

Appendix A

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

IN RE: MEGHAN M. KELLY,
Appellant

(E.D. Pa. No. 2-22-mc-00045)

Present: SHWARTZ, MATEY and PHIPPS, Circuit Judges

1. Motion by Appellant Meghan Kelly for Reconsideration of Order Dated June 20, 2023 denying the recusal of Judge Phipps and Judge Scirica and Pursuant to FRAP Rule 2 for a New Panel to Re-Consider Motions denied by this Court on June 30, 2023 with Addendum in Support;
2. Motion filed by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly to Expedite DI 49-50 Motion for Reconsideration of Order Dated 6/20/23 denying the Recusal of Judge Phipps and Judge Scirica and Pursuant to FRAP Rule 2 for a new panel to Re-Consider Motions denied by this Court on 6/30/23;
3. Motion by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly to Reopen Appeal;
4. Motion by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly for Reconsideration of Order dated June 30, 2023;
5. Motion by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly for Reconsideration of Order Dated June 30, 2023 denying Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief on Appeal;
6. Motion by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly for rehearing on papers/reconsideration of Order dated June 30, 2023 regarding 3 motions to vacate Order dated May 19, 2023 with regards denial of waiver of costs by threatening me with costs, to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, and compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to petition the Court in my defense of the exercise of fundamental rights and compelled violation of my invoked 13th Amendment right against involuntary servitude.

Respectfully,
Clerk/pdb

ORDER

The foregoing motions are denied.

By the Court,

s/ Peter J. Phipps
Circuit Judge

Dated: July 26, 2023
PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly, Esq.

Appendix B

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

CCO-081

No. 22-3372

IN RE: MEGHAN M. KELLY,
Appellant

(E.D. Pa. No. 2-22-mc-00045)

Present: SHWARTZ, MATEY and PHIPPS, Circuit Judges

1. Unopposed Motion by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly to Vacate order dated 05/19/2023;
2. Unopposed Motion by Appellant to Amend Correct Motion to Vacate to Include Pursuant to Fed. R. App. Proc R 27(b) and Rule 40;
3. Motion by Appellant to for Leave to Exceed Word Limit for Corrected Motion to Vacate Order dated May 19, 2023;
4. Motion by Appellant to Correct the Record, Specifically District Court Docket Item, DI 12 under Rule 10 (e)(2)(c) and Rule 27;
5. Motion by Appellant for Extension of Time to File Brief and Appendix for 120 Days to appeal the lower court's order placing license on disbarred as retired but for religious beliefs, religious political beliefs, and religious political speech contained in petitions;
6. Motion by Appellant Meghan M. Kelly to stay of this proceeding including briefing, with the allowance of 30 additional days, when the stay is lifted at the conclusion of case 21-3198.

Respectfully,
Clerk/pdb

ORDER

The motion to exceed word limit is **GRANTED**. The motion to correct the record is **DISMISSED**. All other foregoing motions are **DENIED**.

By the Court,

s/ Paul B. Matey

Circuit Judge

Dated: June 30, 2023

PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly, Esq.

Appendix C

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

In re: Meghan Kelly

(U.S. District Court No.: 2-22-mc-00045)

ORDER

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 3(a) and 3rd Cir. Misc. LAR 107.2(b), it is

ORDERED that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to file a brief and appendix as directed. Appellant's brief and appendix was initially due to be filed on May 30, 2023. The deadline was extended until June 13, 2023. Appellant's motions for further extensions of time were denied by Court order entered this date June 30, 2023.

It is

FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of this order be issued in lieu of a formal mandate.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit
Clerk

Dated: June 30, 2023
PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly, Esq.



A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszuweit

Patricia S. Dodszuweit, Clerk
Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate

Appendix D

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

In Re: Meghan Kelly

(E.D. Pa. No. 22-misc-45)

To: Clerk

- 1) Appellant Meghan Kelly's Motion to Recuse Judges Hardiman, Phipps, Montgomery-Reeves, and Scirica
-

At the direction of the Court, Judge Hardiman and Judge Montgomery-Reeves are recused in this matter. Judge Phipps and Judge Scirica decline to recuse.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszeit
Clerk

Dated: June 20, 2023
PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly

Appendix E

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

In re: MEGHAN M. KELLY,
Appellant
(E.D. Pa. No. 2-22-mc-00045)

ORDER

On May 14, 2023, Appellant submitted 5 separate filings on the docket:

- 1) Docket entry #21 consisting of 909 pages;
- 2) Docket entry #22 a continuation of docket entry #21 consisting of 571 pages;
- 3) Docket entry #23 a continuation of docket entry #21 consisting of 144 pages;
- 4) Docket entry #24 a possible continuation of docket entry #21 consisting of 186 pages; and,
- 5) Docket entry #25 a possible of docket entry #21 consisting of 666 pages.

On May 15, 2023, Appellant submitted 1 filing docket entry #26 consisting of 6 pages explaining why she is submitting letters on the docket. As Appellant explains: "I file letters to refer to docket items with regards to motions since the Court hates 1000s of pages of exhibits, requiring addendums in different docket item 5 numbers, though I reserve this right if required. I thought it would be easier to refer to in a motion I intend to draft for the court."

On May 16, 2023, Appellant submitted 1 filing docket entry #27 regarding the proceedings in the District Court consisting of 104 pages.

On May 17, 2023, Appellant submitted 1 filing docket entry #28 as an supplement to Docket entry #27 consisting of 23 pages.

On May 18, 2023, Appellant submitted 6 filings:

- 1) Docket entry #29 a letter with attachments consisting of 143 pages stating: "I may be required to file an addendum to motions or briefs as I look at the docket below. Placing papers on the docket with letters allows it to be a smaller addendum. Nevertheless,

should this case go before the US Supreme Court I require the items to be on your docket so I may seek to dispense of the need to file a joint appendix to plead on the records in the US Supreme Court.”;

- 2) Docket entry #30 labeled addendum consisting of a motion submitted to the District Court dated October 18, 2022 with exhibits consisting of 414 pages;
- 3) Docket entry #31 labeled addendum consisting of a motion submitted to the District Court with exhibits consisting of 179 pages;
- 4) Docket entry #32 labeled addendum consisting of a motion submitted to the District Court with exhibits consisting of 379 pages;
- 5) Docket entry #33 labeled addendum consisting of additional district court filings consisting of 1204 pages;
- 6) Docket entry #34 labeled addendum consisting of additional district court filings consisting of 604 pages.

Appellant’s serial, stream of consciousness and voluminous filings are overly burdensome to the Clerk’s Office staff and are disruptive to the progression of her appeal and the organization and compilation of this Court’s record. It takes substantial time to review each document, and in many instances no claim for relief is presented. **No action will be taken on docket entries 21 through 34.**

It is unnecessary for the Appellant to enter District Court record documents on this Court’s docket. This Court has access to the District Court record. To the extent that Appellant seeks leave to proceed on the original record, the request is granted. Appellant is relieved of the obligation to file an appendix. If needed, the U.S. Supreme Court will directly request this Court’s and the District Court’s records.

Appellant was previously granted an extension of time to file her brief until June 13, 2023. Contained within her serial filings appears to be a request for a further extension. No action will be taken on any request for additional time contained within documents 21 through 34. If appellant needs to request additional time, Appellant must file an appropriate motion asking for that specific relief. Should Appellant file such a motion, Appellant is limited to 3 pages only.

Any motion should be filed using an appropriate ECF “motion” event and must “state with particularity the grounds for the motion, the relief sought, and the legal argument necessary to support it.” See Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(2)(A). This Court may not act or respond to future informal letters docketed by Appellant.

When deciding the merits of an appeal, the Court ordinarily reviews only the parties’ briefs and the district-court record. Arguments, information, and exhibits contained in other documents (like Appellant’s informal letters) may not be considered. If Appellant does not, by the previously established deadline, file a complete brief containing all her

arguments, this appeal will be dismissed without any further notice. See 3d Cir. L.A.R. 107.2(b).

Appellant is warned that should she continue to submit unnecessary documents, she may be subject to sanctions.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit

Clerk

Date: May 19, 2023

PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly, Esq.

Appendix F

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

In re: Meghan M. Kelly
(E.D. Pa. No. 2-22-mc-00045)

To: Clerk

- 1) Motion by Appellant for Reconsideration of Order dated January 17, 2023, with regards denial of waiver of costs

The foregoing is hereby considered.

To the extent Appellant is requesting to be exempt from costs taxed by this Court, the motion is referred to the merits panel that will be assigned to the case once briefing is complete, as that is the panel of the Court that will make the decision on the taxation of costs. It is noted that Appellant has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, an exemption from PACER fees to access electronic files maintained in this Court for this case, and a wavier from filing paper copies of documents. It is also noted that the District Court record is available electronically and that Appellant is the only party in the appeal. Given this, the District Court will not be preparing or transmitting a paper copy of the record to this Court. In addition, as previously noted, there are no transcripts that will need to be ordered for the appeal. Further, as Appellant is proceeding in forma pauperis, no fee was paid for filing the notice of appeal.

To the extent Appellant is requesting to be exempt from costs imposed by the District Court, to include any PACER fees she may incur for access to the electronic records maintained in the District Court, the request is denied as presented as this Court may only grant exemptions for PACER fees to access cases files maintained in the Court of Appeals. Any request to waive PACER fees to access District Court records must be presented to the District Court.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit
Clerk

Dated: February 1, 2023
PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly

Appendix F

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

In re: Meghan M. Kelly
(E.D. Pa. No. 2-22-mc-00045)

To: Clerk

- 1) Motion by Appellant for Reconsideration of Order dated January 17, 2023, with regards denial of waiver of costs

The foregoing is hereby considered.

To the extent Appellant is requesting to be exempt from costs taxed by this Court, the motion is referred to the merits panel that will be assigned to the case once briefing is complete, as that is the panel of the Court that will make the decision on the taxation of costs. It is noted that Appellant has been granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal, an exemption from PACER fees to access electronic files maintained in this Court for this case, and a wavier from filing paper copies of documents. It is also noted that the District Court record is available electronically and that Appellant is the only party in the appeal. Given this, the District Court will not be preparing or transmitting a paper copy of the record to this Court. In addition, as previously noted, there are no transcripts that will need to be ordered for the appeal. Further, as Appellant is proceeding in forma pauperis, no fee was paid for filing the notice of appeal.

To the extent Appellant is requesting to be exempt from costs imposed by the District Court, to include any PACER fees she may incur for access to the electronic records maintained in the District Court, the request is denied as presented as this Court may only grant exemptions for PACER fees to access cases files maintained in the Court of Appeals. Any request to waive PACER fees to access District Court records must be presented to the District Court.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszuweit
Clerk

Dated: February 1, 2023
PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly

Appendix G

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-3372

In re: Meghan M. Kelly
(E.D. Pa. No. 2-22-mc-00045)

To: Clerk

- 1) Motion by Appellant for leave to appeal in forma pauperis
- 2) Motion by Appellant for permission to use electronic filing and waiver of paper copies to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon access to the courts, and compelled violation of religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise right to petition the Court in defense of the exercise of fundamental rights
- 3) Motion by Appellant to exempt costs due to utter poverty and due to foreseeable costs creating a substantial burden upon access to the courts and forced violation of religious beliefs by threat of indebtedness
- 4) Motion by Appellant for extension of time to file a brief
- 5) Motion by Appellant for permission to exempt PACER fees, to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon access to the courts, and compelled violation of religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise right to petition the Court in defense of the exercise of fundamental rights

The foregoing are hereby considered.

Appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is granted. The Court may reconsider in forma pauperis status or request additional information at any time during the course of these proceedings.

The motion for permission to use electronic filing is denied as unnecessary as Appellant already has electronic case filing privileges in this Court. The request for

waiver of paper copies is granted provided Appellant files all papers through the Court's electronic case filing system.

The motion to be exempt from costs is denied without prejudice to renewal once the Court has entered a decision on the appeal, which would include a ruling on whether costs would be taxed against a party. It is noted that no hearings were conducted in the underlying District Court proceedings and, therefore, no transcripts will need to be ordered.

The motion for extension of time to file a brief is hereby construed as a motion to stay issuance of the briefing schedule for ninety (90) days. So construed, the motion is granted. Absent the filing of a new motion to stay, the Clerk will issue a briefing and scheduling order at the expiration of the 90-day period.

The motion for exemption of PACER fees is granted. Appellant Meghan M. Kelly's PACER Account, No. 6975241, shall be exempt from the payment of fees for access via PACER to the electronic case files maintained in the Court of Appeals in Case No. 22-3372. This exemption shall be applied nunc pro tunc to December 23, 2022 and is valid until December 23, 2023 or the issuance of the mandate in No. 22-3372, whichever occurs first. This exemption may be revoked at the discretion of the Court at any time. A copy of this order will be forwarded to the PACER Service Center. It is noted that Appellant has previously been granted an exemption for Case Nos. 21-3198 and 22-2079.

For the Court,

s/ Patricia S. Dodszeit
Clerk

Dated: January 17, 2023
PDB/cc: Meghan M. Kelly

Appendix H

22-3372 In re: Meghan Kelly "Text Only Order Filed"

From: cmecf_no_reply@ca3.uscourts.gov

To: meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

Date: Tuesday, August 8, 2023 at 05:34 PM EDT

*****NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS***** Judicial Conference of the United States policy permits attorneys of record and parties in a case (including pro se litigants) to receive one free electronic copy of all documents filed electronically, if receipt is required by law or directed by the filer. PACER access fees apply to all other users. To avoid later charges, download a copy of each document during this first viewing.

Third Circuit Court of Appeals

Notice of Docket Activity

The following transaction was filed on 08/08/2023

Case Name: In re: Meghan Kelly

Case Number: 22-3372

Docket Text:

TEXT ONLY ORDER (Clerk) no action will be taken on Appellant's documents filed at docket entry #62 as the matter before this Court is closed. An amended notice of appel cannot be filed in a closed case. As an attorney, Appellant is aware of the proper procedures if she wishes to seek review of the District Court's August 7, 2023 order.. (PD)

Notice will be electronically mailed to:

Meghan M. Kelly