

MEGHAN KELLY, ESQ.

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

Meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

(302) 278-2975

Clerk of the United States Supreme Court

1 First Street, NE

Washington, DC 20543

RE: Kelly v Swartz, et al. No 23A361 Petitioner Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's **Renewed Application for Leave to exceed the page limit in her Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit Case No 21-3198** to the **Honorable Justice Neil Gorsuch**

November 14, 2023

Dear Honorable Clerk of Court:

On October 18, 2023 I drove to the United States Supreme Court and dropped off boxes which included:

1. Petitioner Meghan M. Kelly's Motion for Leave to file in Forma Pauperis her On Petition for Writ of Certiorari before judgment and for relief under Supreme Court Rules 38 and 41
2. Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's Opening Brief moving the US Supreme Court to vacate the Third Circuit's Orders dismissing the case under Younger, denying recusals of Judge Scirica and Phipps and to remand the matter to the Delaware District Court for consideration and
3. Petitioner Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's Petition for Leave to exceed the page limit in her Petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit Case No 21-3198

Our US Supreme Court case manager changed the order of the documents on the to show the petition for more pages first. On Monday October 23, 2023, the application for more pages appeared transferred to Justice Alito. Two days later on Wednesday, October 25, 2023 I called US Supreme Court case manager Lisa Nesbitt and asked her if I could file an application to another judge if Justice Alito denied my application for additional pages. She responded, yes. I said I hoped he takes his time because I require it. Later that day around closing time approaching 5 PM Lisa Nesbitt gave me a courtesy call and to my horror indicated Justice Alito

denied my application. It appeared the letter was mailed to me by the stamp dated Thursday, October 26, 2023, but I did not physically receive it until October 30, 2023.

It is a challenging task to discern who may grant me leave for additional pages required to fully address the multitude of claims I seek to show I preserved on the record. I require time to research. Unfortunately, my phone was hacked. So, I was without the means to request a temporary password to perform more thorough research on justices until recently after my mother drove up 1000 miles and handed me a working phone a few days ago. The new number is 302-278-2975. It was not until November 13, 2023 that I was able to go to the law library where I researched an article revealing Justice Gorsuch could distinguish in between just laws and unjust decrees relating to the environment. See for example, *Virginia Uranium, Inc. v. Warren*, 139 S. Ct. 1894, 204 L. Ed. 2d 377 (2019), (“Federal Atomic Energy Act (AEA) does not preempt Virginia law banning uranium mining. (Per Justice Gorsuch, with two Justices concurring and three Justices concurring in the judgment.)”); Also see, SUPREME COURT JUSTICES NEIL GORSUCH AND BRETT KAVANAUGH CLASH OVER FEDERAL REGULATION AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE, 24 Chap. L. Rev. 339 (“Justice Gorsuch has a skeptical view of the federal government. He prefers to limit the discretion of federal officials through formal rules that establish clear individual rights. In disputes between individuals and the federal government, Justice Gorsuch is more apt to side with the individual.”)¹

When I ran for office in 2018 both parties proposed unjust decrees which enslave and oppress the people under the deception of safeguarding them, the environment and future generations through regulations not controlled by representatives checked by the balances of the people through the vote or through law suits, but unchecked bureaucrats or businesses in a fixed unfair market where professionals and experts are above the law.

I also had some personal commitments, and desired to petition in other matters on other issues. On November 6, 2023, I submitted *Petitioner Meghan M. Kelly’s Supplemental Brief to provide additional information not previously available on how private partnerships with the UN*

¹ Id. at 342 (“Justice Gorsuch is cut from a different cloth. A native of Colorado, his experience with the nation’s capital in his early teens was difficult. In 1981, his mother (Anne Gorsuch), after a successful career in Colorado state government, became President Reagan’s first Director of the Environmental Protection Agency. In that position, she clashed with the D.C. establishment over her deregulatory efforts. Accused of dismantling her agency and cited for contempt of Congress, Anne Gorsuch was eventually forced from office.”).

is schemed to be used to eliminate judicial authority in open and by stealth, Petitioner's belief the courts are in danger especially with the debt ceiling approaching November 17, 2023 with no agreement to date, and the convening of Congress October 19, 2023 to attack Justice Thomas and the integrity of the court by subpoenaing witnesses to be used against Justice Thomas and the Court in Meghan M. Kelly v Pennsylvania Disciplinary Counsel, No. 22-7695. The conference is November 9, 2023. I filed it in person on November 6, 2023. I was so scared the Court would not consider it despite the fact I submitted it in person in a timely fashion before the conference date November 9, 2023 pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 25.6.

On November 13, 2023, after the long holiday weekend I discovered the Court denied my petition for a rehearing Kelly v Pennsylvania Office of Disciplinary Counsel without considering my supplemental brief. The Court did not file my supplemental brief or reject it. The Court does not review documents at great length unless the documents are filed. I am devastated. It does not appear the United States Supreme Court reviewed my supplemental brief in Meghan M. Kelly v Pennsylvania Disciplinary Counsel, No. 22-7695 I called my case manager, and other US Supreme Court staff and no one knows where the paper copies I hand delivered to the US Supreme Court's special Police on November 6, 2023 are to date.

I also sought to draft a different application regarding an important issue my case manager preemptively rejected that is capable of repetition and yet evading review as applied to me: whether an accused's 6th Amendment right to a public proceeding and 1st and 5th Amendment rights to be petition and to be heard fairly and fully by both the court and public extends to the electronically publicized docket.

I understand the Court may not have the capacity to upload multiple electronic filings as one due to the restrictions of those in the business of creating the electronic docket. However, I believe it is imperative the court tell businesses what the law is, and the technology bend to the law, not the law bend to the technology or the business making the business the judge and above the law or court correction.

I made my request for more pages to include the facts in my pleadings for rehearing under rules 52, 29 or 60 to the District Court's dismissal petition to show I preserved them for appeal. The Appellate Court the Third Circuit argues it did not consider the additional in its opinion. Including what I wrote verbatim was especially important given the court does not at

this time have the capacity to upload them in the three separate electronic submissions before the public docket, which are not available on the public docket as one filing at this time.

I believe Robert Meek, Esquire, the Emergency Clerk kindly preserved my asserted Constitutional 1st, 5th and 6th Amendment rights in the matter No. 22A981, when I previously could not fit everything on one electronic filing. I believe he placed two motions on the docket to safeguard my 6th Amendment right to a public proceeding as an accused, 5th Amendment right to a fair and full opportunity to be heard in my exercise the First Amendment right to petition in Meghan Marie Kelly, Petitioner v. Pennsylvania Office of Disciplinary Counsel, No. 22A981 with regards to my Emergency application to place Exhibits 11 and 12 on docket of Meghan Kelly which was not accepted for filing, possibly because the issue was corrected.

I called my case manager November 13, 2023 regarding the fact I do not have the time and means to research and was at the law library in hopes to research today. My case manager requested I file this resubmission as soon as I could. She accused me of drafting a revised petition, which I absolutely have not started to do, and hope I am not required to do in this case. I hope Justice Gorsuch grants me pages due to the voluminous claims I assert and did not waive.

I indicated I may have to forgo the application regarding asserting my 6th Amendment right to a public docket as capable of repetition yet evading review. Yet it is not free choice, it is by government compelled and threatened choice that I am forced to forgo my right to petition to safeguard fundamental rights.

I did not have time to shorten the petition. I require more time because my limited resources and access to resources including paper and postage too given the Delaware order compels me into poverty without permission to work in the profession of my choice.

I need time to care for my health no matter the inconvenience to others. I assert my right to live and not die for the vanity of evil men who value moth and rust more than humanity and humanity's free will needed to escape certain death in hell. I have documented my health care weaknesses in all cases. Now as we speak I lose 5 pounds of water weight during my period and must rest and drink gallons of water in order not to faint or harm my health due to severe dehydration relating to the bad healthcare I received as a young teenager. I have sincere religious objections to recommendations, trusting, examinations and healthcare by medical professionals or mental health professional.

I believe people are misled to harm and even hell for trusting in the religion of Satan science by making man God or their studies, products, services, technology, science or money master and guide. See, Matthew 6:24. It is idolatry to make men Gods. Misguided men within charities and other businesses are rendered above the law by worship of man and man's work in place of God by judge's misguided deference to experts. Professional care and products that kill, steal and destroy are rewarded and rendered above the law's correction by judges who refuse to think things out to restrain business and charities whose standards enslave, kill, or harm health, liberty and life for material gain. I believe court correction may save lives and eternal lives, but I believe court deference to experts harms innocent victims and damns people to hell by making professionals and their work, even studies called science Gods. See, Romans 1:25 ("They exchanged the truth about God for a lie, and worshiped and served created things rather than the Creator—who is forever praised. Amen."); 2 Thessalonians 2:9-12 ("9 The coming of the lawless one will be in accordance with how Satan works. He will use all sorts of displays of power through signs and wonders that serve the lie, 10 and all the ways that wickedness deceives those who are perishing. They perish because they refused to love the truth and so be saved. 11 For this reason God sends them a powerful delusion so that they will believe the lie 12 and so that all will be condemned who have not believed the truth but have delighted in wickedness.")

I sincerely believe many things others praise lead to damnation in hell. Do I enslave others to my will. No, but I should not be compelled to commit sin, lawlessness in the eyes of God in violation of my genuinely held religious beliefs by government backed societal, economic or physical pressure.

I have a petition due by December 26, 2023, which I must file to avoid 6 new lawsuits. The order placing my license on inactive disabled but for my religious belief contained in the speech in my private petitions based on my association as a lawyer prevents me from working in the occupation of my choice, and requires my time to defend my life, liberty, eternal life and licenses to practice law. I assert and do not waive my 1st Amendment right to petition fairly pursuant to the 5th Amendment to defend and assert claims to safeguard my private 1st Amendment rights to religious belief, exercise of belief, speech, association, petition, 6th Amendment rights to self-representation, a public proceeding, the right to cross examine my accusers including the staff the DE Supreme Court fired, the 13th Amendment right against involuntary servitude and other claims in other cases and herein.

So, I hope this court through Justice Gorsuch takes its time in considering the voluminous application and petition for writ of certiorari Justice Alito appeared to not review thoroughly by denying within two days, as he thrice denied me time I require to exercise my 1st Amendment right to petition fairly under the 5th Amendment given my unique circumstances. I was hoping Justice Gorsuch would take the time read the documents including the petition to discern the need to allow for more pages in order that he may grant my petition and allow me a fairer opportunity to work on other cases for a fighting chance to prevent 6 new law suits with especially focused consideration on my arguments that the judges including the US Supreme Court justices should only be corrected within the purview of the Constitutional limits of 1. Cases and controversies and 2. Impeachment without waiver of something that is not the courts to waive, the 5th Amendment right to an impartial proceeding by judges not partial towards their own selfish seats and appearance which violates not only the Constitution but Jesus Christ's teachings too misleading the court to lawlessness under the law which will be used to eliminate the court.

If this application is rejected, I reserve the right to ask another justice. If that is denied, I request all the documents be sent back to me because I do not think I can afford to print them out, and I also request 60 additional days. I really hope Justice Gorsuch grants my request and grants me pages so the court may review the petition for writ of certiorari as filed.

The reason why I chose Justice Gorsuch is I listened to one of Justice Gorsuch's talks on youtube where he spoke before becoming a US Supreme Court Justice. Justice Gorsuch persuaded me I was wrong in my thinking deregulation was bad after I heard him talk about the voluminous amount of regulations drafted by non-elected people not voted into congress.

The reason why I drafted a pleading before the Delaware Supreme Court called *Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion for good cause, 1 Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 9, to Unseal the Record, 2. to declare self-regulation of attorneys, other Professions, and judges unconstitutional, making business above the law, by making the dictates of professionals, or bureaucrats within agencies, as opposed to laws enacted by congress people, checked by the vote of the people, the law, and 3. in lieu of and in the alternative, eliminate the secret trial requirements of professionals before Boards, including the Board on Professional Responsibility, requiring the choice of an open or confidential forum left to the accused professional, instead of requiring a secret proceeding, concealing the accused's defense, to the*

advantage of the accuser state, in violation of equal protections, and due process 1st and 14th Protections, filed August 15, 2022 was because Justice Gorsuch opened my blind eyes to help me see clearly how regulating professions eliminated Constitutional liberties by making them for sale for bureaucrats who curry favor to serve themselves not the people.

Justice Gorsuch guided my misguided thinking through his words to improve the administration of justice by clarity. I hope he offers clarity to the parties below, the courts and the nation, especially congress on its limits that may not eliminate the checks the judiciary has on the other two branches.

Deregulation removes the nonelected delegated authority of bureaucrats who control markets and profit off of control as opposed to restraining markets and businesses to safeguard the lives and liberty of the people. I oppose regulating the US Supreme Court by self-regulation or otherwise which will cause the court to be a partial unfair forum to me and other claimants whose claims conflict with judges' interest in adhering to the regulation as opposed to the impartial application of the superseding Constitution to the rule of law in cases.

Justice Gorsuch made me see regulations with fresh eyes. I now see the how agencies and regulations corrupt and exploit the public they purport to serve, even if Justice Gorsuch's aim to discuss regulations was for a different purpose the voluminous tedious nature perhaps. Justice Gorsuch took off my rosy glasses and helped me see the world as it really was. I thank him for unhardening my head and heart to care better for others as opposed to control others with just decrees and justice in the courts.

I am grateful to those who help me see that I have been wrong. So I may improve. That is what Justice Gorsuch did by making the world a little better by being in it and making me a little better. I protect freedom to disagree because it not only makes me smarter, it helps us all learn and care for others we may have overlooked.

Thank you for the correction, and the court's time and consideration.

Respectfully Submitted,

November 14, 2023

/s/Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939,
(302) 278-2975
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com,
US Supreme Court Number 283696