## Exhibit Other Case Continued

(22-3372 IMO Kelly, Third Circuit Docket Item 41-1, filed 5/27/23)

## THIRD CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

MEGHAN M. KELLY,	§	APPEALS COURT
Respondent, Appellee	§	CASE NUMBER:22-3372
	§	DISTRICT COURT
	§	Misc. No. 22-45
V.	§	DISTRICT COURT
United States District Court,	§	JUDGE: The Honorable Paul D.
Eastern District of Pennsylvania	§	Paul S. Diamond, Judge

Appellant Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion to Correct the Record, specifically District Court Docket Item, DI 12 under Rule 10 (e)(2)(c) and Rule 27

I Appellant Meghan Kelly, pursuant to the Court's equitable powers and Federal Rules Appellate Procedure, Rule 10 (e)(2)(c) and Rule 27 move this Court to strike the District Court Eastern District Court Docket item (hereinafter "DI"), Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion pursuant to Local Rule 7.1 (g), FRCP 52, and in addition to or in the alternative of FRCP 59(e), for a rehearing on the *Court Order Denying Request for ECF access, and my corrected Motion to Stay* the Proceeding until the conclusion of both Respondent's originating disciplinary proceeding, and civil rights proceeding until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed located at DI 12 ("Motion for a rehearing on Stay/ECF"), and replace it with the corrected version, located at Third Circuit Docket Item (hereinafter "3DI") 3DI32-1 through 3DI32-3-11, 3DI33-1 through 3DI33-10, 3DI34-1 through 3D34-11, and incorporated herein as an exhibit by reference. I incorporate herein by reference in its entirety as an exhibit the corrected motion to vacate the Order at Third Circuit docket item ("3DI") at

3DI37 and 3DI38, Motion to Correct the Motion to vacate at 3DI38-1, Motion for leave to exceed the word limit in her Corrected Motion to vacate the Order, dated May 19, 2023 at 3DI40, 3DI29 through 3DI34, 3DI36 and any other 3DI I refer to. I further incorporate herein by reference any United States District Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania, District Court Docket Item ("DI") referred to, including but not limited to my efforts to work with the Appellee who made some corrections caused at 3DI-29, the incorrectly filed DI items or changed items DI 10, 11, 12, included in part at 3DI 30, the informal letter to the Appellee court regarding misfiled pleadings at DI-13, located at 3DI-37-14, the corrections the Court made in response to the letter, at DI 15, 16, 17, attached hereto and incorporated herein at 3DI-31 with errors by placing the same motion on the docket below, and aver:

1. Fed. R. App. P. 10 (e) provides:

"e) Correction or Modification of the Record.

(1) If any difference arises about whether the record truly discloses what occurred in the district court, the difference must be submitted to and settled by that court and the record conformed accordingly.

(2) If anything material to either party is omitted from or misstated in the record by error or accident, the omission or misstatement may be corrected and a supplemental record may be certified and forwarded:

(A) on stipulation of the parties;

(B) by the district court before or after the record has been forwarded; or (C) by the court of appeals."

2. I move this Court to grant my request pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 10

(e)(2)(c) by this Court of appeals.

3. There is no opposing party. The Appellee is the US District Court Eastern District of PA District Court in name only.

4. Stipulation is impracticable since the Appellee is the Court.
Stipulation is not needed because I am seeking relief under section 10(e)(2)(C), not under section 10(e)(2)(A). Additionally, it is not necessary for the District Court to grant relief either under section 10(e)(2)(B).

5. Moreover, the Appellee creates an obstacle for me to draft a motion. Appellee is no longer accepts filings in the same manner as I filed below by email, making it more difficult for me to file due to poverty creating a substantial burden.

6. Appellee also entered an Order denying a Motion for ECF filing rights not yet ripe since I had not filed any motion, which was not needed or relevant at the time. See, DI-3-DI-7.

7. Now the court, Appellee acknowledges I never filed a motion. The Appellee Court requested I submit a motion for ECF filing rights, despite its previous Order denying me the same. See. 3DI-27 I incorporate herein by reference in its entirety.

8. Appellee may be booby trapping me again to waste time to create an obstacle to my right to petition. The issue was not ripe or relevant at the time of the Order. See DI 3, DI 7, 3DI Please see the attached 31st affidavit, as an exhibit incorporating the communications by appellee through emails as admissions,

outlining and confirming the fact I never filed a motion for ECF, and the Court now requires I file a motion. I am scared.

9. Nevertheless, the Court below would likely not object to my replacement of the flawed DI 12, with the Corrected Motion for a rehearing on Stay/ECF since the court attempted to work with me per my informal request I incorporate herein by reference. DI 13, 3DI-29, 3DI-30, DI 31.

10. The Court appeared to give up on its attempts rather than continue to correct the record by filing a notice for a hearing prior to addressing outstanding motions or affording me the right to draft a for cause Motion in response to the disciplinary order. DI 14.

11. I called, drafted emails and an informal letter requesting notice on what the hearing was on since I had a number of outstanding motions it could be on. DI 15, DI 16.

12. Instead of providing adequate note, the Court went back to making corrections for which I am grateful. The Court two motions for orders on outstanding orders the Court inadvertently uploaded as exhibits instead of as pleadings by placing them on separate docket items, DI 17, 18, and 19. Albeit the court uploaded one motion twice.

13. The remaining problem is Motion for a rehearing on Stay/ECF DI 12.

14. The Court without explanation denied my motion for a stay and corrected motion for a stay. DI 6. DI 7.

15. I intended to draft a motion for a stay in this case, where if granted I would agree not to file documents until the stay is lifted as to accommodate my case manager and the clerk in light of the order. 3DI 35.

16. In Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure Rule 8 (2)(A)(i)(ii) regarding stays requires:

"(2) Motion in the Court of Appeals; Conditions on Relief. A motion for the relief mentioned in Rule 8(a)(1) may be made to the court of appeals or to one of its judges.

(A) The motion must:

(i) show that moving first in the district court would be impracticable; or

(ii) state that, a motion having been made, the district court denied the motion or failed to afford the relief requested and state any reasons given by the district court for its action."

17. I intended to draft a Motion for a stay until the completion of my civil

rights case pending appeal and potential remands. Should the civil rights case remain alive, I would likely be fighting multiple parties, some of whom are brilliant judges in defense of the exercise of my fundamental rights without interference and punishment but for the state's disagreement with my religiouspolitical beliefs, contained in my speech and petition, exercise of other rights to petitions, to run for office and to cover up about 20 years of State misconduct including compelled violations of religious beliefs. 18. In order to file a stay with this Honorable Court I must show my attempts to move the court below. My attempts were inaccurately prejudicial and incorrectly docketed below which may cause this Court to deny my relief.

19. A stay seems more viable as I require meaningful opportunity to assert my rights in the civil rights case, while I also seek meaningful opportunity to appeal the Appellee's order below to prevent 6 new law suits. I cannot do all things at once, and was already deprived of my First Amendment right to petition in three matters despite my assertion of adequate time in the form of stays. 3DI 38-1.

20. An inaccurate record makes it impossible to have a fair meaningful opportunity to be heard on appeal or below when my electronically filed not paper filed pleadings are inaccurate, have additional papers or are not uploaded to the docket completely.

21. My First Amendment right to petition and my 5<sup>th</sup> Amendment right to be heard on appeal are vitiated when my petitions are not accepted by the court accurately, without extraneous papers, fully or missing material documents.

22. I filed a corrected Motion for a stay below. (DI 6-7) The Court denied it without an explanation. Id. I filed a Motion for a rehearing on denial of the stay. DI 12. The Court misfiled the exhibits to the Motion for reargument on denial of a stay. 3DI-29. So, I filed a letter for corrections. DI 13 I believe the Court may

have taken off someone else's medical records from my pleadings per a staff's comment, but other documents appear to be out of order as of the latest ECF email notice I received. 3DI 30-8, 3DI30-9, 3DI30-10, DI 12-45 through DI 12-47. This denies me of a fair opportunity to be heard by prejudicing me and this appellate court because I cannot easily find the documents I referred to therein in the voluminous misfiled exhibits. Gail Olson, the case manager requested a list to aid in correcting the filings. So, I spent hours over a weekend resending each and every exhibit in order, with a document list outlining the order with the title of each email. These are not docketed or attached. Gail Olson indicated the lists I spent hours on was not what she wanted. The docket remains a mess.

23. DI 12 is misfiled with over 2000 pages of misfiled documents which are critical for the proceeding below as including the material docket items in the Delaware Disciplinary case this reciprocal matter arises from.

24. They are really bad. It was not Exhibits A, B, C, D,.... AA, BB, CC... but the alphabet is uploaded all over the place, missing my exhibits so as deny me the opportunity to be heard in full and fairly in DI 12. The exhibits are out of order for example Exhibit B is at DI 12-32 instead of after Exhibit A located at DI 12-6.

25. An inaccurate record deprives me of a meaningful opportunity to be heard on appeal before this Court and potentially before the US Supreme Court in this disability reciprocal proceeding. It looks like I threw things all of the place when I provided it to the court in order. The Court merely carelessly docketed it when Gail Olson, the case manager was out.

26. Appellee and this Court may not deprive me of the First Amendment right to petition by refusing to docket the petition accurately, despite the voluminous amount of pleadings to consider stemming aver the course of 20 years in violation of the Equal Protections Clause of the 5<sup>th</sup> without an important justification somehow more important than the right to petition, narrowly tailored to uphold that justification.

27. The misfilings prejudice me, and prejudices other pro se filers, including the pro se filer whose pleadings were placed on my docket in error, should they still remain on the docket. This issue is capable of repetition, and yet evading review since inaccurate.

28. The Courts should not fear making mistakes and correcting them. That is why people staff are not replaceable. People staff are important because people make mistakes and need other people's help to correct them. With automation we are out of luck if our mistakes fall outside the algorithms.

29. The problem is when courts cover up their mistakes by attacking those who point them out, ruling against a party because they do not want to fix them, or fire staff like what happened in Kelly v Trump. Two staff were fired.

30. When I petition the Court I seek to improve the administration of justice. Threatening people with sanctions or threatening imperfect staff by firing them destroys and makes the world worse off by injustice.

31. I care about the two staff in the Delaware case who were fired. I am imperfect as this court knows. I am a terrible typist writing under duress without adequate time to plead which prejudices my presentation before this Honorable Court.

32. Yet, perfection is not an element for a private citizen to be afforded Constitutional rights, even the right to petition, especially in criminal like matters such as this proceeding. As a lawyer, albeit placed on disability in DE, I know that I don't have rights unless I assert them. They may be waived. I would rather appear a fool than be a fool for waiving my First Amendment right to religious belief in Jesus.

33. My mom thinks the courts think I am dumb, and I should give up. I would die before I give up on my religious belief in Jesus, and standing up for my freedom and the freedom of others to believe by the dictates of their conscience as

opposed to the dictates of the dollars by whoever buys them under the current case law which eliminates freedom of religion under the guise of safeguarding it. The recent high court cases make freedom for sale, not free by entities who force their beliefs upon their employees in order to buy or sell, or in my case to even have a government issued license to buy and sell. This is government backed private establishment of government religion based on business not freedom.

34. I believe people sin for acting like mobsters by using money and might to get their will be done instead of using their minds, to think, to talk to persuade others without economic or physical threat, leaving the door open to learn from one another. I believe people sin by using weapons and wallets instead of words in disagreements. I believe that God teaches "justice in the courts is a command" to care for not control people. *Amos* 5:15 I believe courts are the place to resolve disagreements not the banks and not the war barracks.

35. I am cognizant there are 91 central banks, maybe more now, who have formed an alliance called the Network for Greening the Financial System ("NGFS") to take over the court's authority of rendering justice under a credit debt system of control. This new economic government global system enslaves people by forcing their collective will be done, making the mark of beast the Bible speaks of reign by threat of economic and potential physical force the norm replacing the impartial rule of law.

36. The Banks will take over the courts and the government if the courts do not stop it.

I write under great duress. I am injured, with a permanent injury 37. wherein I invoke my right to life. I had an ovary removed when I was a teenager. The doctors said I would have my period every other month. They were wrong. I have had my period every month, and become dangerously dehydrated, losing about five pounds of water weight for a week or so each month. I require time, to sustain my health due to the harmful healthcare I received as a youth. I assert my First Amendment right of religious exercise of the right to live, and not harm my health or die for the sinful lawless lusts and vanities of mere men in place of God. I attach my health record, my healthcare studies, some of my proposals to improve our harmful deadly healthcare I wrote about when I ran for office in Delaware and other information I incorporate herein by reference as an exhibit hereto at 3DI17-4 page 1 to 9. I have religious objections to healthcare. I outline some of those objections at 3DI-2 pages 7-19. I also attached at 3DI2 page 22-page52, dangerous healthcare agendas by affiliates of the World economic Forum which are coming into fruition during our time. On an aside, it appears that my mailed papers at 3DI2 were uploaded with errors which are not critical to correct. For instance, there is an error at Page 71 and other pages.

38. Each month I lose five pounds of water weight. This causes serious harm to my health and life if I am not afforded time to alleviate it. I have not been able to alleviate it, and have been in a dangerous state of dehydration for months.

39. More than one eye doctor also told me I am at high risk for blindness by a retinal detachment, and that if I should lose vision I should seek immediate care to prevent blindness.

40. I believe dehydration increases the risk. I recently lost vision in one eye, and am recovering. That injury was not a retinal detachment. Yet, it left an increase in floaters which increases the risk that I will require surgery to prevent blindness. 3DI22-4 to 3DI 22-5. I have an appointment with an eye doctor to check my vision on June 14, 2023. I am scared. I do not want my health to worsen, and I do not want to die.

41. I am also in duress since I have multiple law suits I must address to preserve my private exercise of fundamental rights including my right to believe in Jesus Christ without eliminating my right to buy and sell but for the government's belief my religious belief is a disability.

42. One law suit is the civil rights case before the Third Circuit *Kelly v Swartz, et. al.* This civil rights case relates to the Delaware Disciplinary proceeding this reciprocal law suit arises from. DI 1, DI 2.

43. While I intend to place an ADA claim in the civil rights case for lack of asserted accommodations to sustain my health which has interfered with my meaningful access to the courts during the Delaware Disciplinary proceeding, the state deemed my religious beliefs a disability, not my permanent physical requirements or limitations. 3DI21-5, 3DI21-6, 3DI21-7.

44. The Delaware Disciplinary procedure was so lacking in notice or opportunity to be heard as to constitute a deprivation of due process. 3DI21-7. The State of Delaware ("State") callously required I attend a hearing without proper notice, adequate time, without opportunity to call witness, and so many more defects while I was ill recovering from the shingles and allergies. The State cared not for any health ailments. It did not deem my physical health a disability by requiring I attend. Frankly, I showed up after a sleepless night because I did not want to be placed in contempt. The State had notice of my health limitations. I provided them in my answers to the Disciplinary petition. I also provided notice of my health limitations and required needs to preserve my life and health in all of my cases before the Delaware Courts, including but not limited to Kelly v Democrats and Kelly v Trump. 3DI21-3-3DI21-4. The State's case was brought to cover up almost 20 years of Constitutional deprivations and to punish me for my private exercise of Constitutional rights including but not limited to my private-First Amendment right to petition the court to alleviate substantial burdens upon my

religious exercise, run for office, private right to religious-belief, private exercise of speech and other rights.

45. My First Amendment right to believe and exercise my faith in Jesus Christ is the most important freedom I seek to safeguard without punishment by elimination of my government license to buy and sell as a lawyer but for my religious belief.

46. My faith in Jesus is genuine even if the State finds it repugnant.

47. I am also under duress because I found out the plan to crash the economy to get out of the biggest bill falling due globally, the baby boomers' retirement, healthcare and social security globally. I tried to tell the Delaware Chancery Court and the Delaware Supreme Court about the baby boomers getting duped out of social security and retirement by filing letters in *Kelly v Trump*. I knew this economic downturn was schemed to happen. I do not want old people to be harmed. I want the courts to unravel the schemes to reverse or prevent the economic crash to prevent the schemed overthrow of the government.

48. I proposed a way to pay for the baby boomers' social security and retirement unearned required in my Complaint in the civil rights case *Kelly v Swartz*. The ideas I proposed are not original. They are based on the teachings of the Bible. The ideas I proposed are also based on the same ideas President Lincoln and Kennedy. There is a way out to reverse or prevent the crash.

49. I am not sacred of having bad ideas, or brainstorming new ones. I do not choose to standby and allow people, especially old people to be harmed by schemes. I drafted articles of impeachment to safeguard the free speech of NFL players without government incited private firings under 18 USC 227. I drafted articles of impeachment in an attempt to prevent kidnapping of babies at the border while safeguarding due process. Even if I fail, someone else smarter than I, like you judges, may succeed in loving others better than I tried.

50. I am in tears because I am scared this Court may not consider saving old people, Americans, America and the world in my civil rights case or in a different case due to the adjudication of disability I seek to overturn. The Courts are our only hope of a hero. I placed two readable books by the World Economic Forum on 3DI30-7 and 3DI30-8 outlining schemes under the guise of predictions or agendas in hopes this court might read them to understand them in order to stop them.

51. It is also upsetting to consider all of the Constitutional deprivations by the State of Delaware I will have to draft a Motion for a rehearing or reagument in the civil rights case soon. I incorporate herein by reference my reply to the ODC's corrected response to my objections against discipline herein by reference which includes additional deprivations of my fundamental rights. 3DI21-7.

52. I also am in duress as I have another deadline I must meet or lose the right to petition by Tuesday, May 30, 2023 relating to PA reciprocal discipline

53. I await with fear to learn how I may appease the Court without
waiving my asserted Constitutional rights. 3DI35-40. See Bible, *Matthew* 5:4, *Luke*6:24- *Luke* 6:26.

54. I am sorry for my errors and typos, and my feeble attempts of making it easier on the case manager and clerk only to make it more difficult.

55. I am not sorry for standing up for my religious beliefs and my belief in the courts and in you in seeking justice for all by asking you for help by exercising the First Amendment right to petition.

56. Please do not throw me away as unworthy of rights.

Wherefore I pray this Court grants this Motion.

May 27, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/Meghan Kelly</u> Meghan Kelly, Esquire 34012 Shawnee Drive Dagsboro, DE 19939 meghankellyesq@yahoo.com (302) 493-6693 (3,801 words)