

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PEDRO ANDRES BRAVO,
Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS,
Respondent.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
COURT OF APPEALS

APPENDIX TO APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

MICHAEL UFFERMAN
Michael Ufferman Law Firm, P.A.
2202-1 Raymond Diehl Road
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
Florida Bar # 114227
Phone (850) 386-2345
Email: ufferman@uffermanlaw.com

Counsel for the Petitioner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Document	Page
1.	July 13, 2023, order of the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals	A-1
2.	September 12, 2023, order of the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals.	A-3

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 23-10204

PEDRO ANDRES BRAVO,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 1:22-cv-00069-AW-MJF

2

Order of the Court

23-10204

ORDER:

Pedro Andres Bravo moves for a certificate of appealability (“COA”) in order to appeal the denial of his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. To merit a COA, a movant must show that reasonable jurists would find debatable both (1) the merits of an underlying claim, and (2) the procedural issues that he seeks to raise. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000). Because Bravo has failed to make the requisite showing, his motion for a COA is DENIED.

/s/ Charles R. Wilson

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT JUDGE

In the
United States Court of Appeals
For the Eleventh Circuit

No. 23-10204

PEDRO ANDRES BRAVO,

Petitioner-Appellant,

versus

SECRETARY, FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS,

Respondent-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Northern District of Florida
D.C. Docket No. 1:22-cv-00069-AW-MJF

2

Order of the Court

23-10204

Before WILSON and LAGOA, Circuit Judges.

BY THE COURT:

Pedro Bravo has filed a motion for reconsideration of this Court's July 13, 2023, order denying his motion for a certificate of appealability. Upon review, Bravo's motion is DENIED because he has offered no new evidence or arguments of merit to warrant relief.