

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5289

September Term, 2022

1:17-cv-02702-RC

Filed On: April 11, 2023

Jack Jordan,

Appellant

v.

United States Department of Justice,

Appellee

BEFORE: Millett, Wilkins, and Katsas, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the motion to supplement the record; the motion for summary affirmance, the response thereto, and the reply; and the motion for summary reversal, the response thereto, and the reply, it is

ORDERED that the motion to supplement the record be denied. Appellant has not shown he is entitled to the requested relief. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the motion for summary affirmance be granted and the motion for summary reversal be denied. The merits of the parties' positions are so clear as to warrant summary action. See Taxpayers Watchdog, Inc. v. Stanley, 819 F.2d 294, 297 (D.C. Cir. 1987) (per curiam). The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying appellant's motion for relief from the judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60. See In re Hope 7 Monroe St. Ltd. P'ship, 743 F.3d 867, 873, 875-76 (D.C. Cir. 2014).

Pursuant to D.C. Circuit Rule 36, this disposition will not be published. The Clerk is directed to withhold issuance of the mandate herein until seven days after resolution of any timely petition for rehearing or petition for rehearing en banc. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b); D.C. Cir. Rule 41.

Per Curiam

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5289

September Term, 2022

1:17-cv-02702-RC

Filed On: July 20, 2023

Jack Jordan,

Appellant

v.

United States Department of Justice,

Appellee

BEFORE: Millett, Wilkins, and Katsas, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the petition for rehearing, it is

ORDERED that the petition be denied.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/
Daniel J. Reidy
Deputy Clerk

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

No. 22-5289**September Term, 2022****1:17-cv-02702-RC****Filed On: July 20, 2023**

Jack Jordan,

Appellant

v.

United States Department of Justice,

Appellee

BEFORE: Srinivasan, Chief Judge, and Henderson, Millett, Pillard, Wilkins,
Katsas, Rao, Walker, Childs, Pan, and Garcia, Circuit Judges

ORDER

Upon consideration of the petition for rehearing en banc, and the absence of a request by any member of the court for a vote, it is

ORDERED that the petition be denied.

Per Curiam

FOR THE COURT:

Mark J. Langer, Clerk

BY: /s/

Daniel J. Reidy

Deputy Clerk