

Exhibit 3

Meghan Kelly

Docket Number 21-2198

Initial Question, No question Number

My issues on appeal are:

I. Whether the District Court erred as a matter of law, overlooking or misunderstanding of the facts, and on Constitutional grounds in the Court's November 2, 2021 order, and Memorandum of Law, dated November 2, 2021 in:

1. Denying Plaintiff's motion to expedite,
2. Dismissing as moot Plaintiff's motions for temporary restraining order and exemption from bond, preliminary injunction and exemption from bond, motion to e-file with waiver of costs, and motion to appear remotely, under the discretion of the court due to poverty and to protect the parties and the court during a global pandemic,
3. Denying Plaintiff's letter-motion for emergency relief with permission to serve such letter/motion to Defendants along with the Complaint and other motions through the US Marshall.
4. Dismissing the case by abstaining under the *Younger* abstention doctrine, and
5. ordering the clerk to close the case,

Given the evidence shows the Delaware Supreme Court and Chancery Court or their members or agents incited or participated in the conspiracy to interfere, harass, or pressure me to forgo my case against former President Donald J. Trump, and substitution of President Biden for Donald J. Trump to protect my free exercise of religion, speech, and association from

government sponsored persecution for such exercise, and to dissolve the establishment of government religion by seeking to enjoin former President Trump and current President Biden from enforcing executive orders creating a union of government-religious entity partnerships, including enjoinder of Executive Order No. 13798, maintained and reestablished by President Biden by his enforcement of E.O. 13798, and President Biden's enforcement of Ex. Or. No. 13198, Jan. 29, 2001, as amended by Ex. Or. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021; Ex. Or. No. 13199, Jan. 29, 2001, as revoked by Ex. Or. No. 13831, May 3, 2018; Ex. Or. No. 13279, December 12, 2002, as amended by Exec. Or. No. 13559, November 17, 2010; Ex. Or. No. 13559, Nov. 17, 2010; Ex. Or. No. 13831, May 3, 2018, and Biden's enactment of Ex. Or. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021 ("executive orders"). These executive orders allow money or support to be transferred between government agents and religious organizations based on a bought or bartered for partnership between government and religion, not based on safeguarding freedom from forced government religion or forced relationships with religious entities to gain the bartered for government support through such religious entities.

The money in the bought, not free union of church and state, is one reason why religious-political attacks seemed to have increased in recent years. President Biden's Valentine's Day executive Order, Ex. Or. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021, is troubling since it appears to allow government money to be bestowed to religious organizations, like churches in other countries.

The Chancery Court and Delaware Supreme Court's agents' or members' interference in *Kelly v Trump*, and participation in retaliation against me in instigating a petition to disbar me as an active attorney for the exercise of Constitutionally protected rights were motivated by their members' or agents' desire to suppress or demean or disapprove of my petitions, religious beliefs, speech, political association, and, or poverty in violation of the First Amendment

applicable to the Defendants pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment and in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1985 (2)(b) or 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The August 23, 2021 letter the District Court refers to in his memorandum refers to both Chancery Court and Delaware Supreme Court pleadings or petitions as the reason for the Defendants petition against me to disbar me as an active lawyer based on my faith in Jesus Christ, per paragraph 7, in the petition. Though it is remarkable that the District Court offers assurance of the Delaware Supreme Court's jurisdiction while omitting the reference to the petitions to the Delaware Supreme Court as the source the Office of Disciplinary noted for the reason to bring a petition against me.

I petitioned the Delaware Supreme Court to suspend lawyer fees for lawyers out of work during the pandemic. The Court denied my request, indicating they would make determinations on a case-by-case basis.

I made a second request, noting I could not ask the honorable court to violate the Constitution by selective application towards me, as an attorney within a similarly situated class of lawyers facing economic hardship in violation of the Equal Protections Clause applicable to the Court pursuant to the Fourteenth Amendment.

No response was given by the Delaware Supreme Court. I paid the fees. Two months later, De-Lapp an arm of the Delaware Supreme Court and co-conspirator with the Defendants threatened me, requiring a response to their desire to investigate me within 10 days, based on my request for a waiver of fees to the court. How did they know to retaliate against me for the petition, unless the Delaware Supreme Court or their agent told them? De-Lapp's agents

obviously were not concerned about helping me pay for the attorney active license registration fees since I made such payment two months before they threatened me.

The Delaware Supreme Court may have had pure motives, concern for poverty by reporting my poverty to the arm. Those motives appeared to turn sour since the Delaware Supreme Court never responded to my second letter relating to relief from lawyer registration fees.

Recently, the Delaware Supreme Court agent ignored my requests to attend a Delaware CLE, which was unusual for her, and appeared to be in conspiracy to retaliate against me and black ball me from participating in activities open to Delaware barred lawyers, based on the petition Defendants brought against me concerning my belief in Jesus Christ.

In addition, one of the Delaware Supreme Court justices came into the law library and asked for federal court jury instructions, I believe to prepare to sue me or help a court agent to sue me.

The evidence shows a member of the Chancery Court appeared to seek to sabotage my case, by preventing me to almost miss my filing deadline, and by instructing me to cross off local counsel's address on my praecipe to prevent service, to prevent my case going forward based on her disagreement with my political, religious beliefs or to cover up mistakes or misbehavior. The same staff member appeared to write on my October 5, 2021 praecipis, and underline the praecipis I drafted on October 12, 2021 to testify and be a witness without cross examination for the appellate justices to gain clarification, instead of filing them as I presented them, unmarked. I do not want her to get into trouble.

In April, 2020, Judge Kenneth S. Clark of the Court of Common Pleas interrogated me at BJ's, a bulk grocery store, located in Millsboro, DE in a threatening matter, apparently backed by the Office of Disciplinary Counsel ("ODC"), disapproving of my religious beliefs and law suit.

The evidence, if accepted as pleaded shows the Delaware Supreme Court through its members or agents instigated the ODC's proceedings against me which creates manifest prejudice against me, or at least appeared to instigate the ODC's proceedings against me, giving the appearance of lack of partiality, and the inability to grant me a fair trial in the above referenced matter.

Whether the Delaware District Court is the only court which may afford me a fair trial since the Chancery Court lacked jurisdiction to enjoin the Defendants' unlawful proceeding, as applied, since the facts arose in the Chancery and Delaware Supreme Courts, creating the appearance of lack of impartiality or actual partiality.

II. Whether the pleadings if accepted as true evidence the Delaware Supreme Court, the Preliminary Executive Committee, and Board of Professional Responsibility for the state of Delaware, lack subject matter jurisdiction due to illegality of the petition brought in retaliation against me for the exercise of my protected rights motivated by Defendants' desire to punish me based on my First Amendment exercise of the right to petition, associate, speak, exercise religion and, or poverty, requiring the issue to be remanded to the District Court.

III. Whether the pleadings if accepted as true evidence the Delaware Supreme Court, the Preliminary Executive Committee, and the Board of Professional Responsibility for the state of Delaware lack subject matter jurisdiction, based on violating my procedural and substantive due process grounds, as applied, since the Delaware Supreme Court appeared to participate in the

Defendants' interference in my exercise of protected rights, and petition against me for the exercise of those rights, requiring the issue to be remanded to the District Court for consideration.

IV. Whether the Delaware Supreme Court, the Preliminary Executive Committee, and the Board of Professional Responsibility for the state of Delaware lack subject matter jurisdiction due to the fact the appellate court, the Delaware Supreme Court appeared to instigate, participate in the interference in my law suit Kelly v Trump, and the retaliatory procedure Defendants brought, denying me of a fair trial as I cannot ask the Delaware Supreme Court members to be witnesses, to ask them about their apparent participation, in a case they preside over. Pursuant to Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 605, 28 U.S.C.A. 605, "The presiding judge[s] may not testify as a witness at the trial."

Whether the evidence if accepted as pleaded evidence the members of the Delaware Supreme Court are material witnesses to the facts relating to the Defendants' petition, and should dismiss or at least enjoin the petition based on lack of subject matter, to be remanded to the District Court.

V. Whether the petition must be dismissed by the Defendants under 28 USCS § 455 (b)(1) (5)(iv) and 28 USCS § 455, (a),(b)(1), since the Delaware Supreme Court members' impartiality might be reasonably be questioned as the facts evidence the Delaware Supreme Court's members or agents appeared to have instigated or participated in the ODC's and their agents' or co-arms interference with my case Kelly v Trump, and retaliation against me by bringing the petition I seek to enjoin, but for the exercise of protected freedoms, motivated to suppress my religious beliefs, speech and petitions.

VI. Whether the facts pled which were not discussed by the district court, if proved, would show the proceeding brought by Defendants, is unlawful as applied, and the issue should be remanded to the district court for consideration.

VII. Whether my claims for infliction of emotional distress and potential damages which were not discussed by the district Court, should be remanded to the district court for consideration.

VIII. Whether in the interest of justice, I should be permitted to include a claim for nominal damages against the Defendants, in light of the expedited nature and irreparable injury I face.

Meghan Kelly

Docket Number 21-2198

Question Number 5 List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

My parents gave me the Toyota Corolla because they were ashamed of my ugly car I drove when I ran for office. I wish I kept my ugly car. No one would ever steal because it was so ugly, but it ran so well.

My parents requested I get rid of my previous vehicle, and take my father, the legendary Coach Pat Kelly's vehicle. My parents sometimes forget they gave me my dad's car, and are stressed about money as many are during the pandemic and designed runaway inflation. Sometimes they require I pay for it back if I am able, but I think my dad needs it back. He needs a car, and my old law firm would give me a company vehicle, upon employment, which would

work well for my family. I would like to give the car back to my parents, if I get my old job back as planned. On an aside, my father taught Civics, coached basketball and life guarded in Sussex County, Delaware. My dad combatted racism with love by teaching students they were loved regardless of material worth, race, religion or place of origin and required they respect each other, unearned. Since, my dad, the legendary Pat Kelly and beautiful mother, Mary Kelly, left Delaware to live in Florida I noticed racism, perceived foreign persecution and nationalism have picked up in my home town. I hope this Court will be the hero the world needs on a grander level than my father by teaching citizens they are valued regardless of material worth, race, religion or place of origin, and to respect the inherent dignity and worthiness of life others have, unearned, required. People are the treasure, not money and material things. The later is moth and rust.

Meghan Kelly

Docket Number 21-2198

Question 12. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the docket fees for your appeal.

The Delaware District Court approved my motion to file in forma pauperis per the attached, labeled exhibit 1.

I am approved for food stamps, per the attached confirmation, labeled as Exhibit 2.

In addition, I have not worked since December 14, 2018, per the attached letter from Cape Henlopen School District, labeled at Exhibit 3.

I took a sabbatical to work, free of charge, to encourage federal law makers to pass legislation.

I tried to garner support to pass House Resolution 341 to prevent offshore drilling by removing the stator power granting former President Trump authority to grant leases through his executive order.

In addition, since I studied the history of medicine in a course at University of Delaware, and healthcare law and healthcare finance in law school, I proposed legislative solutions to improve

I also proposed ways to fully fund social security.

I contacted all 541 federal law makers, either by phone, fax, email, letter or postcard in an attempt to get them to impeach President Trump based on one of the five proposals I drafted, to no avail. My money ran out, but my desire for justice and mercy by using the law for correction, not condemnation had not run out.

So, I applied for legislative attorney positions in hopes to draft just laws.

I also attempted to run for President of the United States. I contacted all 50 states' and some of the territories' Department of Elections concerning waivers of the signature and filing fees so as not to require my religious beliefs to run for office, with no success in grants. I asked the ACLU for help in most states, but was rejected. No one would help me. Maybe, I am the help.

I focused additional time, working for free, without pay to run for the federal House of representatives without violating my religious beliefs by asking for signatures or donations or

paying filing fees, as I believe such requirements make the government seats for sale, not based on free choice by the people by vote, but the bought choice of only those who could bribe or buy candidates to bend their wills through money or support in violation of Jesus the Christ's teachings. I believe requiring signatures and money is also in violation of Jesus the Christ's teachings in Matthew 6:1-4¹, misleading the majority of people in office to hell, without repentance, and those they mislead the public to the second death too by focusing their eyes on money driving out their eyes looking at others outside of their own with love, what Jesus teaches is the evil eye. ²

¹ In Matthew 6:1-4 Jesus teaches, "Be careful not to practice your righteousness in front of others to be seen by them. If you do, you will have no reward from your Father in heaven. So when you give to the needy, do not announce it with trumpets, as the hypocrites do in the synagogues and on the streets, to be honored by others. Truly I tell you, they have received their reward in full. But when you give to the needy, do not let your left hand know what your right hand is doing, so that your giving may be in secret. Then your Father, who sees what is done in secret, will reward you."

² In Matthew 6:22-24 "The light of the body is the eye: if therefore thine eye be good, thy whole body shall be full of light. But if thine eye be evil, thy whole body shall be full of darkness. If therefore the light that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness! No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and money. *See, Matthew 5:29* "If your right eye causes you to stumble, gouge it out and throw it away. It is better for you to lose one part of your body than for your whole body to be thrown into hell." *Also see, Matthew 18:9* "And if your eye causes you to stumble, gouge it out and throw it away. It is better for you to enter life with one eye than to have two eyes and be thrown into the fire of hell. I do not believe Jesus means for us to pluck out our eye balls, but to pluck out the evil in our eyes, looking at others with lusts, what you can get for your own instead of love, by plucking out the evil in our minds, what the Bible calls our foreheads, and in our hearts giving into temptations for lusts to make merchants money, driving out our love for one another. Jesus teaches he speaks in parables so those with hardness of hearts who reflect the devil's image, not yet saved from the second death, will not understand, and those who choose to lay down human desires to love do understand, the saved. *Matthew 13:11.*

The pandemic hit in 2020, and I gave up my aspirations of making a difference by gaining a position in government to draft just laws that care for people, while repealing unjust decrees which focus on profit at the cost of sacrificing individual liberties, people's lives, health and the environment.

I was also negotiating and hoping to get my old job back, with my former law firm, where I would be performing real estate settlements, but the Defendants' wrongful retaliation against me prevent me from gaining the position, and may cause my former law firm to lose interest in me. Hence, I have no income, and the Defendants may cut off prospects for me to earn income, should they deem me as unworthy of working as mentally disabled, which is quite insulting for merely believing, and thinking differently, and petitioning the Court to allow me to speak, think and believe differently based on my religious exercise and beliefs than the government agents' forced worship of what I believe is the mark of the beast, business greed.

I also have religious reasons related to the pandemic for not working. I am a Christian. I believe people go to hell for prescribing and accepting our harmful health care. Since we are in a global pandemic, I am seeking to avoid getting sick, to avoid being damned to hell by forced healthcare that may kill my life and eternal life. I actually wanted to go back to my old law firm, and was negotiating for a position, but the pandemic hit. I previously decided it was not worth the risk of losing my life and eternal life at this time, should the law firm still be interested in me in light of my unpopular beliefs as a believer in Jesus the Christ. With the threat of the Defendants deeming me unworthy of work due to my religious beliefs, petitions, speech and associations, and the Delaware Supreme Court's permission to perform real estate settlements remotely, I would take my old job back immediately should they give me an offer, even during the pandemic, due to the kind accommodations the Delaware Supreme Court granted to real

estate lawyers to keep people alive, and the threats Defendants pose towards my reputation and ability to work ever again in any field.

I have religious objections to healthcare and studied the history of horrors of healthcare in a class at UD. I believe more evil is done by health care professionals and mental healthcare professionals than any other industry, even the military, because of the deception that they save lives only to destroy lives and destroy eternal lives in the second death for money. The fact doctors and nurses were doing what the industry and the professional standard told them to do across the line, does not prevent harm or, I believe, their damnation in hell for not seeking to know, and for failure to repent of hardness of hearts for cold hard cash.

Just laws are a way to prevent health care workers from damnation in hell by eliminating unjust laws that reward wrong doing, making it profitable to use people as test dummies or as human commodities for profit, or providing comfort care making people feel better instead of be better.

When I was young at UD, I remember learning that charities used people as test dummies in Africa, under the guise of healing them to only harm patients by causing cleft palates. Then, the same wrong doers used the alleged shield of charity or not for profits to gain monetary interests by fundraising or tax breaks to correct the harm they caused by using people as lab rats, essentially selling patients' souls for money while I believe, behaving like devils, unknowingly or not, by teaching the lie they seek to help people, only to harm them for business greed.

I observe the same wrongs continued. Individuals within pharmaceutical entities who have paid out money in law suits are still able to be rewarded some of the roughly 33 billion a year in NIH fundings. People within entities, investors or otherwise, are permitted to make

money off of the alleged cure too, despite recent judgments against them, through their entities which shields them from personal concern or responsibility. Injustice continues. I believe money is the problem, not the solution. Just laws and justice in the courts is the solution.

I believe our forced industry system, stemmed in a forced market by money, grants, donations to colleges or entities market, backed by professional standards accepted by the court across the board stifles innovation and improvements based on freedom of thought, speech, criticism and debate, than an otherwise free market would create. Our current system constrains free will, by increasing the temptations to conform to the forced will of those who buy or barter for the government official's partiality through donation, praise or otherwise, making everyone else no longer free, but for sale in a take it or leave it economy.

I believe nurses and doctors are still damned to hell for not knowing treatment causes harm. Preventing their punishment for straying from the standards to encourage them to use their free will, their brain, to think, to care, to know, to love those they serve, safeguarding patients above pockets, is a just policy. Also protecting those the court is correcting by preventing injustice guaranteed by relying on professional associations which per se, value the bottom line more than humanity, since associations run on the bottom line, unable to reflect the image of God by love. HIPPA protects profit, not patients, as attorneys cannot easily obtain nonparty medical records to show that doctors knew or should have known of the harm or potential harm to patients. Guiding health professionals through correction, not condemnation in court, will prevent future harm to patients and may save healthcare workers' souls by teaching them to use their own brain, free will, to care to know, to truly care for the health and lives of the people they serve, the patients.

I also believe healthcare professionals sin by taking the life blood, or organs from people to perform studies on or to use on other patients. See, *Leviticus* 17:14, *Genesis* 9:4-5. I only realized this recently as I looked into sinister tests of blood for wicked gain, not good. See for example attached here as Exhibit 4 the article available at <https://www.bbc.co.uk/bbcthree/article/347828f8-6e7f-4a9b-92ab-95f637a9dc2e>

Testing blood is sin. I also believe people go to hell for cremation or for grinding up bones.³ So, I do not eat things with gelatin or gel cap medicine in them. By cremating bones people show they do not care to know God or love God. God teaches our loved ones are not in heaven or thrown into the fire of the second death yet. The bible teaches about the resurrection of the bones with a potential new body to be judged for eternal life or the second death. See, e.g. Revelation 20:13, Ezekiel Chapter 37, Matthew 25:32-46. Many self-proclaimed Christian government leaders adopt this pagan belief that the dead are already in heaven and hell. That is not what God, the father, Jesus, the son, or the holy spirit teach. It is an eternally deadly error to teach lies as truth, to give comfort in a lie. Throughout scripture we learn people are damned to hell for adding to scripture or getting it wrong should they not correct themselves. Yet the watchmen are damned to hell for failing to share truth too. I know God. I am placing my life and eternal life on that partial, not yet complete knowledge of God.

³ In *Amos* 2:1, people got into trouble with God for cremating a king's bones. I believe they will be damned to hell, even marketing or encouraging cremations to save money, I believe will damn people to hell for their careless words to serve the mark of the beast, business greed, at eternal human sacrifice, should they not repent of such evil. The Bible teaches ignorance is not innocence to God with regards to confusing love verses people pleasing lusts. This is what the LORD says: "For three sins of Moab, even for four, I will not relent. Because he burned to ashes the bones of Edom's king." The material gain is never worth human sacrifice or human eternal sacrifice. People are the treasures. Money should serve people. People should not be controlled by money and sacrificed for others to gain money.

I have other objections to healthcare beyond what I write here. In *the Fourth Industrial Revolution*, written by the founder of the world economic forum's founder, other wicked schemes are proposed to harm life and human life under the guise of DNA improved healthcare. See Exhibit 5 for pages of the book relating to Frankensteinish care under the guise of healthcare, even "designer babies". Please note, the book describes the metaverse attributes, the space waste to waste money to make banks, or those who control currency power to control the world through enslaving the world to debt, and other information relevant today. I believe the items discussed in the book were already created back in 2016 or before then. But wrongdoers used and are using psychology, which I believe teaches the marked of the beast, the damned as fact, to manipulate and condition the masses to implement their scheme to overthrow government authority to take control of not only the United State's citizens, but the globe.⁴ The

⁴ Please note, the former Presidents, Obama, Bushes, Clinton, Trump and even Vice President Biden, and Mr. Trump's daughter attended the world economic forum meetings. So, they are in the know, or should be in the know of the controlled, stifling innovation with money, not free economy improving the economy with something more valuable than money, the freely shared thoughts of humanity to improve products and services. The schemes will sacrifice retirement and healthcare for the baby boomers to save money should the courts not save our elderly and the world. The other two branches are too scared to lose their support for their seats to do the right thing without the honorable court's help, to help them bravely do the right thing, love humanity over money, instead of human sacrifice for money. The inflation is by design, with planted scape goats. The donors are playing both parties, blinding their eyes with money and support. I pray this Honorable court sees clearly, to be the hero we need, to prevent the

entire book is attached as an exhibit within the docket, at D.I. 3 at District Court Exhibit 8.

Schemes were shared in his book back in 2016. Since Bill Gates, a partner to the World Economic Forum is assisting in vaccines, I fear people are being exploited as lab rats for experiments that may harm them without protections in the form of the rule of law to require caring for patients, and correction if harm results. Instead, our laws allows and rewards hiding of wrongs, and rewards ignorance and not knowing of knowledge tied to culpability, by delegation of duties as innocence. So, knowing of harm to prevent harm is sadly discouraged.

Since the pandemic appears to be getting worse, I am not likely to find safe employment other than with my former law firm, if they still are interested in me, and am without income to pay for Court fees. Thank you for your kind consideration.

foreseeable planned harm or reverse it down the line, which is possible, no matter what anyone argues.