In the

Supreme Court of the United States

ILANA BANGIYEV,

PETITIONER,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

RESPONDENT.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., Esquire Counsel of Record
BROWNSTONE, P.A.
P.O. Box 2047
Winter Park, Florida 32790-2047
robertsirianni@brownstonelaw.com
(o) 407-388-1900
(f) 407-622-1511
Counsel for Petitioner

To The Honorable Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States

Pursuant to Rule 30.3 of this Court, Petitioner respectfully requests a 60-day extension of time, to and including February 29, 2024, within which to file a petition for writ of certiorari to review the judgment of the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals. Absent an extension, Petitioner's petition would be due 90-days from the final judgment from the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals, which is December 31, 2023.

Basis for Jurisdiction in the Supreme Court

This Court has jurisdiction to grant an application for a writ of certiorari in this case pursuant to Art. III, Sec. 2, Clause 2, as Petitioner seeks review of a judgment of the United States Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals.

Opinion and Order

On October 2, 2023, the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals entered an order denying Petitioner's case and subsequently timely filed Petition for Rehearing. See *United States v. Ilana Bangiyev*, Case No. 22-1066 (4th Cir. Oct. 2, 2023).

Judgment Sought to be Reviewed

The Court's review is warranted to resolve significant issues of law on which the decision below departs from this Court's precedents and highlights a split among the circuits. This Petitioner stems from the ancillary proceeding of a Third-Party Ilana Bangiyev in a criminal case of *United States v. Bangiyev, et. al.*, Crim. No. 1:14-cr-206, 2017 WL 3599640, (E.D. Va. Aug. 18, 2017) in the Eastern District of Virginia. Petitioner filed a timely Notice of Appeal to the Fourth Circuit and was denied relief on October 2, 2023.

The circuit court's decision raises questions and illumines a circuit split regarding the forfeiture. First, there is a split on the test used to identify the type of property eligible for the forfeiture. Second, there is a distinction regarding whether a party of a nominee of a criminal defendant, whose interest in the property derived from the relationship with the criminal defendant, is found to be an alter ego. Last, there is a split regarding the implications of state law. These issues require resolution by this honorable Court.

Reasons for Extension of Time

The additional time is warranted due to significant professional obligations in pending appellate matters and the need to consult and obtain documentary evidence.

The legal issues in the case require coordination between counsel and Petitioner.

Moreover, a comprehensive analysis of the circuit splits will preserve judicial economy and aid in the adjudication of this Petition.

Petitioner believes an extension will result in no prejudice to Respondent.

CONCLUSION

Petitioner's request is intended to ensure that Petitioner and counsel have adequate opportunity to discuss the merits of their claim, retrieve and review all appellate documents, and provide complete and effective assistance of counsel.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., Esquire Counsel of Record

BROWNSTONE, P.A.

P.O. Box 2047

Winter Park, Florida 32790-2047

(o) 407-388-1900

robertsirianni@brownstonelaw.com

Counsel for Petitioner

Dated: November 9, 2023.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., hereby certify that an original and 2 copies of the foregoing Application for Extension of Time for the matter of *Ilana Bangiyev v. United States*, were sent via Next Day Service to the U.S. Supreme Court, and 1 copy was sent Next Day Service and email to the following parties listed below, this 9th day November 2023.

Kevin Hudson, Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for the United States 101 West Main Street, Suite 8000 Norfolk, VA 23510

Tel: (757) 441-6331 Fax: (757) 441-6689

Email: kevin.hudson@usdoj.gov

Robert L. Sirianni, Jr., Esquire

FILED: October 2, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1066 (L) (1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

ILANA BANGIYEVA

Claimant - Appellant

and

EDUARD BANGIYEV

Defendant

No. 22-1099 (1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellant

V.

IRINA ALISHAYEVA

Party-in-Interest - Appellee

and

EDUARD BANGIYEV

Defendant

ORDER

The court denies the petition for rehearing.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Wilkinson, Judge Agee, and

Judge Heytens.

For the Court

/s/ Nwamaka Anowi, Clerk

Filed: 08/02/2023 Pg: 1 of 3

FILED: August 2, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1066 (L), US v. Ilana Bangiyeva 1:14-cr-00206-LO-6

NOTICE OF JUDGMENT

Judgment was entered on this date in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 36. Please be advised of the following time periods:

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI: The time to file a petition for writ of certiorari runs from the date of entry of the judgment sought to be reviewed, and not from the date of issuance of the mandate. If a petition for rehearing is timely filed in the court of appeals, the time to file the petition for writ of certiorari for all parties runs from the date of the denial of the petition for rehearing or, if the petition for rehearing is granted, the subsequent entry of judgment. See Rule 13 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the United States; www.supremecourt.gov.

VOUCHERS FOR PAYMENT OF APPOINTED OR ASSIGNED COUNSEL:

Vouchers must be submitted within 60 days of entry of judgment or denial of rehearing, whichever is later. If counsel files a petition for certiorari, the 60-day period runs from filing the certiorari petition. (Loc. R. 46(d)). If payment is being made from CJA funds, counsel should submit the CJA 20 or CJA 30 Voucher through the CJA eVoucher system. In cases not covered by the Criminal Justice Act, counsel should submit the Assigned Counsel Voucher to the clerk's office for payment from the Attorney Admission Fund. An Assigned Counsel Voucher will be sent to counsel shortly after entry of judgment. Forms and instructions are also available on the court's web site, www.ca4.uscourts.gov, or from the clerk's office.

BILL OF COSTS: A party to whom costs are allowable, who desires taxation of costs, shall file a Bill of Costs within 14 calendar days of entry of judgment. (FRAP 39, Loc. R. 39(b)).

PETITION FOR REHEARING AND PETITION FOR REHEARING EN

BANC: A petition for rehearing must be filed within 14 calendar days after entry of judgment, except that in civil cases in which the United States or its officer or agency is a party, the petition must be filed within 45 days after entry of judgment. A petition for rehearing en banc must be filed within the same time limits and in the same document as the petition for rehearing and must be clearly identified in the title. The only grounds for an extension of time to file a petition for rehearing are the death or serious illness of counsel or a family member (or of a party or family member in pro se cases) or an extraordinary circumstance wholly beyond the control of counsel or a party proceeding without counsel.

Each case number to which the petition applies must be listed on the petition and included in the docket entry to identify the cases to which the petition applies. A timely filed petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc stays the mandate and tolls the running of time for filing a petition for writ of certiorari. In consolidated criminal appeals, the filing of a petition for rehearing does not stay the mandate as to co-defendants not joining in the petition for rehearing. In consolidated civil appeals arising from the same civil action, the court's mandate will issue at the same time in all appeals.

A petition for rehearing must contain an introduction stating that, in counsel's judgment, one or more of the following situations exist: (1) a material factual or legal matter was overlooked; (2) a change in the law occurred after submission of the case and was overlooked; (3) the opinion conflicts with a decision of the U.S. Supreme Court, this court, or another court of appeals, and the conflict was not addressed; or (4) the case involves one or more questions of exceptional importance. A petition for rehearing, with or without a petition for rehearing en banc, may not exceed 3900 words if prepared by computer and may not exceed 15 pages if handwritten or prepared on a typewriter. Copies are not required unless requested by the court. (FRAP 35 & 40, Loc. R. 40(c)).

MANDATE: In original proceedings before this court, there is no mandate. Unless the court shortens or extends the time, in all other cases, the mandate issues 7 days after the expiration of the time for filing a petition for rehearing. A timely petition for rehearing, petition for rehearing en banc, or motion to stay the mandate will stay issuance of the mandate. If the petition or motion is denied, the mandate will issue 7 days later. A motion to stay the mandate will ordinarily be denied, unless the motion presents a substantial question or otherwise sets forth good or probable cause for a stay. (FRAP 41, Loc. R. 41).

U.S. COURT OF APPEAL FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT BILL OF COSTS FORM (Civil Cases)

Directions: Under FRAP 39(a), the costs of appeal in a civil action are generally taxed against appellant if a judgment is affirmed or the appeal is dismissed. Costs are generally taxed against appellee if a judgment is reversed. If a judgment is affirmed in part, reversed in part, modified, or vacated, costs are taxed as the court orders. A party who wants costs taxed must, within 14 days after entry of judgment, file an itemized and verified bill of costs, as follows:

- Itemize any fee paid for docketing the appeal. The fee for docketing a case in the court of appeals is \$500 (effective 12/1/2013). The \$5 fee for filing a notice of appeal is recoverable as a cost in the district court.
- Itemize the costs (not to exceed \$.15 per page) for copying the necessary number of formal briefs and appendices. (Effective 10/1/2015, the court requires 1 copy when filed; 3 more copies when tentatively calendared; 0 copies for service unless brief/appendix is sealed.). The court bases the cost award on the page count of the electronic brief/appendix. Costs for briefs filed under an informal briefing order are not recoverable.
- Cite the statutory authority for an award of costs if costs are sought for or against the United States. See 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (limiting costs to civil actions); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(f)(1) (prohibiting award of costs against the United States in cases proceeding without prepayment of fees).

Any objections to the bill of costs must be filed within 14 days of service of the bill of costs. Costs are paid directly to the prevailing party or counsel, not to the clerk's office.

Appellate Docketing Fee (prevailing appellants):			Amount Requested:			Amount Allowed:	
Document	No. of Pages		No. of Copies		Page Cost (≤\$.15)	Total Cost	
	Requested	Allowed (court use only)	Requested	Allowed (court use only)		Requested	Allowed (court use only)
TOTAL BILL OF COSTS:						\$0.00	\$0.00
standard billing : 2. If costs are so	amount is not le ught for or agair	ss than \$.15 ponst the United	er copy or, if le States, I furthe e costs are true	ess, I have reduce r certify that 28 and correct and	eed the am B U.S.C. § I were nec	one in-house, I co ount charged to t 2412 permits an essarily incurred	he lesser rate, award of costs, in this action,
Signature:				Date:			
Signature:				Date:			
Signature:			Certificate	of Service			

FILED: August 2, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 22-1066 (L) (1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellee

V,

ILANA BANGIYEVA

Claimant - Appellant

and

EDUARD BANGIYEV

Defendant

No. 22-1099 (1:14-cr-00206-LO-6)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Plaintiff - Appellant

V..

USCA4 Appeal: 22-1066 Doc: 52-2 Filed: 08/02/2023 Pg: 2 of 2 Total Pages: (5 of 5)

IRINA ALISHAYEVA

Party-in-Interest - Appellee

and

EDUARD BANGIYEV

Defendant

JUDGMENT

In accordance with the decision of this court, the judgment of the district court is affirmed in part and vacated in part. This case is remanded to the district court for further proceedings consistent with the court's decision.

This judgment shall take effect upon issuance of this court's mandate in accordance with Fed. R. App. P. 41.

/s/ PATRICIA S. CONNOR, CLERK