

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

- APPENDIX A June 28, 2023 order of Denial from United States Court of Appeals for the ninth Circuit. (4 pages including name and address page)
- APPENDIX B May 2023 order of denial from Court of Appeals of the State of Oregon. Applicant never received a copy of the order. But it was read to her. It was about two sentences or so. In summary: It said the other did not agree
- APPENDIX C April 20, 2023 verbal denial from Ex Parte Court , Circuit Court of Oregon for Multnomah County. Applicant was told the court had no jurisdiction as Applicant had filed an appeal. Applicant was counseled that once an appeal has been filed the authority to act passes to the appeals court.
- APPENDIX D November 18, 2023 Writ of Assistance signed by Judge Dahlin, Circuit Court of Oregon for Multnomah County. (4 pages)
- APPENDIX E Copy of important picture
- APPENDIX F Applicant's June 5, 2023 REPLY to Respondents answer to Applicant's Emergency Motion to the United States Court of Appeals for the ninth Circuit. (13 pages plus Exhibits "A" - "T").
- Exhibit A. copy of Deficient summons. This summons could not give the Circuit Court OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY JURISDICTION to issue the September 9, 2013 DEFAULT JUDGEMENT Foreclosure order it issued.
- The knowledge that this summon was deficient , not meeting the requirement of Oregon's Chapter 7 rules as stated more specifically in the Application) came to Applicant after the very first hearing with Judge

Christopher Marshall in September 2014. I was led to it from information in the answer that Respondent handed me as we were about to go into the court room. I noticed on the cover page, "no oral Argument". I asked what meant. He mumbled something as we entered. Haven gained the knowledge after the hearing Applicant got copies of correct orders; Did an affidavits statement , and a motion to present this new found VERY information and presented them all to Judge Christopher Marshall. He response was not very nice. And he told half truths. He denied the motion to present the information that this summons is deficient. Anyone with understanding, which I now had, at a glance knows the summon is deficient. They have worked to prevent me from bringing this truth to light since.

Exhibit B Samples of what a correct summon looks like. It must meet the INDIVIDUAL requirement of the law.

Note Kemper (2 pages)

Foster (3 pages)

Baumann (4 pages)

Exhibit F Applicant's response to , and the Documents received from Respondent's Attorney Joe Sozsong . (5 pages)


Exhibit G CERTIFICATE OF READINESS. . Note county correction and keep in mind that they received what was filed in the court. I called Respondent after I left the court room and informed him the objection was filed.

Exhibit H This order was never sent to Applicant for review as was mandated by Oregon's UTCR 5.100. This is important because this is what Judge Henry Kantor placed his Signature on. I should have been sent to me for viewing.

- Exhibit I Order denying inclusion of case file 130507175.  
Respondents have blocked my attempts to gain access to this file to address the insufficiency/ deficiency of the summons .
- Exhibit J Court 's log as of 5-7-21 showing no notices sent to Applicant by the Court for the County of Multnomah . (2 pages)
- Exhibit K May 12, 2021 Order signed by Judge Stephen Bushong  
Respondent did not meet the condition and the house was unlocked.
- Exhibit L Declaration of TYLER ELDERWOOD. (The person Respondent sent to let Applicant into the property on May 14, 2021.
- Exhibit M Applicant's objection to Writ of Assistance and her answer to TYLER ELDERWOOD's Declaration. (20 pages).
- Exhibit N Applicant's efforts to fight off Respondents and Judge Shelley Russell's efforts to defy the Federal Bankruptcy court order to Stay all actions. They colluded to deny Applicant of her rights by defying the court order. (there are 4 different documents within this exhibit. )
1. May 19, 2021 request for compliance from Emille Edling and providing copy of bankruptcy court order other related parties. (4 pages)
  2. May 19, 2021 if Respondent is knowing willingly defying bankruptcy order they must comply with Oregon's UTCR 5.100. (4 pages)

3. May 21, 2021 Objection to Writ of Assistance and if Respondent is defying the stay they need to comply with UTCR 5.100. AND THERE APPEARS TO BE CORDINATION BETWEEN THE JUDGE AND MISS EDLING. (5 pages)
4. June 9, 2021 document Applicant ask the court and Respondent to comply with (Oregon's) UTCR compliance with federal Bankruptcy law and remove June 17, 2021Hearing. and objection to May 21 order. (6 pages).

- Exhibit O Pages 26-28 of the transcript of June 17, 2021 with Judge Shelley Russell. The judge accused Applicant of presenting her with forged order from the US bankruptcy Court dated June 15, 2021. (3 pages)
- Exhibit P SOS from Applicant . Applicant needs help as Judge Shelley Russell was abusing her powers. Accusing Applicant of forgery and favoring Respondent and using her power to assist Respondent in defying the Bankruptcy order. (12 pages).
- Exhibit Q Notice that a Applicant believes that the October 21, 202 hearing with Judge Shelley Russell as been canceled and the writ of assistance withdrawn. Copy of pendency of action recorded on 10-13-22 provided. (7 pages)
- Exhibit R Objection of the involvement of Judge Christopher Marshall, and Judge Eric Dahlin (15 pages)
- Exhibit S October 18, 2022 order reappointing motion judge. (1 page)
- Exhibit T Notice of Appeal – November 14, 2022



UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUN 28 2023

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DOROTHY ELIZABETH LEWIS,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., as Trustee  
for the Registered Holders of Structured  
Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-  
Through Certificate, Series 2007-OSI ("the  
Trust"); PHH MORTGAGE  
CORPORATION, successor by merger to  
Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 23-35223

D.C. No. 3:22-cv-01540-IM  
District of Oregon,  
Portland

ORDER

Before: R. NELSON and BUMATAY, Circuit Judges.

The supplemented motion for injunctive relief (Docket Entry Nos. 2 and 3) is denied. *See Feldman v. Ariz. Sec'y of State*, 843 F.3d 366, 367 (9th Cir. 2016) ("The standard for evaluating an injunction pending appeal is similar to that employed by district courts in deciding whether to grant a preliminary injunction."); *see also Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008) (defining standard for preliminary injunction in district court).

Appellant's motion to extend time to file the opening brief (Docket Entry No. 4) is granted.

The opening brief is due August 3, 2023. The answering brief is due

September 5, 2023 and the optional reply brief is due within 21 days after service of the answering brief.

Because appellant is proceeding without counsel, appellant is not required to file excerpts of record. *See* 9th Cir. R. 30-1.3. Because appellant did not file excerpts of record, appellees “must file Supplemental Excerpts of Record that contain all of the documents that are cited in the pro se opening brief or otherwise required by Rule 30-1.4, as well as the documents that are cited in the answering brief.” *Id.*

23-35223

Dorothy Elizabeth Lewis  
5272 20th Avenue, NE  
Portland, OR 97211

---

---



\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 11/21/2022.\_

FILED  
22 NOV 21 AM 10:53  
4th JUDICIAL DIST.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON**

**IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH**

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE  
FOR THE REGISTERED HOLDERS OF  
STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES  
CORPORATION MORTGAGE PASS-  
THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-  
OSI,

**Case No. 1305-07175**

**WRIT OF ASSISTANCE**

Plaintiff,

v.

DOROTHY E. LEWIS AKA DOROTHY  
LEWIS; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; STATE  
OF OREGON, DEPARTMENT OF  
REVENUE; AND PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE  
PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THE  
COMPLAINT HEREIN,

Defendants.

STATE OF OREGON            )  
  ) ss.  
COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH )

TO THE SHERIFF OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY OREGON:

Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the Registered Holders of Structured  
Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI

1 (“Plaintiff”) obtained a General Judgment Determining Amounts Owed and Foreclosure in  
2 this matter as to the following property which is legally described as:  
3 LOT 1, BLOCK 15, VERNON, PORTLAND, MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON  
4 and commonly known as 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, OR 97211 (“the Subject  
5 Property.”) The judgment, which fully adjudicated the parties’ rights to possession after the  
6 sale, was entered on September 9, 2013. The judgment provides that:

7       Plaintiff be granted the right to become a bidder and purchaser at the sale and  
8       the purchaser shall be entitled to exclusive possession of the property upon  
9       completion of sale according to law, and to all right, title and interest in any  
10       rents and profits generated or arising from the property during the statutory  
11       redemption period; and plaintiff is entitled to such remedies as are available at  
12       law to secure possession, including writ of assistance, if defendants or any of  
13       them or any other party or person shall refuse to surrender possession to the  
14       purchaser immediately upon purchaser's demand for possession . . . .


12 (See Judgment, Ex. 1 at ¶ 4.) Plaintiff obtained a subsequent Writ of Execution on January 9,  
13 2014. The Multnomah County Sheriff sold the Property on August 28, 2014, and filed a  
14 Return of Sale Upon Execution on September 3, 2014. Since the date of the sale, Plaintiff has  
15 determined that the Subject Property is occupied. Plaintiff now exercises its right, as  
16 provided in the General Judgment Determining Amounts Owed and Foreclosure, to obtain  
17 possession of the Subject Property through a Writ of Assistance.

18 //  
19 //  
20 //  
21 //  
22 //  
23 //  
24 //  
25 //  
26 //

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 11/21/2022.\_

1 NOW THEREFORE IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON, you, the  
2 Multnomah County Sheriff, are commanded to remove the occupants of 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup>  
3 Avenue, Portland, OR 97211 and facilitate access to the property by Plaintiff's agent and the  
4 removal of any occupant's personal property therein, using all reasonable and necessary  
5 force and authorization to break and enter if necessary, pursuant to the terms of Paragraph 4  
6 of the General Judgment Determining Amounts Owed and Foreclosure and according to  
7 Oregon law. This writ pertains to the entire property, including the main residence, sheds and  
8 outbuildings, and any trailers or residential vehicles on the property.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if occupants retake possession of 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup>  
10 Avenue, Portland, OR 97211, a member of the Oregon state bar representing Plaintiff may  
11 reproduce the instant Writ of Assistance and tender it to you, the Multnomah County Sheriff,  
12 for re-execution of the writ of assistance, and you will then remove the occupants of 5272  
13 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, OR 97211 and facilitate access to the property as described above.

14  
15  
16   
17  
18 Sgt Eric L Dahlke  
19 November 18, 2022

20 Presented by:

21 **HOUSER LLP**

22 By: s/ Emilie K. Edling  
23 Emilie K. Edling, OSB No. 035931  
24 9600 S.W. Oak Street, #570  
25 Portland, OR 97223  
26 Telephone: (503) 914-1382  
Facsimile: (949) 679-1112  
E-mail: eedling@houser-law.com  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Verified Correct Copy of Original 11/21/2022.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on September 27, 2022, I served the foregoing **WRIT OF ASSISTANCE** on the aforementioned parties below via the identified methods:

Dorothy Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97211

- USPS Priority Mail
- UPS Overnight
- UPS 2 Day Shipping
- E-mail
- Courier

Date: September 27, 2022

**HOUSER LLP**

BY: s/ Emilie K. Edling  
 Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
 9600 S.W. Oak Street, Suite #570  
 Portland, OR 97223  
 Telephone: 503-914-1382  
 Facsimile: 949-679-1112  
 Trial attorneys: Emilie K. Edling  
 e-mail: eedling@houser-law.com  
*Of Attorneys for Plaintiff*

No. 23-35223  
IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

---

DOROTHY ELIZABETH LEWIS,  
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al,  
Defendant-Appellee.

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court of Oregon  
D.C. No.: 3:22-cv-01540-IM

**REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO UNLOCK THE  
PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE PORTLAND AND TO STAY THE NOVEMBER 18, 2022, WRIT OF  
ASSISTANCE**

I, Dorothy Lewis, bondservant of the LORD God of Truth, gives glory and honor and thanks to our Heavenly Father through HIS Son, Jesus Christ for HIS promises to those who have their hope in HIM. And Appellant gives honor to the Judge our Heavenly Father will choose to preside over this petition.

**Psalms 31: 5 Into thine hand I commit my spirit: thou hast redeemed me, O LORD God of Truth.**

**Jeremiah 17:7 Blessed is the man that trusteth in the LORD, and whose hope the LORD is.**

**Psalm 146:5 Happy is he that hath the God of Jacob for his help, whose hope is in the LORD.**

**THE MAIN TRUTH**

Appellant begins her Reply with the main TRUTH and the heart of ownership of the property located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211 Respondents does not address this TRUTH in their response. This TRUTH is that Wells Fargo Bank NA As Trustee's summon was deficient, not meeting the specific related rules of ORCP Chapter 7. And as a result, the summon could not grant the Circuit Court

of Oregon for Multnomah County jurisdiction over the matter and Appellant. Thus, the September 9, 2013 DEFAULT Judgement Foreclosure Order is an illegal order. And it follows that all actions which this order is said to have empowered it is null and void. Regardless of the number of years that has passed. Including the November 18, 2022 Writ of Assistance Order. Respondent have defrauded the court and Appellant.

Respondent defrauded the court and Appellant by their certification to the court regarding Wells Fargo NA As Trustee's summon, and their continued action in ignoring this TRUTH. This September 9, 2013 DEFAULT judgement order is also unconstitutional, as it violates both the Oregon and the United States of America's constitution relating to due process; which made it necessary for both State and Federal courts to develop specific rules to govern summons. Appellant, again, draws this Court's attention to Wells Fargo Bank NA As Trustee's summon and to Summons which are not deficient. **See Exhibits "A" and "B"**.

Respondent have stopped Appellant's attempts access and bring to the court's attention the file content of case No. 130507175 which contains the Summon. At each attempt, Respondents would object and the court would not allow it. See Exhibit "I" for an example of one of those times. Respondent would petition the court to strike any references Appellant makes in her briefs etc., having to do with the prior cases, in particular, Case No. 130507175. It was Respondent that resurrected this case to use the September 9, 2013 DEFAULT foreclosure Judgement to circumvent the Eviction Case No. 17LT01856. Where most of the litigation years were spent.

**WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION DOCUMENT PRESENTED BY RESPONDENTS DIFFERS FROM WHAT WAS SENT TO APPELLANT FOR REVIEW IN APRIL OF 2017 AND THE ORDER SIGNED BY JUDGE KANTOR ON APRIL 24, 2017 WAS NEVER SENT TO APPELLANT FOR REVIEW AS ORDERED BY JUDGE KANTOR AND REQUIRED BY UTCR 5:100**

Respondents have presented Exhibit 3 –4 Pages; Page 2 and 4 were never sent to Appellant for review before signature as required by UTCR 5.100.

Specific to page 3, the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION. A document bearing this title was presented to Appellant along with NOTICE OF PROPOSED JUDGEMENT OR ORDER, the cover page, and CERTIFICATE OF READINESS UTCR 5.100. A total of three pages. The documents had different dates, and the CERTIFICATE OF READINESS had the wrong court on it. It had LYNN COUNTY Court, instead of MULTNOMAH COUNTY Court. Appellant timely responded to the proposed documents in writing and filing Appellant's objections with the court on April 13, 2017, and by phone call, on the same date, to Joe Sozsong, Respondent's attorney who sent Appellant the documents. Appellant informing Respondent of my objections and that I had filed it in the court; The service of the CERTIFICATE OF READINESS was invalid as it stated the wrong court. There was no case in Lynn County. And the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION was confusing and did not correctly reflected Judge Kantor's ruling. Respondent was advised to make the necessary

correction and serve Appellant with the corrected documents. **See Exhibit "F"** for documents filed in the court on April 13, 2017 and note the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION, which Appellant is aware of.

Appellant waited for the corrected documents, but received none. Appellant later discovered that Respondent's representative, Joe Sozsong had crossed out the LYNN County on the CERTIFICATE OF READINESS and wrote in by hand, that as of April 14, 2017 he had not received my objections. See Exhibit "G". This was provided to Judge Kantor along with an electronic copy of LANDLORD TENANT EVICTION JUDGEMENT order (see exhibit "H") for signature. Judge Kantor signed this order on April 24, 2017.

Please note that THE LANDLORD TENANT EVICTION JUDGEMENT order (Exhibit "H") was never provided to appellant for review either., as required by UTCR 5.100. And, again, the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUION presented by Respondent as page 3 of Exhibit 3 is different from the one signed by Joe Sozsong sent to Appellant for review. See Exhibit "F".

## **LOCKOUT IN 2017 AND 2021**

### **JUNE 2017 UNDER EVICTION CASE 17LT01856**

Appellant is not a liar. To tell lies is a major offence in the Kingdom of God. It also shows that you are not a child of the God of Truth, but a child of Satan (the devil), who is the father of lies (John 8:44). Appellant has explained that at the time of the lockout which took place in June of 2017, Appellant was not at home. Appellant had taken her elderly uncle to his doctor appointment. Appellant was not physically removed from the property, and had no knowledge that the Sherriff had even been in the house until hours after she had been in the house. Appellant has lived at this property since 1999 **and have** not changed any of the locks since. The locks being old sometimes don't operate as well. On that day of the lockout arrived home and had difficulty with the lock. Appellant thought the lock had totally gone out, and enters the house by the means used if we had locked our key in the lock had totally gone out, and enters the house by the means used if we had locked our key in the house. There was no notice on the door.

It is customary, in our family, to include extended family, to not used our front door for very day use. The notice from the Sherriff was posted on the front door which Appellant did not see until after I was already in the house and for hours and decided to check the mail. There was no notice on the back door, the door Appellant commonly used. Appellant had filed an appeal of the General Judgement and the confusing documents and Respondent's misrepresentation to Judge Kantor on May 18, 2017. A lockout was the last thing Appellant expected. Appellant contacted Respondent and

informed them that I was in the house and just discovered the lock change on the front door and sign on the front door and would be presenting myself to Ex parte court to get the matter addressed. Appellant did not break in.

APRIL 13 2021 UNDER THE ORIGINAL CASE 130507175 WHICH  
GENERATED THE SUMMMON. THIS CASE LAID DORMANT FOR YEARS

Litigation continued in the Oregon Court of Appeals over many years under 17LT01856, due to delay caused by Respondent's efforts to have Appellant's appeal dismissed. And petitioning the court to strike any information relating to the other cases. See Exhibit "I". There is a total of three cases. One filed by Appellant, where they did the illegal judge change. Also, there was delay as Appellant's Mother had stokes which damaged her eye and her brain, and Respondents had change in attorney and conflict with case schedules, etc. Appellant also got struck by a car.

In February or early March 2020 Appellant received a call from Respondent (Miss Edling) informed me she was going to file a motion for a Writ of Assistance and she would be taking it to EX Parte. Respondent sent Appellant the documents. Appellant was surprised at the case number that appeared on the documents (No. No. 130507175 and went to the court to check on the status of this case. The Court also showed the case as inactive. Miss Edling had not filed the documents she sent me with the court. Appellant filed a copy of what she had received.

In Respondent's documents Respondent sought to have a phone hearing only, where the court would be the ones initiating the call to Appellant. And Respondent provided the court with a wrong phone number for Appellant. A number not close to Appellant's phone number at all. The court told Miss Edling that what she was requesting must be done in person.

This brought about Respondent and Appellant agreeing on a date of March 17, 2020 for Ex Parte court. Then the courts and the world shut down due to COVID 19. This also affected the appeal in the Oregon Appeal court. We were awaiting their Appellant's response to Respondent attempts to further strike items and push for dismissal of Appellant's Appeal.

In October 2020 Respondent resurrected their motion for a writ of Assistant and Judge Bushong scheduled a hearing with Judge Shelley Russell. Judge Russell was not interested in hearing about the illegal judge change etc. she just zoned in on the September 2013 Foreclosure order (The DEFAULT JUDGEMENT ORDER). She thought Appellant was already in the property too long. Miss Edling provided as support the documents which were on appeal as support. And told her that I broke into the property after being removed from it. Miss Edling told Judge Shelley Russell, that going through her was the path of least resistance.

Appellant was removed from the property by the Sherriff on April 13, 2021. However, Respondent knowingly and illegally attempted their own removal on January 8, 2021. When Respondent sent their own representative to physically remove appellant from the property. The Representative would present no identification. Appellant called the police and Miss Edling and informed her what was happening. Miss Edling was complicit. Officer Chapin came and informed



Respondent's agents that what they were trying to do was not legal. Miss Edling denied knowledge of this when Appellant brought it to Judge Bushong's attention. Appellant then provided Judge Bushong with copy of Appellant's phone log. Which documented the call to Miss Edling.

Appellant, after much prayer and requesting guidance from her Heavenly Father regarding the lockout and the still pending appeal in the Oregon Court of Appeals. Appellant informed Respondent of her intention to go to Ex Parte Court and requested that the property be unlocked. Appellant did this on May 5, 2021 providing reasons. Judge Bushong Denied Appellant's request and added I could come back with another request on the same matter. (Appellant was never notified of the Writ of assistant order, but Respondent was. See copy of court's log and notice to Respondent. **Exhibit. "J".**)

Appellant filed an untimely appeal of the Writ of Assistance ad filed for Bankruptcy. Appellant went to Judge Bushong on May 12, 2021. Judge Bushong was concerned that Appellant did not have access to her possession even after making a request to get papers to file for Social Security benefits. Judge Bushong requested that Miss Edling provided the court with a date appellant would have access before the court ended our May 12, 2021 hearing. Miss Edling was unable to do so even after the court recessed giving her time to scheduled the time for Appellant to access her things. Led by the Holy Spirit Judge Bushong wrote a May 12, 2021 order which unlocked the property on May 14, 2021. Respondent did not meet the condition, Judge Bushong inserted, therefore it followed that the property was unlocked. The binding condition not being met. See **Exhibit "K"**.

Respondent knows that the property would be unlocked, if they did not meet the condition in the May 12, 2021 order. The reason Miss Edling provided a declaration from Mr. Elwoods who was sent to the property to keep their appointment and presented it to Judge Shelley Russell. Respondent also accused Appellant of not keeping the appointment. See **Exhibit "L"**. Appellant told Respondent that I was at the property at the scheduled time. They did not believe Appellant. Appellant provided proof of being present to Respondent and Judge Russell. See **Exhibit "L"—DECLARATION OF TYLER ELWOOD** and **Exhibit "M"—OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT** dated June 16, 2021.

However, this proof caused respondent and Judge Shelley Russell to have more malice against Appellant. Which resulted in more discriminatory and harmful actions against Appellant; on their part. Respondent and Judge Shelley Russell colluded and conspired to deny Appellant of her rights and benefits under the Bankruptcy laws, State of Oregon laws and the US constitution. See the group of documents produced by Appellant in an effort to defend against these actions: **See Exhibit "N" (group of 4 documents)** and **Exhibit "O"**, and **Exhibit "P"**. Also see **Exhibit "K"** for THE CONDITION JUDGE BUSHONG INSERTED IN HIS MAY 12, 2021 ORDER.

**Request by [Defendant] Denied without prejudice on condition that [Plaintiff] provide reasonable accompanied, access to property to allow Ms. Lewis to retrieve personal belongings. Access must be provided by 5:00 pm on Friday, May 14, 2021.**

Appellant's May 17, 2021 notice (which Respondents present as Exhibit 5) to Respondent and the court that THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 2TH AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211 HAS BEEN UNLOCKED BY JUDGE'S ORDER RESPONDENT IS OCUPYING SAID PROPERTY AS ORDERED. PLAINTIFF IS TO STAY ALL

ACTIONS AS ORDERED. Appellant stands by this notice. Appellant believes it to be TRUTH and the Holy Spirit has confirmed it as TRUTH. Appellant had nothing to do with the condition that Judge Bushong inserted. Respondent did not meet the condition. Respondent had time to send someone else, before 5:00 pm but chose not to do so. Appellant did not break into the property on May 14, 2021.

**APPELLANT WAS REMOVED FROM THE PROPERTY ILLEGALLY APRIL 2023 AND IS ASKING THE COURT TO CORRECT THIS WRONG BEFORE IRREPARABLE HARM HAPPENS. THE NOVEMBER 18, 2022 WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WHICH WAS USED TO EMPOWER THE REMOVAL IS ILLEGAL**

When Miss Edling called Appellant, late September/early September 2022, to inform Appellant of her intent to schedule a motion for Writ of Assistance, again. Appellant did not refuse. Appellant knew Miss Edling had a job to do, and I am a child of our Heavenly Father and is always true HIM. Appellant was hoping to get a just judge who could do an unbiased hearing. And who would address the issue of the Summon. We agreed on October 21, 2022. Appellant then asked Miss Edling who the judge would be. Miss Edling informed Appellant that it was with Judge Shelley Russell. I immediately informed Miss Edling that I would never appear before Judge Shelly Russell again. Miss Edling had the opportunity to seek a new judge at this time. Sadly, Miss Edling showed that she was not interested in an impartial hearing, by insisting on Judge Shelley Russell. Judge Shelley Russell who accused Appellant of presenting forged order to her and colluded and conspired with Respondent to defy the Bankruptcy order to Stay. (see Exhibits "O", "P" and "N") Judge Shelley Russell demonstrated the malice and prejudice she has against Appellant. This kind of malice and prejudice does not go away.

Appellant put in writing her objection to Judge Shelley Russell with no response received. Out of desperation, knowing that it was unlikely for Appellant to get a fair hearing within the state court Appellant filed her first complaint in the Federal Court on October 13, 2022 and informed the Oregon Circuit Court of Multnomah County and Respondent, and Judge Shelley Russell.

On October 20, 2022 Appellant delivered her NOTICE THAT DEFENDANT BELIEVES THE OCTOBER 21, 2022 HEARING WITH JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL HAS BEEN CANCELLED AND THE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WITHDRAWN AND WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF AND JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL AND CHIEF JUSTICE MARTHA WALTERS WITH A COPY OF THE PENDENCY OF AN ACTION RECORDED ON 10/13/22 SPECIFIC TO THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211. (see Exhibit "Q")

On Appellant's way back from delivering the October 20, 2022 notice. Appellant received a call from Miss Edling's Assistant. She notified Appellant that the October 21, 2022 hearing had been cancelled and she wanted me to rescheduled and gave me dates to choose from. I informed her that I needed to seek counsel from our Heavenly Father before I take any other action, [not having anything in writing from the court]. I informed the caller I would get back to them. Very soon after, Appellant received a call from Miss Edling. She also gave Appellant dates. I gave Miss Edling the same answer. Miss Edling was very upset with my answer. And the more we spoke, I discovered that there been lots of communication going on between Miss Edling, Judge Shelley Russell, and the new Judge they chose.

I informed Miss Edling that I did not have a copy of any document generated by the court (which Miss Edling appear to be very familiar with). I told Miss Edling that I would wait to receive my copy and would contact her as soon as I have received it.

A day or two later Appellant received her copy of Judge Christopher Marshall's order dated October 18, 2022. Judge Christopher Marshall has malice towards Appellant. He is prejudice against Appellant. It is impossible that Judge Christopher Marshall and Judge Shelley Russell would chose a judge who was not of like mind with them. They all wanted Appellant out of the property at all cost. They didn't care about truth. Any hearing would not be impartial. The Holy Spirit is NEVER wrong. As promised, I contacted Mis Edling and informed her that I objected to the order and would put it in writing. I would not be scheduling a hearing. Miss Edling asked me if there was any judge that I would see in Multnomah County, sarcastically. I told her I did not know. Miss Edling never shared with Appellant that they had already scheduled a hearing for November 18, 2022 on October 20, 2022. This would have been the perfect time to inform Appellant of the November 18, 2022 hearing date. Miss Edling did not because there was collusion and conspiracy and trickery at work. **See Exhibit "S"** for the October 18, 2022 order.

On October 28, 2022 Appellant served her objection on all parties, including Judge Christopher Marshall and Judge Eric Dahlin. See Exhibit "R". OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUDION AND CONSPARICY WITH PLAINTFF AGAINST DEFENDANT IS RAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS. See Exhibit "R". Given that no judge change was made, and because this goes to the heart of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment and 5<sup>th</sup> Amendments and the right to a fair hearing, Appellant appealed the October 18, 2022 order on November 14, 2022, noting the title of the order, "Order Reappointing Motions Judge to Case. See **Exhibit "T"**.

By Appellant's October 28, 2022 objection which was served on all parties, to include Judge Eric Dahlin. All were put on notice that Appellant objected to Judge Eric Dahlin himself. Appellant's issues with the order was made known to all. Still no one informed Appellant that a hearing date had been scheduled with Judge Eric Dahling. There was no notice at all. The October 18, 2022 order is written in a sanitized manner. And could be mistaken as innocent. However, when the parties are taken into consideration collusion is obvious. Also, the Holy Spirits knows the souls and is never wrong. Led by the Holy Spirit Appellant rejected Judge Eric Dahlin, and during the November 18, 2022 hearing Judge Dahling, proved the Holy Spirit right.

#### APPELLANT'S FIRST NOTICE OF THE NOVEMBER 18, 2022 HEARING

Appellant's first notice that there was a haring scheduled with Judge Eric Dahling was approximately 4:00 pm on November 17, 2022. When appellant received a call from Miss Edling while

Appellant was on the bus. She wanted to make sure I would be attending the hearing. I informed her I had no knowledge of a hearing. She informed Appellant that the hearing was scheduled on October 20, 2022 and that Appellant had been notified by email. Appellant had not provided the court with an email address. Miss Edling said she found one. (Appellant had received no such notice.) Appellant informed Miss Edling that I had appealed the October 18, 2022 order to the Appeals court. Miss Edling informed me that it did not make a difference. Miss Edling, was correct. It did not make a difference because of the conspiracy against Appellant. (They held the hearing anyway.) Not knowing what to do Appellant attended the hearing after being told that the emotional message she had left for the judge was left on a wrong judge number.

Miss Edling, in Respondent's response to this court states that Appellant did not file her appeal until January of 2023. That is not true. See copy of the October 14, 2022 Notice of Appeal. (Exhibit "T").

Respondent in their response also states that the Trial court can have hearing on matters while an appeal has been filed. This is true in some cases. However, as it pertains to Judge Eric Dahling, he did not have jurisdiction to act as he is part of the issue before the court of Appeal. This was clear to everyone in the Trial court., Judge Eric Dahlig being specifically objected to. Also, the Writ of Assistance itself was likely also barred because it too was before the Oregon Appels court. Judge Eric Dahling I was made aware he did not have jurisdiction during the hearing. However, he chose to continue. Judge Eric Dahlin began in error, and concluded in full knowledge that he had no jurisdiction.

Appellant wants to bring to the attention of this court, and remind Respondent and their representatives, Miss Edling, of the lesson we received in Ex Parte Court on April 20, 2023 from the presiding judge. The presiding judge informed Appellant that she was unable to address Appellant's motion, in any way or form, as Appellant had Appealed the Writ of Assistant order and any matters related to it when Appellant appealed the Writ of Assistance. The presiding judge further stressed that once an appeal has been filed authority/jurisdiction passes from the trial court to the court of Appeals on all related matte. And as Appellant recalls, Miss Edling affirmed to that court that Appellant had filed an appeal, to close any door to any action from the trial Court to unlock the property.

Thus, the November 18, 2022 writ of Assistance is illegal, the trial court and in particular Judge Eric Dahlin, did not have jurisdiction to hold that hearing and sign the order. The order is illegal the lock out is illegal.

Also, even if the trial court had jurisdiction, which they didn't, the November 18, 2022 order is unconstitutional. The last paragraph is particularly concerning as it criminalizes Appellant and takes away her due process rights without a criminal trial.

This November 18, 2022 Writ of Assistance order should also be nullified as it is empowered by the September 9, 2013 DEFAULT Judgement Foreclosure order which the Court had no Jurisdiction to issue in 2013 given that the Wells Fargo Bank As Trustee's Summon was deficient, not complying with the specific portions of ORCP Chapter 7.

## **THE CASE REGARDING THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE PORTLAND OREGON MIRRORS FLOWERS V. MISSISSIPPI (2019) IN MANY WAYS**

There have been several cases and the parties, including Respondent and judges are willing to take discriminatory actions to achieve their goal. There is premeditated discrimination taking place on the part of Respondents, Emilie Edling, Respondent's Representative, and the courts, to include Judge Christopher Marshall, Judge Shelley Russell, and now Eric Dahlin., and some in the Oregon court of Appeals. They are all blinded by their desire to remove Appellant from the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave. Portland, OR 97211. And have joined forces to make sure it happens. The Appeal of the November 18, 2022 Writ of Assistance appears as if it is being handled differently. It appears the court and Respondent, and Miss Edling are no longer interested in justice. The court's interest now is to protect case precedent. The State courts do not wish to see the case overturned after these many years.

Respondents has been "Motivated in substantial part by discriminatory intent *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*. And malice and bad faith regarding Appellant. This is a direct attack on Appellant's Constitutional rights under the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment and the civil right acts causing constitutional injury.

Judge Shelley Russell was aware of the history. But Judge Shelley Russell didn't sufficiently account for the history when considering whether a Writ of Assistance was warranted. *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*. She was prejudice against Appellant based on the half truths Respondent tells and because of her association/friendship with Judge Christopher Marshall.

When a lawyer or a judge "Misstates the record in explaining..., that misstatement can be another clue showing discriminatory intent *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*. That incorrect statement was not the only one made by the attorney "*Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*).

This is a highly unusual case, indeed, it is likely one of a kind. *Flowers v Mississippi (2019)*. This is not an ordinary case. *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*.

### **APPELLANT'S BLOOD PRESSURE CONDITION**

Plaintiff followed up with her doctor regarding her blood pressure on May 31, 2023 as instructed. Sadly, Appellant blood pressure was still high, even after the increased dose of medicine. Once again, an increase in dose was recommended. Appellant picked up new pills with the increased dose on May 31, 2022. Follow up for blood pressure recheck is scheduled for June 9, 2023.

APPELLANT SEEKS ANY AND ALL RELEIF IN THE COURT'S POWER TO GRANT.

Appellant has endured much harm at the hand of Respondent and the court. Respondent's Summon was deficient. The deficiency is clear. Respondent and the court are protecting their own

interest. They are not interested in justice. Appellant seeks justice. The "Judge may still consider historical evidence of Respondent and the courts discriminatory " [acts]. *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*. Respondents and the court's actions under each case were motivated in substantial part by discriminatory intent. *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*.


Finally, in combination with the other facts and circumstances in this case, the action of [Respondent and the judges were motivated by in substantial part by discriminatory intent. As the Court has stated, the constitution forbids due process discrimination *Flowers v. Mississippi (2019)*. This is not an Ordinary case.

### CONCLUSION

Appellant ask the court to grant the relief Appellant seeks. And any and all relief with in the power of this court to grant, and considering Power v Mississippi and the fact that Respondent's Summon was deficient plus the behavior of Respondent.

Appellant a bond Servant of the LORD God and His Son, Jesus Christ, thanks the court for the opportunity to bring this Reply to Respondent's Response to the Court and pray that our Heavenly Father's will is done in this matter and all things upon the earth as they are in heaven.

June 5, 2023



Dorothy E Lewis Pro Se  
503-929-8084

CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I certify that on June 5, 2023, I filed the original of the **REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO UNLOCK THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE PORTLAND AND TO STAY THE NOVEMBER 18, 2022, WRIT OF ASSISTANCE** with the

**Office of the Clerk, James R. Browning  
Courthouse  
US Court of Appeals  
95 Seventh Street  
San Francisco, CA 94103-1526**

Via FedEx mail

Date: June 5, 2023

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Dorothy E. Lewis". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a horizontal line.

Dorothy E Lewis Pro Se  
503-929-8084

## CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I certify that on June 5, 2023, I served a true copy of the **REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO UNLOCK THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE PORTLAND AND TO STAY THE NOVEMBER 18, 2022, WRIT OF ASSISTANCE**, to the parties at the addresses set forth below.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al  
Emilie k. Edling, OSB # 035931  
Houser LLP  
9600 SW Oak Street, Suite 570  
Portland, OR 97223

### By the following method of filing:

- United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.  
 United States Postal Service, Certified mail, return receipt requested.  
 Hand delivery  
 Other (Specify): Email: [eedling@houser-law.com](mailto:eedling@houser-law.com)

Date: June 5, 2023



Dorothy E Lewis Pro Se  
503-929-8084



CERTIFICATE OF FILING

I certify that on June 5, 2023, I served a true copy of the **REPLY TO RESPONDENT'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO UNLOCK THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE PORTLAND AND TO STAY THE NOVEMBER 18, 2022, WRIT OF ASSISTANCE**, to the parties at the addresses set forth below.

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al  
Emilie k. Edling, OSB # 035931  
Houser LLP  
9600 SW Oak Street, Suite 570  
Portland, OR 97223

**By the following method of filing:**

- United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.  
 United States Postal Service, Certified mail, return receipt requested.  
 Hand delivery  
 Other (Specify): Email: eedling@houser-law.com

Date: June 5, 2023



Dorothy E Lewis Pro Se  
503-929-8084



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL

DATE 3/15/23  
Jami Procter  
COURT CLERK

Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/29/2014

1 Craig A. Peterson, OSB #120365  
2 Zachary Bryant, OSB #113409  
3 Brandon Smith, OSB #124584  
4 Robinson Tait, P.S.  
5 710 Second Avenue, Suite 710  
6 Seattle WA 98104  
7 Phone: (206) 676-9640  
8 Fax: (206) 676-9659  
9 Email: cpeterson@robinsontait.com  
10 Email: zbryant@robinsontait.com  
11 Email: bsmith@robinsontait.com

9 CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

10 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE  
11 FOR THE REGISTERED HOLDERS OF  
12 STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES  
13 CORPORATION MORTGAGE PASS-  
14 THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-  
15 OSI,

NO. 1305-07175  
SUMMONS

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

17 DOROTHY E. LEWIS AKA DOROTHY  
18 LEWIS; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
19 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; STATE  
20 OF OREGON, DEPARTMENT OF  
21 REVENUE; PERSONS OR PARTIES  
22 UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
23 TITLE, LIEN OR INTEREST IN THE  
24 PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THE  
25 COMPLAINT HEREIN,

24 Defendants.

25 TO: DOROTHY E. LEWIS AKA DOROTHY LEWIS; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
26 INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; STATE OF OREGON, DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE;  
27 PERSONS OR PARTIES UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT, TITLE, LIEN OR INTEREST  
28 IN THE PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THE COMPLAINT HEREIN

Exhibit A

Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/18/2014

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

CITIMORTGAGE, INC., its successors in  
interest and/or assigns,

Plaintiff,

v.

WESLEY E. KEMPFER, JR. aka Wesley  
Edward Kempfer, Jr.; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC., SOLELY AS NOMINEE FOR ALPINE  
MORTGAGE, LLC; SHOREBANK  
ENTERPRISE GROUP, PACIFIC, DBA  
SHOREBANK ENTERPRISE CASCADIA;  
CAROL KEMPFER; OCCUPANTS OF THE  
PREMISES; and THE REAL PROPERTY  
LOCATED AT 4101 NORTHEAST SUMNER  
STREET, PORTLAND, OR 97211,

Defendants.

No. 1306-08210  
SUMMONS

1.

TO THE DEFENDANT: Carol Kempfer

2.

You are hereby required to appear and defend the Complaint filed against you in this case  
within 30 days from the date of the service of this summons upon you. If you fail to appear and  
defend, the plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

*Carla Kempfer* *A. Roeser* *Kempfer*

Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/18/2014

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

CITIMORTGAGE, INC., its successors in  
interest and/or assigns,

Plaintiff,

v.

WESLEY E. KEMPFER, JR. aka Wesley  
Edward Kempfer, Jr.; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC., SOLELY AS NOMINEE FOR ALPINE  
MORTGAGE, LLC; SHOREBANK  
ENTERPRISE GROUP, PACIFIC, DBA  
SHOREBANK ENTERPRISE CASCADIA;  
CAROL KEMPFER; OCCUPANTS OF THE  
PREMISES; and THE REAL PROPERTY  
LOCATED AT 4101 NORTHEAST SUMNER  
STREET, PORTLAND, OR 97211,

Defendants.

No. 1306-0~~1~~210  
SUMMONS

1.

**TO THE DEFENDANT:** Wesley E. Kempfer, Jr. aka Wesley Edward Kempfer, Jr.

2.

You are hereby required to appear and defend the Complaint filed against you in this case  
within 30 days from the date of the service of this summons upon you. If you fail to appear and  
defend, the Plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

2 - Kempfer

FILED MAY - 6 2014

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LINN

PACIFIC CONTINENTAL MORTGAGE, an assumed business  
name of Pacific Continental Bank,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT D. FOSTER; JENNIFER R. FOSTER; and PARTIES  
IN POSSESSION,

Defendants.

Case No. 14CV03360


SUMMONS

To: Jennifer R. Foster  
13462 456<sup>th</sup> Place SE  
North Bend, WA 98045

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!

You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To "appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion" or "answer." The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or administrator within 30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form and have proof of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the plaintiff does not have an attorney, proof of service upon the plaintiff.

If you have any questions, you should see an attorney immediately. If you need help in finding an attorney, you may contact the Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service online at [www.oregonstatebar.org](http://www.oregonstatebar.org) or by calling (503) 684-3763 (in the Portland metropolitan area) or toll-free elsewhere in Oregon at (800) 452-7636.

  
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/AUTHOR FOR PLAINTIFF  
Jason M. Ayres OSB #001966  
ATTORNEY'S/AUTHOR'S NAME (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO. (IF ANY)  
121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600  
Address  
Portland, Oregon 97204-3136 (503) 228-6044  
CITY STATE ZIP PHONE  
TRIAL ATTORNEY IF OTHER THAN ABOVE (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO.

STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.

I, the undersigned attorney of record for the plaintiff, certify that the foregoing is an exact and complete copy of the original summons and complaint in the above entitled action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVING THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true copy of the complaint mentioned therein, upon the individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which this summons is directed, and to make your proof of service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar document which you shall attach hereto.

FARLEIGH WADA WITT  
Attorneys at Law  
121 SW Morrison Street  
Suite 600  
Portland, Oregon 97204-3192  
Telephone: (503) 228-6044  
Facsimile: (503) 228-1741


  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF(S)



Exhibit B - 3 pages - Foster

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/6/2014

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LINN

PACIFIC CONTINENTAL MORTGAGE, an assumed business  
name of Pacific Continental Bank,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT D. FOSTER; JENNIFER R. FOSTER; and PARTIES  
IN POSSESSION,

Defendants.

Case No. **14CV03360**  
SUMMONS


**FILED MAY - 6 2014**

To: Robert D. Foster  
13462 456<sup>th</sup> Place SE  
North Bend, WA 98045

**NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!**

You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To "appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion" or "answer." The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or administrator within 30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form and have proof of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the plaintiff does not have an attorney, proof of service upon the plaintiff.

If you have any questions, you should see an attorney immediately. If you need help in finding an attorney, you may contact the Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service online at [www.oregonstatebar.org](http://www.oregonstatebar.org) or by calling (503) 684-3763 (in the Portland metropolitan area) or toll-free elsewhere in Oregon at (800) 452-7636.

  
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/AUTHOR FOR PLAINTIFF  
Jason M. Ayres OSB #001966  
ATTORNEY'S/AUTHOR'S NAME (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO. (IF ANY)  
121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600  
Address  
Portland, Oregon 97204-3136 (503) 228-6044  
CITY STATE ZIP PHONE  
TRIAL ATTORNEY IF OTHER THAN ABOVE (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO.

STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.


I, the undersigned attorney of record for the plaintiff, certify that the foregoing is an exact and complete copy of the original summons and complaint in the above entitled action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVING THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true copy of the complaint mentioned therein, upon the individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which this summons is directed, and to make your proof of service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar document which you shall attach hereto.

**FARLEIGH WADA WITT**  
Attorneys at Law  
121 SW Morrison Street  
Suite 600  
Portland, Oregon 97204-3192  
Telephone: (503) 228-6044  
Facsimile: (503) 228-1741

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF(S)



FILED MAY 22 2014

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LINN

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/22/2014

PACIFIC CONTINENTAL MORTGAGE, an assumed business  
name of Pacific Continental Bank,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT D. FOSTER; JENNIFER R. FOSTER; and PARTIES  
IN POSSESSION,

Defendants.


Case No. 14 CV 03360  
SUMMONS

To: Parties in Possession  
408 W Bishop Way  
Brownsville, OR 97327

NOTICE TO THE DEFENDANT: READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY!

You must "appear" in this case or the other side will win automatically. To "appear" you must file with the court a legal paper called a "motion" or "answer." The "motion" or "answer" must be given to the court clerk or administrator within 30 days along with the required filing fee. It must be in proper form and have proof of service on the plaintiff's attorney or, if the plaintiff does not have an attorney, proof of service upon the plaintiff.

If you have any questions, you should see an attorney immediately. If you need help in finding an attorney, you may contact the Oregon State Bar's Lawyer Referral Service online at [www.oregonstatebar.org](http://www.oregonstatebar.org) or by calling (503) 684-3763 (in the Portland metropolitan area) or toll-free elsewhere in Oregon at (800) 452-7636.

  
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/AUTHOR FOR PLAINTIFF  
Jason M. Ayres OSB #001966  
ATTORNEY'S/AUTHOR'S NAME (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO. (IF ANY)  
121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 600  
Address  
Portland, Oregon 97204-3136 (503) 228-6044  
CITY STATE ZIP PHONE  
TRIAL ATTORNEY IF OTHER THAN ABOVE (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO.


STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah) ss.

I, the undersigned attorney of record for the plaintiff, certify that the foregoing is an exact and complete copy of the original summons and complaint in the above entitled action.

ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

TO THE OFFICER OR OTHER PERSON SERVING THIS SUMMONS: You are hereby directed to serve a true copy of this summons, together with a true copy of the complaint mentioned therein, upon the individual(s) or other legal entity(ies) to whom or which this summons is directed, and to make your proof of service on the reverse hereof or upon a separate similar document which you shall attach hereto.

FARLEIGH IVADA WITT  
Attorneys at Law  
121 SW Morrison Street  
Suite 600  
Portland, Oregon 97204-3192  
Telephone: (503) 228-6044  
Facsimile: (503) 228-1741

  
ATTORNEY OF RECORD FOR PLAINTIFF(S)

14CV03360  
SM  
Summons  
1020467



Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/8/2014.

ORIGINAL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC, ITS  
SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSIGNS,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAUL B. BAUMANN; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC.; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and  
ALL OTHER PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE REAL  
PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS  
4724 SW VACUNA STREET, PORTLAND,  
OR 97219,

Defendants.

Case No. 1308-11233

SUMMONS  
PAUL B. BAUMANN

TO DEFENDANT PAUL B. BAUMANN:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON: You are hereby required to appear and defend the action filed against you in the above-entitled cause within 30 days from the date of service of this Summons upon you; and if you fail to appear and defend, for want thereof, the Plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded therein.

Dated: July 30, 2013

PITE DUNCAN, LLP



By: \_\_\_\_\_

CASPER J. RANKIN, OSB #091690  
(858) 750-7605  
(858) 412-2705 (Facsimile)  
[crankin@piteduncan.com](mailto:crankin@piteduncan.com)

Exhibit B - 4 bases - Paul Bauman



Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/8/2014.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORIGINAL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC, ITS  
SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSIGNS,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAUL B. BAUMANN; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC.; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and  
ALL OTHER PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE REAL  
PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS  
4724 SW VACUNA STREET, PORTLAND,  
OR 97219,

Defendants.

Case No. 1308 - 11233


SUMMONS  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

TO DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON: You are hereby required to appear and defend the action filed against you in the above-entitled cause within 30 days from the date of service of this Summons upon you; and if you fail to appear and defend, for want thereof, the Plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded therein.

Dated: July 30, 2013

PITE DUNCAN, LLP

By: 

CASPER J. RANKIN, OSB #091690  
(858) 750-7605  
(858) 412-2705 (Facsimile)  
[crankin@piteduncan.com](mailto:crankin@piteduncan.com)

Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/8/2014

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORIGINAL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC, ITS  
SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSIGNS,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAUL B. BAUMANN; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC.; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and  
ALL OTHER PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE REAL  
PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS  
4724 SW VACUNA STREET, PORTLAND,  
OR 97219,

Defendants.

Case No. 1308-11233

**SUMMONS**  
MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC  
REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

TO DEFENDANT MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON: You are hereby required to appear and defend the action filed against you in the above-entitled cause within 30 days from the date of service of this Summons upon you; and if you fail to appear and defend, for want thereof, the Plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded therein.

Dated: July 30, 2013

PITE DUNCAN, LLP

By:



CASPER J. RANKIN, OSB #091690  
(858) 750-7605  
(858) 412-2705 (Facsimile)  
[crankin@piteduncan.com](mailto:crankin@piteduncan.com)

Verified Correct Copy of Original 8/8/2014

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

ORIGINAL

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, LLC, ITS  
SUCCESSORS AND/OR ASSIGNS,

Plaintiff,

v.

PAUL B. BAUMANN; MORTGAGE  
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,  
INC.; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA; and  
ALL OTHER PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE REAL  
PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS  
4724 SW VACUNA STREET, PORTLAND,  
OR 97219,

Defendants.

Case No. 1308-11233

SUMMONS

ALL OTHER PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE REAL  
PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS 4724  
SW VACUNA STREET, PORTLAND, OR  
97219

TO DEFENDANTS ALL OTHER PERSONS OR PARTIES UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY  
RIGHT, TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE REAL PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS  
4724 SW VACUNA STREET, PORTLAND, OR 97219:

IN THE NAME OF THE STATE OF OREGON: You are hereby required to appear and  
defend the action filed against you in the above-entitled cause within 30 days from the date of  
service of this Summons upon you; and if you fail to appear and defend, for want thereof, the  
Plaintiff will apply to the court for the relief demanded therein.

Dated: July 30, 2013

PITE DUNCAN, LLP

By:

CASPER J. RANKIN, OSB #091690  
(858) 750-7605  
(858) 412-2705 (Facsimile)

Verified Correct Copy of Original 4/13/2017



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL.

FILED: 5/17/2013

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1021 SW 4<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE, PORTLAND OR 97204

APR 13 AM 11:53  
COURT CLERK  
K. Dougherty  
CIRCUIT COURT  
FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee

Plaintiff

Case No.: 17LT01856

Dorothy E. Lewis

OBJECTION TO SERVICE AND PROPOSED  
JUDGMENT OR ORDER

Defendant

Defendant hereby object to the service of the CERTIFICATE OF READINESS and the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION for the following reasons:

- 1 The service of the Certificate of Readiness is invalid as it states the wrong court. It states Lynn County. There is no case in Lynn County
- 2 The WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGMENT OR RESTITUTION is confusing, and does not correctly reflect Judge Kantor's ruling. Time frame given by Judge Kantor begins running once an order is in effect. Also, defendant will have the right to appeal the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION within 30 days from the date the order is signed by the judge.

Dated: April 13, 2017

Signature *Dorothy E Lewis*

Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Portland OR 97211  
503-929-8084

*Exhibit "F" 5 pages  
Documents received from  
Jal Sozong and my  
response - see writ of Execution...*

17LT01856  
OB  
ObjecUon  
6999227

## NOTICE OF PROPOSED JUDGMENT OR ORDER

**Attention: Party Submitting Proposed Judgment to Court-** You MUST send a copy of the proposed *Judgment or Order* to the other party with this *Notice* at least 7 days before submitting it to the court. Read all of this *Notice* for further information about your responsibilities. This does NOT apply to judgments submitted with a *Motion for Order of Default* or after an *Order of Default* has been granted, or to stipulated *Judgements* (agreed to and signed by all parties).

**Attention: Party Receiving Copy of this Notice and Copy of Proposed Judgment-** This notice is to inform you that you can object to the attached form of proposed *Judgment or Order*.

If you have no objections, you can sign the last page of the proposed *Judgment or Order* and return it to the party who has sent you this *Notice* and proposed *Judgment or Order*.


If you **DO** object to any of the terms of the judgment or order, you may:

1) **Contact the submitting party within 7 days of the date of this notice.** If you contact him/her and you are not able to resolve your objections after reasonable efforts, the submitting party shall include your objections with the proposed judgment or order when he/she submits it to the court for consideration (be sure to send your objections in writing, and keep a copy for your record).

or

2) **Submit your objections directly to the court.** If you intend to submit your objections directly to the court, notify the other party within 7 days of the date of this *Notice*, so that he/she can inform the court of your intentions when he/she submits the proposed *Judgment or Order*. If you do object to the proposed order or judgment, you must contact the other party within 7 days of the date of this notice (even if you file your written objections directly with the court).

3/29/17  
Date

  
Signature  
JOE SOLSON  
Name (printed)

ROBINSON TART, P.S. 901 5<sup>th</sup> AVE, STE 400, SEATTLE, WA 98164  
Address City/State/Zip Phone

206-876-3258

Verified Correct Copy of Original 4/13/2017

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LINN

In the Matter of:

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE  
Petitioner,

Case No: 17 LT 01856

CERTIFICATE OF READINESS  
UTCRC 5.100

and

DOROTHY LOUIS AND ANY OTHER OCCUPANTS  
Respondent.

Certificate of Readiness under UTCRC 5.100

I certify this proposed judgment/order is ready for judicial signature because (check all that apply):

- Service is not required under UTCRC 5.100 (1)(c) because the other party has been found in **default** or an order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment/order; because this judgment/order is submitted **ex parte** as allowed by statute or rule; or this judgment/order is being submitted in **open court** with all parties present.
- Each party affected by this judgment/order has **stipulated** to or **approved** the judgment/order, as shown by the signatures on the judgment/order, or by written confirmation sent to me.
- I have **served** (complete service section below) a copy of this judgment/order and the *Notice of Proposed Judgment/order* to all parties entitled to service. **And:**
  - No objection has been served on me within the 7-day time frame.
  - I received objections that I could not resolve with the other party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed with the court a copy of the objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.
  - After conferring about objections, the other party agreed to file any remaining objection with the court.


Certificate of Service under UTCRC 5.100 (if applicable)

I certify that on (date) 4/3/17 I placed a true and complete copy of this proposed Judgment/order in the United States mail to (name) DOROTHY LOUIS AND ANY OTHER OCCUPANTS at (address) 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE., PORTLAND, OR 97211

Certificate of Document Preparation. You are required to truthfully complete this certificate regarding the document you are filing with the court. Check all boxes and complete all blanks that apply:

- I selected this document for myself and I completed it without paid assistance.
- I paid or will pay money to \_\_\_\_\_ for assistance in preparing this form.

Date: 3/29/17

  
 Petitioner  Respondent, Signature

JOE SOLSONG  
Print Name

Contact Address ROBINSON TAIT, P.S., 901 5<sup>th</sup> AVE, STE 400 SEATTLE WA 98164  
City, State, Zip Code

Contact Telephone 206-876-3258

Verified Correct Copy of Original 4/13/2017.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1021 SW 4<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE, PORTLAND OR 97204  
LANDLORD TENANT DEPARTMENT

State of Oregon

County of Multnomah

WRIT OF EXECUTION OF  
JUDGMENT OF RESTITUTION

Case No.

17 LT 01856

**TO THE SHERIFF:**

This was an eviction action for possession of the following premises:

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211  
Street Address City State Zip County

Plaintiff/Landlord: WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE

Defendant/Tenant: DOROTHY LEWIS AND ANY OTHER OCCUPANTS

Judgment was entered that the plaintiff have restitution of the premises and that the ~~plaintiff may be entitled to court costs and disbursements.~~ AT 5:00 PM ON 4/27/17

In the name of the State of Oregon, you are ordered to enforce and serve this writ on the defendant, in the manner provided in ORS 105.161.

You are ordered to enter the premises and remove the defendant and any other individual present on the premises who is subject to the judgment and return possession of the premises to the plaintiff. You may use all reasonable force that may be necessary to enter the premises and remove individuals who are subject to the judgment.

The plaintiff shall be responsible for removing, storing and disposing of any personal property left by the defendant on the premises following the removal of the defendant and the return of possession of the premises, as provided by ORS 105.165.

TRIAL COURT ADMINISTRATOR

Date:

Joe [Signature]  
Plaintiff Signature/Phone Number

206/876-3258

By: HENRY KANTOR, Court Clerk  
JUDGE

DOROTHY LEWIS  
05-19B (1/16)

Distribution: Original and three copies to Sheriff



CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on April 13, 2017 I served the foregoing OBJECTION TO SERVICE AND PROPOSED JUDGMENT OR ORDER on Robinson Tait, PS at the address provided by plaintiff by way of their service to me, Dorothy E. Lewis.

**Robinson Tait, PS  
901 5<sup>th</sup> Ave, Suite 400  
Seattle, WA 98164**

- by mailing a full, true and correct copy of said documents in a sealed, first-class, postage prepaid envelope, addressed to the attorney(s) / person(s) as shown above on the date first set forth above.
- by causing a full, true and correct copy of documents to be hand-delivered to the attorney(s) / person(s) as shown above on the date first set forth above
- by faxing a full, true and correct copy of said documents to the attorney(s) / person(s) as shown above on the date first set forth above.
- by electronically mailing a full, true and correct copy of said documents to the attorney(s) / person(s) as shown above on the date first set forth above.

By:

  
Name: DOROTHY E LEWIS

Defendant

Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Portland OR 97211  
503-929-8084

\_ Verified Correct Copy of Original 4/13/2017 \_



IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF LINN  
MULTNOMATH

RECEIVED  
CIRCUIT COURT  
MULTNOMATH COUNTY  
17 APR 24 PM 3:48  
FILED

Verified Correct Copy of Original 4/24/2017

In the Matter of:

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE  
Petitioner,

Case No: 17 LT 01856

CERTIFICATE OF READINESS  
UTCR 5.100

and

DOROTHY LEWIS AND ANY OTHER OCCUPANTS  
Respondent.

Certificate of Readiness under UTCR 5.100

I certify this proposed judgment/order is ready for judicial signature because (check all that apply):

Service is not required under UTCR 5.100 (1)(c) because the other party has been found in **default** or an order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment/order; because this judgment/order is submitted **ex parte** as allowed by statute or rule; or this judgment/order is being submitted in **open court** with all parties present.

Each party affected by this judgment/order has **stipulated** to or **approved** the judgment/order, as shown by the signatures on the judgment/order, or by written confirmation sent to me.

I have **served** (complete service section below) a copy of this judgment/order and the *Notice of Proposed Judgment/order* to all parties entitled to service. **And:** MS. LEWIS CLAIMED IN A TELEPHONE CALL THAT I HAD BEEN SERVED WITH HER OBJECTIONS, BUT AS OF 4/14/17, NO OBJECTIONS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED.

No objection has been served on me within the 7-day time frame.

I received objections that I could not resolve with the other party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed with the court a copy of the objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.

After conferring about objections, the other party agreed to file any remaining objection with the court.

Certificate of Service under UTCR 5.100 (if applicable)

I certify that on (date) 4/3/17 : I placed a true and complete copy of this proposed Judgment/order in the United States mail to (name) DOROTHY LEWIS AND ANY OTHER OCCUPANTS at (address) 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE., PORTLAND, OR 97211

Certificate of Document Preparation. You are required to truthfully complete this certificate regarding the document you are filing with the court. Check all boxes and complete all blanks that apply:

I selected this document for myself and I completed it without paid assistance.

I paid or will pay money to \_\_\_\_\_ for assistance in preparing this form.

Date: 3/29/17

Petitioner  Respondent, Signature

JOE SOLSONG  
Print Name

ROBINSON TAIT, P.S., 901 5<sup>th</sup> AVE., STE 400, SEATTLE WA 98164  
Contact Address City, State, Zip Code

Contact Telephone  
206-876-3258



Exhibit "G"

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1021 SW 4<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE, PORTLAND OR 97204  
LANDLORD TENANT DEPARTMENT

RECEIVED  
MULTNOMAH COUNTY  
CIRCUIT COURT  
17 APR 24 PM 3:48  
FILED

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/18/2017  
Filed Correct Copy Original 4/24/2017

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for  
the registered holders of structured  
Asset

Case No: 17LT01856

Dorothy E Lewis

LANDLORD TENANT EVICTION  
JUDGMENT

General  Limited  Supplemental  
ORDER

On 3/28/2017, a hearing was held in an action to recover possession of the premises address listed in the original complaint.

The following parties appeared:  Plaintiff(s)  Defendant(s)  Other:

The court grants judgment as follows:

Judgment entered by:  Default  Stipulation  After trial

- Judgment of dismissal  with  without prejudice.
- Judgment in favor of Plaintiff(s) for possession of premises described above.  
Restitution of premises is awarded to Plaintiff(s)  Effective Immediately OR  
 Effective: 4/27/17
- Judgment in favor of Defendant(s) dismissing Plaintiff's complaint.
- Judgment in favor of Defendant(s) after trial.

Order entered following these conditions:

- Stipulated Order: See terms of attached Agreement.
- Pursuant to ORS 105.146(3) and 105.146(7), the clerk shall enter a judgment dismissing the Plaintiff's action twelve months from entry of this order OR
- Based on stipulation, on or after *3/28/2017* unless the Plaintiff(s) files a Declaration of Noncompliance. The clerk shall dismiss this action without further notice to either party.
- Set for trial.  Other:

And

- Plaintiff(s) shall have Judgment against Defendant(s) for their costs and disbursements.
- Defendant(s) shall have Judgment against Plaintiff(s) for their costs and disbursements.
- No costs shall be awarded to either party.
- Prevailing party is granted leave to submit a *Supplemental Judgment* for costs and fees under ORCP 68C.

*H. Kantz*  
*April 24, 2017*

*Exhibit "H"*  
*This order was never sent to appellant for review*  
*For Readiness for Signature*

17LT01856  
JGM  
Digitized Judgment Document  
000860

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1021 SW Fourth Avenue Portland Oregon 97204

Case No: 17LT01856

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee for the  
registered holders of structured Asset

Plaintiff

**ORDER**


v.

Dorothy E Lewis

Defendant

**The court orders: Plaintiff's objection to the inclusion of the file 130507175 into this case is sustained. The file shall not be allowed to be included into the record of this case.**

Signed: 7/13/2017 3:18:05 PM



Circuit Court Judge Benjamin S. Johnston

Exhibit "I"  
Order Denying inclusion  
of original case.

**WELLS FARGO BANK NA VS. DOROTHY E LEWIS**

Type: Foreclosure

Mark Complete Resubmit Refresh Search:

Party	Y	Current Status	Y	Type	Y	Date	Y
> Edling, Emilie K		SENT		Event: Order - Denial		05/07/2021	
> Edling, Emilie K		RETRIEVED		Event: Order - Writ Assistance		11/10/2020	
> Peterson, Craig Arthur		SENT		Scheduled: Call		09/04/2014	
> Lewis, Dorothy E		PRINTED		Printed Notice: Call		09/04/2014	

\_ Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/1/21



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL.

DATED: 5/7/21

*[Signature]*  
COURT CLERK

*Robin Lee*  
JSS3

*Exhibit J  
Court's log and  
Court's note to Emilie Edling  
3 pages*

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/14/2021.\_

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1200 SW First Avenue Portland Oregon 97204  
971-274-0540 . <http://courts.oregon.gov/multnomah>

November 10, 2020

Emilie K Edling  
Houser & Allison APC  
9600 SW Oak St Ste 570  
Portland OR 97223

Re: WELLS FARGO BANK NA VS. DOROTHY E LEWIS  
Case #: 130507175 Foreclosure

**NOTICE OF SIGNED DOCUMENT**

A case event that includes a signed document has been added to the Register of Actions for this case.

For further information, log into the Oregon eCourt Case Information (OECI) system or go to a public access kiosk at the courthouse.

*Note: Documents may not be attached to events depending on local court business processes.*



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE  
COPY OF THE ORIGINAL.

DATED: 5/7/21



COURT CLERK

Robin Lee  
JSSJ

FILED

MAY 12 2021

Circuit Court  
Multnomah County, Oregon

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the  
Registered Holders Of Structured Asset  
Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-  
Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI,

No. 1305-07175

Plaintiff

**ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS' REQUEST**  
STAY OF ALL ACTIONS AND COMPLETE UNLOCK TO  
OF THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE.

Vs

PORTLAND, OR 97211 - w/o prejudice,  
w/ conditions

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United  
States of America, Internal Revenue Service;  
State of Oregon Department Of Revenue;  
Persons

Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title,  
Lien or Interest in the Property Described In  
The Complaint Herein,

Defendant(s)

Request by A Dorothy Lewis DENIED  
without prejudice on condition that it provide  
reasonable, accompanied, access to property to allow

It is ordered that Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee for the Registered Holders of Structured Assets Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI immediately stay all actions to include the sale and retrieve or deed transfer of property commonly known as 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave. Portland, Oregon 97211. And to immediately, no later than 24hrs from the date of this belongings order, UNLOCK, in full, the property which is legally described as:


LOT 1, BLOCK 15, VERNON, PORTLAND, MULTNOMAH COUNTY, OREGON

And commonly known as 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211.

All locks should be restored and any damage resulting from the lockout repaired, and all property accounted for.

So Ordered -

Signed



HONORABLE

Stephen K. Bushong

5/12/21

Exhibit "K"  
Judge Bushong's  
May 12, 2021 order

Presented by:

PRO SE ATTORNEY

Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave.

- Portland, OR 97211

503-929-8084

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/12/2021

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE STATE OF OREGON**  
**IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH**

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., AS TRUSTEE  
FOR THE REGISTERED HOLDERS OF  
STRUCTURED ASSET SECURITIES  
CORPORATION MORTGAGE PASS-  
THROUGH CERTIFICATES, SERIES 2007-  
OSI,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOROTHY E. LEWIS AKA DOROTHY  
LEWIS; UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE; STATE  
OF OREGON, DEPARTMENT OF  
REVENUE; AND PERSONS OR PARTIES  
UNKNOWN CLAIMING ANY RIGHT,  
TITLE, LIEN, OR INTEREST IN THE  
PROPERTY DESCRIBED IN THE  
COMPLAINT HEREIN,

Defendants.

Case No. 1305-07175

**DECLARATION OF TYLER  
ELWOOD**

I, Tyler Elwood, make the following declaration based on personal knowledge and am  
competent to testify to the matters herein:

1. I am more than 18 years of age, am competent to make this declaration, and  
have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration. I am a contractor retained  
by Prestige Restoration, Inc. to handle various services relating to real property, including  
assistance with the lock out of individuals who have been evicted or ordered to vacate  
property.

*Exhibit "L" 10 pages  
Declaration of Tyler  
ELWOOD*

1           2.       On May 14, 2021, I traveled to property located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue,  
2 Portland, Oregon (the “Property”) pursuant to instructions received from Prestige  
3 Restoration, Inc. and counsel for Plaintiff Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the  
4 Registered Holders of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through  
5 Certificates, Series 2007-OSI, requesting I meet with Defendant Dorothy Lewis at 11:00 a.m.  
6 on Friday May 14, 2021 to provide her access to the Property to remove her personal  
7 possessions. I arrived at the Property prior at approximately 10:33 a.m. and waited for Ms.  
8 Lewis for her to arrive until approximately 12:01 p.m. During this entire time, I was at the  
9 Property, observing and waiting for someone to appear for the arranged-for access. At no  
10 time did any person approach the Property. I personally have seen Ms. Lewis before as I was  
11 present when she was removed from the Property pursuant to this Court’s Writ of Assistance,  
12 and at no time did I see Ms. Lewis at the Property.

13           3.       Attached as Exhibit 1 to this declaration are true and correct copies of  
14 photographs that I personally took while waiting at the Property on May 14, 2021. Exhibit 1  
15 includes a photograph I took of the front of the Property as well as the face of my mobile  
16 phone, which depicts the date of Friday May 14 and time of 10:33. Exhibit 1 also includes a  
17 photograph I took of the front of the Property as well as the face of my mobile phone, which  
18 depicts the date of Friday May 14 and time of 12:01. Exhibit 1 also contains a photograph of  
19 the cross streets near the Property, the address marked on the Property, and the front of the  
20 Property and nearby area.

21           4.       On May 17, 2021, I returned to the Property in order to post a “Notice of  
22 Abandoned Property” advising Ms. Lewis of her rights regarding the personal property left  
23 inside the Property. On that date I observed that someone had broken into the residence and  
24 had changed the locks on the Property. I spoke with a man inside the Property who advised  
25 that Ms. Lewis would not be back to the Property until 4:00 p.m. that day. I proceeded to  
26 post the Notice of Abandoned Property, a true and correct copy of which is attached as




1 Exhibit 2, except that the attached Exhibit 2 differs because I wrote in by hand on the Notice  
2 that it was posted at the Property on May 17, 2021 at 1:30 p.m.

3 **I hereby declare that the above statement is true to the best of my knowledge**  
4 **and belief and that I understand it is made for use as evidence in court, and is subject to**  
5 **penalty for perjury.**

6  
7  
8 Dated: May 17, 2021

By: Tyler Elwood  
Tyler Elwood







12:00  
Fri, May 14




TFW

AT&T

APR 14 2014





## NOTICE OF ABANDONED PROPERTY

FORMER RESIDENT: DOROTHY LEWIS AND ALL OTHER OCCUPANTS OF THE PROPERTY

DATE: MAY 14, 2021

ADDRESS: 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVENUE

CITY: PORTLAND STATE: OR ZIP: 97211

Method of Service: Posted at 5272 NE 20th Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97211 on \_\_\_\_\_ at \_\_\_\_\_ a.m./p.m.

Also mailed to Dorothy Lewis via first class mail at her last known address, at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97211, on May 14, 2021.

This notice does not include any abandoned automobiles which will be disposed of pursuant to ORS 98.830 and ORS 98.835.

Please be advised that Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as trustee for the registered holders of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI, the owner of the Property (hereinafter, "Owner"), through its loan servicer, PHH Mortgage Corporation, is storing your personal property at the following address and considers this property abandoned. The property is stored at a place of safekeeping and described as: All property located inside the residence located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97211.

Address where property is stored:  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Portland, Oregon 97211

You must contact PHH Mortgage Corporation, via counsel Emilie Edling, by midnight end of day on May 26, 2021 to arrange for removal of the abandoned personal property. You may contact PHH Mortgage Corporation via its counsel at the following address or telephone number to arrange for removal of the personal property within the above-specified time:

Emilie K. Edling  
HOUSER LLP  
9600 SW Oak Street, Ste 570  
Portland, OR 97223  
P: (503) 914-1382 F: (949) 679-1112  
eedling@houser-law.com

If you contact the above person on or before the date and time specified above, arrangements will be made to make your property available by appointment at a reasonable time.

If you fail to contact the above person on or before the date and time specified above or you fail to remove your personal property within fifteen days of the date you contact PHH Mortgage Corporation c/o Emilie Edling, and no later than Friday, June 11, 2021, PHH Mortgage Corporation will dispose of the property left by you on the premises as provided in ORS 90.425 or ORS 90.675.

If the Owner has received possession of the premises from the Sheriff following restitution of the premises pursuant to ORS 105.161, the Owner may not charge removal or storage expenses prior to releasing the personal property.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on May 18, 2021, I served the foregoing **DECLARATION OF TYLER ELWOOD** on the aforementioned parties below via the identified methods:

Dorothy Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97211

- USPS Priority Mail
- UPS Overnight
- UPS 2 Day Shipping
- E-mail
- Courier

Date: May 18, 2021

**HOUSER LLP**

BY: s/ Emilie K. Edling  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
9600 S.W. Oak Street, Suite #570  
Portland, OR 97223  
Telephone: 503-914-1382  
Facsimile: 949-679-1112  
Trial attorneys: Emilie K. Edling  
e-mail: eedling@houser-law.com  
*Of Attorneys for Plaintiff*



CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY



Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI,

No. 1305-07175

Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described In The Complaint Herein,

Defendant(s)

OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT

21 JUN 21 AM 10:06  
MULTNOMAH DIST. COURT

Objection to motion

Respondent, a Bond Servant of The LORD God of Host, presents to the court to object to the Motion for Writ of Assistance and comes in the authority Jehovah, the God of gods, the Eternal God.

Facts

A DEFAULT Judgement was entered on September 9, 2013. Neither Plaintiff nor the Court notified Respondent of this DEFAULT Judgement. Respondent became aware of it when she came home and found a Sherriff Sale notice posted on the property in August of 2014. (Respondent had no knowledge of a Writ of Execution (as mentioned by Miss Edling in her Motion for Writ of Assistant.) Respondent has been fighting this DEFAULT Judgement since.

In Miss Edling's declaration In Support of Motion For Writ of Assistant Miss Edling neglected to include the fact that Respondent presented herself to the court and notified all parties including the bank that she was occupying the property and was actively fighting the unlawful attempted eviction.

Exhibit "M" 20 pages  
Objection to Plaintiff's  
motion For Writ of Assistant  
6/16/21

Respondent never broke into the property in 2017 nor did she brake into the property on May 14, 2021. I stand innocent of this charge before my maker, Almighty God, the God of TRUTH. A child of God NEVER breaks in. Our Heavenly Father knows the truth.

---

The presiding Judge, Judge Bushong, assigned this hearing to Judge Russell, allowed by our Heavenly Father. Our Heavenly Father is very wise and has HIS own purpose. HIS will is my will.

**"... for the LORD searcheth all hearts, and understandeth all the imaginations of the thoughts: if thou seek him, he will be found of thee; but if thou forsake him, he will cast thee off for ever." 1 Chronicles 28:9**

As Judge Bushong, the Presiding Judge, assigned Judge Russell as the Motion Judge, so Judge Kantor was assigned the Motion Judge to hear motions under Case No. 14CV11455. Plaintiff did not like Judge Kantor and had him replaced without Respondent's Knowledge, giving her no say in having a the case heard by a Judge who had strong negative feelings towards Respondent because Respondent is a Servant of Almighty God, and HIS Son Jesus Christ. This is Truth and fact and is unchangeable. This Judge Change denied Respondent of many of her rights and denied her a fair trial then and has affected all events since. Given Miss Edling's actions, I know she understands the importance of a Judge she believes is not bias towards her.

---

As I read Plaintiff's Motion for Writ of Assistance and Declaration In Support of Motion for Writ of Assistance, it is as if so different from my experience. They are whitewashed and in Plaintiff's favor. I remember the things that have been done to me personally; which I have noticed that the court and or Miss Edling give no value to. These behaviors/ actions have affected Respondent. The theft of a fair trial outcome; when a Judge change is made to a Judge you know is bias towards you without being given the opportunity to object, stays with you forever. It changes your life. There appear to be no consequence for anyone but Respondent.

Miss Edling paints Respondent as a person who breaks in and denies the property owner of their property. However, there is no mention of their actions towards Respondent. Which are many.

Plaintiff has tried to gain control of the electricity to the property by closing out Respondent's account and putting the account in their name. This was an effort to gain control and turn off Respondent's electricity. They have done this on more than one occasion. They last did this on November 6, 2020.

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

On January 8, 2021 Plaintiff sent a man and a woman in an unmarked vehicle to the property to remove Respondent from the property and change the locks. The police was called. While we waited for the police to arrive respondent called Miss Edling and informed her of what was happening. Miss Edling appeared complicit. The man and woman refused to identify themselves, other than to say they were sent by the bank, and presented a Sherriff's Deed. The Police arrived—Officer Chapin. He informed the unidentified man and woman that they could not do what they were attempting to do.

On May 6, 2021 I informed Judge Bushong of the event on January 8, 2021, and Miss Edling denied having any knowledge of it. I provided Judge Bushong with a copy of my phone log which documented a 6 minute call with Miss Edling on January 8, 2021, in the time frame of the event.

**RESPONDENT'S OCCUPATION OF THE PROPERTY**

Respondent informed Plaintiff of her intention to go to Ex Parte. On May 12, 2021 we appeared before Judge Bushong (I in person, and Miss Edling via phone). Judge Bushong asked Miss Edling to make arrangement for Respondent to get access to Respondent's possessions, which were locked in the property (There was no posting of how to get access possession.) I had requested access of Miss Edling without success.

Judge Bushong asked Miss Edling to make the arrangement while we were in Ex Parte. Miss Edling informed Judge Bushong that she would need to exit Ex Parte to make the necessary arrangements. Judge Bushong gave the OK for a 5 minutes pause. The court waited beyond the 5 minutes. Judge Bushong assistant tried reaching Miss Edling several times, without any success. Finally Miss Edling came back on line, and reported that she was not able to get a hold of any one. Judge Bushong then said he was going to sign the order respondent had prepared for him to sign. (It was an order to unlock the property.) Judge Bushong said, "Miss Lewis, I am going to sign the your order today. I am going to sign it with conditions." (If Judge Bushong did not intend the house to be unlocked, if the condition was not met, he need not have signed the order Respondent had prepared and presented to him. He could have written on a paper that was not the order I had prepared. )

Plaintiff did not meet the condition to let Respondent have access to her possession by 5 pm on Friday, May 14, 2021. As Plaintiff did not meet the condition, Respondent entered the property after the expired time. Respondent notified Plaintiff of this action on the next business day.

## RESPONDENT WAS AT THE PROPERTY FOR THE 11:00AM APPOINTMENT.

(Response to the Declaration of Tyler Elwood)

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

Given the bad experience I have had with the Trust, and their representatives, including Miss Edling. My guard was up when Miss Edling called to inform Respondent of the 11:00 am appointment, because Miss Edling always says she is not able to reach Respondent. I told my family about the appointment and informed them that I would be at the house on Thursday as well to ensure that there would be no report of confusion on the day of the appointment (me showing up on the wrong day). So on Thursday, May 11, 2021 I went to the property also—to ensure I had the correct day (I walked around and looked at the plants as I watched to see if anyone would come to the house. At 11:00am). I noticed a blueish purple truck pull up in front of the house around 10:50am. The man in the truck sat inside the truck and then pulled out his phone. I took my phone out to take a picture to document to as I did not know if this was the person I was to meet or not. He just sat in the truck. He then drove away. I did not get to take a picture so I did a memo to myself on my phone (a copy of the memo is provided for the court to view. (Given the property is close to Alberta street which is a busy street, it is not unusual for cars to park in front of the houses for their own business.—waiting for friends, taking a break, etc. See Attached copies of Respondent's text note. **(Exhibit 1 and 2)**)

On May 14, 2021, I arrived at the house real early, again not wanting to have any problem. I sat in the back. As it got close to the time of the appointment, I began to check for anyone approaching the house. At around 10:20 a white van pulled up at the property. A white man was in the truck. He did not get out of the truck. Again wanting to document to head off any trouble, I took a picture of the truck. So I went to the side of the house and looked at the plants. From where I was I could see if there was any movement in the truck. The truck remained parked, no one got out. At around 11:30 still no one came to the house. I had no knowledge of the business of the person in the truck. As I said it is not unusual to have persons parking their vehicle in the area.

Respondent waited for 30 minutes past the appointment time (no one approached the house), and needing to use the bathroom (having been at the property for several hours having arrived very early) Respondent left the property. When respondent returned the truck was gone. (Provided is a copy of the white truck with a man inside it. Provided is also my photo date stamp. ) As stated many people park their vehicle in front of or by the house for their own business.

In looking the pictures provided by Mr. Elwood. The picture, Exhibit 1, documents that the picture was taken while he was in his vehicle. This picture document a time of 10:33 which fits the date stamp of the photo I took of the truck—having a time stamp of 10:24 and 10:25 respectively.

**(It is so interesting how our Heavenly Father leads and guides us, through the Holy Spirit. )**

**Romans 8: 26** Likewise the Spirit also helpeth our infirmities: for we know not what we should pray for as we ought: but the Spirit itself maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered. **27** And he that searcheth the hearts knoweth what *is* the mind of the Spirit, because he maketh intercession for the saints according to *the will of God*. **28** And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to *his* purpose. **29** For whom he did foreknow, he also did predestinate *to be* conformed to the image of his Son, that he might be the firstborn among many brethren.

**IN VIEWING THE DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANCE, AND SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANCE:**

- a.** Respondent notes that Plaintiff provides a copy of the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION dated June 5, 2017. This writ is currently part of the Appeal currently before the Court of Appeal under 17LT01856. Respondent obtained this Writ by deceiving the Court (They lied) Judge Kantor refused to sign this writ. Plaintiff shopped for someone to sign it.
- b.** Respondent notes that on the Sheriff's Deed Exhibit 4, that the property was sold for \$878,085.66 (over twice as much as was owed on the property). The Principal Amount is listed as: \$458,885. 2 on Exhibit 1 page 4. (This information was presented to the court without Respondent's knowledge— a year plus before Respondent had knowledge of the Default order. ) Respondent believes Plaintiff owed Respondent money from the sale. Respondent do not agreed with the numbers Plaintiff reported to the court. The Sheriff's Deed Exhibit 4 is new information.
- c.** This hearing is prohibited by the Bankruptcy stay which was in effect before the scheduling of this Motion Hearing. Additionally the bankruptcy stay remains in effect. See Bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER filed on June 15, 2021. **(See copy attached exhibit # 5)**

**POINTS AND AUTHORITY**

**a**

Plaintiff states that Plaintiff is entitled to possession of the property as purchaser at the sale. Plaintiff states the Respondent's has no right to the property given the time that has passed since the Sherriff's Sale. Respondent do not agree. Respondent believes she still

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

has rights to the property. Respondent has been fighting against the DEFAULT Judgement since Respondent became aware of it. Respondent has monetary interest in the property. Miss Edling herself, concedes to this when stated to Respondent that the reason for her change to move the Motion Hearing from May 21, 2021, was because I had some interest.

Plaintiff references the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION dated June 5, 2017 as support for their Motion for Writ Of Assistance. This is because the Writ of Assistance cannot be used to circumvent the Evection process. The WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION dated June 5, 2017 is on appeal, thus the matter is not settled, unless Plaintiff is trying to circumvent the Eviction process. If the Evection process was not necessary then Plaintiff would not be required to show this cause. And if the eviction process is necessary, then the matter is not settled as the WRIT OF EXECUTION OF JUDGEMENT OF RESTITUTION dated June 5, 2017 is still Appeal along with all the empowered it.

If property owners are attempting to circumvent the eviction process, the long term effect will be troubling for them.

**B**

Further, Respondent believes Plaintiff owes Respondent moneys from the sale of the property if it is held that the sale is legal. The Sherriff Deed reflected an \$800, which is 100% more than what was owed on the property. It is Respondent's belief that Plaintiff numbers used of monies owed over and above the Principal amount is inflated. From my experience in working with them, I am sure of it. Sadly, they do nothing in good faith. They only do what is right when they are made to do it.

**C**

Respondent believes and the cases support that the that the scheduling of the Motion Hearing at all, is in violation of the Bankruptcy Stay. The Stay remains in effect to date. See bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER filed on June 15, 2021. Judicial proceedings in Violation of the stay are void. ("Judicial proceedings in violation of [the] automatic stay are void."); *In re Stringer*, 847 F.2d 549, 551 (9th Cir.1988) ("Any proceedings in violation of the automatic stay in bankruptcy are void."). *SCHWARTZ V. UNITED STATES of America* (1992)

The court has held that " Either the debtor must affirmatively challenge creditor[/] violations of the stay, or the violations are void without the need for direct challenge. If violations of the stay are merely voidable, debtors **must spend a considerable amount of time and money policing and litigating** creditor actions. If violations are void, however,

debtors are afforded better protection and can focus their attention on reorganization. *SCHWARTZ V. UNITED STATES of America (1992)*

It was because Respondent was using all of her time policing and litigating Plaintiff's and the COURT's compliance with the Automatic Stay that Respondent missed the dead line to take required action on her Bankruptcy case, resulting in the dismissal. Plaintiff has fought against complying with the Bankruptcy stay since May 12, 2021 to date.

Respondent has since Petition the Bankruptcy Court to reopen the case. They have done so. See Bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER filed on June 15, 2021 See Exhibit #5

The Bankruptcy and related Courts believes it is a serious offence not comply with the Bankruptcy stay. For "The Bankruptcy Code does not burden the debtor with a duty to take additional steps to secure the benefit of the automatic stay *SCHWARTZ V. UNITED STATES*

Page 6- OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT 6/16/21

*of America (1992)*. The Bankruptcy filing is invaluable as it causes one, simply to be[a] relieved of the financial pressures that drove him into bankruptcy. *SCHWARTZ V. UNITED STATES of America (1992)*

Like the court in *Garcia*, we will not reward those who violate the automatic stay. Our conclusion is supported by the great weight of authority. The majority of courts have long stated that violations of the automatic stay are void and of no effect. *See, e.g., Kalb v. Feuerstein*, 308 U.S. 433, 438, 60 S.Ct. 343, 345-46, 84 L.Ed. 370 (1940); *Ellis v. Consolidated Diesel Elec. Corp.*, 894 F.2d 371, 372-73 (10th Cir.1990); (Bankr.D.Md.1981), *aff'd*, 22 B.R. ). *SCHWARTZ V. UNITED STATES of America (1992)*.

Appellant did file bankruptcy in October of 2021. However, it was not in our Heavenly Father's will that Respondent do so. Thus, in obedience, Respondent allowed it to be dismissed. The current Bankruptcy filing is our Heavenly Father's will.

[T]he fundamental importance of the automatic stay to the purposes sought to be accomplished by the Bankruptcy Code requires that acts in violation of the automatic stay be void, rather than voidable. Concluding that acts in violation of the automatic stay were merely voidable would have the effect of encouraging disrespect for the stay by increasing the possibility that violators of the automatic stay may profit from their disregard for the law, provided it goes undiscovered for a sufficient period of time. **This may be an**

Page 7- OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT 6/16/21

acceptable risk to some creditors when measured against a delayed prorata distribution.<sup>a</sup> we will not reward those who violate the automatic stay *SCHWARTZ V. UNITED STATES of America (1992)*. For these reasons we have held that the automatic stay requires an immediate freeze of the status quo by precluding and nullifying post-petition actions. *Id. ESKANOS & ADLER v. LEETIEN (2002)*

PLAINTIFF SITES *In re Reswick, (2011)* and *In re Parker* , No. 17-90869, 2020 as support for their request for Plaintiff's SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANCE. These cases do not apply. By the power of Jehovah, my God, the bankruptcy Order of Dismissal has been vacated and set aside. The stay remains in effect. As provided in 11USC 362(a). Bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER filed on June 15, 2021 See Exhibit #5

The Bankruptcy stay remains in effect and applies to situations in effect before the filing. The lock out occurred before the filing. The Writ of Assistance was in place before the filing. The automatic stay is effective upon the filing of the bankruptcy petition. *In re Pettit, 217 F.3d 1072, 1077 (9th Cir. 2000)*. It is self-executing and enjoins "any act to collect, assess or recover a claim against the debtor that arose before the commencement of the case." *Id.*

(quoting 11 U.S.C. § 362(a)(6)). The automatic stay dissolves on the discharge date. *In re Mayton, 208 B.R. 61, 66 (9th Cir. BAP 1997)*. *WALLS v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A (2000)*. A discharge of bankruptcy "operates as an injunction against the commencement or continuation of an action, the employment of process, or an act, to collect, recover or offset any such debt as a *personal liability* of the debtor, whether or not discharge of such debt is waived." 11 U.S.C. § 524(a)(2) (emphasis added). *WALLS v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N. A (2000)*.

**Oregon's UTCR 7.050 requires compliance with it and Federal Bankruptcy laws as well.**

*Again, Respondent believes the Motion hearing scheduled for June 17, 2021 was scheduled in violation of the Stay, and the hearing itself is in violation which the bankruptcy stay. The stay is in effect. See Bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER filed on June 15, 2021 See Exhibit #5*

Respondent thanks the court for allowing her the opportunity to provide a response to be considered. Above all, I thank our Heavenly for HIS assistance. Without HIM I would not endure, I could do nothing for HIS glory.



**Romans 8:33** Who shall lay any thing to the charge of God's elect? *It is* God that justifieth.

**34** Who *is* he that condemneth? *It is* Christ that died, yea rather, that is risen again, who is even at the right hand of God, who also maketh intercession for us.

**35** Who shall separate us from the love of Christ? *shall* tribulation, or distress, or persecution, or famine, or nakedness, or peril, or sword?

**36** As it is written, For thy sake we are killed all the day long; we are accounted as sheep for the slaughter.

**37** Nay, in all these things we are more than conquerors through him that loved us.

**38** For I am persuaded, that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor powers, nor things present, nor things to come, **39** Nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature, shall be able to separate us from the love of God, which is in Christ Jesus our Lord.

For the many reasons given, Respondent request the you deny the Motion For Writ of Assistance and order compliance with the bankruptcy stay and comply with **UTCR 7.050**.

As always, Respondent pray that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things on earth as it is in heaven, in the name of HIS Son and my Lord, Jesus Christ. HIS thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM, for **HIS purpose is supreme**.

**DATE:** June 16, 2021

---

Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021.\_

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, June 16, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser & Allison, APC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

**United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested**

**Hand delivery**

**Other (specify):\_Email \_eedling2houser-law.com\_\_\_\_\_**

**DATE:** June 16, 2021

---

**Signature of Defendant**  
**Dorothy E Lewis**  
**5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211**  
**Phone No. 503-929-8084**

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

I certify that on, June 16, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Honorable Judge Shelley Russell  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

**United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested**

**Hand delivery**

**Other (specify):\_Email Vicky.m.chalfant@ojd.state.or.us.\_**

**DATE:** June 16, 2021

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

I certify that on, June 16, I served a true copy of the, **OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANT** , to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_

**DATE:** June 16, 2021

\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Defendant

Dorothy E Lewis

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211

Phone No. 503-929-8084

## **Exhibits**

**Exhibits 1 and 2:** May 13, 2021 Text note to self to document vehicle parked in front of house

**Exhibits 3 and 4:** May 14, 2021 picture of truck parked near house

**Exhibit 5:** June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER

## **Exhibits**

**Exhibits 1 and 2:** May 13, 2021 Text note to self to document vehicle parked in front of house

**Exhibits 3 and 4:** May 14, 2021 picture of truck parked near house

**Exhibit 5:** June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy ORDER SETTING ASIDE DISMISSAL, AND REOPENING CASE IF CLOSED AND FIXING TIME TO FILE MOTION TO RECONSIDER

< Me  
+15039298084



Sent as SMS via server  
10:57 AM

SO.

*Note to self*  
*EX 1*



Note to self. I saw a Purplish blue van/truck with the bed closed. The van pulled up and to the property front of the property about 6 Min ago. The man never got out of the van. He appeared to be doing something on his phone. Then he drove off after 3 minutes





Me

10:57 AM, May 13

Note to self.

2

EX - 2


Note to self. I saw a Purplish blue van/truck with the bed closed. The van pulled up and to the property front of the property about 6 Min ago. The man never got out of the van. He appeared to be doing something on his phone. Then he drove off after 3 minutes or so.

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021.\_





Vertical Copy of Original 2-2-81



\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021\_

< Details

Edit

EX 4

May 14, 2021 10:25 AM

20210514\_102507.jpg

To see what this picture was taken with on  
the station or phone [Gallery settings](#)

EXIF data

Cars

Scenery

Street

Vehicles

Samsung SM-A205U

FILED

June 15, 2021

Clerk, U.S. Bankruptcy Court

EX 5

Below is an order of the court.

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/21/2021

*David W. Hercher*

DAVID W. HERCHER  
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

OSADWN (11/B/16) jbk

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
District of Oregon**

In re  
**Dorothy Elizabeth Lewis**, xxx-xx-2769  
Debtor(s)

Case No. **21-31071-dwh7**

ORDER SETTING ASIDE  
DISMISSAL, REOPENING  
CASE IF CLOSED, AND  
FIXING TIME TO FILE  
MOTION TO RECONSIDER

It appearing a motion was filed to set aside the order of dismissal previously entered in this case, and after due consideration and for good cause shown,

**IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The court's order of dismissal is vacated and set aside, the case will be reopened (if closed), the case will continue as a Chapter 7 case, and all reopening/filing fees have been paid.
2. Certain acts and proceedings against each debtor and debtor's property are again stayed as provided in 11 USC §362(a).
3. If applicable, all documents listed on the Order and Notice Regarding Filing of Document(s) and Notice of Proposed Dismissal that have not been filed must be filed within 14 days of entry of this Order. Failure to file the documents within 14 days will result in dismissal of the case.
4. The court may reconsider the entry of this order if an interested party files both (a) a motion for reconsideration, setting forth the specific grounds for such motion, within 21 days of the "Filed" date above, with the Clerk of Court, 1050 SW 6th Ave. #700, Portland, OR 97204, and (b) attaches a certification that copies of the motion were contemporaneously served on the debtor(s), Trustee, U.S. Trustee, and their respective attorneys.

###

Dorothy Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Portland OR 97211

U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
FCM LG ENVY  
PORTLAND, OR  
97211  
JUN 16 21  
AMOUNT  
**\$1.80**  
R2305K137813-92



97204



1029

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204



CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/24/2021

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI, Plaintiff

/s

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described In The Complaint Herein,

Defendant(s)

FILED

21 MAY 24 PM 12: 00

No. 1305-07175

4TH JUDICIAL DIST

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
ORDER TO STAY ALL ACTIONS HAVING  
TO DO WITH THE PROPERTY  
COMMONLY KNOWN AS 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup>  
AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97211**


Respondent, a Bond Servant of our Heavenly Father, is formally and directly providing Plaintiff with a copy of the Bankruptcy Order entered on May 10, 2021.

**Plaintiff, and their representatives to specifically include Emilie Edling (Attorney) are ordered to immediately stay all actions having to do with the property commonly known as 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211 AS ORDERED. Plaintiff is to STAY the planned action scheduled before Judge Russell on Friday May 21, 2021.**

By copy of this document and copy of the Bankruptcy order, NewRez LLC , and PHH Mortgage Services are also to **stay all actions** regarding the property located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE Portland, OR 97211 as **ORDERED**.

**TO ALL PARTIES: BE NOTIFIED THAT RESPONDENT HAS NOT ABANDONED HER PROPERTY. Respondent is actively challenging the actions of all parties through the court.**

As always, I pray that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things, in the name of HIS Son and my Lord, Jesus Christ. HIS thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM, for HIS purpose is supreme.



Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

DATE: May 19, 2021

Exhibit "N" (Group) 194  
May 19, 2021 Order  
TO stay 5 pages.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/24/2021\_

I certify that on, May 19, 2021, I served a true copy of the **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT ORDER TO STAY ALL ACTIONS HAVING TO DO WITH THE PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97211**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_

**DATE:** May 19, 2021



Signature of Defendant

Dorothy E Lewis

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211

Phone No. 503-929-8084

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, May 19, 2021, I served a true copy of the **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT ORDER TO STAY ALL ACTIONS HAVING TO DO WITH THE PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97211**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser & Allison, APC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**


United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

AND

Other (specify): Email \_eedling2houser-law.com\_\_\_\_\_

**DATE:** May 19, 2021



Signature of Defendant

Dorothy E Lewis

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211

Phone No. 503-929-8084

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, May 19, 2021, I served a true copy of the **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT ORDER TO STAY ALL ACTIONS HAVING TO DO WITH THE PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97211**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

PHH Mortgage Services  
1 Mortgage Way  
Mt. Laurel NJ 08054

by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**


United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

AWD

**Other (specify): dldcustomerservice2@mortgage Family.com**

**DATE:** May 19, 2021



Signature of Defendant

Dorothy E Lewis

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211

Phone No. 503-929-8084



### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, May 19, 2021, I served a true copy of the **UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT ORDER TO STAY ALL ACTIONS HAVING TO DO WITH THE PROPERTY COMMONLY KNOWN AS 5272 NE 20<sup>TH</sup> AVE. PORTLAND, OR 97211**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

NewREZ LLC  
1100 Virginia Dr. Suite 125,  
Fort Washington, PA 19034


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_



**DATE:** May 19, 2021

Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/25/2021

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the  
Registered Holders Of Structured Asset  
Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-  
Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI,

FILED  
21 MAY 24 PM 2: 57 No. 1305-07175  
4TH JUDICIAL DIST

Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United  
States of America, Internal Revenue Service;  
State of Oregon Department Of Revenue;  
Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any  
Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property  
Described In The Complaint Herein,

**PLAINTIFF NEEDS TO COMPLY WITH  
UTCR 5.100 AND SERVE RESPONDENT  
WITH MOTION SO RESPONDENT CAN  
RESPOND BEFORE HEARING**

Defendant(s)

Respondent, a Bond Servant of our Heavenly Father, is formally requesting that Plaintiff STAY all actions relating to the property located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland, Oregon 97211 as ORDERED by the Bankruptcy Order entered on May 10, 2021, to include the planned action scheduled before Judge Russell on Friday, May 21, 2021.

If Plaintiff knowingly and willingly intends to defy the May 10, 2021 Bankruptcy order to STAY all actions, and break Federal Law by not staying the planned action before Judge Russell. Then, Plaintiff must comply with UTCR 5.100 as required by Oregon law.


Under duress, (given the changing events on the morning of May 18, 2021) Respondent agreed to a hearing date and time of Friday May 21, 2021 at 3:00pm. Now that Respondent had had a time to clear her head, she is not willing to wave her rights under UTCR 5.100. Plaintiff needs to:

1. Serve the Motion on Respondent and allow Respondent to respond within the set time provided by law.
2. Cancel the hearing scheduled with Judge Russell for Friday, May 21, 2021 and reschedule for a mutual time that allows for compliance with UTCR 5.100.

Exhibit N (group) 2 of 4  
May 19, 2021  
Comply with UTCR 5.100  
4 pages

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/25/2021.\_

As always, I pray that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things, in the name of HIS Son and my Lord, Jesus Christ. HIS thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM, for **HIS purpose is supreme.**



**DATE:** May 19, 2021

Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/25/2021

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, May 19, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **PLAINTIFF NEEDS TO COMPLY WITH UTCR 5.100**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_



**DATE:** May 19, 2021

Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/25/2021

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on, May 19, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **PLAINTIFF NEEDS TO COMPLY WITH UTCR 5.100**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser & Allison, APC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

AND

Other (specify): Email\_ eedling2houser-law.com



**DATE:** May 19, 2021

Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/26/2021

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI, Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described In The Complaint Herein,

Defendant(s)

No. 1305-07175

2021 MAY 25 AM 10:55  
CIRCUIT COURT OF MULTNOMAH COUNTY

**RESPONDENT OBJECTION TO WRIT OF ASSISTANCE. IF PLAINTIFF IS DEFYING THE STAY THEN, PLAINTIFF MUST COMPLY WITH UTCR 5.100. AND THERE APPEARS TO BE CORDINATION BETWEEN THE JUDGE AND MISS EDLING**

Respondent, a Bond Servant of our Heavenly Father, is formally informing Plaintiff that they are not complying with the STAY all actions relating to the property located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland, Oregon 97211 as ORDERED by the Bankruptcy Order entered on May 10, 2021, and that Respondent has agreed to June 17, 2021 hearing before Judge Russell under duress. **There appears to be coordination going on between the law office of Houser and Judge Russell's office to get to further and get to Plaintiff's goal of a signed Writ of Assistance.** It is Respondent's belief that these planning actions are prohibited during the STAY, and importantly, prohibited by Oregon and Federal Law.

Respondent is in receipt of the documents sent to Respondent on May 18, 2021 (during the ordered STAY). Respondent objects to and disputes all the documents. And Respondent goes on the record to state that she did keep the 11 am appointment on Friday, May 14, 2021. The documents Respondent is in receipt of were sent to the court and to Respondent during the STAY and are prohibited by Federal Law.


**If Plaintiff knowingly and willingly intends to defy the May 10, 2021 Bankruptcy order to STAY all actions, and break Federal Law by not staying the planned action before Judge Russell. Then, Plaintiff must comply with UTCR 5.100 as required by Oregon law.**

5 pages  
Exhibit N<sup>o</sup> (Group) 394  
May 21 2021  
St Plaintiff is Defying The Stay

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/26/2021\_

As always, I pray that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things, in the name of HIS Son, and my Lord, Jesus Christ. Jehovah's thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM for **HIS purpose is supreme.**

**DATE:** May 21, 2021



Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/26/2021

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**


I certify that on, May 21, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **RESPONDENT OBJECTION TO WRIT OF ASSISTANCE. IF PLAINTIFF IS DEFYING THE STAY THEN, PLAINTIFF MUST COMPLY WITH UTCR 5.100. AND THERE APPEARS TO BE CORDINATION BETWEEN THE JUDGE AND MISS EDLING**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:

- United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**
- United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested
- Hand delivery
- Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

**DATE: May 21, 2021**



**Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084**



Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/26/2021

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE


I certify that on, May 21, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **RESPONDENT OBJECTION TO WRIT OF ASSISTANCE. IF PLAINTIFF IS DEFYING THE STAY THEN, PLAINTIFF MUST COMPLY WITH UTCR 5.100. AND THERE APPEARS TO BE CORDINATION BETWEEN THE JUDGE AND MISS EDLING**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser & Allison, APC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:

- United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**
- United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested
- Hand delivery
- Other (specify): Email\_ eedling2houser-law.com

**DATE:** May 21, 2021



Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Dorothy Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave.  
Portland OR 97211

Verified Correct Copy of Original 5/26/2021


PORTLAND OR 972  
21 MAY 2021 PM 4



FOREVER / USA

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

97204-326699



CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/15/2021

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSL

FILED 21 JUN 14 PM 3: 37

21 JUN 14 PM 3: 37

JUDICIAL DIST

No. 1305-07175

Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described in The Complaint Herein,

**RESPONDENT ADDITIONAL REQUEST THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF COMPLY UTCR 7.050 FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY LAW AND REMOVE THE MOTION HEARING SET FOR JUNE 17 2021 AND OBJECTION TO MAY 21, 2021 ORDER.**

Defendant(s)

Respondent a Bond Servant of our Heavenly Father, was led to **UTCRC 7.050** by God, through the Holy Spirit.

Respondent do not believe that the court and Plaintiff have complied with **the Bankruptcy STAY and or UTCRC 7.050**. Both the court and Plaintiff have had notice of the Respondent Bankruptcy filing and the STAY. However, they continue to take actions that have been stayed. **A hearing date cannot be set while the Bankruptcy STAY is in effect.**

Under duress on May 18, 2021 Respondent was made to agree to a Motion Hearing date of May 21, 2021, The Court and Miss Edling were aware of the Bankruptcy STAY.

**PLAINTIFF SCHEDULES EX PARTE**

Miss Edling had notified Respondent that she would be going to EX Parte on May 18, 2021 or May 19, 2021. As Respondent was on her way to the Court for Ex Parte on May 18, 2021, I called Ex Pare to let them know I was on my way. I was informed that I need not come to court as Judge Bushong had sent the case over to Judge Russell, who would call me to schedule a hearing. (Respondent went to the Court anyway to visit the records department.) Respondent returned home, and when Respondent was a block from the house as I was calling Miss Edling to let her know the information I was given, Miss Edling called me from an number I was not familiar with. She informed me that she was going to Ex

*Exhibit "N" (Group) 4 of 4  
June 9, 2021  
Additional request to  
6 pages*

Parte. **I was very confused.** I shared with Miss Edling that I had been informed there would be no Ex Parte as the case had been sent over to Judge Russell. Miss Edling was noticeably angry. She said she was going to Ex Parte as there was no law the said she couldn't go to EX Parte. (It was obvious something was going on that I was not aware of.) Miss Edling provided the call in number and stated she would be going via phone whether I was or not.

During the Ex Parte on May 18, 2021 Miss Edling was adamant that she knew Judge Russell's calendar as she had made contact with Judge Russell (without Respondent being privy to this conversation) and that they ( Judge Russell and Miss Edling) jointly agreed upon the date of May 21, 2021. Miss Edling provided the date and time to Judge Bushong who merely recorded it. (It appears Miss Edling by passed Judge Bushong as well).

Respondent, was Marelly informed of the date. It was an emotional Ex Parte, based on whatever was going on between Miss Edling and the Court. This scheduling of Motion Hearing was an adverse action, and an action which goes the heart of the BANKRUPCY STAY. This was not in compliance with **UTCR 7.050** nor **FEDERAL** law.

#### **AFTER THE HEARING WAS SCHEDULED FOR MAY 21, 2021**

Not sure of what to do, Respondent contacted Judge Russell's office on May 19, 2021 to find out how to get documents to her for the May 21, 2021 hearing (still under duress). I left messages. Vicki, with Judge Russell returned Respondent's call. I mentioned to Vicki my concern about the hearing and the Bankruptcy Stay that is in effect. Vicki share that only the Presiding Judge can make any changed to the dates set. Vicki also lectured Respondent about the rules not to communicate with me without the case without other party being present. I asked Vicki how to get my documents Judge Russell, we agreed on email and approximate time. (I was informed that Judge Russel was no longer doing in person hearings.) I asked how I would get the other party's documents in time for the Hearing. Vicki informed me that I should contact Respondent directly.

On May 20, 2021 I contacted Plaintiff's attorney (Miss Edling) and finally got a hold of her at 9:16. I shared with her that I as calling her at the instruction of Judge Russell's off regarding getting from her the documents she would be presenting to Judge Russell for the hearing on May 21; 2021. Miss Edling shared that she was going to call me as the hearing was being moved to June 14, 2021. I asked her what happened as I requested this before. She said I still had some [monetary] interest in the property [at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland OR, 97211]. Miss Edling also informed me that Judge Russell's office would call me.

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/15/2021

On May 20, 21 at 12:46 I received a call from Judge Russell's office, from Vicki. She informed me that she could now change the hearing date as Plaintiff had made contact with them to change the date. I informed her that I had spoken with Miss Edling who had informed me that hearing was now scheduled for June 14, 2021. Vicki said I needed to choose a date. I chose the date <sup>June</sup> May 17, 2021 (under duress—I felt powerless pressured.) The call ended. Then at 12:57 I called Judge Russell's office and spoke with Vicki and questioned the scheduling given the Bankruptcy Stay which was in effect. Vicki lectured me on discussing the case without the other party being present. I thanked her and ended the call.

ON MAY 21, 2021 RESPONDENT NOTIFIED RESPONDENT THAT THEY WERE NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE BANKRUPTCY STAY AND PUT ON THE RECORD THE APPERANCE OF COORDANIATION BETWEEN MISS EDLING AND JUDGE RUSSELL. On May 21, 2021 Judge Russell signed an order which reads:

"Motion Hearing set over to June 17, 2021 at 9:00 AM with Judge Russell – as requested by Defendant. No objection from Plaintiff."


**RESPONDENT OBJECTS TO MAY 21, 2021 ORDER**

It is not s not entirely TRUE that the scheduling of the Motion Hearing was at Respondent's request. Respondent objects to this order as it does not comply with **UTCR 7.050. Respondent has been requesting that ALL actions be STAYED. UTCR 7.050 and FEDERAL law requires that ALL adverse actions should be STAYED. There should be no Action taken to schedule a Motion Hearing during the STAY.** The act of scheduling the May 21, 2021 Motion Hearing was specifically barred by the Bankruptcy STAY per FEDERAL Law and strengthened by **UTCR 7.050. It stemmed from the May 21, 2021 Hearing Date that should never have been scheduled due to FEDERAL Law and UTCR 7.050.**

**There remains concern that there is coordination happening between Judge Russell and Miss Edling to further Plaintiff's cause and desired outcome.**

This is another REQUEST THAT THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF FULLY COMPLY with the Bankruptcy STAY AS PER FEDERAL LAW AND **UTCR 7.050**

As always, I pray that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things, in the name of HIS Son, and my Lord, Jesus Christ. Jehovah's thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM for **HIS purpose is supreme.**



Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

DATE: June 9, 2021

\_ Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/15/2021. \_

I certify that on, June 9, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **RESPONDENT ADDITIONAL REQUEST THAT THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF COMPLY UTR 7.050 FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY LAW AND REMOVE THE MOTION HEARING SET FOR JUNE 17 2021, AND OBJECTION TO MAY 21, 2021 ORDER**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Honorable Judge Shelley Russell  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

**United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested**

**Hand delivery**

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_

**DATE:** June 9, 2021,



Signature of Defendant

Dorothy E Lewis

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211

Phone No. 503-929-8084

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/15/2021


I certify that on, June 9, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **RESPONDENT ADDITIONAL REQUEST THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF COMPLY UTCR 7.050 FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY LAW AND REMOVE THE MOTION HEARING SET FOR JUNE 17 2021, AND OBJECTION TO MAY 21, 2021 ORDER.** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser & Allison, APC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:

- United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**
- United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested
- Hand delivery
- Other (specify): Email \_eedling2houser-law.com\_

**DATE:** June 9, 2021



Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

\_ Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/15/2021. \_

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, June 9, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **RESPONDENT ADDITIONAL REQUEST THAT THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF COMPLY UTCR 7.050 FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY LAW AND REMOVE THE MOTION HEARING SET FOR JUNE 17 2021, AND OBJECTION TO MAY 21, 2021 ORDER**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_



**DATE:** June 9, 2021,

Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084



1 then they e-mailed it to me and it denied her motion.

2 THE COURT: Yeah.

3 The only thing I see in the court file, Miss Lewis, is I see your petition, I  
4 see your proposed order which is unsigned, and I see an order --

5 MS. LEWIS: Which and what --

6 THE COURT: -- signed by Judge Bushong --

7 MS. LEWIS: -- what are the dates of them?

8 THE COURT: Pardon?

9 MS. LEWIS: What are the dates? What are the dates of that, Your  
10 Honor?

11 THE COURT: Of your unsigned order? Your proposed order?

12 MS. LEWIS: Yes. That was June 6th.

13 THE COURT: No. Your proposed order was filed May 7th. Or sorry,  
14 yeah, May 7th.

15 MS. LEWIS: Yeah. That was the one before May -- May 12th, because  
16 we appeared on May 12th. So that was before.

17 THE COURT: I understand you're saying you appeared on May 12th.  
18 What I see is on May 12th an order denying Defendant's request to stay all actions and  
19 complete unlock of the property. That is an order signed --

20 MS. LEWIS: You -- but now --

21 THE COURT: Ma'am, that is an order --

22 MS. LEWIS: Your Honor. Excuse me, Your Honor. Previously you said  
23 you didn't see an order from May 12th.

24 THE COURT: Okay. There is an order -- ah, well, that is odd. Okay.  
25 There is an order denying Defendant's request without prejudice and with conditions.

*Exhibit 'O'*  
*Pages 26-28 of Transcript*  
*6/17/21 Hearing Judge Russell*

1           Now I have found what you're talking about. Reasonable accompanied  
2 access to allow you to retrieve your personal belongings.

3           All that means, Miss Lewis, is that Judge Bushong allowed you to retrieve  
4 your personal belongings, not to reoccupy the property. There is nothing in this order  
5 that allows you to reoccupy the property.

6           MS. LEWIS: That was not my understanding when I read it or based on  
7 what the judge said. He said, "Miss Lewis, I am signing your order today with the  
8 condition."

9           THE COURT: And all that means is that the bank needed to open the  
10 property for you. That does not mean that if they don't, you get to move back in. That  
11 is not what that order means, Miss Lewis.

12           And you had been evicted back in 2013 and I understand you're  
13 appealing that decision and I don't have the status of that appeal in front of me.

14           But I also know that in October, I signed the writ of assistance, which  
15 looks like it was served on you in April, and nothing has changed that order at this point.  
16 This order from Judge Bushong doesn't change anything other than to allow you to  
17 retrieve your belongings from the property.

18           Now my other question though, is Miss Lewis also submitted paperwork  
19 saying that the bankruptcy had been reinstated this week. Miss Edling, are you aware  
20 of that?

21           MS. EDLING: I'm not aware of a reinstatement. But based on the  
22 supplemental briefing and (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --

23           MS. LEWIS: (Indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --

24           MS. EDLING: -- it doesn't matter because --

25           MS. LEWIS: -- (indiscernible) a copy (indiscernible) --

1 MS. EDLING: I'm sorry; I'm speaking. Just a minute.

2 Based on the supplemental briefing that I submitted, the law would be the  
3 same. She's only entitled to 30 days for a second stay within the same year on a  
4 bankruptcy petition. So if it were reinstated, the 30 days from the filing has still passed.

5 MS. LEWIS: Your -- Your Honor, I refer you to Exhibit Number 5 that  
6 gives the order.

7 THE COURT: Well, that's not a complete order. It appears to be missing  
8 some things. Unless that is --

9 MS. LEWIS: Yeah. It says --

10 THE COURT: -- the way it came to you --

11 MS. LEWIS: -- it says that (indiscernible).

12 Miss Edling, if she chooses, she could go to the bankruptcy court. But the  
13 bankruptcy order is in place and it -- and it is, the stay is active. And I -- I -- I do not  
14 know why the Court has not ordered Miss Edling to obey the order.

15 THE COURT: Well, Ma'am, I'm not sure it is an effective order at this  
16 point. The law that --

17 MS. LEWIS: Miss (indiscernible - simultaneous speech) --

18 THE COURT: -- Miss Edling has provided says that you get one 30-day  
19 stay and that that stay has already expired.

20 Now you have provided me something that says below is an order of the  
21 court and it's got the judge's signature at the top of the document, which does not look  
22 correct to me.

23 MS. LEWIS: Your Honor, that was sent to me by the court on 16th -- the  
24 16th.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/28/2021

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI,

Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described In The Complaint Herein,

Defendant(s)

FILED  
21 JUN 28 AM 11:46  
4th JUDICIAL DIST. No. 1305-07175

**THIS IS AN SOS FOR HELP FROM THE COURT'S AUTHORITY (UP TO AND INCLUDING THE CHIEF JUSTICE, AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT) TO STOP JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL'S ABUSE OF POWER.**

**AND TO DOCUMENT WHAT ACCURRED DURING THE JUNE 17, 2021 HEARING WHICH SHOWED THAT JUDGE RUSSELL SHELLEY AND PLAINTIFF'S REPRESENTATIVE, EMILY EDLING, ARE ACTIVELY WORKING TOGETHER TO DENY RESPONDENT OF HER RIGHTS UNDER THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY LAWS AND UTCR 7.050.**

**AND TO REQUEST THAT JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL RULE ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANCE (THIS WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WAS AND IS BARRED BY THE BANKRUPTCY STAY BUT PLAINTIFF REFUSED TO COMPLY WITH THE ORDER TO STAY, AND THS COURT HAS ENABLED PLAINTIFF.) JUDGE RUSSELL MUST RULE BASED ON THE INFORMATION PROVIDED TO HER BY BOTH PARTIES FOR THE JUNE 17 2021 HEARING AND IN HER POSSESSION AT THE TIME.**

*See Bankruptcy order*

*date 6/15/21 - ~~21~~*

*It was left out of 15 pages*

*sent previously today.*

*(Just now)*

*[Signature]*

*Exhibit "P"  
SOS for Help!  
12 pages*



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL.

DATED: 04/17/2023

*Schuyler Hardisty*  
COURT CLERK

**FILED**

June 15, 2021

Clerk, U.S. Bankruptcy Court

EX 5

Below is an order of the court.

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/28/2021

*David W. Hercher*

DAVID W. HERCHER  
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

OSADWN (11/8/18) jbk

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
District of Oregon**

In re  
**Dorothy Elizabeth Lewis**, xxx-xx-2769  
Debtor(s)

} Case No. **21-31071-dwh7**  
}  
}  
}  
}  
}  
} ORDER SETTING ASIDE  
} DISMISSAL, REOPENING  
} CASE IF CLOSED, AND  
} FIXING TIME TO FILE  
} MOTION TO RECONSIDER

It appearing a motion was filed to set aside the order of dismissal previously entered in this case, and after due consideration and for good cause shown,

**IT IS ORDERED that:**

1. The court's order of dismissal is vacated and set aside, the case will be reopened (if closed), the case will continue as a Chapter 7 case, and all reopening/filing fees have been paid.
2. Certain acts and proceedings against each debtor and debtor's property are again stayed as provided in 11 USC §362(a).
3. If applicable, all documents listed on the Order and Notice Regarding Filing of Document(s) and Notice of Proposed Dismissal that have not been filed must be filed within 14 days of entry of this Order. Failure to file the documents within 14 days will result in dismissal of the case.
4. The court may reconsider the entry of this order if an interested party files both (a) a motion for reconsideration, setting forth the specific grounds for such motion, within 21 days of the "Filed" date above, with the Clerk of Court, 1050 SW 6th Ave. #700, Portland, OR 97204, and (b) attaches a certification that copies of the motion were contemporaneously served on the debtor(s), Trustee, U.S. Trustee, and their respective attorneys.

###

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the  
Registered Holders Of Structured Asset  
Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-  
Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI,

No. 1305-07175

Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United  
States of America, Internal Revenue Service;  
State of Oregon Department Of Revenue;  
Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any  
Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property  
Described In The Complaint Herein,

Defendant(s)

**THIS IS AN SOS FOR HELP FROM THE  
COURT'S AUTHORITY (UP TO AND  
INCLUDING THE CHIEF JUSTICE, AND THE  
BANKRUPTCY COURT) TO STOP JUDGE  
SHELLEY RUSSELL'S ABUSE OF POWER.**

**AND TO DOCUMENT WHAT ACCURRED  
DURING THE JUNE 17, 2021 HEARING  
WHICH SHOWED THAT JUDGE RUSSELL  
SHELLEY AND PLAINTIFF'S  
REPRESENTATIVE, EMILY EDLING, ARE  
ACTIVELY WORKING TOGETHER TO  
DENY RESPONDENT OF HER RIGHTS  
UNDER THE UNITED STATES  
BANKRUPTCY LAWS AND UTCR 7.050.**

**AND TO REQUEST THAT JUDGE SHELLEY  
RUSSELL RULE ON PLAINTIFF'S MOTION  
FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANCE (THIS WRIT  
OF ASSISTANCE WAS AND IS BARRED BY  
THE BANKRUPTCY STAY BUT PLAINTIFF  
REFUSED TO COMPLY WITH THE ORDER  
TO STAY, AND THS COURT HAS ENABLED  
PLAINTIFF.) JUDGE RUSSELL MUST RULE  
BASED ON THE INFORMATION  
PROVIDED TO HER BY BOTH PARTIES  
FOR THE JUNE 17 2021 HEARING AND IN  
HER POSSESSION AT THE TIME.**

Respondent a Bond Servant of our Heavenly Father, and HIS Son Jesus Christ, presents herself to the courts to GET HELP and to but on the record and to bring to the court's attention (including the United States Bankruptcy Court, District of Oregon) what occurred during the June 17, 2021 Hearing that Respondent attended under duress. Judge Shelley Russell at Multnomah County Circuit Court and Miss Emily Edling having refused to comply with Bankruptcy court's order to stay all actions, and or Oregon's **UTCR 7.050** which instruct compliance with Bankruptcy Order to Stay. Although the June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy order makes it clear that the STAY is in effect. They refuse to comply with the order. Miss Edling (Plaintiff) and the Court have been in possession of proof of Respondent's Bankruptcy filing and automatic Stay since May 12, 2021. Still there has been no compliance, even after Respondent many communications to Miss Edling (Plaintiff's representative) and the court requesting such compliance.

During the June 17, 2021 Motion Hearing, (which should never have been scheduled given the Bankruptcy stay) Judge Shelley Russell did the following the following (Which showed her prejudice towards Respondent and her desire to aid Plaintiff' in their cause and give Plaintiff a favorable ruling):

1. Judge Shelley Russell accused Respondent of forging the June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy Court order which Respondent presenting to her and Plaintiff as Multnomah County Circuit Court as exhibit #5 for the Motion Hearing.
2. Judge Shelley Russell refused to rule based on the content of the June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy Court order because the ruling would warrant a denial of Plaintiff's Motion for Writ of Assistant and Plaintiff ordered to stay actions as ordered by the Bankruptcy Court order including the June 15, 2021 order.
3. Judge Shelley Russell, instead of ordering Plaintiff to obey the initial Bankruptcy Court order, and the June 15, 2021 Order. Judge Shelley Russell, not liking the effect of June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy on Plaintiff's case, **solicited** new information from Plaintiff's representative (Emily Edling ) to shore up their case. Judge Shelley Russell gave Miss Edling till June 24, 2021 to her with new/more information.

(In the information Miss Edling provide to Judge Russell and Respondent, Miss Edling that Respondent's stay was in effect. This scared both Judge Shelley Russell Emily Edling (I could hear it in their voices). Judge Russell knew if she ruled on June 17, 2021 it would not be favorable to Plaintiff. Judge Russell knew she would have to deny the Motion for Writ of Assistance and formally inform Miss Edling to stay

all action. **Judge Russell DESIRED to give Plaintiff a favorable ruling, and NOT to rule in Respondent's favor NO MATTER WHAT. )**

Although Respondent in writing, notified the Multnomah County Circuit Court, and Plaintiff, in writing of her Bankruptcy filing and provided them with the notice of the filing on May 12, 2021, neither the court nor Respondent have complied with the stay, nor with **UTCR 7.050**. Respondent has been at her computer, typing request after for the parties to comply. This is what led to Respondent missing her deadline with the Bankruptcy Court, resulting in the dismissal of her Bankruptcy case. Glory to and thanks to our Heavenly Father, for with HIS help Respondent was able to petition the Bankruptcy Court to reopen her case. By their June 15, 2021 order the Bankruptcy Court reopened Respondent's case and the stay reinstated. This order keeps the stay in effect. And this is what Emily Edling and Judge Shelly Russell hated about the June 15, 2021 order. So much so, that **Judge Shelley Russell questioned the authenticity of the June 15, 2021 order.** (Responded had provided a copy to Miss Edling and Judge Russell as Exhibit #5.)

Since Respondent filed for Bankruptcy protection on May 10, 2021 and presented it to Judge Bushong and Plaintiff's representative, Emily Edling on May 12, 2021 respondent have repeatedly ask Emily Edling and the Court (to include Judge Shelley Russell) to comply with the Bankruptcy order to stay. Respondent as also pointed out that there appeared to be coordination going on between Judge Russell and Emily Edling.

(During the Ex Parte on May 18, 2021 Miss Edling was adamant that she knew Judge Russell's calendar as she had made contact with Judge Russell (without Respondent being privy to this conversation) and that they ( Judge Russell and Miss Edling) jointly agreed upon the date of May 21, 2021. Miss Edling provided the date and time to Judge Bushong who merely recorded it. (It appears Miss Edling by passed Judge Bushong as well).)

Plaintiff has been receiving information from the Bankruptcy Court and knew that Respondent Bankruptcy Case was dismissed. On June 11, 2021, Plaintiff in response to Respondent's June 9, 2021 request: **Respondent Additional request for the Court and Plaintiff to comply with UTCR 7.050 And Federal Bankruptcy law and remove the scheduled June 17, 2021 Hearing from the hearing schedule.** Plaintiff's representative, Emily Edling sent a Supplemental Memorandum in Support of Motion For Writ Of Assistance to Judge Shelley Russell and Respondent. In which Miss, Edling, informed the court that Respondent's "Automatic stay terminates in its entirety 30 days after the filing because Respondent had filed for bankruptcy in October of 2020. Miss Edling sited two cases and in both cases 362(c)(3)(A) was the bases for the decision in both cases. Miss Edling also informed Judge Shelley Russell that in addition to the Stay being expired, Respondent's



Bankruptcy had been dismissed. (Respondent was so overwhelmed with fighting to get back into the property and to get Plaintiff to stay all actions. Respondent missed her deadline in the bankruptcy court. Respondent immediately contacted the bankruptcy Court and filed for reopening.)

On June 17, 2021 during the hearing, when Respondent raised the issue of compliance with the Bankruptcy order, Miss Edling said that the stay had expired, and that they intentionally pushed out the Motion Hearing Date so that the hearing would take place after the 30 day had expired. (They were not moving because they were in anyway complying with the Bankruptcy order that Plaintiff and the Court had been provided with on May 12, 2021. They were running out the 30 day clock so as not to comply and not have Respondent benefit from the Automatic stay in anyway. The court assisted Edling in this also.) Repeatedly, Respondent told them that the scheduling of the hearing was in direct defiance of the Stay. They demanded that Respondent cooperate with them to place the Hearing on the hearing schedule—Respondent was under duress.

When Respondent put on record the existence of the Bankruptcy Court Order dated June 15, 2021. Miss Edling did not acknowledge the existence of the order. I then told Judge Russell that it was exhibit #5. Judge Russell then reviewed the order. When she saw that the order reinstated the Stay as provided in 11 USC 362(a) **Judge Shelley Russell questioned the authenticity of the June 17, 2021. Judge Russell did not believe the signature was genuine as it was at the top of the order.** (Judge Shelley Russell was accusing Respondent of falsifying documents, committing fraud. I was shocked.)

**Because Miss Edling and Judge Russell did not like the fact that the June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy court order, ordered the stay is in effect, the Judge accused Respondent of making an order that favored her and submitting it to the court as an exhibit in support of her case. This line of thought on Judge Russell's part is unbelievable. It could be because Respondent is Black, that Judge Russell would even entertain such a thought.)**

It is a good thing, our Heavenly Father in HIS loving kindness towards Respondent, touched Miss Edling's mind and cause her to say the signature was not an issue for her. I do not know where this line of thought would have gone. It is very troubling indeed. (It is probably

that Miss Edling in possession of a copy of the June 15, 2021h order having received it directly from the court electronically just as she received a copy of the dismissal order.)

**Then, Judge Shelley Russell, reviewing, closely, 11 USC 362(a) and finding no reason to not deny Plaintiff's motion for Writ of Assistance and order compliance with the Bankruptcy Stay, Judge Shelley, sent Miss Edling on a hunt for information that would allow her to rule in Miss Edling's favor. She told Miss Edling to brief her with support for that her position [Respondent's stay was no longer in effect] although the June 15, 2021 order clearly said it was. Judge Shelley Russell would not rely on the June 15, 2021 Bankruptcy Court order who is the governing court. This is a clearly bad faith action and an abuse of power, on the part of Judge Shelley Russell.**

Judge Shelley Russell told Miss Edling she would be out of her office for about a week and gave Miss Edling until June 24, 2021 to present her with more information (on which to deny Respondent her rights, on which to not comply with the Bankruptcy order to stay, on which to allow the Motion for Writ Of Assistance.)

**Respondent noticed the coordination between Miss Edling and Judge Russell and have put it on the records. Sadly, during the June 17, 2021 hearing this was made evident and confirmed with no question remaining. It was affirmed by Judge Shelly Russell's own words. Because the mouth speaks what is in the heart. Our Lord Jesus Christ told us so:**

**Matthew 15:18** But those things which proceed out of the mouth come forth from the heart; and they defile the man.

Respondent could not believe it when Judge Shelley questioned the authenticity of the June 15, 2021 order. Nor could she believe it when Judge Shelley Russell SOLICITED a Brief from Miss Edling, so as to give her (Judge Russell) information which she did not have that would allow her to rule in Plaintiff's favor, in light of the Bankruptcy Court's June 15, 2021 order.

Sadly, this is not Respondent's first experience where a Judge has been weaponized against Respondent. In the course of our years of battle regarding the property locate at

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland OR, 97211 Plaintiff used another Judge, Judge Christopher Marshall as their weapon. That particular case had been assigned to Judge Kantor, a fair judge. Yet, Plaintiff went behind Respondent 's back and had the presiding judge reassign the case to Judge Marshall who disliked Respondent because she was a bond servant of Our Heavenly Father and HIS Son Jesus Christ (and likely because she is also Black ). I know this because Judge Marshall lectured Respondent about the separation of church and state. He did this because I refer to myself as a servant of God and include reference to God in all my communications. Judge Marshall revealed his heart towards Respondent in a note he wrote in October of 2014. And seeing Judge Marshall's heart towards Respondent, through his note, Plaintiff solicited him, clung to him and coordinated with him against Respondent. Judge Marshall assisted Plaintiff in shoring up their case against Respondent. **Just as is happening now.**

**The difference this time** is that Judge Shelly Russell was assigned to the case by the presiding Judge and I (Respondent) is sounding the alarm. I will not go quietly this time. And using Flowers v. Mississippi (2019) I will continue her fight for justice for the fair hearing she was robbed of when Plaintiff when behind her back and cause the case to be reassigned from Judge Kantor. **And importantly, our Heavenly Father is ready to judge ALL at this time.**

Another thing Judge Russell is trying to avoid is the fact that Respondent placed on the record that the key document Plaintiff has submitted in support of her Motion for Writ of Assistance is currently on appeal before the Court of Appeal. Thus, cannot be used to support the Motion. Miss Edling knows it, and Judge Shelley Russell knows it. Without this document there is no ground for the writ of Assistance. The Writ of assistance cannot be use to circumvent the eviction process. The Judgement order is also in appeal.

RESPONDENT IS SENDING OUT THIS SOS , SO AS NOT TO ROBBED BY THE COURT AGAIN. RESPONDENT IS ASKING FOR EQUAL TREATMENT UNDER THE LAW, INCLUDING THAT OF THE UNITED STATES THE BANKRUPTY LAW. Respondent's experience with Plaintiff and their representatives over the years has taught Respondent that they fear neither God nor man. They do what they like and say catch me if you can. However, Respondent is sadden by her experience with Judge Shelley Russell. I know there are fair and just judges. Sadly Judge Shelley Russell, by her own actions has shown that that she is not fair and impartial.

Respondent is asking for help, the best way she know how and in the time frame she has to work within. Please so not add to Respondent's suffering by allowing Judge Shelley Russell's abuse of power. Respondent has not gotten over the theft of a just hearing when Judge Marshall assisted Plaintiff to get their desired outcome. One can handle loss, if one


\_ Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/28/2021.

know the fight was fair and just. My experience with Plaintiff and Judge Marshall was not fair nor just, neither is what Judge is Russell is doing now. Please Stop Her. **Respondent wants justice!**

Respondent request that Judge Shelley Russell deny Respondent Motion for Writ of Assistance and order a stay all action as the united States Bankruptcy Court requires. This ruling is supported by the argument and documents presented to the court and in the court possession on June 17, 2021. The additional information Judge Shelley Russell has solicited from Plaintiff is a bad faith prejudicial request to favor Plaintiff. It is clear that Judge Russell desires to rule in favor of Plaintiff at all cost. Judges are to be impartial.

As always, I pray that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things, in the name of HIS Son, and my Lord, Jesus Christ. Jehovah's thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM for **HIS purpose is supreme.**

**DATE:** June 21, 2021



Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/28/2021

I certify that on, June 21, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **THIS IS AN SOS FOR HELP FROM THE COURT'S AUTHORITY (UP TO AND INCLUDING THE CHIEF JUSTICE, AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT) TO STOP JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL'S ABUSE OF POWER**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Chief Justice Martha L Walters  
Supreme Court Bldg.  
1163 State Street  
Salem, OR 97301

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify): Email Martha.l.walters@ojd.state.or.us**

DATE: June 21, 2021,



Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/28/2021

I certify that on, June 21, 2021, I served a true copy of the, **THIS IS AN SOS FOR HELP FROM THE COURT'S AUTHORITY (UP TO AND INCLUDING THE CHIEF JUSTICE, AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT) TO STOP JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL'S ABUSE OF POWER**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Honorable Stephen K Bushong  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify): Email -- Bonnie.a.calhoun@ ojd.state.or.us**

**DATE:** June 21, 2021,



Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Verified Correct Copy of Original 6/28/2021

I certify that on, June 21, 2021, I served a true copy of the, THIS IS AN SOS FOR HELP FROM THE COURT'S AUTHORITY (UP TO AND INCLUDING THE CHIEF JUSTICE, AND THE BANKRUPTCY COURT) TO STOP JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL'S ABUSE OF POWER, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

US Bankruptcy Court  
District of Oregon  
1050 SW 6th Ave #700  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

~~United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.~~

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Fax - 213-401-1577



Signature of Defendant  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

DATE: June 21, 2021,

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

FILED

22 OCT 21 AM 11:06

4th JUDICIAL DIST.

No. 1305-07175

Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/21/2022

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI, Plaintiff

Vs

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described In The Complaint Herein,

2022 OCT 20 AM 11:06

**NOTICE THAT DEFENDANT BELIEVES THE OCTOBER 21, 2022 HEARING WITH JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL HAS BEEN CENCELED AND THE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WITHDRAWN AND WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF AND JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL AND CHIEF JUSTICE MARTHA WALTERS WITH A COPY OF THE PENDENCY OF AN ACTION RECORDED ON 10/13/22 SPECIFIC TO THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211.**

Defendant(s)

First, " To the only wise God our Saviour, *be* glory and majesty, dominion and power, both now and ever. Amen (1 Timothy 1:17).

I, Dorothy Lewis, a Bond Servant of our Heavenly Father, and HIS Son Jesus Christ, presents herself to the court, having not heard from Plaintiff regarding Defendants October 13, 2022 notice and request that the October 21, 2022 hearing be canceled and the Writ of Assistance withdrawn given that the issue of ownership of the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave., Portland, OR 97211 is not settled and remains disputed; with two active Federal Court cases pending ( Case No.: 3:21-cv-01183-MO and Case No.:22-cv-01540-IM). These two cases is going to address this issue directly. The October 13, 2022 communication was sent to the court, Plaintiff, Judge Shelley Russell, and Chief Justice Martha Walters.

Defendant states:

1. Defendant having no heard from Plaintiff, has concluded that the October 21, 2022 hearing has been canceled and the Writ of Assistance withdrawn as requested by Defendant on October 13, 2022, and that there is no reason for

Exhibit "Q"  
7 pages



Defendant to submit to further violation of her rights by being forced to appear before Judge Shelley Russell, who colluded and conspired with Plaintiff, defy a Federal court order so as to deny Defendant of her rights under the bankruptcy laws and other laws, and actively worked to further Plaintiff's interest, and accused Defendant of presenting a forged Bankruptcy Court order to her court—CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY. **Defendant will act accordingly.**

2. Defendant serves the Court, Judge Shelley Russell, Plaintiff, and Chief Justice Martha Walters with a copy of the Pendency of an Action recorded on October 13, 2022 specific to the property located at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211, given the Civil Case in the United States District Court, District of Oregon. case No.: 22-cv-01540-IM

Defendant thanks and praise our Heavenly Father for the great works HE has done on her behalf and for other works already done by HIM which are yet unseen by Defendant.


**1 Corinthians 1:3 Blessed be God, even the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, the Father of mercies, and the God of all comfort;**

Defendant further thanks our Heavenly Father for the intercession of the Holy Spirit--the Spirit of the Father. Glory, in the name of HIS Son, Jesus Christ.

**Romans 8:26 Likewise the Spirit also helpeth our infirmities: for we know not what we should pray for as we ought: but the Spirit itself maketh intercession for us with groanings which cannot be uttered.**

**Romans 8:28 And we know that all things work together for good to them that love God, to them who are the called according to his purpose.**

As always, Defendant prays that our Heavenly Father's will be done, in this matter, and in all things upon the earth as it is heaven, in the name of HIS Son, and my Lord, Jesus Christ. The LORD's thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM for HIS purpose is supreme. **Who can disannul HIS judgement?**



Dorothy E Lewis Pro-Se  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

DATE: October 20, 2022

EK

NO PART OF ANY STEVENS-NESS FORM MAY BE REPRODUCED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL MEANS



NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF AN ACTION

STATE OF OREGON, } ss.  
County of \_\_\_\_\_

Multnomah County Official Records 2022-093928  
E Murray, Deputy Clerk



\$76.00

02950891202200939280010010

10/13/2022 08:15:59 AM

NOT-PEND  
\$5.00 \$11.00 \$60.00

Pgs=1 Strn=1 ATTC

Dorothy Elizabeth Lewis  
5272 NE 20th Ave  
Portland OR 97211

Plaintiff's Name and Address  
PHH Mortgage Corp and Wells Fargo Bank  
c/o House LLC - Atty Edling  
600 SW Oak St #570 Portland OR 97211

Defendant's Name and Address  
After recording, return to (Name, Address/Zip): -  
Dorothy E. Lewis  
5272 NE 20th Ave  
Portland, OR 97211

NAME \_\_\_\_\_ TITLE \_\_\_\_\_  
By \_\_\_\_\_, Deputy.

Pursuant to ORS 93.740, the undersigned states:

1. As plaintiff(s), Dorothy E Lewis  
US District Court, has/have filed  
an action in the Circuit Court for \_\_\_\_\_ County, State of Oregon.

2. The defendant(s) is/are PHH Mortgage Corp successor by merger to Coven  
(2) Wells Fargo Bank, NA, as Trustee for the Registered Holders.

3. The object of the action is: To determine who has legal rights to the  
real property below and address civil right violations on the part  
of defendants and the court.

4. The description of the real property to be affected is:  
5272 NE 20th Ave  
Portland, OR 97211  
Vernon, block 15, lot 1

5. The Case Number assigned to the action is: 3:22-cv-1540-IM

DATED 10/13/22


Dorothy E Lewis  
SIGNATURE OF  ATTORNEY  AUTHOR FOR PLAINTIFF  
DOROTHY E LEWIS  
ATTORNEY'S/AUTHOR'S NAME (TYPED OR PRINTED) BAR NO. (IF ANY)  
5272 NE 20th Ave  
ADDRESS  
Portland OR 97211  
CITY STATE ZIP PHONE  
503-929-8084

STATE OF OREGON, County of Multnomah ) ss.

This instrument was acknowledged before me on October 13th, 2022  
by Dorothy Elizabeth Lewis

This instrument was acknowledged before me on October 13th, 2022  
by Dorothy Elizabeth Lewis

as Plaintiff  
of action



Jessie Marie Walker  
Notary Public for Oregon  
My commission expires April 26, 2025

Verified Correct Copy Original 10/27/2022

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/21/2022

I certify that on, October 20, 2022, I served the original of the NOTICE THAT DEFENDANT BELIEVES THE OCTOBER 21, 2022 HEARING WITH JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL HAS BEEN CENCELED AND THE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WITHDRAWN AND WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF AND JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL AND CHIEF JUSTICE MARTHA WALTERS WITH A COPY OF THE PENDENCY OF AN ACTION RECORDED ON 10/13/22 SPECIFIC TO THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_

**DATE:** October 20, 2022



Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/21/2022.\_

I certify that on, October 20, 2022, I served a true copy of the, NOTICE THAT DEFENDANT BELIEVES THE OCTOBER 21, 2022 HEARING WITH JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL HAS BEEN CENCELED AND THE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WITHDRAWN AND WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF AND JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL AND CHIEF JUSTICE MARTHA WALTERS WITH A COPY OF THE PENDENCY OF AN ACTION RECORDED ON 10/13/22 SPECIFIC TO THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser LLC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:

       **United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**


       United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

       Hand delivery

  X   Other (specify):   Email  eedling@houser-law.com  

~~X~~ Other (Specify) Fax **1-949-679-1112**

**DATE:** October 20, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature of Defendant, Pro Se

Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/21/2022.\_

I certify that on, October 20, 2022, I served a true copy of the NOTICE THAT DEFENDANT BELIEVES THE OCTOBER 21, 2022 HEARING WITH JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL HAS BEEN CENCELED AND THE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WITHDRAWN AND WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF AND JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL AND CHIEF JUSTICE MARTHA WALTERS WITH A COPY OF THE PENDENCY OF AN ACTION RECORDED ON 10/13/22 SPECIFIC TO THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Honorable Judge Shelley Russell  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

**United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested**

**Hand delivery**

**Other (specify): email--- Vicky.m.chalfant@ojd.state.or.us**

**DATE:** October 20, 2022



Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/21/2022.\_

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, October 20, 2022, I served a true copy of the NOTICE THAT DEFENDANT BELIEVES THE OCTOBER 21, 2022 HEARING WITH JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL HAS BEEN CENCELED AND THE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE WITHDRAWN AND WILL ACT ACCORDINGLY. AND TO PROVIDE THE COURT AND PLAINTIFF AND JUDGE SHELLEY RUSSELL AND CHIEF JUSTICE MARTHA WALTERS WITH A COPY OF THE PENDENCY OF AN ACTION RECORDED ON 10/13/22 SPECIFIC TO THE PROPERTY AT 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> AVE PORTLAND, OR 97211, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Chief Justice Martha L Walters  
Supreme Court Bldg.  
1163 State Street  
Salem, OR 97301

by the following method of filing:


United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Other (specify): Email Martha.l.walters@ojd.state.or.us

DATE: October 20, 2022,



Signature of Defendant. Pro, Se

Dorothy E Lewis

5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211

Phone No. 503-929-8084

CIRCUIT COURT OF OREGON FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee For the Registered Holders Of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI,  
Plaintiff

No. 1305-07175

FILED  
2022 OCT 28 PM 3:21  
FOR MULTNOMAH COUNTY



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL

DATED: 09/17/2023

*Shelley Russell*  
Clerk, Multnomah County

Verified Correct Copy of Original  
10/31/2022

Dorothy E Lewis AKA, Dorothy Lewis; United States of America, Internal Revenue Service; State of Oregon Department Of Revenue; Persons Or Parties Unknown Claiming any Right, Title, Lien or Interest in the Property Described In The Complaint Herein,

**OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS RAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS**

Defendant(s)

I, Dorothy Lewis, a bond servant of our Heavenly Father and His Son, Jesus Christ gives honor and glory them and give thanks for their marvelous works towards Defendant.

Led by the Holy Spirit ( the Spirit of our Heavenly Father), the God of truth. Defendant presents to the court to object to the order signed by Judge Christopher Marshall on October 18, 2022, and to give the reasons.

**Deuteronomy 32:4 He is the Rock, his work is perfect: for all his ways are judgment: a God of truth and without iniquity, just and right is he.**

**1. The October 18, 2022 order has an appearance of a circling of the wagon**

The order appears to be a circling of the wagon, under the guise of the recusal of Judge Shelley Russell. I thank and praise our Heavenly Father for bringing about her recusal. Her recusal is a right action and Defendant does not disagree with this well warranted action.

*Exhibit "R" 15 Pages  
Objection to Judge Marshall  
And Also Judge Eric Dahlin*

However, in speaking with Plaintiff's representative, Emilie Edling, it appears Miss Edling had communication with the parties noted in and copied on the order, and the case discussed without Defendant being present. Defendant is always told she cannot have contact with the Judge unless the other side is present. This was the same pattern of behavior that the Holy Spirit made Defendant aware of, and which showed the collusion which was going on between Judge Shelley Russell and Plaintiff by way of Plaintiff's counsel (Emilie Edling). It appears the collusion and conspiracy continue and has now broadened to include Judge Christopher Marshall and Judge Eric L Dahlin. Judge Christopher Marshall was the first that Plaintiff chose to join them in collusion and conspiracy against Defendant. He did just that.

Our Heavenly Father has used the October 18, 2022, order to shed further light on the extent of the collusion and conspiracy against Defendant by Plaintiff and the court. By the order, Defendant now knows the relationship between Judge Shelley Russell and Judge Christopher Marshall which accounts for Judge Russell's response to Defendant when Defendant raised the issue of the illegal judge change. Judge Shelley Russell stated that there were many reasons why judges are changed. However, didn't appear to be interested in what happened in Defendant's specific case. Judge Shelley Russell had already made up her mind because she was allied with Judge Christopher Marshall and Plaintiff. (Judge Shelley Russell knew of Judge Christopher Marshall's involvement, having read what I had written about him.)

Our Heavenly Father allowed this collusion and conspiracy against Defendant by Judge Shelley Russell and Emilie Edling, to fully manifest itself on June 17, 2021. When Judge Shelley Russell openly took action to use her power to ensure Plaintiff got their desired ruling. And when the Bankruptcy order did not fit in with the plan, Judge Shelley Russell accused Defendant of presenting a forged order to the court. And then Judge Shelley Russell further abused her power by soliciting, from Plaintiff, additional information to counter the Bankruptcy order they did not like. Rather than to tell Plaintiff to stay all action as ordered. Judge Shelley Russell was ready and willing to do anything to give Plaintiff their ruling.

Judge Shelley Russell exhibited the same hatred towards Defendant as Judge Christopher Marshall did. In both cases the hatred was so strong they left reason behind.

## **2. Judge Christopher Marshall**

Judge Christopher Marshall must recuse himself from any action having to do with Defendant, in any way. Judge Christopher Marshall is the judge at the heart of the illegal judge change. He is the Judge Plaintiff preferred because they knew he was an unjust judge. Plaintiff also knew of the venomous note Judge Christopher Marshall had written to Defendant when she brought to his attention that Plaintiff had withheld their answer and only handing it to her just before they entered the court room for the hearing. Plaintiff saw the hatred in the note and knowing Judge Christopher Marshall, moved the case to him illegally, after our Heavenly



10/31/2020  
Verified Correct Copy of Original  
Father had caused it to be assigned to Judge Kantor ( a just Judge and a decent person). A hearing date was set with Judge Kantor and the parties, except one, had provided their arguments to Judge Kantor. Then Defendant received an order of the sudden judge change. Defendant had no say in this change from Judge Kantor to Judge Marshall. This judge change was not legal, and Defendant has been fighting this judge change and the consequence of it ever since. Judge Christopher Marshall is named among those that have denied Defendant of her rights and who have actively conspired with Plaintiff against Defendant.

**Judge Christopher Marshall abused his power by actively and knowingly assisting Plaintiff in building their case against Defendant. Judge Christopher Marshall has completed Plaintiff's sentences and direct their argument. Judge Christopher Marshall has tried to entrap Defendant into changing her pleading and arguments to sure up a win for Plaintiff the appeals level. Judge Christopher Marshall suggested to Defendant to take another course, (playing the nice judge). Plaintiff questioned Judge Christopher Marshall's actions. Judge Christopher Marshall assured Plaintiff his (Judge Marshall's) suggestion was only meant to sure up the appeals outcome for Plaintiff. Guided by our Heavenly Father Defendant did not follow Judge Christopher Marshall's suggestions. The God of Truth knows the issue on this case is a Due Process issue. The God of Truth knows Plaintiff's summons was not sufficient to allow access to the court. The Judge of Judges (the God of Truth) knows it and Judge Christopher Marshall knows it as well.**

The Civil Court of Multnomah County systematically discriminates against defendants. The Court allows attorneys like those representing Plaintiff to certify many lies, as being truth. The court never checks to see whether what was being certified to was correct. This is what happened in this case. Plaintiff certified that that they had served the summons on Defendant. The fact is the summons Plaintiff attempted to serve was insufficient not conforming to Chapter 7. Judge Christopher Marshall and Plaintiff has done everything to stop Defendant from having a hearing on this matter. This is not an ordinary case, Flowers v. Mississippi (June 2019). Who can disannul the judgement of Almighty God, the judge of judges? No one can!

**Job 40:8 Wilt thou also disannul my judgment? wilt thou condemn me, that thou mayest be righteous?**

### **JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL ENTRAPS DEFENDANTS:**

Judge Christopher Marshall is a mean person besides being an unjust judge. Judge Marshall knowingly and intentionally entrap people that are under the influence that appear before him. Out of his own mouth, and with my own ears, I hear Judge Christopher Marshall bragging to Plaintiff's representative (they were like spirits) of how he pretends to be giving

the defendants a lifeline by giving them a way to show improvement so as not to get a harsh sentence. And He (Judge Marshall) would then give the defendant a task he knew they would not be able to accomplish given their addiction. Then he could throw the book at them telling them he gave them a chance and they blew it. Judge Christopher Marshall said this right after he had concluded the drug related case he was hearing before our hearing. During the drug hearing Defendant did witness Judge Marshall giving a defendant a lifeline. And Defendant thought to herself, "What a compassionate Judge". Only to have her faith in the Judges dashed when I heard Judge Christopher Marshall bragging about the mean thing he had just done. I was so sorry for the poor man, who left thinking that he had a chance. When he was just being set up to fail and then to have the book thrown at him.

Judge Christopher Marshall's entrapment of defendants was not limited to drug defendants only. As stated above. Judge Christopher Marshall tried to entrap Defendant as well. I Thank and praise our Heavenly Father through HIS Son, Jesus Christ, for HIS protection. Entrapment is not only mean; it is also illegal. I pray that our Heavenly Father will cause Judge Christopher Marshall to be investigated for intentionally and knowingly entrapping defendants.

**Psalm 50:6 And the heavens shall declare his righteousness: for God is judge himself. Selah.**

**Deuteronomy 16:18 Judges and officers shalt thou make thee in all thy gates, which the LORD thy God giveth thee, throughout thy tribes: and they shall judge the people with just judgment.**

The Bible says, out of the mouth the heart speaks. Our Heavenly Father revealed Judge Christopher Marshall's heart to Defendant. The evidence came out of his own mouth. Led by the Holy Spirit Defendant knows not to trust anything that Judge Christopher Marshall does. **Defendant respectfully ask Judge Christopher Marshall to recuse himself from all things relating to Defendant.**

**Mark 7: 20 And he said, That which cometh out of the man, that defileth the man. 21 For from within, out of the heart of men, proceed evil thoughts, adulteries, fornications, murders, 22 Thefts, covetousness, wickedness, deceit, lasciviousness, an evil eye, blasphemy, pride, foolishness: 23 All these evil things come from within, and defile the man.**

**Luke 6:45 A good man out of the good treasure of his heart bringeth forth that which is good; and an evil man out of the evil treasure of his heart bringeth forth that which is evil: for of the abundance of the heart his mouth speaketh.**

### **3. Judge Eric L Dahlin**

Judge Eric L Dahlin has been cooped as part of the collusion and conspiracy against Defendant. By virtue of Judge Shelley Russell and Christopher Marshall agreeing on Judge Eric L Dahlin as the judge to replace Judge Shelley Russell, a reasonable person would question his sincerity. Defendant does not wish to present herself to a hearing just for a formality for Plaintiff to get the courts stamp on their plan, their desired outcome. Sadly, the Circuit Court of Multnomah County appears to systematically discriminate against some people and give some preferred treatment. Defendant has many examples of this but will hold these for another time to keep this document short. The HOLY Spirit is never wrong, and the HOLY Spirit is showing Defendant that there is collusion happening. Defendant will not submit to a hearing with Judge Eric L Dahlin for the stated reasons.

**Amos 3:3 Can two walk together, except they be agreed?**

### **4. Judge Nan Waller**

Judge Nan Waller is complicit in the illegal Judge change. Judge Nan Waller may not have known of the venomous note Judge Christopher wrote to Defendant. However, when Plaintiff approached Judge Waller to solicit the Judge change, she should have seen to it that Defendant had a say, and a formal say, before any change. Had Defendant been an attorney Judge Nan Waller would have handled the matter differently. And importantly, had Defendant approached Judge Nan Waller with a request to change from the Judge she had assigned (Judge Kantor), she would have directed Defendant to Plaintiff. Judge Nan Waller did NOT direct Plaintiff to Defendant. Instead, she just ordered the change, with no explanation. This was an abuse of Judge Nan Waller's powers and a denial of Defendant's right to a fair hearing and additional rights. This action has changed the course of the litigation regarding the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland OR 97211 and prolonged it. And has all actions that have impacted Defendant's life due to the litigation relating to said property.

### **5. DEFENDANT CANNOT GET A FAIR HEARING WITHIN THE OREGON STATE COURTS The collusion and conspiracy against Defendant have extended even into the Appeals Court**

Defendant does not believe that her case can be heard in the Oregon State Courts without bias. There are some in the court that object to Defendant call out Judge Shelley Russell for her abuse of power. Defendant is being targeted because she has chosen to call out our Judge Shelley Russell on her abuse of power. The collusion and conspiracy against Defendant have spread into the Appeals Court.

Defendant's Appeal to get Judge Shelley Russell removed as the hearing Judge was dismissed when a certain individual discovered that the appeal had to do with Judge Shelley Russell. Defendant's appeal was dismissed on the grounds that she had not responded to the court's request to show cause. (The request to show cause was made by the court when the individual discovered that the appeal had to do with Judge Shelley Russell and her abuse of power). Prior to this, we were at the point of Defendant submitting her opening brief. The transcript had been completed and approved.

**Due to pressures of fighting off the collusion and conspiracy between Plaintiff and Judge Shelley Russell against Defendant. Defendant developed problems with her eyes. This resulted in the need for surgery and due to the continued pressures Defendant's eyes conditions worsened. Defendant's eyes are permanently damaged, and the damage is a direct result of the actions of Plaintiff and Judge Shelley Russell. Defendant's eye conditions have affected Defendant's ability to type at times (particularly on the computer). Defendant has had to ask for extension of time to get some things done. The appeals court used Defendant's need for extra time to show cause, as cover to dismiss Defendant's appeal. As stated, the need to show cause only came about when a certain individual discovered that the appeal had to do with Judge Shelley Russell and the court. Defendant does not know if the order came from above that individual.**

## **6. THE FEDERAL COURT'S INVOLVEMENT:**

Our Heavenly Father is the Judge of judges. Our Heavenly Father searches all the hearts. We cannot hide things from HIM. Our Heavenly Father makes corrections and lead and guide those who trust HIM and look to HIM to do so. Guided by our Heavenly Father, via the Holy Spirit, Defendant filed a lawsuit in the Federal Court (**Case No.:3:22-cv-01540-IM**), when Miss Emilie Edling insisted on scheduling a hearing with Judge Shelley Russell, the very Judge Defendant had been fighting to remove. This told Defendant that the collusion continues, the conspiracy against Defendant continues. Neither Defendant nor Judge Shelley Russell are concerned with justice, even the appearance of it. But for Defendant pushing back (Judge Shelley Russell would not have recused herself.) (This is what puts pressures on Defendant's eyes.) But in God Defendant trust.

**Jeremiah 17:10 I the LORD search the heart, I try the reins, even to give every man according to his ways, and according to the fruit of his doings.**

Defendant has served Plaintiff with the documents of the lawsuit as required by law (Defendant do not know if Plaintiff is evading receipt of the summons. They know how important the summons is. Defendant is hoping that Plaintiff is not going to add an evasion

to their list of bad behavior.) This lawsuit is to allow an independent look at the cases within the Oregon State Courts. This will address the issue of ownership of the property at 5272 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland, OR 97211 once and for all. Plaintiff will have their day in court, and Defendant will have her day in court where both sides will truly be heard. There will be no fix, on either side as sadly, we have had in the Oregon State Courts.

Also, Plaintiff knew of the appeal that is pending before the United States District Court (Case No.: 3:21-cv-01183-MO) which will serve to address Plaintiff's current equity in the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland OR 97211. The brief is due mid-November. Yet Plaintiff solicited a Writ of Assistance to remove Defendant from the property, from Judge Shelley Russell (who has now recused herself due to our Heavenly Father's helping Defendant to push back on her involvement. Defendant thank and Praise God for HIS great help and works. )

Ownership of the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave ., Portland, OR 97211 remains unsettled. No order can bind if there is fraud, collusion within the court, there is bias, there is discrimination, there is prejudice against Defendant, and defendant never receiving a fair hearing, Judges suddenly being changed to judge favorably to Plaintiff. God does not see as man sees. This is not an ordinary case, Flowers v Mississippi (June 2019)

**1 Samuel 16:7 “...the LORD seeth not as man seeth; for man looketh on the outward appearance, but the LORD looketh on the heart.”**

### CONCLUSION

In Conclusion, Defendant request that the court defers to the Federal Court and allow the Federal court to decide the matters relating to the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave. Portland, OR 97211. It is Defendant position that she cannot get a fair trial within the Oregon State Courts. **Defendant is not willing to place herself under the authority of any judge within the Oregon State Courts because the collusion and conspiracy against Defendant is rampant.** Also, a recent article about the Chief Justice Martha L Walters upcoming retirement leads one to conclude that there is a need for an overall withing all the Oregon State Courts having to do with Defendant's rights.

Defendant specifically request that Judge Christopher Marshall formally recuse himself from any action having to do with Defendant, in any way. For, Judge Christopher Marshall's prior actions are at the heart of the abuse of power and prejudicial treatment Defendant has received and the collusion between Plaintiff and the court against Defendant. These thing Defendant has been trying to fight these many years.

Defendant respectfully ask the court to instruct Plaintiff and their representative (Miss Edling) to wait for the outcome of the Federal Court cases. For, if Defendant does not prevail at the Federal Court, the property at 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave Portland, OR 97211 will still be standing. If Defendant is removed from the property and prevails at the Federal Court, the harm done to Defendant cannot be irreversible. Money cannot fully compensate Defendant for the suffering, and permanent damage to her eyes which she has suffered as a direct result of the collusion and conspiracy between Plaintiff and the Oregon State Court. And given Defendant's age, defendant will likely suffer further health problems because of Plaintiff's actions.


Our Heavenly Father is the Judge of Judges. At our Heavenly Father's instruction Defendant will copy the Federal Court on this communication to the State Court. The Holy Spirit knows what must be done, and Defendant in obedience have provided information as lead by the Holy Spirit. Defendant now runs to the protective arm of her God; go under the shadow of the wings of Almighty God. Who is like God? No one is. HE holds all power. I place myself in my Heavenly Father's Hands. There is no better place to be.

**Deuteronomy 33: 26 There is none like unto the God of Jeshurun, who rideth upon the heaven in thy help, and in his excellency on the sky.**

**Psalms 89:8 O LORD God of hosts, who is a strong LORD like unto thee? or to thy faithfulness round about thee?**

**Isaiah 55:8 For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the LORD. 9 For as the heavens are higher than the earth, so are my ways higher than your ways, and my thoughts than your thoughts.**

As always, I pray that our Heavenly Father's will, will be done, in this matter, and in all things upon the earth as it is heaven, in the name of HIS Son, and my Lord, Jesus Christ. The LORD's thoughts are higher than our thoughts, and all are subjected to HIM for HIS purpose is supreme.



Dorothy E Lewis Pro-Se  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

DATE: October 28, 2022

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Original Filed 10/31/2022  
Verified Correctly

I certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served the original of the **OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS RAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS,** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

**X** Hand delivery

**Other (specify):** \_\_\_\_\_



**DATE:** October 28, 2022

Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/31/2022

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served a true copy of the **OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS AMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS**, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee  
Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser LLC  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Other (specify): Email\_ eedling@houser-law.com

**DATE:** October 28, 2022



Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084



**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Verified Correct Copy Original 10/31/2022

certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served a true copy of the **OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS PAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS,** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Honorable Judge Shelley Russell  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:


**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

**United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested**

**Hand delivery**

**Other (specify): email--- Vicky.m.chalfant@ojd.state.or.us**

**DATE:** October 28, 2022



Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/31/2022

certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served a true copy of the **OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS AMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS,** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Judge Eric L Dahlin  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**DATE:** October 28, 2022,



Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Verified Correct Copy of Original 10/31/2022

certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served a true copy of the **OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS PAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS,** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Judge Christopher Marshall  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1<sup>st</sup> Ave  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

**DATE:** October 28, 2022,



Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Original Copy of Original 10/31/2022

I certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served a true copy of the **OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS RAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS,** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

Chief Justice Martha L Walters  
Supreme Court Bldg.  
1163 State Street  
Salem, OR 97301

by the following method of filing:


United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Other (specify): Email Martha.l.walters@ojd.state.or.us

**DATE:** October 28, 2022,



Signature of Defendant. Pro, Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

Direct Copy of Original 10/31/2022...

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR THE FOLLOWING CASES**

**Case No.:3:22-cv-01540-IM**

**And**

**Case No.: 3:21-cv-01183-MO**

**Certify that on, October 28, 2022, I served a true copy of the OBJECTION TO THE INVOLVEMENT OF JUDGE CHRISTOPHER MARSHALL'S INVOLVEMENT IN THE OCTOBER 18, 2022 ORDER, OBJECTION TO JUDGE ERIC N DAHLIN GIVEN THE APPERANCE OF COORDINATION AND A WIDENING OF THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY WITH PLAINTIFF AGAINST DEFENDANT. THE COLLUSION AND CONSPIRACY AGAINST DEFENDANT IS RAMPANT IN THE OREGON STATE COURTS, to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.**

Clerk of Court  
United States District Court  
District of Oregon  
1000 SW Third Ave  
Portland, OR 97204


by the following method of filing:

**United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Other



**DATE:** October 28, 2022,

Signature of Defendant, Pro Se  
Dorothy E Lewis  
5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1200 SW FIRST AVENUE  
PORTLAND OREGON 97204

Case No: 130507175

**WELLS FARGO BANK NA VS.  
DOROTHY E LEWIS**

**ORDER REAPPOINTING  
MOTIONS JUDGE  
TO CASE**

As provided by the court's rules and policy, the Court finds that the above case has progressed to a point in its process where it is appropriate to appoint a judge for the purpose of hearing pretrial motions in this action.

The Honorable Shelley Russell was appointed as Motions Judge. Judge Russell recused herself.

Now therefore the Court orders that the Honorable **Eric L Dahlin** is appointed as **Motions** judge.

If the judge named above is assigned as a motions judge, the case is assigned to that judge to hear all pretrial motions (excluding Summary Judgment motions), except as further provided by order of the Presiding Judge.

The hearing set for 10/21/22 at 10:30 a.m. with Judge Shelley Russell re: Motion for Writ of Assistance is canceled.

The moving party shall contact the motion judge's chambers to schedule a hearing for any pending motions. The moving party must provide to all parties notice of the time, date, and location set for the hearing, and the name of the judge who will hear the motion. Notice to the parties may be by any means of communication to which the parties mutually have agreed. If there is no agreement as to the means for giving notice, then notice must be given in writing and delivered to each party.

October 18, 2022

Date



Christopher J. Marshall, Circuit Court Judge

cc: Judge Shelley Russell  
Judge Eric Dahin  
Catharine Czako, Judicial Assistant  
Celene Campos, Judicial Assistant  
Emilie Edling, Attorney for Plaintiff  
Dorothy Lewis, Defendant (pro se)

Exhibit "S"

Verified Correct Copy of Original 11/16/2022

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OREGON

WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A, as Trustee for the registered holders of Structured Asset Securities Corporation Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-OSI, Plaintiff-Respondent,

v.

DOROTHY E. LEWIS, aka Dorothy Lewis, Defendant-Appellant,

And

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE et al.,

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
130507175

NOTICE OF APPEAL

1.

By the will of our Heavenly Father, the great I AM that I AM, and **ORS 19.205 (3) and the Bill of Rights under the United States Constitution as well as Oregon's** Defendant-Appellant hereby gives notice of appeal from the Order Reappointing Motions Judge To Case signed by Judge Christopher Marshall on October 18, 2022.

2.

The parties to this appeal are:

Appellant:

Dorothy E Lewis 5272 NE 20<sup>th</sup> Ave, Portland, OR 97211,  
Phone No. 503-929-8084

FILED  
22 NOV 16 AM 11:28  
4TH JUDICIAL DIST.



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY OF THE ORIGINAL  
DATED: 01/17/2023  
*Schwartz*  
Schwartz  
COURT CLERK  
Schwartz

Exhibit "T" 8 pages  
Notice of Appeal of  
October 18, 2022 order.

Respondent(s): Wells Fargo Bank, N.A, as Trustee

3.

If the respondent (on appeal) is represented by counsel, provide the name, bar number, and address of the respondent's attorney:

ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT:

Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser LLP  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

4.

Plaintiff-Appellant designates the entire trial court file and some transcripts as the record on appeal. Along with file information from Claim No. 14CV11455 and A176648.

5.

Appellant intends to rely on:

Appellant intents to rely on our Heavenly Father who is TRUTH and judge of all, and who is all knowing and wise; along with the court files.

6

This appeal is timely and otherwise properly filed before the Court of Appeals because:



The Order Reappointing Motions Judge To Case signed by Judge Christopher Marshall on October 18, 2022 and filed on October 18, 2022.

7.

Attached to this notice of appeal is a copy of the judgment that is being appealed.

DATE: November 14, 2022

SIGNATURE:

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Dorothy E. Lewis". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned to the right of the printed word "SIGNATURE:". The signature is written over a horizontal line.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON  
FOR THE COUNTY OF MULTNOMAH  
1200 SW FIRST AVENUE  
PORTLAND OREGON 97204

Case No: 130507175

**WELLS FARGO BANK NA VS.  
DOROTHY E LEWIS**

**ORDER REAPPOINTING  
MOTIONS JUDGE  
TO CASE**

As provided by the court's rules and policy, the Court finds that the above case has progressed to a point in its process where it is appropriate to appoint a judge for the purpose of hearing pretrial motions in this action.

The Honorable Shelley Russell was appointed as Motions Judge. Judge Russell recused herself.

Now therefore the Court orders that the Honorable **Eric L. Dahlin** is appointed as **Motions judge**.

If the judge named above is assigned as a motions judge, the case is assigned to that judge to hear all pretrial motions (excluding Summary Judgment motions), except as further provided by order of the Presiding Judge.

The hearing set for 10/21/22 at 10:30 a.m. with Judge Shelley Russell re: Motion for Writ of Assistance is canceled.

The moving party shall contact the motion judge's chambers to schedule a hearing for any pending motions. The moving party must provide to all parties notice of the time, date, and location set for the hearing, and the name of the judge who will hear the motion. Notice to the parties may be by any means of communication to which the parties mutually have agreed. If there is no agreement as to the means for giving notice, then notice must be given in writing and delivered to each party.

October 18, 2022  
Date



Christopher J. Marshall, Circuit Court Judge

cc: Judge Shelley Russell  
Judge Eric Dahin  
Catharine Czako, Judicial Assistant  
Celene Campos, Judicial Assistant  
Emilie Edling, Attorney for Plaintiff  
Dorothy Lewis, Defendant (pro se)

\_Verified Correct Copy of Original 11/16/2022.\_



CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY  
OF THE ORIGINAL.

DATED: 11 / 10 / 2022

*Melissa Ward*

CLERK OF THE COURT

Melissa Ward

**CERTIFICATE OF FILING**

I certify that on November 14, 2022, I filed the original of the Notice of Appeal with the State Court Administrator at the following address:

**ATTN: Records Section  
State Court Administrator  
Supreme Court Building  
1163 State Street  
Salem, OR 97301-2563**

by the following method of filing:

**Certified Mail**

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Hand delivery

Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, November 14, 2022, I served a true copy of the **Notice of Appeal** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below.

**NOTE:**

If an address is not already provided below then you must fill in the address for each party that you serve. If no address is present then the court will assume that you did not serve that party.

**TRIAL COURT ADMINISTRATOR:**

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1st Ave.  
Portland, OR 97204

**TRANSCRIPT COORDINATOR:**

Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1st Ave.  
Portland, OR 97204

**ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT:**

Emilie K. Edling, OSB #035931  
Houser LLP  
9600 SW Oak Street , Suite 570  
Portland OR 97223

by the following method of filing:


X United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.

United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

Other (specify): \_\_\_\_\_

DATE: November 14, 2022

SIGNATURE:



### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on, November 14, 2022, I served a true copy of the **Notice of Appeal** to the following parties at the addresses set forth below. NOTE: If an address is not already provided below then you must fill in the address for each party that you serve. If no address is present then the court will assume that you did not serve that party.

**JUDGE ERIC DAHIN:**  
Multnomah County Circuit Court  
1200 SW 1st Ave.  
Portland, OR 97204

by the following method of filing:

\_\_\_ United States Postal Service, ordinary first class mail.

\_\_\_ United State Postal Service, certified or registered mail, return receipt requested

\_\_\_ Other (specify): HAND DELIVERED

DATE: November 14, 2022

SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

