In the Supreme Court of the United States

KEVION ROGERS,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFREY JARRETT, et al.,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit

BRIEF OF THE CATO INSTITUTE AS AMICUS CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER

Clark M. Neily III

Counsel of Record

Matthew P. Cavedon
CATO INSTITUTE
1000 Mass. Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 425-7499
cneily@cato.org

August 31, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABI	E OF AUTHORITIES	iii
INTE	REST OF AMICUS CURIAE	1
SUM	MARY OF ARGUMENT	1
ARGI	JMENT	3
I.	MODERN QUALIFIED IMMUNITY DOCTRINE IS UNTETHERED FROM ANY STATUTORY OR HISTORICAL JUSTIFICATION	3
	A. The text of Section 1983 does not provide for any kind of immunity	3
	B. From the Founding Era through the passage of Section 1983, good faith was not a general defense to constitutional torts.	4
	C. In the nineteenth century, good faith was relevant, at most, to merits.	8
	D. As enacted by Congress, Section 1983 forecloses qualified immunity	11
II.	QUALIFIED IMMUNITY HARMS PUBLIC OFFICIALS BY ERODING PUBLIC TRUST AND UNDERMINING THE RULE OF LAW	12
III	I.STARE DECISIS SHOULD NOT PREVENT THIS COURT FROM REVISITING QUALIFIED	
	IMMINITY	19

\mathbf{A}	Maintaining qualified immunity	
	harms judicial legitimacy	19
В.	Qualified immunity rests upon	0.1
	faulty empirical assumptions	21
CONCLI	JSION	. 24

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)
Cases
Anderson v. Myers, 182 F. 223 (C.C.D. Md. 1910)
Biden v. Nebraska, 143 S. Ct. 2355 (2023) 20
Cope v. Cogdill, 142 S. Ct. 2573 (2022)16
Cornelia T.L. Pillard, <i>Taking Fiction</i> Seriously: The Strange Results of Public Officials' Individual Liability under Bivens, 88 Geo. L.J. 65 (1999)
Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574 (1998) 21, 24
Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org., 142 S. Ct. 2228 (2022) 20, 21
Filarsky v. Delia, 566 U.S. 377 (2012)8
Forrester v. White, 484 U.S. 219 (1988)
Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800 (1982) 10, 22
Hope v. Pelzer, 536 U.S. 730 (2002)23
Kisela v. Hughes, 138 S. Ct. 1148 (2018) 20
Little v. Barreme, 6 U.S. (2 Cranch) 170 (1804)
Malley v. Briggs, 475 U.S. 335 (1986) 3, 4, 12
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803)24
Miller v. Horton, 26 N.E. 100 (Mass. 1891) 6
Myers v. Anderson, 238 U.S. 368 (1915)
Pearson v. Callahan, 555 U.S. 223 (2009) 17, 23

Pierson v. Ray, 386 U.S. 547 (1967)	9, 11
Ross v. Blake, 578 U.S. 632 (2016)	3
Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232 (1974)	10
South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc., 138 S. Ct. 2080 (2018)	24
The Marianna Flora, 24 U.S. (11 Wheat.) 1 (1826)	8
Trump v. Hawaii, 138 S. Ct. 2392 (2018)	20
West Virginia v. EPA, 142 S. Ct. 2587 (2022)	21
Westfall v. Ervin, 484 U.S. 292 (1988)	24
Wood v. Strickland, 420 U.S. 308 (1975)	11
Wyatt v. Cole, 504 U.S. 158 (1992)	20
Ziglar v. Abbasi, 137 S. Ct. 1843 (2017)	. 12, 20
Statutes	
42 U.S.C. § 1983	3
Other Authorities	
Aaron L. Nielson & Christopher J. Walker, <i>A Qualified Defense of Qualified Immunity</i> , 93 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1853 (2018)	11
ABC News, Alton Sterling Shooting Cellphone Video, YouTube (July 6, 2016)	13
ABC News, Philando Castile Police Shooting Video Livestreamed on Facebook, YOUTUBE (July 7, 2016)	13
Adam Shaw, Barr Sounds Call to Push Back against Anti-Cop Attitudes, Adopt 'Zero Tolerance' to Resisting Police, FOX NEWS (Feb. 27, 2020)	10

Aimee Ortiz, Confidence in Police Is at Record Low, Gallup Survey Finds, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 12, 2020)
Akhil Reed Amar, Of Sovereignty and Federalism, 96 YALE L.J. 1425 (1987)5
Alexander A. Reinert, <i>Qualified Immunity's</i> Flawed Foundation, 111 CALIF. L. REV. 201 (2023)
Ann Woolhandler, Patterns of Official Immunity and Accountability, 37 CASE W. RES. L. REV. 396 (1986)
David E. Engdahl, <i>Immunity and</i> Accountability for Positive Governmental Wrongs, 44 U. Colo. L. Rev. 1 (1972) 5, 6, 9
Derek Willis et al., <i>The NYPD Files</i> , PROPUBLICA, (July 26, 2020)14
Fred O. Smith, Abstention in the Time of Ferguson, 131 HARV. L. REV. 2283 (2018)
Gary Langer, Confidence in Police Practices Drops to a New Low: POLL, ABC NEWS (Feb. 3, 2023)
Inst. on Race & Justice, Northeastern Univ., Promoting Cooperative Strategies to Reduce Racial Profiling (2008)
J. David Goodman & Al Baker, Wave of Protests After Grand Jury Doesn't Indict Officer in Eric Garner Chokehold Case, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 3, 2014)

James E. Pfander & Jonathan L. Hunt, Public Wrongs and Private Bills: Indemnification and Government	
Accountability in the Early Republic, 85 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 1862 (2010)	. 5, 6
JAMES E. PFANDER, CONSTITUTIONAL TORTS AND THE WAR ON TERROR (2017)	5
Jeffrey M. Jones, Confidence in U.S. Supreme Court Sinks to Historic Low, GALLUP (June 23, 2022)	19
Joanna C. Schwartz, <i>Police Indemnification</i> , 89 N.Y.U. L. REV. 885 (2014)	22
Joanna C. Schwartz, Qualified Immunity's Boldest Lie, 88 U. CHI. L. REV. 605 (2021)	23
Joanna C. Schwartz, What Police Learn from Lawsuits, 33 CARDOZO L. REV. 841 (2012)	23
John Kelly & Mark Nichols, Tarnished Brass: Search the List of More than 30,000 Police Officers Banned by 44 States., USA TODAY (last updated June 27, 2022)	14
Julie Tate et al., Fatal Force, WASH. POST DATABASE	13
Kimberly Kindy, Insurers Force Change on Police Departments Long Resistant to It, WASH. POST (Sept. 14, 2022)	22
Lydia Saad, Historically Low Faith in U.S. Institutions Continues, GALLUP (July 6, 2023)	15
Max P. Rapacz, Protection of Officers Who Act under Unconstitutional Statutes, 11 MINN. L. REV. 585 (1927)	9

Mike Baker et al., Three Words. 70 Cases. The Tragic History of T Can't Breathe.', N.Y. TIMES (June 29, 2020)	15
N.Y. Times, Walter Scott Death: Video Shows Fatal North Charleston Police Shooting, YOUTUBE (Apr. 7, 2015)	14
Nathan DiCamillo, About 51,000 People Injured Annually By Police, Study Shows, NEWSWEEK (Apr. 19, 2017)	13
Police Misconduct Registry, UNIV. OF S. CAL. PRICE SCH. OF BUS. SAFE COMMUNITIES INITIATIVE (last updated Apr. 5, 2023)	14
Rich Morin et al., PEW RSCH. CTR., Behind the Badge (2017)	15, 18
Rick Rouan, Fact check: Police Rarely Prosecuted for On-Duty Shootings, USA TODAY (June 21, 2021)	15
Samuel Bourgeois, Comment, Mental Illness, Fourteenth Amendment Violations, and the Insurmountable Threshold to Overcome Qualified Immunity—Cope v. Cogdill, 3 F.4th 198 (5th Cir. 2021), 18 J. HEALTH & BIOMED. L. 223 (2022)	16
Scott A. Keller, Qualified and Absolute Immunity at Common Law, 73 STAN. L. REV. 1337 (2021)	10, 11
Sunil Dutta, I'm a Cop. If You Don't Want to Get Hurt, Don't Challenge Me., WASH. POST (Aug. 19, 2014)	19

viii

U.S. Dep't of Just., Investigation of the	
FERGUSON POLICE DEPARTMENT (Mar. 4,	
2015)	17
William Baude, Is Qualified Immunity	5 0
Unlawful?, 106 CALIF. L. REV. 45 (2018) 4, 5	, 7, 8
William Baude, Is Quasi-Judicial Immunity	
Qualified Immunity?, 74 STAN. L. REV.	
Online 115 (2022)	10

INTEREST OF AMICUS CURIAE¹

The Cato Institute is a nonpartisan public policy research foundation founded in 1977 and dedicated to advancing the principles of individual liberty, free markets, and limited government. Cato's Project on Criminal Justice focuses on the scope of criminal liability, the proper and effective role of police in their communities, the protection of constitutional and statutory safeguards for criminal suspects and defendants, citizen participation in the criminal iustice system, and accountability for law enforcement.

Amicus's interest in this case arises from the lack of legal justification for qualified immunity, the deleterious effect it has on the ability of people to vindicate their constitutional rights, and the subsequent erosion of accountability among public officials that the doctrine encourages.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Over the last half-century, the doctrine of qualified immunity has sharply diverged from the statutory and historical framework on which it is supposed to be based. The codified text of 42 U.S.C. § 1983 ("Section 1983") makes no mention of immunity, and the common law of 1871 did not include the sort of across-the-board defense for all public officials that characterizes qualified immunity today. Though recent scholarship indicates some disagreement over the scope of certain good-faith immunities at common

¹ Rule 37 statement: All parties were timely notified of the filing of this brief. No part of this brief was authored by any party's counsel, and no person or entity other than Amicus funded its preparation or submission.

law, there is no dispute that the modern "clearly established law" standard lacks historical support. And the text of Section 1983 as originally enacted by Congress forecloses qualified immunity. Contemporary qualified immunity doctrine is therefore unmoored from any lawful justification.

The need for correction of this misbegotten doctrine is especially urgent today, at a time when public trust in our government institutions has fallen to record lows. A civil action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 is frequently the only way for a victim of official misconduct to vindicate federally guaranteed rights. But qualified immunity often bars even those plaintiffs who have indisputably suffered a violation of rights protected by the Constitution and made actionable by Section 1983 from remedying the wrong they have suffered at the hands of the state: harm, but no foul. Qualified immunity thus enables public officials who violate federal law to sidestep their legal obligations to the victims of their misconduct. In so doing, the doctrine corrodes the public's trust in officials—and members government enforcement in particular—making on-the-ground policing more difficult and dangerous for all officers, including those who consistently respect constitutional obligations.

This Court has not been spared the crisis of confidence in public institutions. Recognizing Congress's prerogatives in enacting Section 1983 by abolishing qualified immunity would help restore it. The Court should reverse the decision below.

ARGUMENT

- I. MODERN QUALIFIED IMMUNITY DOCTRINE IS UNTETHERED FROM ANY STATUTORY OR HISTORICAL JUSTIFICATION.
 - A. The text of Section 1983 does not provide for any kind of immunity.

"Statutory interpretation . . . begins with the text" *Ross v. Blake*, 578 U.S. 632, 638 (2016). Few judicial doctrines have deviated so sharply from this axiomatic proposition as qualified immunity. As currently codified and in relevant part, Section 1983 provides:

Every person who, under color of any statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage, of any State or Territory or the District of Columbia, subjects, or causes to be subjected, any citizen of the United States . . . to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured by the Constitution and laws, shall be liable to the party injured

42 U.S.C. § 1983.2

Notably, "the statute on its face does not provide for any immunities." *Malley v. Briggs*, 475 U.S. 335, 342 (1986). The operative language just says that any person acting under state authority who causes the violation of a protected right "shall be liable to the party injured."

² The codified version of Section 1983 omits sixteen crucial words—enacted by Congress and signed by President Grant, and so binding on this Court—that foreclose qualified immunity. *See* discussion *infra* at Part I.D.

This unqualified textual command makes sense in light of the statute's historical context. Section 1983 was first passed by the Reconstruction Congress as part of the 1871 Ku Klux Klan Act, itself "part of a suite of 'Enforcement Acts' designed to help combat lawlessness and civil rights violations in the southern states." This statutory purpose would have been undone by qualified immunity. The Fourteenth Amendment itself had only been adopted three years earlier, in 1868, and the full implications of its broad provisions were not "clearly established law" by 1871. If Section 1983 had been understood to incorporate qualified immunity, then Congress's attempt to address rampant civil rights violations in the post-war South would have been toothless.

Of course, no law exists in a vacuum, and a statute will not be interpreted to extinguish by implication longstanding common-law legal defenses. *See Forrester v. White*, 484 U.S. 219, 225–26 (1988). But the common law of 1871 did not, in fact, provide for qualified immunity.

B. From the Founding Era through the passage of Section 1983, good faith was not a general defense to constitutional torts.

Qualified immunity is a generalized good-faith defense for all public officials, shielding "all but the plainly incompetent or those who knowingly violate the law." *Malley*, 475 U.S. at 341. But the relevant legal history does not justify importing any such defense into Section 1983; on the contrary, the sole

 $^{^3}$ See William Baude, Is Qualified Immunity Unlawful?, 106 CALIF. L. REV. 45, 49 (2018)..

historical defense in constitutional-tort suits was $legality.^4$

In the early years of the Republic, constitutional claims typically arose as part of suits to enforce common-law rights. For example, an individual might sue a federal officer for trespass, the defendant would claim legal authorization as a federal officer, and the plaintiff would in turn claim the trespass was unconstitutional in order to overcome this defense.⁵ Such Founding-era lawsuits did not permit a goodfaith defense.⁶

The clearest example of this principle is Chief Justice Marshall's opinion in the statutory case *Little v. Barreme*, 6 U.S. (2 Cranch) 170 (1804). The federal law at issue authorized seizure only of a ship going to a French port, but President Adams had issued broader instructions to also seize ships coming from French ports. See id. at 178. The question was whether

⁴ See Baude, supra, at 55–58.

⁵ See Akhil Reed Amar, Of Sovereignty and Federalism, 96 YALE L.J. 1425, 1506–07 (1987). Of course, until the Fourteenth Amendment, "constitutional torts" were committed almost exclusively by federal officers.

⁶ See generally James E. Pfander, Constitutional Torts and the War on Terror 3–14, 16–17 (2017); Ann Woolhandler, Patterns of Official Immunity and Accountability, 37 Case W. Res. L. Rev. 396, 414–22 (1986); David E. Engdahl, Immunity and Accountability for Positive Governmental Wrongs, 44 U. Colo. L. Rev. 1, 14–21 (1972).

⁷ See James E. Pfander & Jonathan L. Hunt, Public Wrongs and Private Bills: Indemnification and Government Accountability in the Early Republic, 85 N.Y.U. L. REV. 1862, 1863 (2010) ("No case better illustrates the standards to which federal government officers were held....").

a captain's reliance on the presidential instructions was a defense against liability for a seizure that violated the federal law.

This Court seriously considered—but ultimately rejected—such a defense, which was based on the very rationales that now support qualified immunity. Chief Justice Marshall explained that "the first bias of my mind was very strong in favour of the opinion that though the instructions of the executive could not give a right, they might yet excuse from damages." *Id.* at 179. He noted that the defendant had acted in goodfaith reliance and seized the ship "with pure intention." *Id.* Nevertheless, the Court held that "the instructions cannot change the nature of the transaction, or legalize an act which without those instructions would have been a plain trespass." *Id.* The officer's only defense was legality, not good faith.

This "strict rule of personal official liability, even though its harshness to officials was quite clear," persisted throughout the nineteenth century. Its severity was mitigated by congressional indemnification. But judicially, courts continued to hold public officials liable for unconstitutional conduct without adopting a good-faith defense. See, e.g., Miller v. Horton, 26 N.E. 100, 100–01 (Mass. 1891) (per Holmes, J.) (holding liable officials for killing an animal they mistakenly thought diseased, even though they were ordered to do so by commissioners).

Most importantly, this Court rejected a good-faith defense to Section 1983 liability. In *Myers v. Anderson*,

⁸ Engdahl, supra, at 19.

⁹ Pfander & Hunt, *supra*, at 1867 (noting that Congress granted about 60 percent of indemnification petitions).

238 U.S. 368 (1915), the Court considered a suit against election officers who had refused to register Black voters under a "grandfather clause" statute, thereby violating the Fifteenth Amendment. *Id.* at 377–78. The defendants argued that they could not be liable for money damages under Section 1983 because they acted on a good-faith belief in the statute's constitutionality. The *Myers* Court noted that "[t]he non-liability . . . of the election officers for their official conduct is seriously pressed in argument," but it held that the matter was "disposed of" by the ruling holding such statutes unconstitutional "and by the very terms" of Section 1983. *Id.* at 378–79. The defendants violated the plaintiffs' constitutional rights, so they were liable—period.

While *Myers* did not elaborate much on this point, the lower court decision it affirmed was more explicit:

[A]ny state law commanding such deprivation or abridgment is nugatory and not to be obeyed by any one; and any one who does enforce it does so at his known peril and is made liable to an action for damages by the simple act of enforcing a void law to the injury of the plaintiff in the suit, and no allegation of malice need be alleged or proved.

Anderson v. Myers, 182 F. 223, 230 (C.C.D. Md. 1910).

Such rejection of any general good-faith defense "is exactly the logic of the founding-era cases, alive and well in the federal courts after Section 1983's enactment."¹¹

 $^{^{10}}$ See Br. for Pls. in Error at 23–45, $Myers,\,238$ U.S. at 368 (Nos. 8–10).

¹¹ Baude, *supra*, at 58 (citation omitted).

C. In the nineteenth century, good faith was relevant, at most, to merits.

The Court's primary rationale for qualified immunity is the purported existence of similar immunities that were well-established in the common law of 1871. See, e.g., Filarsky v. Delia, 566 U.S. 377, 383 (2012) (defending qualified immunity on the ground that "[a]t common law, government actors were afforded certain protections from liability"). But although there is some disagreement regarding the extent to which "good faith" was relevant in commonlaw suits, no possible reading of that precedent could justify modern qualified immunity.

Nineteenth-century common law did account for "good faith" in many instances, but those defenses were generally incorporated into the elements of particular torts. ¹² Good faith might be relevant to *merits*, but it was not the sort of freestanding immunity for all public officials that characterizes the doctrine today.

For example, *The Marianna Flora*, 24 U.S. (11 Wheat.) 1 (1826), held that a naval officer was not liable for capturing a ship that had attacked his schooner under an honest, but mistaken, belief of self-defense. *See id.* at 39. The Court found that the officer "acted with honourable motives, and from a sense of duty to his government" and declined to "introduce a rule harsh and severe in a case of first impression." *Id.* at 52, 56. But this exercise of judicial "conscientious discretion" was justified as a traditional part of admiralty jurisdiction. *Id.* at 54–55. Good faith was incorporated into the substantive rules of capture and

¹² See generally Baude, supra, at 58-60.

maritime tort law. It was not a separate and freestanding defense.

As the Court similarly explained in *Pierson v. Ray*, 386 U.S. 547 (1967), an officer who arrested someone in good faith, with probable cause *to arrest*, simply did not commit the common-law tort of false arrest (even if the arrestee was innocent). *Id.* at 556–57. But this was not a protection from liability for unlawful conduct. *Pierson*, however, contributed to modern qualified-immunity doctrine when it extended the defense to include a good-faith belief in the *legality of the underlying statute*. *See id.* at 555.

Even this first extension of the good-faith shield was questionable. As discussed above, the baseline historical rule at the Founding and in 1871 was strict liability for constitutional violations. *See Anderson*, 182 F. at 230 (holding that whoever enforces an unconstitutional statute "does so at his known peril and is made liable to an action for damages by the simple act of enforcing a void law"). ¹³ And of course, the Court had already rejected incorporation of a good-faith defense into Section 1983 in the *Myers* case—which *Pierson* failed to mention, much less discuss.

Nevertheless, the *Pierson* Court at least grounded its decision on the premise that the analogous tort at issue (false arrest) incorporated a good-faith defense at

¹³ See also Engdahl, supra, at 18 (noting that a public official "was required to judge at his peril whether his contemplated act was actually authorized" and whether "the state's authorization-infact... was constitutional"); Max P. Rapacz, Protection of Officers Who Act under Unconstitutional Statutes, 11 MINN. L. REV. 585, 585 (1927) ("Prior to 1880 there seems to have been absolute uniformity in holding officers liable for injuries resulting from the enforcement of unconstitutional acts.").

common law. But subsequent qualified immunity cases discarded even this loose tether to history. In 1974, the Court abandoned historical reasoning in favor of policy considerations. See Scheuer v. Rhodes, 416 U.S. 232, 247 (1974). Most importantly, in 1982, the Court disclaimed any reliance on the defendant's beliefs or intentions, instead basing qualified immunity on "the objective reasonableness of an official's conduct, as measured by reference to clearly established law." Harlow v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800, 818 (1982).

A recent article by Scott Keller does argue—in contrast to what he calls "the prevailing view among modern commentators"—that executive officers in the mid-nineteenth century enjoyed a more general, freestanding immunity for discretionary acts not done in malice or bad faith. ¹⁴ But even if he is correct, ¹⁵ there is strong reason to doubt whether Section 1983 itself was understood to incorporate any such immunity. After all, the *Myers* Court refused to apply any such defense to Section 1983. *See Myers*, 238 U.S. at 378–79.

Moreover, Keller himself acknowledges that the modern "clearly established law" standard is at odds even with his historical interpretation because

 $^{^{14}}$ Scott A. Keller, Qualified and Absolute Immunity at Common Law, 73 STAN. L. REV. 1337, 1344 (2021).

¹⁵ Will Baude has argued that Keller's sources establish at most a common-law basis for a much narrower legal defense of "quasijudicial immunity," such that whatever historical "immunity" Keller identifies has very little in common with modern qualified immunity. See generally William Baude, Is Quasi-Judicial Immunity Qualified Immunity?, 74 STAN. L. REV. ONLINE 115 (2022).

"qualified immunity at common law could be overridden by showing an officer's subjective improper motive." Even the foremost academic defenders of qualified immunity, then, recognize that the modern doctrine is historically flawed in this key regard. See also Aaron L. Nielson & Christopher J. Walker, A Qualified Defense of Qualified Immunity, 93 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1853, 1868 (2018) ("We agree that, as a historical matter, the objective standard is harder to defend than a good-faith standard.").

D. As enacted by Congress, Section 1983 forecloses qualified immunity.

There is an even greater historical flaw. Without recapitulating Petitioner's argument in this regard, see Pet. at 12–16, the codified version of Section 1983 erroneously omits sixteen crucial words that afford a cause of action "notwithstanding" any "law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom, or usage of the State to the contrary." Alexander A. Reinert, Qualified Immunity's Flawed Foundation, 111 CALIF. L. REV. 201, 235 (2023). Qualified immunity in particular is derived from the Court's (flawed) understanding of historical state common law. See id. at 236; Pierson, 386 U.S. at 555–57; Wood v. Strickland, 420 U.S. 308, 318-20 & nn. 9, 12 (1975). As such, it is foreclosed entirely by the "Notwithstanding Clause." Reinert, supra, at 236. Judge Willett correctly notes that the "original justification for qualified immunity—that Congress wouldn't have abrogated common-law immunities absent explicit language—is faulty because the 1871 Civil Rights Act expressly included such language." Pet. App'x at 16a.

¹⁶ Keller, supra, at 1346.

Section 1983 provides no textual support for immunity, and the relevant establishes a baseline ofstrict liability constitutional violations where "good faith" was a defense only to some specific torts. Qualified immunity, then, is exactly what the Court sought to avoid in adopting it—a "freewheeling policy choice." Malley, 475 U.S. at 342. Unless and until it is abolished, the Court "will continue to substitute [its] own policy preferences for the mandates of Congress." Ziglar v. Abbasi, 137 S. Ct. 1843, 1872 (2017) (Thomas, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgment).

II. QUALIFIED IMMUNITY HARMS PUBLIC OFFICIALS BY ERODING PUBLIC TRUST AND UNDERMINING THE RULE OF LAW.

Qualified immunity not only misunderstands Section 1983 and works unlawful injustices to the victims of official misconduct, it undermines the legitimacy of public institutions by reinforcing the perception that government officers are held to a far lower standard of accountability than ordinary citizens. While this particular case does not involve policing, the lower court's doctrinal errors have especially grave consequences for the law-enforcement community.

Police misconduct is the context most often associated with how qualified immunity undermines the public's trust in government, perhaps especially when it causes unnecessary loss of life. Though only a small proportion of law-enforcement officers each year are involved in a fatal confrontation, even those few generate a shocking number of fatalities. From 2015 to 2017, law-enforcement officers fatally shot, on average, nearly a thousand Americans each year. See

Julie Tate et al., Fatal Force, WASH. POST DATABASE.¹⁷ Tens of thousands more were wounded or injured, to say nothing of those harmed without obvious physical effects. See Nathan DiCamillo, About 51,000 People Injured Annually By Police, Study Shows, NEWSWEEK (Apr. 19, 2017).¹⁸

Given the ubiquity of smartphones and other personal recording devices, citizens are documenting these encounters like never before, making them harder to ignore and further raising the stakes for a judiciary that systematically allows the conduct depicted to go without adjudication or remedy. New technology has generated powerful, immediately accessible evidence of police misconduct. For example, a cell-phone camera live-streamed the aftermath of a Minnesota officer shooting a motorist stopped for a broken taillight who notified the officer that he was lawfully carrying a firearm. ABC News, *Philando* Castile Police Shooting Video Livestreamed on Facebook, YOUTUBE (July 7, 2016). A cell-phone camera recorded two Baton Rouge officers who shot a father of five after they pinned him to the ground. ABC News, Alton Sterling Shooting Cellphone Video, YOUTUBE (July 6, 2016).²⁰ And a cell-phone camera captured a South Carolina officer shooting a man eight times in the back as he fled from another broken-

¹⁷ Available at https://github.com/washingtonpost/data-police-shootings.

¹⁸Available at https://www.newsweek.com/51000-people-injured-annually-police-586524.

Available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PEjipYKbOOU.

²⁰ Available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pt4ynfRXnjg.

taillight stop. N.Y. Times, Walter Scott Death: Video Shows Fatal North Charleston Police Shooting, YOUTUBE (Apr. 7, 2015).²¹

These four videos collectively have been viewed millions of times on YouTube alone. All precipitated major protests and demonstrations. They are but a few examples among many.²²

It is little wonder that as public awareness of these often jaw-droppingly brutal recordings of police misconduct has grown, faith in law enforcement has fallen—no matter the actual overall rate of misconduct. In the aftermath of many high-profile police killings—most obviously, the video-recorded murder of George Floyd at the hands of Minnesota police officers in May 2020—Gallup reported that trust in police officers had reached a 27-year low. Aimee Ortiz, Confidence in Police Is at Record Low, Gallup Survey Finds, N.Y. TIMES (Aug. 12, 2020).²³ For the first time, fewer than half of Americans reported

²¹ Available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XKQqgVlk0NQ.

²² See Police Misconduct Registry, UNIV. OF S. CAL. PRICE SCH. OF BUS. SAFE COMMUNITIES INITIATIVE, (last updated Apr. 5, 2023) https://sci.usc.edu/police-misconduct-registry/; John Kelly & Mark Nichols, Tarnished Brass: Search the List of More than 30,000 Police Officers Banned by 44 States., USA TODAY (last updated June 27, 2022), https://www.usatoday.com/indepth/news/investigations/2019/04/24/

biggest-collection-police-accountability-records-ever-assembled/2299127002/; Derek Willis et al., *The NYPD Files*, PROPUBLICA, (July 26, 2020), https://projects.propublica.org/nypd-ccrb/.

²³ Available at https://www.nytimes.com/2020/08/12/us/gallup-poll-police.html.

placing confidence in the police. $See\ id$. Confidence in the police has not recovered.²⁴

Public opinion has been driven by videos, and also by the perception that officers who commit such misconduct are rarely held accountable.²⁵ Members of law enforcement concur with this premise: according to a recent survey of more than 8000 police officers, 72 percent disagreed with the statement that "officers who consistently do a poor job are held accountable." Rich Morin et al., PEW RSCH. CTR., Behind the Badge 40 (2017).²⁶ Between 2005 and 2021, despite thousands of police shootings, only "142 officers have been arrested for murder or manslaughter, but only seven have been convicted of murder. An additional 37 were convicted of lesser offenses, and 53 were not convicted." Rick Rouan, Fact check: Police Rarely Prosecuted for On-Duty Shootings, USA TODAY (June 21, 2021).²⁷ Many more are never indicted at all. See,

²⁴ See Lydia Saad, Historically Low Faith in U.S. Institutions Continues, GALLUP (July 6, 2023), https://news.gallup.com/poll/508169/historically-low-faith-institutions-continues.aspx (identifying 2023 as the low-water mark for public confidence in police); Gary Langer, Confidence in Police Practices Drops to a New Low: POLL, ABC NEWS (Feb. 3, 2023), https://abcnews.go.com/Politics/confidence-police-practices-drops-new-low-poll/story?id=96858308.

²⁵ See Mike Baker et al., Three Words. 70 Cases. The Tragic History of T Can't Breathe.', N.Y. TIMES (June 29, 2020), https://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2020/06/28/us/i-cant-breathe-police-arrest.html.

²⁶ Available at https://pewrsr.ch/2z2gGSn.

²⁷ Available at https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/factcheck/2021/06/21/fact-check-police-rarely-prosecuted-duty-shootings/7642741002/.

e.g., J. David Goodman & Al Baker, Wave of Protests After Grand Jury Doesn't Indict Officer in Eric Garner Chokehold Case, N.Y. TIMES (Dec. 3, 2014).²⁸

Problems also abound in settings less transparent to the public and less likely to attract public sympathy, such as the correctional facility at issue in this case. Two million Americans with mental illness are booked into custody annually, and "as many as half of all jail and prison suicides in the United States are committed by those suffering from severe mental illness," yet qualified immunity has stymied reforms in care and accountability for even atrocious indifference. See Samuel Bourgeois, Comment, MentalIllness. *Fourteenth* AmendmentViolations, and theInsurmountable Threshold to Overcome Qualified Immunity—Cope v. Cogdill, 3 F.4th 198 (5th Cir. 2021), 18 J. HEALTH & BIOMED. L. 223, 231 (2022). Indeed, the Fifth Circuit recently granted qualified immunity to a corrections officer who stood watching for several minutes as a mentally ill inmate hanged himself. See Cope v. Cogdill, 142 S. Ct. 2573, 2574-75 (2022) (Sotomayor, J., dissenting from denial of cert.).

The inability to remedy rights violations contributing to the loss of human life—and the lack of a need to determine whether there even was a rights violation in the first place—are qualified immunity's rotten fruit. Qualified immunity affords federal courts the discretion to avoid deciding whether alleged misconduct even violated federal rights in the first place and to dispose of potentially meritorious claims solely on the ground that any possible violation was not "clearly established." *Pearson v. Callahan*, 555

²⁸ Available at https://nyti.ms/2z0kbZl.

U.S. 223, 236 (2009). The *Pearson* escape hatch creates a vicious cycle: violations must be clearly established for plaintiffs to survive qualified immunity, but qualified immunity itself stunts the development of the law and prevents rights from becoming clearly established.

Such a lack of accountability has dire social consequences. "[W]hen a sense of procedural fairness is illusory, this fosters a sense of second-class citizenship, increases the likelihood people will fail to comply with legal directives, and induces anomie in some groups that leaves them with a sense of statelessness." Fred O. Smith, *Abstention in the Time of Ferguson*, 131 HARV. L. REV. 2283, 2356 (2018); accord U.S. DEP'T OF JUST., INVESTIGATION OF THE FERGUSON POLICE DEPARTMENT 80 (Mar. 4, 2015) (a "loss of legitimacy makes individuals more likely to resist enforcement efforts and less likely to cooperate with law enforcement efforts to prevent and investigate crime.").²⁹

When properly trained and supervised, the majority of police and corrections officers who follow their constitutional obligations will benefit if the legal system reliably holds rogue officers accountable. But under the status quo, "[g]iven the potency of negative experiences, the police cannot rely on a majority of positive interactions to overcome the few negative interactions. They must consistently work to overcome the negative image that past policies and practices have cultivated." Inst. on Race & Justice, Northeastern Univ., *Promoting Cooperative Strategies*

²⁹ Available at https://perma.cc/XYQ8-7TB4.

to Reduce Racial Profiling at 21 (2008).³⁰ Qualified immunity unhelpfully—and unlawfully—shields the minority of officers who bring discredit upon the entire vocation and flout the law, and so it erodes relationships between communities and law enforcement.

In a recent survey, a staggering 93 percent of lawenforcement officers reported increased concerns about their safety in the wake of high-profile police shootings. See PEW RSCH. CTR., supra, at 65. Many hoped for improved community relations as a solution, and more than half agreed that "today in policing it is very useful for departments to require officers to show respect, concern and fairness when dealing with the public." Id. at 72. Responding officers also strongly supported more transparency, and—most importantly for this case—did not think that problematic officers were held accountable. See id. at 40, 68.

Unfortunately, "accountability" often serves as nothing more than a rhetorical cloak for unchecked abuse thanks to qualified immunity. Then-U.S. Attorney General William Barr recently told citizens facing potentially unlawful commands from police to meekly comply because there is "a time and place to raise... concerns or complaint." Adam Shaw, Barr Sounds Call to Push Back against Anti-Cop Attitudes, Adopt 'Zero Tolerance' to Resisting Police, Fox News (Feb. 27, 2020). 31 A Los Angeles police officer similarly

³⁰ Available at https://www.ojp.gov/ncjrs/virtual-library/abstracts/promoting-cooperative-strategies-reduce-racial-profiling.

³¹ Available at https://www.foxnews.com/politics/barr-anti-copattitudes-resisting-police.

warned: "if you don't want to get shot, tased, peppersprayed, struck with a baton or thrown to the ground, just do what I tell you"—and if a citizen is abused anyway, "Feel free to sue the police!" Sunil Dutta, *I'm a Cop. If You Don't Want to Get Hurt, Don't Challenge Me.*, WASH. POST (Aug. 19, 2014).³² Words of "assurance" like these come cheaply, because qualified immunity in fact removes the federal judiciary as a venue for raising most complaints with any hope of remedy.

Qualified immunity has undermined society's trust in law enforcement and government institutions more generally. By clarifying that defendants who violate constitutional rights should be held accountable, the Court can take a significant step toward restoring public confidence.

III. STARE DECISIS SHOULD NOT PREVENT THIS COURT FROM REVISITING QUALIFIED IMMUNITY.

A. Maintaining qualified immunity harms judicial legitimacy.

Stare decisis is no bar to the overdue course correction urged by Petitioner and Amicus. Regrettably, the American public lacks confidence in this Court. See Jeffrey M. Jones, Confidence in U.S. Supreme Court Sinks to Historic Low, GALLUP (June 23, 2022).³³ The way to restore it is not by

³² Available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/posteverything/wp/ 2014/08/19/im-a-cop-if-you-dont-want-to-get-hurt-dont-challenge-me/.

³³ Available at https://news.gallup.com/poll/394103/confidence-supreme-court-sinks-historic-low.aspx.

unquestioningly following erroneous precedent, nor by being directed by "public opinion, but . . . [by] deciding by [the Court's] best lights" what the law requires. Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Org., 142 S. Ct. 2228, 2278 (2022) (citation omitted); see also Trump v. Hawaii, 138 S. Ct. 2392, 2423 (2018) (overruling Korematsu, another case that denied Americans their rights and so foreclosed any judicial remedy for violations).

A proper understanding of Section 1983 requires abolishing qualified immunity. That doctrine's legal and practical infirmities have been noticed by members of this Court. See Ziglar, 137 S. Ct. at 1871 (Thomas, J., concurring in part and concurring in the judgment) ("In further elaborating the doctrine of qualified immunity . . . we have diverged from the historical inquiry mandated by the statute."); Wyatt v. Cole, 504 U.S. 158, 170 (1992) (Kennedy, J., concurring) ("In the context of qualified immunity . . . we have diverged to a substantial degree from the historical standards."); see also Kisela v. Hughes, 138 S. Ct. 1148, 1162 (2018) (Sotomayor, J., dissenting) (contending that the Court's "one-sided approach to qualified immunity transforms the doctrine into an absolute shield for law enforcement officers, gutting the deterrent effect of the Fourth Amendment").

This Court should follow these careful assessments and abolish qualified immunity. Petitioner asks simply "who has the authority" to legitimately decide the reach of Section 1983: the Congress that crafted it, or the Court that rewrote "that statute from the ground up" when it invented qualified immunity. Biden v. Nebraska, 143 S. Ct. 2355, 2368 (2023). The answer is clear: such policy decisions of great

"magnitude and consequence" are for Congress to make. West Virginia v. EPA, 142 S. Ct. 2587, 2616 (2022).Precedent substituting for Congress's judgment judicial policies like qualified immunity "must be overruled, and the authority to" remedy violations of federally protected rights "must be the people returned to and their elected Dobbs,representatives." 142S. Ct. at 2279. "[U]nswerving fidelity to the words Congress chose" when it enacted Section 1983, as Judge Willett put it, would go a long way toward reinforcing judicial legitimacy. Pet. App'x at 17a.

B. Qualified immunity rests upon faulty empirical assumptions.

Faulty empirical assumptions behind qualified immunity support its abolition as well. See Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 606 (1998) (Rehnquist, C.J., dissenting) ("In crafting our qualified immunity doctrine, we have always considered the public policy implications of our decisions."). Qualified immunity wrongly assumes that officials personally bear the cost for Section 1983 judgments against them and that judicial decisions "clearly establishing" rights put officials on "fair notice" to change unconstitutional behavior.

Despite the growing recognition that qualified immunity harms the very officials it seeks to protect by justifiably undermining public confidence in their accountability, this Court has asserted—with a notable lack of empirical support—that qualified immunity prevents over-deterrence because "there is the danger that fear of being sued will dampen the ardor of all but the most resolute, or the most irresponsible public officials, in the unflinching

discharge of their duties." *Harlow*, 457 U.S. at 814 (cleaned up and citation omitted); *see also Forrester*, 484 U.S. at 223.

This concern was largely premised on the faulty assumption that individual officers pay their own judgments. But they don't. The widespread availability of indemnification already protects individual public officials from ruinous judgments. See, e.g., Cornelia T.L. Pillard, Taking Fiction Seriously: The Strange Results of Public Officials' Individual Liability under Bivens, 88 GEO. L.J. 65, 78 (1999). For one example, a recent study shows that governments paid approximately 99.98 percent of all dollars paid out for civil rights claims against police officers. See Joanna C. Schwartz, Police Indemnification, 89 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 885, 890 (2014).

Far from threatening individual officers with financial ruin, then, replacing qualified immunity with the fully remedial legal regime actually enacted by Congress would simply ensure that the victims of rights violations are not done the further injustice of being saddled with the cost of those harms, rather than them being justly placed upon perpetrators. Indeed, departments facing more frequent judgments may also invest in better training, hiring, disciplinary, and other salutary programs. See Kimberly Kindy, Insurers Force Change on Police Departments Long Resistant to It, WASH. POST (Sept. 14, 2022).³⁴ Lawsuits can serve as "a valuable source of information about police-misconduct allegations," and

 $^{^{34}}$ Available at https://www.washingtonpost.com/investigations/interactive/2022/police-misconduct-insurance-settlements-reform/.

police departments that "use lawsuit data—with other information—to identify problem officers, units, and practices" are better equipped to "explore personnel, training, and policy issues that may have led to the claims." Joanna C. Schwartz, *What Police Learn from Lawsuits*, 33 CARDOZO L. REV. 841, 844–45 (2012).

Lawsuits can prompt institutional learning when they carry real consequences for defendant agencies. But qualified immunity wrongly assumes that ordinary officials meaningfully change their actions based on their knowledge of the entire universe of judicial precedent. Qualified immunity has been justified in part on the grounds that an official has the right to "fair notice" regarding whether conduct is unconstitutional, and that binding decisional law finding a rights violation based on "materially similar" facts provides such notice. *Hope v. Pelzer*, 536 U.S. 730, 739–41 (2002).

The second assumption is baseless. While agencies may instruct officials about "watershed decisions," "officers are not regularly or reliably informed about court decisions interpreting those decisions in different factual scenarios—the very types of decisions that are necessary to clearly establish the law." Joanna C. Schwartz, Qualified Immunity's Boldest Lie, 88 U. Chi. L. Rev. 605, 610 (2021). Officials lack the capacity to "learn the facts and holdings of the hundreds or thousands of cases that clearly establish the law and, even if they learned about some of these cases, they would not reliably recall their facts and holdings while doing their jobs." Id. at 612. Besides, as noted above, qualified immunity keeps rights violations from becoming "clearly established at all." See Pearson, 555 U.S. at 236.

Faulty empirical assumptions have led this Court to adopt qualified immunity, at a heavy price to victims of government wrongdoing. Stare decisis is weak when precedent stands in the way of "lawful prerogatives." South Dakota v. Wayfair, Inc., 138 S. Ct. 2080, 2096–97 (2018). Immunity doctrines do this by definition. "Every time a privilege is created or an immunity extended, it is understood that some meritorious claims will be dismissed that otherwise would have been heard." Crawford-El, 523 U.S. at 606 (Rehnquist, C.J., dissenting). Official immunity in particular "comes at a great cost. An injured party with an otherwise meritorious tort claim is denied compensation," contravening "the basic tenet that individuals be held accountable for their wrongful conduct." Westfall v. Ervin, 484 U.S. 292, 295 (1988). Sweeping immunity should not be maintained when it rests upon little more than mistaken factual assumptions and faulty legal reasoning.

Qualified immunity frustrates the remedy Congress enacted for violations of Americans' rights. It undermines government accountability. It lacks a sound basis in reality. And it should be abolished.

CONCLUSION

"The government of the United States has been emphatically termed a government of laws, and not of men." *Marbury v. Madison*, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137, 163 (1803). But as Chief Justice Marshall admonished, our government "will certainly cease to deserve this high appellation, if the laws furnish no remedy for the violation of a vested legal right." *Id.* Qualified immunity denies the availability of a remedy for violations of paramount legal rights in contradiction of Congress's clear command in Section 1983. For the

foregoing reasons and those described by the Petitioner, this Court should grant the petition.

Respectfully submitted,

Clark M. Neily III

Counsel of Record

Matthew P. Cavedon
CATO INSTITUTE
1000 Mass. Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
(202) 425-7499
cneily@cato.org

August 31, 2023