Court may not only pierce the veil of corporate corruption, but pierce the veil of government corruption too. (See, Exhibit 2)

On another frightful aside, my confederate sympathizing neighbor got me to agree that President Lincoln misbehaved in two ways.

Foremost, he used God's word in vain by distorting Jesus Christ's parable of a house divided given the fact Jesus Christ said "I have not come to bring peace but a sword (his word, not a weapon)." To divide folks' own households. Light has nothing to do with darkness. Light transforms darkness to light or becomes transformed darkened too.

Secondly, Lincoln misbehaved by using the insurrection act to kill his own people instead of using his free will, which is his brain, his mind, to think, to care, to love, and guide his people by truth not bloodshed.

Your Honor, I notice Defendant President Trump is already using the insurrection act to incite violence under the guise of preventing it. I notice he is comparing himself to President Lincoln. I foresee he will use the insurrection act to harm and kill his own citizens too if the Honorable Court does not prevent him by balancing his power under the limitations of my case. I think the Courts are the hope of a hero for even the least of these like me, to indirectly save the many.


This case may be the first case to state clearly, federal employees have limited power. The statute the president used as a sword to enact the executive order I refer to in the complaint, is the same statute I am using as a shield to protect the common man too, including myself, 42 USC sections 2000bb — 2000bb-4 . With the grant of power to the powerful, the powerful lose some power to make the common man more equal. The statute I am using only applies to federal employees, including the President, not state and local employees or agents. (It was overturned when attempted to be used against state government agents).

The federal government has become a wild, lawless beast. The courts are charged with taming the beast with the rule of law. Yet, they have no power to correct without a statute. I found one. Please save us from being devoured by those who mislead us.

I have faith in the Court system. I do not think we will be ok if the courts do not save the day.

Thank you for allowing me to plead my case, not only for my God, to save lives from harm and eternal lives from hell, but in hopes you could save democracy too.

Thank you,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Meghan Kelly". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Meghan Kelly

Pro Se

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(1000 Words)

EFiled: Oct 30 2020 10:35AM EDT

Transaction ID 66067640

Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



EXHIBIT

1

42 USCS § 2000bb

Current through Public Law 116-181, approved October 21, 2020.

United States Code Service > TITLE 42. THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND WELFARE (Chs. 1 — 161) > CHAPTER 21B. RELIGIOUS FREEDOM RESTORATION (§§ 2000bb — 2000bb-4)

§ 2000bb. Congressional findings and declaration of purposes

(a) Findings. The Congress finds that—

(1) the framers of the Constitution, recognizing free exercise of religion as an unalienable right, secured its protection in the First Amendment to the Constitution;

(2) laws "neutral" toward religion may burden religious exercise as surely as laws intended to interfere with religious exercise;

(3) governments should not substantially burden religious exercise without compelling justification;

(4) in Employment Division v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872 (1990) the Supreme Court virtually eliminated the requirement that the government justify burdens on religious exercise imposed by laws neutral toward religion; and

(5) the compelling interest test as set forth in prior Federal court rulings is a workable test for striking sensible balances between religious liberty and competing prior governmental interests.

(b) Purposes. The purposes of this Act are—

(1) to restore the compelling interest test as set forth in Sherbert v. Verner, 374 U.S. 398 (1963) and Wisconsin v. Yoder, 406 U.S. 205 (1972) and to guarantee its application in all cases where free exercise of religion is substantially burdened; and

(2) to provide a claim or defense to persons whose religious exercise is substantially burdened by government.

History

HISTORY:

Act Nov. 16, 1993, P. L. 103-141, § 2, 107 Stat. 1488.

Annotations

Notes

HISTORY; ANCILLARY LAWS AND DIRECTIVES

References in text:

42 USCS § 2000bb

Explanatory notes:

Short titles:

Other provisions:

References in text:

"This Act", referred to in this section, is Act Nov. 16, 1993, *P. L. 103-141, 107 Stat. 1488*, popularly known as the Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993, which appears generally as 42 USCS §§ 2000bb et seq. For full classification of such Act, consult USCS Tables volumes.

Explanatory notes:

For constitutionality of Act Nov. 16, 1993, *P. L. 103-141, § 2, 107 Stat. 1488*, see Congressional Research Service, *The Constitution of the United States of America: Analysis and Interpretation, Appendix 1, Acts of Congress Held Unconstitutional in Whole or in Part by the Supreme Court of the United States*.

Short titles:

Act Nov. 16, 1993, *P. L. 103-141, § 1, 107 Stat. 1488*, provides: "This Act may be cited as the 'Religious Freedom Restoration Act of 1993'.". For full classification of such Act, consult USCS Tables volumes.

Other provisions:

Promoting free speech and religious liberty. Ex. Or. No. 13798 of May 4, 2017, 82 Fed. Reg. 21675, provides:

"By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, in order to guide the executive branch in formulating and implementing policies with implications for the religious liberty of persons and organizations in America, and to further compliance with the Constitution and with applicable statutes and Presidential Directives, it is hereby ordered as follows:

"Section 1. Policy. It shall be the policy of the executive branch to vigorously enforce Federal law's robust protections for religious freedom. The Founders envisioned a Nation in which religious voices and views were integral to a vibrant public square, and in which religious people and institutions were free to practice their faith without fear of discrimination or retaliation by the Federal Government. For that reason, the United States Constitution enshrines and protects the fundamental right to religious liberty as Americans' first freedom. Federal law protects the freedom of Americans and their organizations to exercise religion and participate fully in civic life without undue interference by the Federal Government. The executive branch will honor and enforce those protections.

"Sec. 2. Respecting Religious and Political Speech. All executive departments and agencies (agencies) shall, to the greatest extent practicable and to the extent permitted by law, respect and protect the freedom of persons and organizations to engage in religious and political speech. In particular, the Secretary of the Treasury shall ensure, to the extent permitted by law, that the Department of the Treasury does not take any adverse action against any individual, house of worship, or other religious organization on the basis that such individual or organization speaks or has spoken about moral or political issues from a religious perspective, where speech of similar character has, consistent with law, not ordinarily been treated as participation or intervention in a political campaign on behalf of (or in opposition to) a candidate for public office by the Department of the Treasury. As used in this section, the term "adverse action" means the imposition of any tax or tax penalty; the delay or denial of tax-exempt status; the disallowance of tax deductions for contributions made to entities exempted from taxation under section 501(c)(3) of title 26, United States Code; or any other action that makes unavailable or denies any tax deduction, exemption, credit, or benefit.

"Sec. 3. Conscience Protections with Respect to Preventive-Care Mandate. The Secretary of the Treasury, the Secretary of Labor, and the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall consider issuing amended

42 USCS § 2000bb

regulations, consistent with applicable law, to address conscience-based objections to the preventive-care mandate promulgated under section 300gg-13(a)(4) of title 42, United States Code.

"Sec. 4. Religious Liberty Guidance. In order to guide all agencies in complying with relevant Federal law, the Attorney General shall, as appropriate, issue guidance interpreting religious liberty protections in Federal law.

"Sec. 5. Severability. If any provision of this order, or the application of any provision to any individual or circumstance, is held to be invalid, the remainder of this order and the application of its other provisions to any other individuals or circumstances shall not be affected thereby.

"Sec. 6. General Provisions. (a) Nothing in this order shall be construed to impair or otherwise affect:

- "(i) the authority granted by law to an executive department or agency, or the head thereof; or
- "(ii) the functions of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget relating to budgetary, administrative, or legislative proposals.

"(b) This order shall be implemented consistent with applicable law and subject to the availability of appropriations.

"(c) This order is not intended to, and does not, create any right or benefit, substantive or procedural, enforceable at law or in equity by any party against the United States, its departments, agencies, or entities, its officers, employees, or agents, or any other person."

NOTES TO DECISIONS

1. Generally

2. Constitutional issues

3. Construction, generally

4. Applicability

5. Relationship with other laws

6. Particular claims

7.—Claims related to Native Americans

8.—Controlled substance-related matters

9.—Healthcare matters

10.—Immigration matters

11.—Prisoners and detainees

12.—Schools and students

13.—Taxes

14.—Zoning and housing matters

EXHIBIT

2

10/30/2020

permission for free westlaw - Yahoo Mail

permission for free westlaw

meghankellyesq@.../Westlaw passwor...



Meg Kelly <mkelly@thenormanlawfirm.com>
To: Steve Norman <snorman@thenormanlawfirm.com>

Aug 19, 2010 at 5:35 PM

The Westlaw rep Chris called me here. He said he'll give us 60 days of free Westlaw if and only if I get trained, which must take place sometime between 8 am and 5 pm

May I please do it Steve? I'll do it at 8 am? We can hold off on Lexis..... We have until the end of August to decide.

Thank you.
m

From: chris.follese@thomsonreuters.com [mailto:chris.follese@thomsonreuters.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:29 PM
To: Meg Kelly
Subject: 60 Days of Difference...
Importance: High

Meg,

This is no obligation. The signature basically insures that you and your colleague will accept 1 hour of training and that you will NOT give the passwords to other Attorney's and Paralegals. You would receive 2 60 day 'unlimited' access to both Westlaw and WestlawNEXT.

All you need to do is 'fax' me the ATTACHED form – please 'fill-out' near the asterisk. A trainer will be in contact with you shortly after you fax this form to us to schedule training and we would then issue you your two 60 days 'free' passwords – no obligation.

Regards,
Chris Follese
Chris Follese | West, a Thomson Reuters Business | Law Firm Consultant |
Tel: 800.228.0860 ext. 42348 | Fax: 800.227.7097 | chris.follese@ThomsonReuters.com
www.west.thomson.com
Westlaw | LiveNote | Westlaw Legal Calendaring | Legal Solutions Plus | ProDoc

-----Original Message-----

From: xerox.mfd@thomson.com [mailto:xerox.mfd@thomson.com]
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2010 5:48 PM
To: Follese, Chris (Legal)
Subject: Scan from a Xerox WorkCentre

Please open the attached document. It was scanned and sent to you using a Xerox WorkCentre.

Sent by: Guest [xerox.mfd@thomson.com]
Number of Images: 2
Attachment File Type: PDF

WorkCentre Location: B6L-N470 #531
Device Name: P-XXR-B6-S470

For more information on Xerox products and solutions, please visit <http://www.xerox.com>

1 File : 87.3kB



Scan001.PDF
87kB

60 DAYS OF DIFFERENCE

60
Days

WESTLAW® TEMPORARY ACCESS AGREEMENT

AGREEMENT entered into between ("Licensee") and West, a Thomson Reuters business ("West") regarding Westlaw, as follows:

1. License.

a. Grant. West hereby grants Licensee, at no charge, 2 Westlaw password(s) and a non-exclusive, non-transferable, limited license to access Westlaw. Westlaw consists of various West-owned and third party content, services, functions and remotely-accessed gateways (all of which may be referred to as ("Features") which may change from time to time. Access to certain Features may be restricted at anytime by West. Licensee is licensed to use data made available to Licensee through Westlaw ("Data," which includes "Downloaded Data" as defined below) solely in the regular course of legal and other research and related work. Certain Features are licensed subject to paragraph 2 below or subject to Additional Terms (as defined below), all of which take precedence over the license granted in this paragraph 1(a). Except as otherwise provided, the license includes the right to download and temporarily store insubstantial portions of Data ("Downloaded Data") to a storage device under Licensee's exclusive control solely (i) to display internally such Downloaded Data and (ii) to quote from such Downloaded Data (appropriately cited and credited) by electronic cutting and pasting or other means in work product created by Licensee in the regular course of its research and work. Licensee may also create printouts of Data for internal use and for distribution to third parties if such third parties agree not to further distribute the printouts. Licensee may, on an occasional basis and via Westlaw functionality, direct West to transmit individual documents in electronic format to individual internal user(s). Direct transmission of electronic copies by Licensee is prohibited, except as provided in the electronic brief terms of paragraph 2 herein.

b. Limitations. Licensee may not copy, download, scrape, store, publish, transmit, retransmit, transfer, distribute, disseminate, broadcast, circulate, sell, resell or otherwise use the Data, or any portion of the Data, in any form or by any means, except (i) as expressly permitted by this Agreement, (ii) with West's prior written permission, or (iii) if not expressly prohibited by this Agreement or by the "Additional Terms", as allowed under the fair use provision of the Copyright Act (17 U.S.C.A. § 107). Downloaded Data shall not be stored or used in an archival database or other searchable database except as expressly permitted by this Agreement or as quoted in Licensee's work product. Licensee shall not sell, license, sublicense or distribute Data (including printouts and Downloaded Data) to third parties or use Data as a component of or as a basis for any material offered for sale, license or distribution.

c. Rights In Data. Except for the license granted in this Agreement, all right, title and interest in Data, in all languages, formats and media throughout the world, including all copyrights, are and will continue to be the exclusive property of West and other contributors ("Contributors") of Data.

d. Additional Terms and Conditions. Certain third-party Features are governed by terms and conditions which are different from those set forth in this Agreement ("Additional Terms"). Licensee will be given an opportunity to review Additional Terms online.

2. West-Proprietary Data License. Licensee may, via Westlaw functionality, direct West to transmit West-proprietary documents (i.e., documents not licensed from third parties) in electronic format to internal user(s) or to a third party who is an individual if such third party agrees not to further disseminate such documents. Licensee acknowledges its responsibility in assuring compliance with the foregoing by any third party to whom Licensee transmits West-proprietary documents pursuant to the preceding sentence. Direct transmission of electronic copies by Licensee is prohibited. West further grants a non-exclusive, non-transferable, limited license to individual Westlaw users within Licensee entities to store and use West-proprietary Downloaded Data (as defined above) in a searchable database maintained in connection with an ongoing project of the user ("Project Database"). Such database must consist preponderantly of user's work product with access limited to those internal users actively working on the project. The West-proprietary Downloaded Data may be maintained in the Project Database so long as the project remains active or until any termination of the License Agreement, whichever occurs first. Retention of Downloaded Data in a Project Database after the project ends, in an archival database used as a research tool or in a database accessible to external users is prohibited.

3. Usage Restrictions and Information Protection.

a. Usage Restrictions. Licensee shall not use any Data in a manner contrary to or in violation of any applicable federal, state, or local law, rule or regulation. West is not a consumer reporting agency, and Licensee certifies that it will not use any Data as a factor in establishing a consumer's eligibility for credit or insurance to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes, for employment purposes or for any other purpose authorized under section 1681b of the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C.A. § 1681b). Licensee acknowledges that access to certain Data available on Westlaw, including but not limited to credit header Data, motor vehicle Data, driver license Data, and voter registration Data is regulated by state or federal laws, such as the Gramm Leach Bliley Act ("GLBA"), the Driver's Privacy Protection Act ("DPPA"), or other state or federal laws and regulations, or is subject to Contributor restrictions. Licensee agrees not to access such Data for any purpose that is not allowed by the GLBA, by the DPPA, by any other applicable state or federal laws or regulations, or that is contrary to Contributor restrictions. West retains the right to temporarily or permanently block access to certain Data if West, in its sole discretion, believes that the Data may be used for an improper purpose or otherwise in violation of the terms of this Agreement, or that the terms of West's Contributor agreements requires West to block such access. By accessing Data, Licensee acknowledges that from time to time, West and its Contributors and/or various government entities may require Licensee to identify a permissible use and may inquire as to Licensee's compliance with applicable laws or this Agreement. Licensee agrees to cooperate with any inquiry, subject to any attorney-client confidentiality. Licensee shall report to West any misuse, abuse, or compromise of Data of which Licensee becomes aware.

b. Protection of Personal Information. West and Licensee acknowledge that both parties may be required to comply with various privacy and security requirements, including but not limited to those set forth in paragraph 4.a. above, the European Union Directive on Data Protection (95/46) and all other applicable legal directives and applicable industry standards (collectively "Privacy Laws") pursuant to which each party wishes to obtain certain undertakings from the other with regard to the use and protection for the Personal Information of either party. For purposes of this Agreement, "Personal Information" shall refer to, without limitation, the following types of information: name, address, e-mail address, age, date of birth, telephone number, fax, social security number or equivalent or similar government identification numbers, credit/debit card information, bank account information, logins, passwords, or medical or health records of an identifiable human being. Each party shall be responsible for any collection, access, use and disclosure of Personal Information subject to this Agreement. Without limiting the foregoing, each party shall employ appropriate administrative, physical, and technical safeguards in order to sufficiently protect the Personal Information and any information assets and resources in question. Each party shall promptly notify the other of any event that may result in the unauthorized collection, access, use, or disclosure of Personal Information subject to this Agreement ("Information Protection Incident"). The parties shall make reasonable efforts to assist one another in relation to the investigation and remedy of any such Information Protection Incident and any claim, allegation, action, suit, proceeding, or litigation with respect to the unauthorized access, use, or disclosure of Personal Information. Furthermore, any access to or use of Personal Information must be in accordance with all applicable law. No individual shall access records that require a permissible purpose unless such a purpose exists for such individual. For purposes of its obligations hereunder, any acts or omissions by the personnel of each party shall also be deemed to be the acts or omissions of West and/or Licensee, respectively.

4. Westlaw Software and Internet Based Services.

a. Westlaw Software. West may make available to Licensee, on a subscription basis, software for use in connection with Westlaw. Such software, including new versions and the accompanying user documentation, may be referred to collectively as "Software." All Software will be licensed to Licensee under a license agreement which will accompany the Software. By using the Software and taking such other action as may be referenced in the Agreement as constituting acceptance, Licensee agrees to be bound by the terms and conditions

of the accompanying license agreement. If Licensee does not so agree, Licensee must return any tangible copies of the Software in its possession or control.

b. **Internet Based Services.** Westlaw may be accessed by Internet based services such as westlaw.com and next.westlaw.com ("Internet Based Services"). West grants Licensee a non-exclusive, non-transferable, limited license to use Internet Based Services. Licensee may not reverse engineer, decompile, disassemble or otherwise attempt to discern the source code of the components of Internet Based Services, nor may Licensee reproduce all or any portion of the components of Internet Based Services. Licensee may use Data cached in Licensee's local disk drive solely in support of its use of Internet Based Services. Certain software used by Licensee may not be capable of supporting Internet Based Services. The performance of Internet Based Services varies with the manufacturers' equipment with which it is used.

5. **Disclaimer of Warranties.** WESTLAW, DATA AND SOFTWARE ARE PROVIDED "AS IS," WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE WARRANTIES OF PERFORMANCE, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, ACCURACY, OMISSIONS, COMPLETENESS, CURRENTNESS AND DELAYS. EXCEPT AS SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED IN THE APPLICABLE LICENSE AGREEMENTS, WEST AND CONTRIBUTORS SHALL HAVE NO LIABILITY WHATSOEVER TO LICENSEE FOR ANY CLAIM(S) RELATING IN ANY WAY TO THIS AGREEMENT OR THEIR PERFORMANCE HEREUNDER, REGARDLESS OF THE FORM OF ACTION, WHETHER BASED IN CONTRACT OR NEGLIGENCE. IN NO EVENT SHALL WEST OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE TO LICENSEE FOR ANY CLAIM(S) RELATING TO LICENSEE'S INABILITY OR FAILURE TO PERFORM RESEARCH OR RELATED WORK OR TO PERFORM SUCH RESEARCH OR OTHER WORK PROPERLY OR COMPLETELY EVEN IF ASSISTED BY WEST NOR SHALL WEST OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY LOST PROFITS OR OTHER CONSEQUENTIAL, EXEMPLARY, INCIDENTAL, INDIRECT OR SPECIAL DAMAGES, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.

6. **Responsibility for Certain Matters.** Licensee shall be responsible for all access to and use of Westlaw, Data, Software and Internet Based Services by Licensee's personnel or by means of Licensee's equipment or the Westlaw temporary access password, whether or not Licensee has knowledge of or authorizes such access and use.

7. **Reservation of Rights.** West reserves the right to modify the terms and conditions of this Agreement from time to time including, but not limited to, the right to impose access and usage limitations. Such modifications shall be effective immediately upon written notice to Licensee.

8. **Limitation of Claims.** Except for claims relating to improper use of Westlaw, Data or Software, no claim, regardless of form, which in any way arises out of this Agreement or the use of or inability to use Westlaw, Data, Software or and Internet Based Services may be made, nor action based upon such claim brought, by either party hereto more than one year after the basis for the claim becomes known to the party desiring to assert it.

9. **Term and Termination.** This Agreement shall become effective upon approval and execution by West in St. Paul, Minnesota and shall continue in force for 80 days thereafter, unless further extended by oral or written agreement of the parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, West may terminate this Agreement immediately upon giving written notice of termination to Licensee.

10. **Effect of Agreement.** This Agreement embodies the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes any and all prior understandings and agreements, oral or written, relating thereto. West may amend the terms and conditions of this Agreement by giving Licensee written notice thereof. Any other amendment hereto must be in writing and signed by both parties.

11. **Notices.** All notices hereunder shall be given in writing to West at 610 Opperman Drive, P.O. Box 64833, St. Paul, Minnesota 55164-0833, Attention: Customer Service and to Licensee at the address set forth below.

12. **Governing Law.** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed under the laws of the State of Minnesota.

13. **Other Provisions.** Neither this Agreement nor any part or portion hereof shall be assigned, sublicensed or otherwise transferred by Licensee without West's prior written consent. Should any provision of this Agreement be held to be void, invalid, unenforceable or illegal by a court, the validity and enforceability of the other provisions shall not be affected thereby. Failure of either party to enforce any provision of this Agreement shall not constitute or be construed as a waiver of such provision or of the right to enforce such provision. The headings and captions contained in this Agreement are inserted for convenience only and shall not constitute a part hereof.

14. **Ideas and Concepts.** Any and all title, ownership rights, and intellectual property rights concerning any ideas, concepts, suggestions, materials and the like that Subscriber provides to West regarding Westlaw, Internet Based Services or Westlaw Software shall become the exclusive property of West and may be used for its business purposes in its sole discretion without any payment, accounting, remuneration or attribution to Subscriber.

15. **Westlaw Training.** Licensee acknowledges that Westlaw training of the persons to whom the Westlaw passwords are to be issued hereunder, must be completed by a West Inside Account Manager prior to the delivery of the Westlaw password to Licensee.

West, a Thomson Reuters business

By: _____
Title: _____
Date: _____

In-House Contact

Name: Lisa Goblirsch
E-Mail Address: slf.daysofdifference@thomson.com
(for password delivery)
Rep Name Chris Follese
Rep Number 0063698
Notes: 60 Days Westlaw & WestlawNext NTO
601817F82027
Service #: 40994803/40994802 and 41004228/41004230

Licensee

By (signature): _____
Name (please print): _____
Title: _____
Date: _____
Firm/Company Name: The Norman Law Firm
Address: 29 Atlantic Ave. E
OCEAN VIEW, DE 19970
Telephone: 302-437-3788
Contact: MEG KELLY mkelly@thenormanlawfirm.com



**This offer expires on or before 9/2/2010.
Please fax executed Order Form to:
651-687-6857
To be faxed in by a West Representative**

Exhibit H

EFiled: Oct 12 2020 09:23AM
Transaction ID 66010390
Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

October 9, 2020

Register in Chancery
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

REGISTER IN CHANCERY
GEORGETOWN DELAWARE
2020 OCT -9 P 4: 25

**RE: Meghan Kelly v. the President of the United States,
Defendant, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a.
President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, his official
capacity as President of the of the United States Case No. 2020-
0809- Master PWG**

Dear Honorable Master Patricia W. Griffin:

Thank you for kindly granting me an opportunity to seek justice.

During times of emergency, Constitutional freedoms are more limited in order to uphold the most fundamental freedom, without which no other freedoms would exist, the freedom of life.

The Declaration of Independence of 1776 provides, "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all (people) are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness."

The first inalienable right, the right to live, is the most important to protect. I desire to protect this Honorable Court's lives, the lives of those in

my community, my own life, and the lives of those affected by the President and his attorney.

I am particularly concerned of the danger to a notary witnessing the President's signature.

I am seeking a waiver of paper requirements and affidavit requirements. I sent an email online to the Governor requesting waivers and accommodations on October 9, 2020 to prevent sickness and death to this Honorable Court.

I also sent the letter attached hereto as Exhibit A to the Honorable Justice Collins J. Seitz via US mail, and a letter attached hereto as Exhibit B to the Honorable Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock III relating to accommodations to avoid Covid19 contagion I dropped off.


As a Christian, I seek to protect life, health and eternal life. While eternal lives are more precious, I do not want to serve Satan. In Hebrews 2:14, the devil has "power over death." God came to bring life and life more abundant. (See, John 10:10, The thief comes only to steal and kill and destroy; I have come that they may have life, and have it to the full.)

I have a duty before God to protect this Honorable Court's health and life, besides a duty to uphold the most fundamental freedom to live under our Constitution.

I am open to any stipulations the Defendant and this Court may have to preserve the life and health of all, including but not limited to a waiver for notary requirements for affidavits, and accommodations to avoid paper serving and filing. The Defendant has my permission to stipulate to a waiver of affidavits to keep potential notaries safe. The Defendant has my permission to serve me papers electronically, should we come up with a proper mode. That way I will not touch papers that may have germs on it, and thereby spread them to the community and this honorable Court.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly,


Meghan Kelly Esquire
Pro Se
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
(415 words)

EFiled: Oct 12 2020 09:23AM EDT

Transaction ID 66010390

Case No. 2020-0809-PWG



EXHIBIT

A

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
Bar Number 4968

October 7, 2020

The Honorable Justice Collins J. Seitz
c/o Delaware Supreme Court
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

RE: Meghan Kelly v. the Defendant, The President of the United States, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, in his individual capacity, and in his official capacity as President of the of the United States, 2020-0809 PWG

Dear Honorable Supreme Court Justice Collins J. Seitz:

I filed the above referenced law suit against the President, Donald J. Trump, in the Chancery Court. To my horror, the President and his attorney, William Barr, Esquire were both diagnosed with Covid19.

I filed the case pro se, in forma pauperis on September 21, 2020.

I would have filed it sooner, but I kept getting the doors of justice slammed in my face. My computer broke. Libraries closed. Then, a door based on unconditional love opened up, my parents bought me a computer as an early Christmas gift.

I humbly asked the Chancery Court to be America's hero, to save the country by safeguarding the freedoms we all hold dear, by ruling those in power have fewer freedoms under the first amendment, in the form of limits, in order to safeguard the freedoms of those they are charged to serve. Albeit my case is limited to religious free exercise and establishment. This precedent may expand to a greater reach to make us all more free, under the rule of law, to avoid the growing temptation to believe lawlessness is freedom, and the temptation to disregard or demean the courts.

Lawlessness is not freedom. It is enslavement to those with power, money and connections without restraint called laws, when those in power violate the Constitutional limits to exploit those they are charged to serve, to serve those who serve them by barter or exchange, thereby serving themselves instead, (reflecting the image of Satan, the "lawless one" Citing, 2 Thessalonians 2:8-9).

I believe the Chancery Court has the power to not only save lives, but eternal lives by correcting the lawlessness of those in power, by creating precedent by correcting President Trump. Nevertheless, I want no martyrs. That serves the devil who has "power over death." (Citing, Hebrews 2:14.) I want to encourage life and eternal life. I want living heroes. I do not want the Court to die for justice. I want them to live, to save the day, save

America and save the world from the lawlessness the President Trump
tempts all to serve.

Could you please negotiate free access to lexis file and serve for pro
se parties like myself with lexis file and serve? Could you please modify the
rules to eliminate all paper for cases where one of the parties contracted
covid19?

I believe the President is sick with the sin against the holy spirit,
meaning absence of love, which is absence of God. Since "God is love."
(Citing, 1 John 4:16). I believe he lives for self like Satan. (See, Isaiah
Chapter 14). I am rightly concerned that Defendant would intentionally get
me sick by coughing on papers before sending the same to Court or me.
Since, I have to paper file. I am concerned about spreading the Defendant's
covid19 to the Honorable Court too.

The Honorable Commissioner Vavala, at the DSBA, recommended I
contact the governor for relief. However, I think throwing more money at
the problem encourages sin, greed, not **mercy**. (See, Matthew 23:23
regarding more important laws of "justice, mercy and faithfulness"
(emphasis intended)).

Thank you for your kind consideration. I hope you stay healthy and
well.

Very truly,

Meg Kelly
/s/Meghan M. Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

Pro Se

DE Bar Number 4968

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(Word Count 635)

EXHIBIT

B

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

Bar Number 4968

October 7, 2020

The Honorable Honorable Vice Chancellor Sam Glasscock III
Court of Chancery Courthouse
34 The Circle
Georgetown, DE 19947

RE: Meghan Kelly v. the Defendant, The President of the United States, Donald Trump, a.k.a. Donald J. Trump, a.k.a. President Trump, a.k.a. President Donald Trump, in his individual capacity, and in his official capacity as President of the of the United States, 2020-0809 PWG

For Vice Chancellor Sam Gloscock only, not the Honorable Master on this case please

Dear Honorable Vice Chancellor Sam Glascock III:


The Defendant in the above referenced case was diagnosed with Covid 19.

I asked the Delaware Supreme Court for accommodations to avoid contagion to this Honorable Court and the community. I do not want the Honorable Court's staff to get sick and die. I desire to safeguard the lives and health of everyone, even potential notaries for the Defendant.

I hope the Honorable Supreme Court grants limited waivers. If not, would you please consider alternative ways to keep everyone safe?

Thank you for your kind consideration. I hope you stay healthy and well.

Very truly,



/s/Meghan M. Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire

Pro Se

DE Bar Number 4968

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

(Word Count 215)

Exhibit I

SUPREME COURT OF DELAWARE

KATHERINE J. NEIKIRK
CHIEF STAFF ATTORNEY

THE RENAISSANCE CENTRE
405 N. KING STREET, SUITE 509
WILMINGTON, DE 19801

October 21, 2020


Meghan Marie Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, Delaware 19939

Dear Ms. Kelly,

I write in response to the letter, dated October 7, 2020, that you sent to Chief Justice Seitz regarding a case you filed in the Court of Chancery and your concerns regarding COVID-19. Under the Delaware Judges' Code of Judicial Conduct, the Chief Justice cannot comment on a pending case.

Information about the numerous precautions that the Delaware courts have enacted in response to COVID-19 is available at the Delaware Judiciary Response to Coronavirus Disease website, <https://courts.delaware.gov/aoc/covid-19>. For your convenience, I have enclosed a copy of the latest judicial emergency order as well as the order suspending notarization requirements during the judicial emergency. I hope this information addresses your concerns.

Very truly yours,



Katherine J. Neikirk

Enclosures
cc: Karlis Johnson