

Towaki Komatsu
21-10 Borden Ave.
Queens, NY 11101

Tel: 305-784-7450
E-Mail: Towaki_Komatsu@yahoo.com

VIA REGULAR MAIL


September 14, 2023

Scott S. Harris, Clerk
Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

RE: a) *Komatsu v. USA*, No. 23-95 (2d Cir. Aug. 2, 2023)
b) *Komatsu v. City of New York*, No. 23-464 (2d Cir. Aug. 2, 2023)

Dear Mr. Harris,

This is a letter motion in which I'm requesting a 60-day extension of time from 10/31/23 to 12/30/23 to file my pending petition for a writ of certiorari about the order that was issued on 8/2/23 by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit ("the Second Circuit") in *Komatsu v. USA*, No. 23-95 (2d Cir. Aug. 2, 2023) that is hereinafter referred to as "K1" and *Komatsu v. City of New York*, No. 23-464 (2d Cir. Aug. 2, 2023) that is hereinafter referred to as "K2". That order was a final order that denied me leave to pursue an appeal a) about the 1/19/23 dismissal of *Komatsu v. USA*, No. 21-cv-1838 (RJD)(S.D.N.Y. Jan. 19, 2023) and b) *Komatsu v. City of New York*, No. 20-cv-10942 (VEC)(RWL)(S.D.N.Y. Jun. 17, 2022) that is hereinafter referred to as "K3". K3 was a countersuit about the fact that I prevailed in *People v. Komatsu*, No. 2017BX048917 (Bronx Crim. Ct. Jan. 23, 2020) that is hereinafter referred to as "K4". Information in the 6/1/23 decision in *People v. Torres*, 2023 N.Y. Slip Op 50532 (Crim. Ct. 2023) confirms that I was legally required to have been provided audit-trail records as discovery material that correspond to NYPD body-cameras as discovery material in K4. However, I was never provided those audit-trail records and that fact prohibited K3 from being dismissed for the



reasons why it was dismissed due to estoppel. The Second Circuit never considered this point about People v. Torres. This Court's justices also didn't consider this point in Komatsu v. City of New York, No. 22-6605 (U.S. May 15, 2023) that is hereinafter referred to as "K5" because it wasn't until after this Court denied my petition for rehearing on 5/15/23 in K5 that the 6/1/23 decision in People v. Torres was issued. The 8/2/23 order that was issued in both K1 and K2 set my 90-day deadline to submit my petition for a writ of certiorari in response to it as 10/31/23. That order is annexed to this letter. Key reasons why I need to be granted the extension of time to prepare and submit the petition for a writ of certiorari to this Court to which I referred at the start of this letter are due to **a)** other litigation of mine that also requires my attention, time, and financial resources as well as **b)** undue, substantial, ongoing, and longstanding financial hardships that I'm experiencing largely due to ongoing and longstanding wage-theft and employment blacklisting against me that includes such wage-theft and blacklisting against me that was the subject of Komatsu v. NTT Data, Inc., 22-7102 (U.S. Aug. 21, 2023). Such financial hardships materially impede my ability to both **a)** print and mail my pending petition for a writ of certiorari both to this Court and to the attorneys for the defendants that this petition concerns and **b)** take care of other necessary expenses. The remainder of my reasons for seeking a deadline extension are mostly identical to those that I provided in the request for a deadline extension that corresponds to Komatsu v. New York, No. 22-5681 (U.S. Nov. 21, 2022). This Court granted that earlier request for a deadline extension for that other appeal of mine. There will be no prejudice to the opposing parties nor their attorneys if this request for an extension of time is granted.

Respectfully,



/s/ Towaki Komatsu

Towaki Komatsu