



*"I came to complete not to refute. I came light to the World."* Jesus Christ

23A210

Mark Bochra  
5757 North Sheridan Road, Apt 13B  
Chicago, IL 60660

**VIA UPS MAIL & ELECTRONIC MAIL**

The Honorable Clerk Scott S. Harris  
1 First Street, NE  
Washington, D.C. 20543  
[SHarris@supremecourt.gov](mailto:SHarris@supremecourt.gov)

Supreme Court, U.S.  
FILED  
SEP 6 2023  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

December 6, 2023

Re: *Bochra v. U.S. Department of Education et al*, Case No. 23A210

Application for Writ of Injunction against the IHRA definition pending appeal with the 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit. Presented to the Honorable Neil McGill Gorsuch, Associate Justice of the Supreme Court

Dear Mr. Harris,

Pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 22.4, if a Supreme Court Justice denied an application for writ of injunction, an applicant can renew the application with another Supreme Court Justice. On September 5, 2023, Honorable Supreme Court Justice Amy Barrett denied the application for writ of injunction.<sup>1</sup> The application was docketed September 1, 2023 and Justice Barrett denied it on September 5, 2023.

No. 23A210	
Title:	Mark Bochra, Applicant v. Department of Education, et al.
Docketed:	September 1, 2023
Lower Ct:	United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit
Case Numbers:	(22-2903)

DATE	PROCEEDINGS AND ORDERS
Aug 04 2023	Application (23A210) for writ of injunction, submitted to Justice Barrett. <a href="#">Main Document</a> <a href="#">Lower Court Orders/Opinions</a>
Sep 05 2023	Application (23A210) denied by Justice Barrett.

<sup>1</sup> See <https://www.supremecourt.gov/Search.aspx?FileName=/docket/docketfiles/html/public\23a210.html>

RECEIVED  
SEP 12 2023  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.



*“I came to complete not to refute. I came light to the World.” Jesus Christ*

Under the Supreme Court guidelines.<sup>2</sup>

If a Justice acts alone to deny an application, a petitioner may renew the application to any other Justice of his or her choice, and theoretically can continue until a majority of the Court has denied the application. In practice, renewed applications usually are referred to the full Court to avoid such a prolonged procedure. A Justice may call for a response from the opposition before reaching a final decision. Such responses are usually due by a date and time certain. The Justice may grant an interim stay pending receipt and consideration of a response. A Justice may grant. If an application is granted by an individual Justice, or if the full Court acts upon one, its disposition is indicated by a written or- der or sometimes, an opinion

Applicant resubmits his application and respectfully presents it to Honorable Justice Neil Gorsuch.

The IHRA definition injects religion and the name Jesus Christ into it by dictating that “Jews didn’t kill Jesus Christ” which is an endorsed government view point discrimination and is being used on the Department of Education website in direct violation of the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the United States Constitution as well as the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. Chapter 5, §§ 551, *et seq.*

Former Secretary of OCR, Kenneth Marcus without congress approval or senior departments’ leadership, personally granted Zoa’s appeal while using the IHRA definition fulfilling what he said in a leaked video of wanting to force the federal government to use the IHRA definition for America; Kenneth Marcus had the intent, and did the act, acting as an agent on behalf of Israel betraying America and its constitution.

The goal is to have the federal government to establish a definition of anti-Semitism that is parallel to the State Department Definition [sic] said Kenneth Marcus in a leaked video under the title “the Lobby USA.”<sup>3</sup>

A year later Kenneth Marcus did what he just said by his own hands. *See* Am. Comp ¶¶ 70 – 79, ECF No. 9 in *Bochra v. U.S. Department of Education et al.*<sup>4</sup>

Mark read the Federalist papers and it speaks in part about the “dangers from foreign force and influence.”<sup>5</sup> This is precisely the situation wherein some people wanted to change the foundation of America “Doctrines of Jesus Compared with others, 21 April 1803”.<sup>6</sup>

Sincerely,

/s/ Mark Bochra

<sup>2</sup> See <https://www.supremecourt.gov/publicinfo/reportersguide.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> See <https://youtu.be/Xytkl7afHcQ?t=2004>

<sup>4</sup> See <https://www.courtlistener.com/docket/60107808/bochra-v-us-department-of-education/>

<sup>5</sup> See <https://guides.loc.gov/federalist-papers/full-text>

<sup>6</sup> See <https://founders.archives.gov/documents/Jefferson/01-40-02-0178-0002>