

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
SEP 29 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PABLO GUZMAN,

Petitioner,

VS.

Case No.

Cir. Ct. Case No:20-14181

Dist. Ct. 1:17-cv-20220

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME IN WHICH TO
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Comes now Petitioner, Pablo Guzman, pro-se, and respectfully moves for extension of time to submit his Petition For A Writ Of Certiorari and in support thereof does state:

(1) Basis for jurisdiction in this Court: This Honorable Court has jurisdiction to entertain the petition per 28 U.S.C. §1254(1).

(2) Identity of the judgment sought to be reviewed: The judgment sought to be reviewed is that of *Pablo Guzman v. Secretary, Florida Department of Corrections*, Appeal No. 20-14181-D; July 14, 2023, copy of the opinion attached as "A" and Order rejecting rehearing/reconsideration dated August 24, 2023, is attached hereto as "B".

(3) Justification: While 90 days in which to prepare the petition may well in itself be sufficient, particular factors have significantly shortened the period for this Petitioner. Specifically, the law library was completely closed from September 1,

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
OCT - 4 2023
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

2023 till September 21, 2023. No reason has been formally provided. However multiple security threat group stabbings (STG) have occurred leading up to the “no movement” lockdown type status complete with compound wide searches. Moreover, as result of lack of staff, and no daytime library technical assistant; the facility law library is open only if staff are available. Even then, a schedule per dorm is utilized meaning that if fortune has it that library is open but it’s not that dorm’s time, no admittance. Secondly, the operational rule, Florida Administrative Code, 33-501.301(3)(f), provides: 1. Priority access shall be granted if the maximum time limit is 20 or fewer calendar days. Thus, by the time Petitioner’s deadline time was applicable, the library was closed for 21 days.

(4) While not required, Petitioner has placed this Motion under the penalty of perjury.

(5) This Court has the authority to grant an extension of time per Rule 13(5), when as here, good cause is shown. This Motion is not made for the purpose of delay and is made in good faith. It does not appear that respondent will be prejudiced by the extension.

WHEREFORE, Petitioner prays that the Court permit a 45 day extension due to the good cause shown. If the Petition is completed before expiration the remaining time may be considered moot.

Respectfully Submitted,



Pablo Guzman DC# M85632
South Bay C.F.
P.O. Box 7171
South Bay, FL 33493