

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Meghan M. Kelly, Petitioner

v.

Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B. Swartz, Disciplinary Counsel Kathleen M. Vavala; David A. White, Chief Disciplinary Counsel, Office of Disciplinary Counsel, Board on Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware, Preliminary Investigatory Committee, Attorney General Delaware

Petitioner Meghan Kelly’s Application to Justice Alito for Leave for an extension of time to file a petition for writ of certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit Case No 21-3198

Dated July 26, 2023

Respectfully submitted,

/s/Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Pro se

Not acting as an Attorney

34012 Shawnee Drive

Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

(302) 493-6693

Bar No. 283696, pro se, defending my religious belief in Jesus as God, not money and mammon as God. *Matt 6:24*

Table of Contents

ORDERS.....2
JURISDICTION.....2
STATEMENT OF CASE.....1-30
RELIEF REQUESTED.....30

Appendix

Appendix (hereinafter “App.”)

App. A	June 30, 2023 Order and opinion dismissing the case.....	1, 2
App. B	April 20, 2023 Order and opinion dismissing the case.....	1
App. C	June 22, 2023 Order denying the petition for a rehearing.....	1
App. D	June 20, 2023 Order Denying 1. Motion to recuse Judge Scirica, 2. Motion for a caveat to recusal for judicial consideration of drafting laws to prevent non-lawyers and non-judges from practicing law or taking the place of people judges without government authority, and 3. Motion for a Second Caveat to Motion for this Court to recuse Judge Scirica to move him for judicial consideration of drafting laws to prevent judges from speaking engagements on behalf of political think tanks such as lobbyists at the Federalist Society.....	1
App. E	July 10, 2023 Order Denying En Banc request and Motion for Reconsideration of Order denying recusal of Scirica.....	1
App. F	March 15, 2023 Order denying recusal of Judge Phipps	
App. G	January 6, 2023 Order granting an extension of time and denying of a stay.....	1
App. H	February 9, 2023 Order Denying a stay pending the US Supreme Court’s denial of Judge Phipps Jan 6, 2023 Order denying a stay.....	2
App I	Email to opposing counsel asking for her stance on this petition for 60 additional days.....	2
App J through App.P are from another case the Third Circuit rendered Orders on the same date they ordered this case dismissed 6/30/23		
App J	Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly’s Opening Brief moving the Third Circuit Court of Appeals to vacate the Delaware District Orders (DI. DI 16-17, 30-31, 59-60), and to remand the matter to the Delaware District Court for consideration, dated October 22, 2022, and Appellant Meghan Kelly’s Petition for a Panel Rehearing, dated June 3, 2023.....	2
App K	Appellant Meghan Kelly’s motion for reconsideration of Order Dated June 20, 2023 denying the recusal of Judge Phipps and Judge Scirica and Pursuant to FRAP Rule 2 for a new panel to re-consider motions denied by this Court on June 30, 2023.....	3, 4
App L	Appellant Respondent Meghan Kelly’s Motion for leave to exceed the word and page limit in her motion for reconsideration of Order Dated June 20, 2023 denying the recusal of Judge Phipps and Judge Scirica and Pursuant to FRAP Rule 2 for a new panel to re-consider motions denied by this Court on June 30, 2023.....	3, 4

, 4App M Petitioner Meghan Kelly Affidavit in Support of Recusal of Judge Phipps, and Judge Scirica, dated July 4, 2023.....3, 4

Exhibits to App M.....3, 4

App. N. Motion for reconsideration or rehearing on the papers on the June 30, 2023 Order Denying my motion for an extension of time to file her Brief on appeal.....3, 4

App O Motion for rehearing on papers/reconsideration of Order dated June 30, 2023 regarding 3 motions to vacate Order dated May 19, 2023 with regards denial of waiver of costs by threatening me with costs, to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, and compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to petition the Court in my defense of the exercise of fundamental rights and compelled violation of my invoked 13th Amendment right against involuntary servitude.....3, 4

App. P Motion to stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of the originating disciplinary proceeding, until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed. I further move the Court, for good cause for permission to file the “for cause” motion 30 days after the stay is lifted.....3, 4

Appellant Plaintiff Meghan M Kelly’s Motion to stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of the originating disciplinary proceeding, until final non-appealable determinations are made or the time of appeal has lapsed. I further move the Court, for good cause for permission to file the “for cause” motion 30 days after the stay is lifted.....

Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly’s Motion to Stay the Proceeding pending a determination on appeal of the disciplinary matter before the US Supreme Court or until the time for appeal has lapsed.....

Appellant Plaintiff Meghan M Kelly’s Motion to stay the Proceeding until the conclusion of the appeal of this Court’s Order at 3DI 131 Order denying a stay. I further move the Court, for good cause for permission to file the “Reply to Opposing Counsel’s Brief” motion 30 days after the stay is lifted.....

Plaintiff’s Motion for Reargument, filed Nov 8, 2021, Delaware District Court No. 21-1490, and exhibits thereto

Plaintiff’s Motion to Amend Plaintiff’s Motion for reargument, and exhibits thereto, including Plaintiff’s Amended Motion for Reargument

PLAINTIFF’S MOTION TO AMEND FINDINGS OF FACTS AND ALTER THE ORDER, DATED DECEMBER 22, 2021, BASED ON NEW FINDINGS OF FACT, TO PREVENT, CLEAR ERROR OF FACTS, CLEAR ERROR OF LAW, AND TO PREVENT MANIFEST INJUSTICE and internal exhibits, Table of contents and the following exhibits

EXHIBIT A First Page of Defendants letter to me dated, August 23, 2021, showing the reason for the law suit against me to retire my license was the Delaware Supreme Court pleadings too.

EXHIBIT B Pages of the Complaint relating to claims for damages for infliction of emotional distress

EXHIBIT B 2 Letter Dated December 1, 2021, regarding harassment by Defendants, regarding my Answers were sent with confirmation, and resent, and additional facts relating to the case

(Internal exhibits) **Exhibit 1** return receipt confirmation, and receipt.

Exhibit 2 Electronic signature item was delivered

Exhibit 3 Email dated November 30, 2021, to Defendant regarding confirmation of Answers, and my religious objection to Ms. Miss and Mrs., Jesus teaches us not to use titles

Exhibit 4 Email dated November 28, 2021 responding to Defendant about physical delivery, not delivery through email.

Exhibit 5 Receipt and return receipt of the second set of answers I spent hours printing out, and paid postage, dated November 30, 2021

Exhibit 6 Email from Defendant indicating November 30, 2021 they received the answers, which is a lie, since I did not mail out the second set until this date, and the confirmation indicates it was delivered November 22, 2021

Exhibit 7 **November 6, 2020** to Master Patricia Griffin in the Delaware Chancery Court relating to *Kelly v Trump*, regarding the Court's staff booby trapped me and my concern about Trump inciting an insurrection as an excuse to kill his own people to stay in office under a national emergency, a civil war, with attached statutes regarding the President's authority to use force against his own people in an insurrection, and newspaper clips where force was used or allowed by President Trump, when might makes wrong, not right.

(Attached) **(Exhibit A)** 10 USCS Sections 252, 253, 254

(Exhibit B) Newspaper article, New York Times, *Armed Agents are allowed to oversee ballot-Counting venues*, by Katie Benner, 11/5/2020, Business Insider, *Federal officers sent to Portland by Trump teargassed protesters, despite being told to leave by the mayor and governor*, dated 7/17/2020

EXHIBIT C Email and letter from arm of the Delaware Supreme Court De-Lapp, threatening me for my petition to the Court for relief on attorney license dues.

EXHIBIT D First page of an Order by the Delaware Supreme Court dated July 7, 2021, in *Kelly v Trump* by Justice Vaughn, Traynor, and Montgomery-Reeves

EXHIBIT E Letter to the Court, dated November 23, 2021, regarding my intent to object on subject matter grounds, and reasons the Court does not have subject matter.

EXHIBIT F Letter to court, dated November 22, 2021, regarding my intent to object to appointment of counsel on religious grounds.

EXHIBIT G Letter Motion to the Board, dated **December 18, 2021**, regarding intent to object to appointed counsel, request to postpone hearing, request time for discovery and a determination on counsel

EXHIBIT H *Respondent Meghan Kelly's Motion for Reconsideration of Order dated December 13, 2021, appointing counsel despite my Notice of intent to object, and objection of improper service of the Board's notice of hearing*

(Internal Exhibits) Exhibit 1, the Delaware Supreme Court Order relating to Counsel, dated **December 13, 2021**

Exhibit 2, Letter from Appointed Counsel and Notice of a hearing dated **December 10, 2021**, received indirectly by email **December 17, 2021**

Exhibit 4 Email to appointed counsel after I declined forced representation concerning another idea for the court to entertain.

Attachment to Exhibit 4, Five Articles of Impeachment I proposed and contacted all 541 federal law makers about

Exhibit 5, the In Forma Pauperis which discussed the Delaware Supreme Court's behavior and the burden this petition has upon me from preventing me from rejoining my old law firm where I would be performing real estate settlements and using the company car. So, I could give the car my parents gave me back. My dad needs a car.

Exhibit 6 Email to Attorney Generals regarding DE Supreme Court concerns, and religious beliefs

Attachments to Exhibit 6, Exhibit 3, Email to the Delaware Supreme Court regarding forced violation by swearing in without honoring my request to affirm

Exhibit 4 Letter to family court regarding performing family law violates my religious beliefs

Exhibit 5 (Not included) regarding judicial partiality was not included though attached and cited in the Email of Exhibit 6. I included it in another exhibit to the Motion for rearmament, Exhibit 8.

Exhibit 6 My E-mail directed to Senator Carper regarding using words not weapons to defeat ISIS/ conduct the government performs by funding charities which funds ISIS/evil under the guise of good/charity to reward violence/ using education to prevent leaders from using government established religion for their own vanity.

Exhibit 8 Proposals sent to law makers to prevent oil drilling. Revelation 11:18 provides, there will be a time to "destroy all who have caused destruction on the earth."

Exhibit 2 My lawsuit against the democrats to allow me to run for office without violating my religious beliefs by forcing me to collect donations or signatures in violation of Matthew 6:1-4.

Exhibit 7 E-mail to Attorney Generals regarding Delaware Supreme Court partiality concerns, objection to counsel as compelled forced violations of my religious belief, and other concerns.

Exhibit 8 Letter to the Delaware Supreme Court, dated October 1, 2012, regarding Judicial partiality and religious beliefs regarding preventing partiality in the courts

Exhibit 9 Letter dated November 22, 2021 to DE Supreme Court providing notice of intent to object to appointed counsel

Exhibit 10 Communication to my former employer, as recent as August 6, 2021, before the August 23, 2021 threatening letter.

EXHIBIT I Letter to the state court, dated December 21, 2021, concerning I declined representation from counsel, per attached letter to appointed counsel, and requested the court honor my motion. For reconsideration of the order dated December 13, 2021 appointing counsel, despite my objection.

EXHIBIT J Letter to the Board of Professional Conduct of the state of Delaware and the Delaware Supreme Court, dated **December 29, 2021, two weeks from the hearing**, concerning the court's and board's determination of postponement of the hearing in the interest of justice, and status of a determination of my 6th Amendment right to self-representation.

Internal Exhibits (Exhibit A) First Page of the Office of Disciplinary's April 23, 2021 letter indicating the Supreme Court's pleadings as a source of their concern.

(Exhibit A part 2) Page 2-3 of Defendants petition showing the reason why they bring the state law suit against me is for my religious beliefs, citing the bible as authority for my beliefs, and their inability to understand my beliefs in Jesus.

(Exhibit B) E-mail to Attorney Generals, dated December 16, 2021, showing my distress at appointment of counsel, in the form of tears, admissible present sense impression, putting planning to prevent an economic crash on the back burner.

(**Exhibit C**) November 23, 2021 letter to DE Supreme Court indicating I intend to object on subject matter grounds and why.

(**Exhibit C part 2**) Email to Attorney General, dated December 15, 2021, concerning PACER, and showing emotional distress, and the need to protect free exercise of religion from the forced worship of money by the state, and discussions of the planned, preventable, reversible economic crash.

(**Exhibit D**) Certificate of Service of Respondent's Motion for Reconsideration of Order Dated December 13, 2021, appointing counsel despite notice of my intent to object, and objection of improper service of the board's notice of a hearing, dated **December 21, 2021**, (This shows I gave the board a copy a day after I served opposing counsel and the Court)

(**Exhibit E**) Email to Defendant, dated **November 4, 2021**, objecting to improper service of the August 23, 2021 letter and requiring paper mailed copies, also objecting to the appointment of counsel on religious grounds, and grounds of harassment and intentional infliction of emotional distress, providing notice to the Defendant appointment of counsel uniquely upsets me, and copying loved ones for my safety.

(**Exhibit F**) Email, dated **December 22, 2021**, to Defendant opposing appointed counsel

(**Exhibit G**) E-mail dated **December 21, 2021**, to the Court, Board and Defendant, indicating I did not accept representation from appointed counsel, and requested a docket, and an attachment of the **December 21, 2021 letter** objecting to counsel, attached letter to Counsel declining representation, **dated December 20, 2021**

(**Exhibit H**) Email dated, **December 22, 2021**, E-mail to court about representation forwarding my email to counsel showing I fired him.

(**Exhibit I**) E-mail dated, **December 27, 2021**, to the court regarding concern not all documents were filed with the court, and attached docket.

(**Exhibit J**) E-mail dated, **December 28, 2021** to the Court, indicating appointed counsel did not have the documents I filed, and the Court did not send him all of the documents I sent, which may be needed in case of an appeal.

(**Exhibit K**) E-mail dated, **December 23, 2021**, to the Court regarding my religious oppositions to expert examinations, and conformation of receipt of filings, with attached filings for the Monday **December 20, 2021** Motion filed with the court and board.

EXHIBIT K Email, dated **Jan. 6, 2022, one week from the hearing**, I sent to the Board of Professional Conduct of the state of Delaware and opposing counsel to check on the status of my motion to postpone the hearing scheduled Jan. 13, 2022.....

PLAINTIFF MEGHAN M. KELLY’S 3rd EMERGENCY MOTION PURSUANT TO FRCP R. 52(b), 59 (e), 60(b)(1)(2)(6), and 65, excluding exhibits.....

PLAINTIFF’S SECOND ADDITIONAL MOTION PURSUANT TO FRCP R. 52(b), 59(e) and 60(b)(1)(2)(6) TO AMEND FINDINGS OF FACTS AND ALTER THE ORDER, DATED DECEMBER 22, 2021, BASED ON NEW FINDINGS OF FACT, TO PREVENT, CLEAR ERROR OF FACTS, CLEAR ERROR OF LAW, AND TO PREVENT MANIFEST INJUSTICE and exhibits thereto, including table of contents, and the following exhibits

EXHIBIT 1ST A, Letter of investigation by arm of state court, dated May 24, 2021

EXHIBIT A, E-mail to Defendant regarding my religious beliefs, and world economic forum founder’s plan as outlined in the two books The Fourth Industrial Revolution “to entice people through temptations to make 47 percent of Americans unemployed, to use the unemployed by labeling them mentally disabled, for mad science to teach the lie the mind can be controlled through robotics and medicine.”

EXHIBIT B Letter Motion, Dated December 18, 2021 to Board, DE Supreme Court and Defendant regarding discovery, reconsideration of counsel, and postponement of hearing due 1. to ineffective service, until after a final determination is made on counsel, and 3. Until discovery is complete, and the Receipt and postal confirmation Board and ODC received the December 18, 2022 filing on December 21, 2022

EXHIBIT C Respondent’s Objection to and Motion to enjoin expert observation and analysis of Respondent at hearings and in discovery; notice she will move for a protective order during the discovery stage, and requests to prevent costs as going into Debt is against her religious beliefs, and

Memorandum of Law in Support and Respondent’s Objection to and Motion to enjoin expert observation and analysis of Respondent at hearings and in discovery; notice she will move for a protective order during the discovery stage, and requests to prevent costs as going into Debt is against her religious beliefs

EXHIBIT D Respondent Meghan M. Kelly’s Emergency Objections and Emergency Motion filed with both the Board of Professional Responsibility for the Supreme Court of Delaware, and the Delaware Supreme Court, simultaneously, to postpone the hearing against her to prevent manifest injustice to afford her an opportunity to perform discovery, potentially call witnesses and prepare a defense for the state’s allegedly illegally motivated petition against her for her exercise of fundamental rights, motivated by the state’s disdain for her religious political beliefs, dated January 11, 2022,

Exhibit A excluded since it is a District Court Doc.

Exhibit B includes internal exhibits, in one saved document

1. Email to Board and Patricia Swartz, dated Thursday, January 6, 2022, following up on motion to postpone the hearing one week from the date, to afford a full and fair trial, including an opportunity to gather evidence, so as not to violate the substantive and procedural due process clause, and an opportunity to use the evidence to present motions, including a motion to dismiss based on subject matter grounds.

2. Email to the Board and Patricia, dated Monday, January 10, 2022, follow up on status of my request to postpone the hearing.

3. Email to the Board and Patricia, dated Friday, December 24, 2021, regarding following up on my request to postpone the hearing, and the outstanding issues relating to appointed counsel verses permission to represent myself, undecided by the Court. Notice of my intention to file a Motion objecting to an expert's attendance at the hearing as against my religious beliefs, and notice of my intent to file a protective order to protect myself from examinations from mental health and physical health experts on religious grounds.

4. Email notification the Board member is out until December 28, 2021, dated December 24, 2021.

5. Email from the Board dated January 10, 2022, indicating the Board plans to move forward with the virtual hearing as scheduled, despite my appeal based on improper notice, and the need to prepare to defend my case.

6. Email to the DE Supreme Court, Board and Patricia, dated January 6, 2022, regarding the federal government is helping me with the vulture attacks.

7. Accidental duplicate of Dec 24, 2021 email, at No. 3.

8. Email to Court, dated December 22, 2021, forwarded emails to appointed counsel, firing him, and copying to others to protect my safety, dated December 21 and 22, 2021.

9. Email to Court asking for the Board's number, December 22, 2021. I was only able to leave messages.

EXHIBIT E Respondent Meghan M. Kelly's **motion appealing** the Order of the Board on Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware dated, January 11, 2022, granting postponement of the hearing for 8 days due to illness, not a reason identified in my motion to grant postponement to afford me opportunity to prepare a defense, perform discovery, research, file motions, be heard on outstanding motion(s) unaddressed by the Board, to defend my exercise of fundamental rights and to preserve my license to practice law, on the grounds the amount of time is not enough and a hearing date must be postponed until after a fair opportunity to build a defense is granted, and moves the court to suspend a hearing date until the

parties and the Board determine a fair opportunity to perform discovery has been allowed so as not to violate the norms of a fair proceeding, displaying disparate treatment towards respondent based on her unique religious political beliefs, in violation of the Equal Protections clause applicable to her as a party of one, **dated January 12, 2022.**

(Internal Exhibits) Exhibit A Respondent Meghan M. Kelly's Emergency Objections and Emergency Motion filed with both the Board of Professional Responsibility for the Supreme Court of Delaware, and the Delaware Supreme Court, simultaneously, to postpone the hearing against her to prevent manifest injustice to afford her an opportunity to perform discovery, potentially call witnesses and prepare a defense for the state's allegedly illegally motivated petition against her for her exercise of fundamental rights, motivated by the state's disdain for her religious political beliefs, dated January 11, 2022

Exhibit B The Delaware Supreme Court Order Denying my emergency objections and motion to postpone the hearing.

Exhibit C The Board Order granting a postponement of the hearing to the date January 21, 2021, "due to illness," a reason I did not request.

Exhibit D Email to Patricia Swartz, dated January 3, 2022, regarding I am not feeling well, took a covid tests, amd negative, but believe I am developing the shingles.

Exhibit E Email to Board, Lisa at the Supreme Court and Patricia Swartz regarding still sick, problems with phone, and vulture issue at home, which may interfere with scheduling, also attached pictures of the vultures that chase me and peck at the glass windows, and do not go away when I yell at them.

Exhibit F Email from the Board dated January 10, 2022, responding to my most recent request on my motion to postpone the hearing indicating "The Board plans to move forward with the hearing as scheduled

Exhibit G Email To the Board and Patricia, dated December 24, 2021, regarding

1. I received docket ending before December 21, 2021,
2. Told the Board I would send them my November 19, 2021 answers to the petition via email for ease,
3. Indicated the Board is aware of my request to postpone a hearing date so I may properly defend my exercise of Constitutionally protected activity from state retaliation, but for the exercise of fundamental rights, requiring the government to bear the burden of strict scrutiny.
4. I told the Defendants I intend to file a motion objecting to an expert's attendance at a hearing, as it is against my religious beliefs. I am a child of God, not a scientific object for observation and examination by health or mental health examiners

who play God by seeking to mold people like me to scientifically conditioned and conformed dictates instead of protecting the individual's dictates of conscience. Experts deem those whose will does not bend with temptations to adhere to the communally accepted trendy molds as unfit. My God teaches me those who are conformed to the world do not have eternal life and will be unfit for heaven, should they not repent.

5. I also told the Defendants I will likely file a protective order to protect myself from examination from mental health or physical health experts on religious grounds, should petitioner seek an examination. My exercise of fundamental rights, including exercise of my religious beliefs, requires the state meet strict scrutiny, which it is not likely to meet.

Exhibit H Emails dated January 11, 2022, email from Patricia Swartz to Board and me, objecting to postponing the hearing, and my responses, including my right to believe differently than the majority, and my religious objections to healthcare.

Exhibit I Email January 11, 2022, my email responding to opposing counsel, providing religious objections to healthcare and my religious beliefs, my disagreement with many democrats on healthcare when I ran for office in 2018, and a sign healthcare that cares not healthcareless, your health is your wealth, as I was still under the weather.

Exhibit J January 4, 2022 email to Court, Board and Defendant regarding I wasn't feeling well. The covid test was negative, but looks like I developed shingles.

Exhibit K Email, dated January 5, 2022, relating to a broken phone through the federal government

Exhibit L Email dated December 31, 2022, to the Board of motion, *Respondent Meghan M. Kelly's objection to and motion to enjoin expert observation and analysis of respondent at hearings and discovery; notice she will move for a protective order during the discovery stage; and requests to prevent costs as going into debt is against her religious beliefs; Memorandum of law in support of this motion, certificate of service, postal receipt, table of contents of the exhibits, and exhibits thereto contained, dated December 31, 2021*

Exhibit M Emails January 12, 2022, regarding I was not making a new motion merely because I communicated with the Board and Defendant I desired time to afford a fair opportunity to prepare a defense.

Exhibit F Answer to petition, excluding exhibits.

Exhibit G *Respondent's more particularized motion to suspend the hearing, scheduled for January 21, 2022 to allow me opportunity to research and prepare a defense, requesting opportunity to draft requests for admission, interrogatories and subpoena opposing counsel, Patricia Swartz, as a necessary witness in her defense, and subpoena other necessary witnesses, including but not limited to, Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Judge Kenneth S. Clark, Jr., due to his admission he interrogated me based on my exercise of fundamental rights incited by*

the ODC, and Arline Simmons, to show unconstitutional motive for this petition, to allow, the accused, respondent an opportunity to defend herself on the defense illegality of proceeding, as applied to her, motivated by disdain by the state for her religious associated beliefs and exercise of fundamental rights, and lack of jurisdiction based on the Delaware Supreme Court's apparent participation in inciting this petition against respondent.

(Internal Exhibits) Exhibit A Post Office Receipt, and certified mail receipt and confirmation the December 18, 2021 letter motion requesting opportunity to perform discovery and file motions to dismiss was received by the Board and ODC on December 21, 2021

Exhibit B Postal Receipt for December 29, 2021 letter to Court, Board and ODC, dated December 29, 2021

Exhibit C Emails to and from Patricia regarding moot motion

Exhibit D January 12, 2022 email correction to Motion filed January 12, 2022, the federal government is helping me with the vultures, forwarded email from the Federal government representative.

Exhibit E Email to and from federal government official relating to the fact there is no charge for federal assistance with elimination of vulture problem, so as not to violate my religious beliefs.

Exhibit F Email filing dated Thursday, January 13, 2022, motion to expedite motion to appeal with the Delaware Supreme Court.

App Q Health docs averring I require time in order not to harm my health or die, including medical exhibit

Table of Authorities

Case Law or other

Africa v. Pennsylvania, 662 F.2d 1025, 1025 (3d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 456 U.S. 908 (1982)....6

Bible Amos 5:15.....25

Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc., 573 U.S. 682, 682.....6

Ben-Levi v. Brown, 136 S. Ct. 930, 934; *See, Holt v. Hobbs*, 574 U.S. 352.....7

Brief of the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, the Ethics & Religious Liberty Commission, the International Mission Board, and Dr. R. Albert Mohler, Jr. as amici curiae in Support of Petitions before the US Supreme Court by the Little Sisters of the Poor Home for the aged, Denver Colorado, et.al, Petitioners v. Sylvia Matthews Burwell, Secretary of Health and Human Serviced, et. al, No.15-105, 2015 WL 5013734 (US).....6

Cantwell v. State of Connecticut, 310 U.S. 296, 60 S. Ct. 900, 84 L. Ed. 1213 (1940).....7

Cafeteria Workers v. McElroy, 367 U.S. 886, 894.....8

Centifanti v. Nix, 865 F.2d 1422 (3d Cir. 1989).....13

Comment, Another Look at Unconstitutional Conditions, 117 U. Pa. L. Rev. 144 (1968)..8

Employment Div., Dept. of Human Resources of Ore. v. Smith, 494 U. S. 872, 887.....7

Frost Trucking Co. v. Railroad Comm'n, 271 U.S. 583, 593-594.....8

Garrity v. New Jersey, 385 U.S. 493, 499-500.....8

Gentile v. State Bar of Nevada, 501 U.S. 1030, 1054 (1991).....22

Homer v. Richmond, 292 F.2d 719, 722.....8

In re Eternal Word Television Network, Inc., 818 F.3d 1122, 1140 (11th Cir. 2016).....7

Jones v. Board of Education, 397 U.S. 31, 34 (1970).....7, 8

Kennedy v. Bremerton School Dist., No. 21-418, at *15 (June 27, 2022).....8

Kwong Hai Chew v. Colding, 344 U.S. 590, 597-598.....8

Presbyterian Church in U. S. v. Mary Elizabeth Blue Hull Memorial Presbyterian Church, 393 U. S. 440, 450, (1969).....7

Minn. Ass'n, Health Care v. Minn. Dept., P.W, 742 F.2d 442, 446 (8th Cir. 1984).....7

Sherbert v. Verner, 374 U.S. 398, 404-05, (1963).....7, 8

Shuttlesworth v. City of Birmingham, 394 U.S. 147 (1969).....22

Speiser v. Randall, 357 U.S. 513, 519-520.....8

<i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> , 541 U.S. 509, 533 n.20 (2004).....	10
<i>Thomas v. Review Board</i> , 450 U.S. 707, 714 (1981).....	7
<i>Van Alstyne, The Demise of the Right-Privilege Distinction in Constitutional Law</i> , 81 Harv. L. Rev. 1439, 1445-1454 (1968).....	8
<i>Western Southern Life Insurance Co. v. State Board of Equalization</i> , 451 U.S. 648, 657-58, 664-65 (1981).....	7
Constitution	
US Amend I.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 22, 24
US Amend V.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
US Amend VI.....	4, 9, 12, 13, 14
US Amend XIII.....	9, 10, 13
US Amend XIV.....	3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 17
Executive orders	
US President’s Executive Orders	
Executive Orders by President Bush, Junior	
Ex. Or. No. 13198, 66 Fed. Reg. 8497, Jan. 29, 2001, as amended by Ex. Or. 1401, 86 Fed. Reg 10007, Feb. 14, 2021.....	14, 15
Ex. Or. No. 13199, 66 FR 8497, Jan. 29, 2001, as revoked by Ex. Or No. 13831, 83 FR 20715, May 3, 2018.....	14, 15
Ex. Or. No. 13279, 67 FR 77141, December 12, 2002, as amended by Exec. Or. No.13559, 75 FR 71319, November 17, 2010.....	14, 15
Executive Orders by President Obama	
Ex. Or. No. 13559, 75 Fed. Reg. 71319, Nov. 17, 2010.....	14, 15
Executive Orders by President Trump	
Ex. Or. No. 13798, 82 Fed. Reg. 21675, May 4, 2017.....	14, 15
Ex Or. No. 13831, 83 Fed. Reg. 20715, May 3, 2018.....	14, 15
Executive Orders by President Biden	
Ex. Or. 14015, 86 Fed. Reg 10007, Feb. 14, 2021.....	14, 15, 16

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1253.....2
28 U.S.C. § 1254.....2
42 U.S.C. § 1983.....17
42 U.S.C. § 1985 (2)(b).....17

Rules

Delaware Disciplinary rule 7.....13
Delaware Disc Proc Rule 12 (h)21
Delaware Disciplinary rule 13.....13
Delaware Disciplinary rule 14.....13
Fed. R. App. P. 2.....1
FRCP 52.....12, 21
FRCP 59.....12, 21
FRCP 60.....12, 21
FRCP 40.....3, 4
Supreme Court Rule 30.....1