

# Appendix T

MEGHAN MARIE KELLY, ESQUIRE

---

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

**The Honorable Colm F. Connolly**

**Care of the Clerk of Court**

**Office of the Clerk**

**United States District Court**

**844 North King St Unit 18**

**Wilmington, DE 19801-3570**

**RE: / Kelly v Swartz /doctored praecipe/ concealing elimination of key witness by retiring Arline Simmons and Katrina Krugar/ Free speech argument ruling that DRDC Rules 12 and 13 are unconstitutional per se and as applied**

April 26, 2022

Dear Honorable Colm F. Connolly:

On Friday, April 22, 2022, with a heavy heart, I went to the Chancery Court in Sussex County to pick up the attached praecipe, dated October 5, 2022, labeled as **Exhibit A**. A Chancery Court staff member, Arline Simmons wrote on the praecipe, without my authorization, testifying as a witness without cross examination, on a public court record, misleading courts on appeal, which contributed to the confusion as to why I could not serve US Attorney General, David Weiss in *Kelly v Trump* Chancery Court No. 20-0809, DE Supreme Court No. 119-2021, and United States Supreme Court No., 21-5522.

I did not know Arline Simmons wrote on it, and could not understand why I was not issued the Summons for the First Amended Complaint or the Second

amended Complaint for local counsel, US Attorney General David Weiss, through the civil process clerk. The handwritten notes give some clarity.

Per the attached exhibit, incorporated herewith as **Exhibit B**, I wrote a letter dated July 12, 2021 and filed with the Delaware Supreme Court, upon discovery that the Civil Process clerk's address was crossed off. Arline Simmons, flipped through the documents and instructed me to cross off the address, with initials. I complied, thinking she may have found a typo, not knowing this would prevent service to the Civil process clerk.<sup>1</sup> In tears I lamented, "I was booby trapped by the Court staff Arline Simmons." Attached, please find **Exhibit C**, the praecipe to serve Delaware local counsel, through the Civil Process clerk, with the address crossed off and my initials, through the instructions of Arline Simmons.

The signature pages were apparently switched. See **Exhibit D**, the praecipe with the switched signature page.

---

<sup>1</sup> I apologize that I am a poor typist. In high school I did so poorly in my typing class that I dropped out so I did not affect my GPA, which was over 100 because academic courses were weighted. My little brother, Andrew Patrick Kelly was valedictorian, class of 98 at Indian River High School, and my beautiful big sister Amanda Elizabeth Kelly Gordines and had a better GPA than I did too. I have family of beautiful, smart successful people. I am the least of these in my family and in the eyes of the world, but I am rich in faith for God, your honor. My father is a little ugly like I am, but my dad is my hero because he does that right thing, even at a material loss. My dad, the legendary R. Pat Kelly teaches high school, used to be a life guard at the beach, coached football and continues to coach basketball.

My dad makes doing the right thing look cool and he drove our prejudice against people of diverse races, socio-economic statuses and places of origin by using his coolness to drive out cruelty, when he taught Civics at Indian River High School, located in Sussex County Delaware. I was in Girl's State in High School only because my dad is smart. I listened to him speak of history on the car ride to school and repeated my father's captivating analysis, not mine, that dazzled my history teacher, Mr. Abbott. Mr. Abbott nominated me and Mary Wilgus. My Principal Dr. Patterson nominated me as student liaison. I had surgery in high school that made me forever weak. That is why I studied healthcare courses at Law School and a course at UD to improve our care that kills and harms and I believe damn people to hell. Just because I am poor, weak and ugly does not mean I am worthless your honor. I am priceless. I gave my life to God, not man or money that means I care about others as myself, and I cannot turn a blind eye in the face of oppression.

I did not understand why no subpoena was issued to me for the Civil Process clerk. Per **Exhibit E**, attached hereto, in a letter dated, October 19, 2020, I wrote Master Patricia Griffin regarding inter alias, that Defendants had 60 days to respond to my complaint as opposed to 20 days like a normal person, since they were agents of the federal government. On a side note, that is unfair, granting partiality and the luxury of more time to the powerful federal government, and less opportunity for the common lay person to assert their grievances against the federal government. I also received disparate treatment by the Chancery Court staff, and noted I acted as a party not as an attorney advocate, to alert the court it is okay for staff to yell at lawyers, but it is prejudicial to yell at parties. Id.

In a letter attached hereto as **Exhibit F** dated November 10, 2020 to the assigned Vice Chancellor, I noted I served President Trump and William Barr the Complaint and Amended Complaint, and stated, “The US Attorney for the District of Delaware has not been served... I requested subpoenas, including the one for the civil process clerk, in a letter to the Honorable Master, dated October 30, 2020.” (**Exhibit F** at 3, and the entire document, also see **Exhibit G**, the letter to Master Griffin, dated October 30, 2020, albeit it related to time constraints and removal.)

We were in a dangerous part of the pandemic when former President Trump was diagnosed with Covid-19, and I erroneously thought William Barr also contracted Covid-19.

Despite, the perils of germ spreading to and from notaries, the Chancery Court staff required I notarize documents. So, I sought a waiver, which the Delaware Supreme Court had already granted unbeknownst to the Chancery Court staff and myself.

Per **Exhibit H**, through a letter dated, October 9, 2020 to Master Patricia Griffin, a letter dated October 7, 2020 to the assigned Vice Chancellor of the Chancery Court, and a letter dated October 7, 2020 to the Delaware Supreme Court, I requested a waiver of the notary requirements to prevent loss of life and health, and copied William Barr on these requests. <sup>2</sup>

The Delaware Supreme Court sent me a letter attaching the emergency order, while copying the Defendant's administrator to the Board of Professional Responsibility, Karlis Johnson. (**Exhibit I**).

Throughout this time I noticed disparate treatment towards me, based on religion, political beliefs or association or poverty in violation of the equal

---

<sup>2</sup> Albeit your honor I made a mistake by not sending copies to local counsel, David Weiss. My mistake is not a mental disability, but based on my desire to act quickly to prevent irreparable loss in terms of government incitement infringement and loss of my First Amendment rights. I did not have easy access to research or even a working computer, or a printer at the time. I did not make the same mistake twice in this case. I served the Defendants through their local counsel, Zi-Xiang Shen, despite the fact this court withheld issuing service of the complaint and amended complaint.

protections clause, by the Chancery Court staff, apparently based on religious belief, political beliefs and or poverty. Per **Exhibit J**, attached hereto, in a letter dated, December 1, 2020, I wrote the Master Patricia Griffin of the Delaware Chancery Court concerning the prejudicial treatment based on protected beliefs and activity, and attached emails to **Katrina Kruger and Arline Simmons concerning the same.** (Emphasis Intended)

On or about November 6, 2020, I wrote Master Patricia Griffin regarding her staff, Arline Simmons, misleading me to almost miss my filing deadline to appeal, attached hereto as **Exhibit K.**

I sought discovery and alerted the Defendants of potentially calling Arline Simmons as a witness in the state proceeding. To my absolute horror, as I picked up the Praecept dated October 5, 2020, on Friday April 22, 2022, I discovered Arline Simmons and Katrina Kruger were no longer with the Chancery Court, apparently encouraged to retire to protect themselves and the Court in this federal proceeding. Albeit I did not desire to sue or harm either of these individuals. Though, Arline Simmons mistreated me, she is my friend. I desired to safeguard my ability to worship Jesus without government incited economic, social, or physical persecution for my religious beliefs in God as savior, not as money as savior.

Defendants prevented discovery concerning potential witnesses to hide the fact these two witnesses with first hand knowledge, were no longer available through the state in the Board proceeding.

I emailed Defendants I did not want Arline Simmons to get into trouble, before learning she was no longer with the Court. I merely desired the ability to exercise my constitutionally protected liberties without state persecution. I also noticed another government agent with a connection to me, Secretary of Education Dr. Celeste Bunting, retired, probably by state pressure after learning of her ties to me. (Exhibit L) Please note, I inadvertently sent the email, in Exhibit L, to the wrong Lisa, and meant to send it to Lisa Dolph, to end interference in my active case.

In addition, I noted Judge Smalls of the Court of Common Pleas, may have been forced to retire when the state learned, he told me to go back to Pennsylvania, not knowing I am from Delaware, showing prejudice based on place of origin. (Exhibit L). He was the first judge I made an appearance before, as an attorney on behalf of another lawyer who requested I fill in for them. Please see my letter to the Delaware Supreme Court concerning partiality by judges, incorporated herein by reference at D.I. 4 Exhibit 5. (Also see, D.I. 4, Exhibits 2, 3, 4 and 6. regarding partiality towards money and convenience at the cost of injustice by the state's agents, towards religious beliefs.)

I have been devastated, reasonably emotionally distraught, at the prospect Arline and Katrina may have lost their jobs because of the Defendants desired to conceal disparate treatment by state actors towards me based on disdain for my religious, political beliefs, speech, affiliation or poverty. I did not want them to lose their job. I did not desire to sue them either. I care about them. I merely love God more than them, other people and my own life, and must assert my right to love God without government economic, social or physical persecution. I gave my life to God your honor, not man or money.

I am also disappointed in Defendant David White, and Kathleen Vavala for persecuting me based on my religious-political petitions, speech, association and exercise. I sent them page 39 of the Fourth Industrial Revolution which includes the elimination of lawyers, as you know there is a plan to eliminate judges too, to automate justice in the decades to come. (Exhibit M)

David White knows I am a good lawyer. I drafted the attached pleading for an arbitration he handled upon my request for Insight Homes. (Exhibit N) I understand Insight retained him for other cases.

I believe the ODC's function worsens the practice of law and guarantees injustice by its focus on serving business greed not good, by focusing on what I believe is the mark of the Antichrist, partiality towards self, looking after their own, the appearance of the profession, money, convenience, positions and power,



not unearned required care for the public and professionals, while protecting individual liberties from satanic mob reign of lusts. I hate it when judges in courts misbehave by feigning humility to get out of doing their duty by indicating “the people elected the President or congress, I cannot overstep by rewriting the law, or acting as executive by cry babying “political question.”

The Court must balance these two imbalanced branches to protect individual liberty, the freedom of the individual from the satanic conditional conformed reign of lusts, with no ability to unconditional love. The Courts must exercise their duty to prevent the planned elimination of the dollar, the intended crash of the global economy, and the planned elimination of governments down the line. The Courts must not give into temptation to immediate gratification, ease, position, profit, only to lose it all down the line. There are plans for our Country’s harm and the world’s harm. The World Government Summit met on March 29-30, 2002, and alluded to a cold war after a hot war, and a depression and much more concerning issues. If they predict it, they plan it. The World Bank and the International Monetary Fund, aka, IMF, also had their yearly meeting, April 18-24th and plan inflation. Your honor, can place his foot down on the President or Congress and prevent the planned inflation and worsening conditions to cause a crash of the dollar and world economy, albeit in another case. You are my hope of a hero, as well as the Delaware Courts, who persecute me now. Jesus says justice, with mercy and

faithfulness are more important commands than laws dealing with material gain. Citing *Matthew 23:23*. God teaches partiality is sin. Justice in the courts is a command. *Amos 5:15*. You may save lives and eternal lives if you freely choose, your Honor. I hope you do.

With regards to this outside Court balancing the partiality and injustice guaranteed by self-regulation of state courts, lawyers, professional lawyer associations and Professional Disciplinary Procedures and counsel, the ODC stifles improvement and correction within by requiring training all lawyers through CLE's to equally provide poor service, seeking sameness, conformity, uniformity, not growth and learning.

As I mentioned previously in Exhibits and in my Complaint, comments of diverse suggestions for improving the practice of law, were not well received at continuing lawyer classes. The State through their agents desire "their will be done," like Satan, controlling attorneys like widgets, human commodities, not caring for them and the public. (See, 1 *John 5:19*, "We know that we are children of God, and that the **whole world** is under the **control of the evil one**." (Emphasis intended), note the reference to control, not caring for humanity while protecting their freedom to choose even choose wrongly. When we force our will upon others, that is not freedom but tyranny, albeit just laws govern, guide and correct people who kill, steal and destroy to serve business greed, the mark of the beast,

conditionally caring for your own with no unconditional love); (See also , 2 *Corinthians* 4:4, “the **god of this world** [Satan] hath blinded the **minds** of them [with enticing temptations of reward, avoidance of harm, societal peer pressure, shame, praise and conditional caring with no God in them, controlling humanity through temptations] which believe not, lest the light of the glorious gospel of Christ, who is the image of God, should shine unto them.”)

The ODC merely treats the practice of law as a business, cold hearted while feigning superficial optimism and concern to sell a product to the exploited public, while treating lawyers as human capital as opposed to human beings with souls.

The Courts provide a government service to all unearned, required, even to those with religious-political beliefs, religious-political exercise which state agents do not understand, disagree with and find repugnant, like my own your honor. Maybe your honor, may require the Board and the ODC to care for, not control lawyers, while encouraging improvements of the profession, instead of stifling innovation through conformed bad standards that exploit and oppress people for convenience and profit.

I filed the attached complaint against Judge Kavanaugh, and it appeared the ODC cowardly cared about retaliation from its ruler, the highest court, Supreme Court Justice Kavanagh, and did not improve the profession, just created a false appearance of justice. (**Exhibit O**).

The time for correction for Justice Kavanagh was during the appointment of nominees. That time has passed. Vengeance is injustice, with no room for correction that guides the misguided to become better by love, not by material gain or money. So, no impeachment should be made for him or for Justice Thomas regarding Anita Hills.

Upon learning this hard truth of the ODC's marketing function creating injustice guaranteed, I believe the Courts should not self-regulate, but should allow impeachment to be the only means of a government check. There is corruption and internal bias to look after your own at the public's expense, while creating the illusion of justice, it creates injustice guaranteed. That said, I do not desire Justice Kavanagh or Justice Thomas to be impeached for their past errors or with regards to Justice Thomas's, his wife's conduct relating to the attempted coup on January 6, 2020. Half of the nation were misled by former President Trump's sweet nothings. Courts must guide the misguided, not punish them for being human as opposed to cold hearted machines seeking cold hard or electronic currency.

I also desire to include additional count and claim, to declare Disciplinary Rules 13 and 14 Unconstitutional, in violation of our Constitutional protections relating to free speech, and inhibiting gathering aide in my defense to accusations against me for my political-religious petitions, speech, association and exercise.

Delaware Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, Rule 13 requires:

- a) Confidentiality. Prior to the Hearing Panel's submission to the Court of its final report, and except as otherwise described in these rules, disciplinary and disability proceedings and the official record in such matters are confidential. If the Hearing Panel's report recommends that the matter be dismissed, that a lawyer not be transferred to disability inactive status, or that a private sanction be imposed, any further proceedings by the Court and the official record in the matter are confidential unless and until otherwise ordered by the Court. (b) Protective orders. Upon proper application with good cause shown, or on a sua sponte basis, the Chair or Vice Chair of the Board, the Chair of the Hearing Panel, or the Court may issue appropriate protective orders with respect to any proceedings, reports, documents, or other information which may otherwise be made public, for the purpose of preserving confidentiality. (c) Complainant's right to appear. The complainant in a disciplinary matter, if any, shall have the right to appear at any Board hearing on sanctions or any Court hearing on a petition for interim suspension relating to the matter for the sole purpose of making a statement on the record regarding the matter. (d) Requests for confidential information. A request for the release of confidential information as described under these Rules shall be made by written application, with good cause shown, directed to the Administrative Assistant. Such application shall be considered and determined by the Court. (e) Release of confidential information. The work product of the ODC may not be disclosed or released except pursuant to Rule 13(f). The pendency, subject matter, or status of a disciplinary matter may be disclosed or released if: (1) the respondent has waived confidentiality in writing; (2) the proceeding is based upon allegations which include the conviction of any crime; (3) the respondent has been placed upon interim suspension or disability inactive status; (4) such disclosure or release is necessary to obtain the assistance of another person, agency, or organization, provided that such person, agency, or organization agrees to maintain the confidentiality mandated by these Rules; (5) the proceedings are based upon allegations which have otherwise been made public; or (6) with the approval of the Court, such disclosure or release is necessary in order to correct false or misleading public statements with respect to any otherwise confidential proceeding or information, or is necessary to prevent public confidence in the disciplinary system from being undermined. (f) Cooperation with criminal justice authorities. Any evidence or information obtained through the disciplinary process indicating criminal conduct by a lawyer, including documents,

transcripts, and work product, or any selected portions thereof, may be disclosed or turned over to the appropriate criminal justice authorities for their independent review and investigation. (g) Duty of participants. All participants in a proceeding under these Rules shall conduct themselves so as to maintain the confidentiality mandated by these Rules. (Amended, effective May 14, 2008.)

Delaware Rules of Disciplinary procedure Rule 14 provides:

“The ODC shall publicly disseminate all information relating to disciplinary matters and proceedings as is consistent with these Rules. In particular, the ODC shall transmit notices and information regarding the imposition of all public discipline, transfers to or from disability inactive status, or reinstatements to:

- (a) the disciplinary enforcement agency in any jurisdiction in which the respondent is admitted,
- (b) the chief judicial officers of all courts of this State,
- (c) the chief judicial officers of all federal courts located in this State, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court,
- (d) any national data bank maintained for the purposes of reporting disciplinary action relating to lawyers, and
- (e) the news media.”

Rule 13, allegedly protects the accused, including me, while protecting the ODC from libel law suits. It does not require I keep the proceeding confidential.

However, Rule 14, gives the illusion the State, ODC, and coconspirators have immunity to verbally persecute the accused, should they win, , including me inciting social, economic and physical persecution verbal government attacks cause, based on my religious-political beliefs, religious-political speech, religious political association, and religious-political petitions.

I argued the Defendants are not immune from suit and Rule 14 will not protect them should they attack me further in the future, per the analysis in my Complaint. See Id. 2.

With new and additional information commonly arising in my case, I have a running request to **amend the complaint to conform with additional and new evidence**, as they arise at the end of the proceeding, to include additional or new claims or evidence.

I am also mailing you and Defendants electronic copies

1. Video where I spoke at a Democratic function, showing I misbehaved by accepting donations from the Democratic party before I learned how it caused oppression and injustice per Jesus the Christ's teachings in *Matthew 6:1-4*. I sinned and I am sorry your honor.

2. Video of a vulture that was pecking at the window that was not scared of me despite yelling at it in the winter of 2022.

3. Video of me on TV in the news complaining of rats in my dorm apartment from a Christian School Duquesne Law School.

4. Pictures of Judge Hardiman and me in law school, to show my personal connection to argue he should not be assigned the case should he be chosen due to potential partiality.

5. Pictures of me attending a Democratic function, misbehaving, when I should have been available to all people, regardless of party to prevent **conditional conformity** with the party's agenda, which prevents **unconditional love** and service for all citizens, regardless of each individual's position and party affiliation.

6. Video of me lamenting about how Nancy Pelosi and congress people misbehaved by failing to impeach. Nancy Pelosi remarked on respecting the flag when she transferred the articles for impeachment, placing her hand to my face on my article of impeachment to safeguard the NFL player's freedom to associate and speak out on alleged disparate treatment towards Black Americans in the judicial system. Our officials put on a deceptive horse and pony show, feigning concern on government racist persecution of the people. They do not seek justice for the oppressed as I asked them to. They misbehave, and are need of Court correction and guidance.

7. PDF's of the pleadings I filed against the democrats, suing them without violating Jesus Christ's teachings by asking for donations or signatures, which I believe damns people to hell. I would be a bad leader if I encouraged conduct that harmed people in this life and damned them to hell forever your honor.



8. I also attached some of my marketing material so you can understand my heart, but I feel dirty. Government is a service not a business. Officials should be elected not based on appearance or popularity but based on their heart. The people should vote on their ideas not appearance. Jesus teaches us judge correctly, not based on appearance. The title marketing appears naughty to me, your honor.

I am not a bad guy. I am an imperfect Christian, not crazy. I freely choose to have the mind of Christ, not the mind of the world.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Very truly,



/s/Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire  
34012 Shawnee Drive  
Dagsboro, DE 19939  
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com  
Bar Number 4968  
( 4,039 Words)

April 26, 2022

I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct under the penalty of perjury.

Dated: April 26, 2022

Meghan Kelly (printed)

Meghan Kelly (signed)

20 MK

### **Table of Exhibits for Letter**

- Exhibit A** Doctored up praecipe, dated October 5, 2020, filed October 6, 2020
- Exhibit B** July 12, 2021 Letter to the Delaware Supreme Court regarding “I was booby trapped by the Court staff Arline Simmons”
- Exhibit C** The praecipe to serve Delaware local counsel, through the Civil Process clerk, with the address crossed off and my initials, through the instructions of Arline Simmons.
- Exhibit D** The praecipe with the switched address/signature page.
- Exhibit E** Letter to Master Patricia Griffin regarding I am not an attorney advocate in the case, and the 60 days allowed for Federal agents to respond.
- Exhibit F** Letter to Assigned Vice Chancellor, dated November 10, 2020, regarding I served both Attorney General William Barr and President Trump, both the complaint and amended complaint, and requested subpoenas to serve local counsel Unites States attorney General David Weiss through the civil process clerk.
- Exhibit G** Letter to Master Patricia Griffin, dated October 30, 2020, regarding removal, immunity removed, and President Lincoln’s misbehavior that President Trump copied.
- Exhibit H** Letters to the Delaware Courts requesting waiver of notary requirements since a party President Trump contracted Covid-19 at the time.
- Exhibit I** Letter from the Court indicating a waiver of notary requirements has already been issued unbeknownst to the Chancery Court staff and myself.
- Exhibit J** Letter to Master regarding disparate treatment, based on religion, political beliefs or association or poverty in violation of the equal protections clause, with attached emails to Court staff Katrina Kruger and Arline Simmons.
- Exhibit K** Letter to Master regarding Chancery Court staff misled me to almost miss the appeal deadline.
- Exhibit L** Email to David Weiss and opposing counsel regarding Dr. Bunting, Judge Smalls potentially relating to my petitions.

**Exhibit M** Email to opponents, with page 39 of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, relating to the elimination of lawyers, and courts down the line.

**Exhibit N** My pleading before arbitrator, Defendant David White.

**Exhibit O** An ODC's response to my complaint against Justice Kavanaugh, and the complaint against Judge Kavanaugh

### **Electronic Data**

1. Video where I spoke at a Democratic function, showing I misbehaved by accepting donations from the Democratic party before I learned how it caused oppression and injustice per Jesus the Christ's teachings in Matthew 6:1-4. I sinned and I am sorry your honor.

2. Video of a vulture that was pecking at the window that was not scared of me despite yelling at it in the winter of 2022.

3. Video of me on TV in the news complaining of rats in my dorm apartment from a Christian School Duquesne Law School.

4. Pictures of Judge Hardiman and me in law school, to show my personal connection to argue he should not be assigned the case should he be chosen due to potential partiality.

5. Pictures of me attending a Democratic function, misbehaving, when I should have been available to all people, regardless of party to prevent conditional conformity with the party's agenda, which prevents unconditional love and service for all citizens, regardless of each individual's position and party affiliation.

6. Video of me lamenting about how Nancy Pelosi and congress people misbehaved by failing to impeach.

7. PDF's of the pleadings I filed against the democrats, suing them without violating Jesus Christ's teachings by asking for donations or signatures, which I believe damns people to hell. I would be a bad leader if I encouraged conduct that harmed people in this life and damned them to hell forever your honor.

8. I also attached some of my marketing material so you can understand my heart, but I feel dirty. Government is a service not a business. Officials should be elected not based on appearance or popularity but based on their heart. The

people should vote on their ideas not appearance. Jesus teaches us judge correctly, not based on appearance. The title marketing appears naughty to me, your honor.

**SUPREME COURT OF DELAWARE**

KATHERINE J. NEIKIRK  
CHIEF STAFF ATTORNEY

THE RENAISSANCE CENTRE  
405 N. KING STREET, SUITE 509  
WILMINGTON, DE 19801

October 21, 2020


Meghan Marie Kelly, Esquire  
34012 Shawnee Drive  
Dagsboro, Delaware 19939

Dear Ms. Kelly,

I write in response to the letter, dated October 7, 2020, that you sent to Chief Justice Seitz regarding a case you filed in the Court of Chancery and your concerns regarding COVID-19. Under the Delaware Judges' Code of Judicial Conduct, the Chief Justice cannot comment on a pending case.

Information about the numerous precautions that the Delaware courts have enacted in response to COVID-19 is available at the Delaware Judiciary Response to Coronavirus Disease website, <https://courts.delaware.gov/aoc/covid-19>. For your convenience, I have enclosed a copy of the latest judicial emergency order as well as the order suspending notarization requirements during the judicial emergency. I hope this information addresses your concerns.

Very truly yours,



Katherine J. Neikirk

Enclosures  
cc: Karlis Johnson

21-1490/Secretary Bunting out/ Judge Smalls out/ Fw: [External] Complaint ODC to Supreme Court for ODC referral/Fw: CONFIDENTIAL - ODC FILE NO. ...

From: Meg Kelly (meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)

To: zi-xiang.shen@delaware.gov; ryan.costa@delaware.gov; david.weiss@usdoj.gov

Cc: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Wednesday, December 15, 2021, 08:52 PM EST

Hello,

It was odd when Dr Bunting resigned from the position. I think because of me. It is pretty terrible that I forwarded her the letter insulting my character based on the exercise of my first amendment rights. I have 6 teaching licenses and forwarded the ODC's insulting letter on my character while I am out of work. My old job is not going to take me back while this is going on, and I will be prevented from taking any work if I am disbarred for my faith. I should not be forced to accept the mark of the beast, to sell my soul to hell in order to buy and sell as your clients require, seeking to bend my will by forced economic and other pressures.

Judge Smalls also retired. He was the judge I wrote about in one of the exhibits where I scolded the judges about the "Delaware Way"

He accused me of being an out of state attorney, a less than, a Pennsylvanian, as if Delawareans were somehow better. He did not know I grew up in Sussex County, and am considered a local...

I am in danger. Even if you think my religious beliefs are weird, I still have the Constitutional freedom to believe differently, to think differently.

I should not have to exchange my free exercise of religion for the license to practice law. Please help me, even if you think my religious beliefs are dumb. I am still worthy of life, and worthy of the gift of free will, the ability to think for myself. The state is not God. God gave us free will. God allows us to think for ourselves and does not force his will upon us. We get to decide God's will, or the vanities of men, even our own will in place of God's by chasing after desires without laying down desires to critically think, to care to know, to care to love. We get to choose damnation by hardness of heads and hardness of hearts or eternal life with humility, grace, mercy and love, as we strive to consciously choose to love.

Please help me. Please protect the freedom to think freely not by force conditioned to control thoughts and religious beliefs. Please consider helping me. By helping the least of these, me, you are helping to safeguard your own freedom and the freedom of others. Thank you.

Love,  
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

**To:** Dilanni Susan <susan.dilanni@irsd.k12.de.us>; Rust Mark <mark.rust@irsd.k12.de.us>; Curt Brown <curt.brown@irsd.k12.de.us>; Bunting Celeste <celeste.bunting@irsd.k12.de.us>

**Sent:** Saturday, August 28, 2021, 09:36:21 AM EDT

**Subject:** Re: [External] Complaint ODC to Supreme Court for ODC referral/Fw: CONFIDENTIAL - ODC FILE NO. 115327-B

Hi Dr. Bunting,

My dad has high regards for you and thinks you are really personable, informative, an excellent educator.

I hope the retaliation by court staff does not demean my character in your eyes. I am honored to have served in your schools for a time.

Like my legendary father, I sought to show the kids they were respected and loved, and to respect other imperfect people with diverse beliefs unearned. I sought to guide kids to analyze, not ignore different ideas for conflict of interests or truth, to respectfully debate. It is smart to listen to others who may show us holes or flaws in our ideas, or to kindly correct others who overlooked harmful outcomes, instead of uniformly doing things one way across the board. It stifles true innovation not created by money, but by minds, their free will.

I understand that many teaching models (B F Skinner based) are based on the premise kids do not have free will. They do not unconditionally love, but can be controlled by those who force their will by teaching them the lie, people have no choice. B. F. Skinner taught kids could be controlled, forcing the will upon them by conditional relationships, societal peer pressure, reward and avoidance of harm, rewards and fear based methods. My faith in Jesus teaches me otherwise. I believe we have free will, and may choose to sacrifice our desire for happiness to care to love God and others. (holiness). Listening is not love. Teaching kids this lie after they learned under the B F Skinner method that people must earn worth instead of respecting the intrinsic worth of all of humanity, creates dumb adults who are easily manipulated, exploited and ignorantly harm others. They do not care to know. They do not listen to people unless something is in it for them. This thinking is what I see is the mark of the beast, absence of unconditional love. I believe people go to hell for not choosing to use their brain, their free will, to think, to care to know, to care to love. Instead they live based on their desires, what the bible says is their belly not their brains, their appetites. Those who tempt their appetites seek to control them, like the devil tempted Eve with the apple, only to lure her into bringing death into the world.

Our laws reward ignorance and stupidity, not knowing, not caring to know of the potential harm, delegating of duties, and insulating wrong doers from correction in court to transform them into right doers with mercy.

Learning does not have to be by force by punishment or for rewards. Somehow high school kids seem to lose the desire to learn out of curiosity, and caring outside of self. Students are not mere future human cattle and commodities to capitalize profits in money on. They have the capacity to reflect the image of God, which makes them priceless, worth more than all the money in the world. They are not price tags. Encouraging kids to use their own free will, not to blindly rely on the forced thoughts of experts without analysis, will not only improve innovation, but improve lives, and guide kids to eternal life, by love, not misled by lusts...

My law suit seeks to dissolve government religion. I respect that you cannot comment on religious beliefs, but I desired to share my eternal concerns with you. I have beef when the government uses God with teachings Jesus teaches leads to hell guaranteed, without a cleaning of our hearts, hands, and minds through repentance, laying down our life, our desires to love God, and subordinately love others as ourselves, unearned, required. Kids learn the way to hell young. It breaks my heart.

I also think the government should fully fund schools without tax increases by ending grants to private businesses, not for profits and entities. The cute, marketable kids are unpaid forced labor that make mad money for corporation when they sell food for boosters. They learn that giving to get is love, when it is just business. They learn they way to hell young and it makes me sad. Thank you for allowing me to show them they are loved, and encouraged to think for themselves, messing up is how they learn to improve.

Thanks for allowing me to digress, as I care about kids' lives and do not want them to lose eternal life in the "second death."

Some court staff and a judge, Judge Clark of the Court of Common Pleas interfered and staff impeding in my Chancery court case based on my exercise pursuit to freely exercise my faith in Jesus Christ as a private, non-government citizen without government sponsored economic, physical or social persecution based solely on my religious exercise.

The fact I care about God and people does not make me crazy, it makes me Christian. Attached, please find some of my concerns against court reps I filed with the DE Supreme Court.

Please know, my dad still cares about his former students at IR, Dover, and Sussex Tech, and is available as a resource. Should the government seek to malign my good character as retaliating against me for my First Amendment exercise of redressing a grievance in court, please do not allow that to affect your view of my father, the legendary Pat Kelly.

Thank you.  
Love,  
Meg



On Monday, August 23, 2021, 02:49:20 PM EDT, Bunting Celeste <celeste.bunting@irsd.k12.de.us> wrote:

Ms. Kelly,

The district has no jurisdiction and is not involved in any way so we will not be able to intervene. You will need to deal directly with the court system.

*Celeste T. Bunting*  
Director of Personnel/Payroll  
Office (302) 436-1000 extension 1145  
Cell (302) 236-6273

*This communication may be subject to the attorney-client privilege or the attorney work product privilege or may be otherwise confidential. Any dissemination, copying or use of this communication by or to anyone other than the designated and intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete or destroy this communication immediately.*

*The Indian River School District is an equal opportunity employer and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, creed, religion, gender (including pregnancy, childbirth and related medical conditions), national origin, citizenship or ancestry, age, disability, marital status, veteran status, genetic information, sexual orientation, or gender identity, against victims of domestic violence, sexual offenses, or stalking, or upon any other categories protected by federal, state, or local law.*

---

**From:** Dilanni Susan <susan.dilanni@irsd.k12.de.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 2:40 PM  
**To:** Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>; Delgado Lisa <lisa.delgado@IRSD.k12.de.us>  
**Cc:** Bunting Celeste <celeste.bunting@IRSD.k12.de.us>  
**Subject:** RE: [External] Complaint ODC to Supreme Court for ODC referral/Fw: CONFIDENTIAL - ODC FILE NO. 115327-B

Dear Meg,  
Lisa is no longer with the District. I am forwarding your email to Celeste Bunting.  
Thank you,

**Susan DiIanni**  
Benefits and Payroll Office  
Indian River School District  
31 Hosier Street  
Selbyville, DE 19975  
302-436-1070, Ext. 101185  
Fax: 302-436-1072

**From:** Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021 2:31 PM  
**To:** Delgado Lisa <lisa.delgado@IRSD.k12.de.us>  
**Cc:** Meg Kelly <meghankellyesq@yahoo.com>

**Subject:** [External] Complaint ODC to Supreme Court for ODC referral/Fw: CONFIDENTIAL - ODC  
**FILE NO.** 115327-B

**WARNING: External Email** - This email originated outside of the State email system.  
**DO NOT CLICK** links or attachments unless you recognize the sender and *are expecting* the email.

Hi Lisa,

Per the email below, the arm of the Supreme Court is still interfering with my case. Obviously Arline Simmons misguided me to cross off the Delaware Civil process clerk's address and se misled me to almost miss my November 2, 2021 deadline.

Could you please make a complaint with the court to request they stop. I am not acting as an attorney protected by counsel in this case. I am a party.

Thank you,  
Meg

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Meg Kelly <[meghankellyesq@yahoo.com](mailto:meghankellyesq@yahoo.com)>  
**To:** Buskirk, Renee (Courts) <[renee.buskirk@delaware.gov](mailto:renee.buskirk@delaware.gov)>  
**Cc:** Zingaro Joe <[jzingaro@peoplesplace2.com](mailto:jzingaro@peoplesplace2.com)>; Schwartz, Patricia (Courts) <[patricia.schwartz@delaware.gov](mailto:patricia.schwartz@delaware.gov)>;  
Darin McCann <[darin.mccann@coastalpoint.com](mailto:darin.mccann@coastalpoint.com)>; Glenn Rolphe <[grolphe@newszap.com](mailto:grolphe@newszap.com)>;  
[david.weiss@usdoj.gov](mailto:david.weiss@usdoj.gov) <[david.weiss@usdoj.gov](mailto:david.weiss@usdoj.gov)>  
**Sent:** Monday, August 23, 2021, 10:51:18 AM EDT  
**Subject:** Re: CONFIDENTIAL - ODC FILE NO. 115327-B

Desist in contacting me to interfere in my case.

No, I will not be evaluated. I have religious opposition to mental health and healthcare. Do not interfere with my case any further. I am trying to file a writ of cert as we speak.

Stop impeding justice, to bend my freedom of conscious to your will. My belief in Jesus may appear to be crazy to you, but my freedom to believe as I choose is a protected right, same as they right to an unobstructed trial.

Desist in contacting me.

Meg

On Monday, August 23, 2021, 09:53:23 AM EDT, Buskirk, Renee (Courts) <[renee.buskirk@delaware.gov](mailto:renee.buskirk@delaware.gov)> wrote:

Ms. Kelly:

Please see the attached (1) from Disciplinary Counsel Patricia Bartley Schwartz regarding the above-referenced subject matter.

Please confirm receipt.

Thank you.

\*\*\*\*\*  
**Renee Buskirk**

Paralegal

Office of Disciplinary Counsel


The Renaissance Centre

405 North King Street, Suite 420

Wilmington, DE 19801

(302) 651-3931

(302) 651-3939 (fax)



*CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential or proprietary information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, immediately contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.*



E Ex. A-4 Motion to Reign in the court's arms and exhibits thereto (1).pdf  
1.2MB



E Ex. A-5 Motion for recussal.pdf  
1.3MB



Exhibit G and attachments to Exhibit G.pdf  
280.9kB