FPC, P.O. Box 1000 Butney, N.C. 27509 RECEIVED OCT 2 5 2023

FILED: July 18, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-6169 (5:21-ct-03072-D)

ANTHONY ANDREWS

Plaintiff - Appellant

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; AUSA RUDY E. RENFER; AUSA KIMBERLY A. MOORE; AUSA KELLY M. PERRY

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER	

The court denies the petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc. No judge requested a poll under Fed. R. App. P. 35 on the petition for rehearing en banc.

Further, the court denies the motion to stay the mandate as premature.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Agee, Judge Wynn, and Judge Quattlebaum.

For the Court

FILED: May 23, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-6169 (5:21-ct-03072-D)

ANTHONY ANDREWS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; AUSA RUDY E. RENFER; AUSA KIMBERLY A. MOORE; AUSA KELLY M. PERRY,

Defendants - Appellees.

ORDER

Anthony Andrews applies under the Prison Litigation Reform Act (PLRA), 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b), to proceed on appeal without prepayment of fees. If an applicant has had three actions or appeals dismissed on the ground that they were frivolous, were malicious, or failed to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, the applicant may not proceed without prepayment of fees unless the applicant "is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Andrews has had three such prior dismissals: *Andrews v. Jordan*, 225 F. App'x 158 (4th Cir. 2007) (No. 06-7773); *Andrews v. Fox*, 109 F. App'x 603 (4th Cir. 2004) (No. 04-

6936); Andrews v. Suter, No. 1:05-cv-01173-UNA (D.D.C. June 10, 2005). We conclude that Andrews has not adequately shown that he is under imminent danger of serious physical injury. We therefore deny the application to proceed without prepayment of fees under the PLRA.

Entered at the direction of the panel: Judge Agee, Judge Wynn, and Judge Quattlebaum.

For the Court

FILED: July 27, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

		. 3
	No. 23-6169 (5:21-ct-03072-D)	
ANTHONY ANDREWS	# E	
Plaintiff - Appel	lant	
V.	æ	
UNITED STATES OF AMER KIMBERLY A. MOORE; AU	-	
Defendants - Ap	pellees	
_		
. **	ORDER	
Upon consideration of s	ubmissions relative to th	ne motion to stay mandate,
the court denies the motion.		

For the Court--By Direction

FILED: August 24, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-6169	
(5:21-ct-03072-D)	

ANTHONY ANDREWS

Plaintiff - Appellant

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; AUSA RUDY E. RENFER; AUSA KIMBERLY A. MOORE; AUSA KELLY M. PERRY

Defendants - Appellees

ORDER

The court dismisses this proceeding for failure to prosecute pursuant to Local Rule 45.

For the Court--By Direction

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-6169 (5:21-ct-03072-D)

ANTHONY ANDREWS

Plaintiff - Appellant

V.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; AUSA RUDY E. RENFER; AUSA KIMBERLY A. MOORE; AUSA KELLY M. PERRY

Defendants - Appellees

RULE 45 MANDATE

This court's order dismissing this appeal pursuant to Local Rule 45 takes effect today.

This constitutes the formal mandate of this court issued pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Cetificate of Service

Rm 5616, DOJ FPC, P.O. Box 1000 SO Pensylvania Au, NW B. tree, N.C. 27500 Sustington, D.C. 20530