
In the
Supreme Court of the United States

CHRISTOPHER M. HUNT, SR.

Petitioner,

v.

NATIONSTAR MORTGAGE, DEUTSCHE BANK
NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY, JAY BRAY, CEO
Nationstar ALBERTELLI CHRISTIAN SEWING,
CEO Deutsche et al,

Respondents.

\$

On Petition For Writ Of Certiorari
To The United States Court of Appeals
For The Eleventh Circuit

\$

MOTION TO COMBINE PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF
CERTIORARI

-♦-

Rev. Christopher M. Hunt, Sr., P.D.
Pro Se
5456 Peachtree Blvd. 410
Atlanta, GA 30341 2285
1cor13cmh@gmail.com

COMES NOW Petitioner "Homeowner" forced against
desires pro se and files this MOTION TO COMBINE
PETITIONS FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI and avers:

1.

PER RELATED CASES THE TWO CERTIORARIS MUST
BE COMBINED

RELATED CASES STATEMENT

The proceedings in federal trial and appellate courts identified below are directly related to the above-captioned S22A445 case before Court. Respondents finally admitted via waiver with other new rulings all support Homeowner's original 2014 Complaint. The contempt, violations of 28 § 1450 and Rule 3.3, wrongful foreclosure, improper acts in violation to federal court jurisdiction of their Removal including ex parte in state, fraud on courts of improper Removal then orchestrated fraud in state courts to destroy Homeowner to moot their acts per of recent filing

DEFENDANT'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO SET ASIDE

AND VACATE FINAL ORDER 2/27/19 AND GRANT TRO WITH HEARING RULE NISI in state DeKalb County 18CV4742 on 6/27/2023 (see Amended Appendix) that addresses many same issues of federal cases in S22A445 of both Nationstar and Deutsche. Respondents illegally obtained erroneous Supersedeas Bond from a court with no jurisdiction to misuse as means to overcome TROs against eviction before there is a final, non-appealable order. Instant case is so severe the conflict is preventing USCA11 and state courts from reversing an illegally obtained nullity state order for eviction obtained by Deutsche! Deutsche, which is operating illegally in USA per S22A445 went rogue and without court authorization substituted itself for Nationstar. Deutsch illegally went into state in known contempt of DCN.GA orders and jurisdiction and during an ex parte hearing with DeKalb County magistrate judge defrauded the court by misrepresenting jurisdiction to obtain a nullity eviction order! Homeowner was working at home while

trusting justice from federal courts when shocked first time
served notice of nullity eviction by marshals during no notice
eviction! Homeowner rushed to county presiding judge who
seeing two conflicting orders granted mandated Stay/TRO
against in process eviction but only after \$5,000+ damage to
home and belongings, etc. Mortgagees powerful multi-state
debt collecting attorneys who have senior partners in prison
then defrauded court to get Stay voided and to impose a
Supercedeas, all leading to instant application certiorari to
end conflicts of federal court do not disturb state even to
uphold its jurisdiction and state has no review of illegal
nullity Supersedeas so it becomes a Catch-22.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, this Court should grant
Certioraris and this Motion to Combine to S22A445 so:

1. there is a contemporary and compelling application of the antiquated and easily avoided Spirit and intent of

Federal Court and State court cooperation *Yellow Freight System, Incorporated v. Donnelly*, (1990) and *Robb v. Connolly* (1884) to close this loophole of conflicting oppositional jurisdiction the fraud created between federal and state courts.

2. there is national standard of emergency review by state appellant courts solely of legality and appropriateness of Supersedeas Bonds since the outcome of such bonds almost assure the homeowner is evicted and economically destroyed before receiving justice in appeal.

3. Per *Tyler v. Hennepin County MN* there is not an unconstitutional theft of homeowner's appreciative value and equity that enables mortgage companies to de facto be violating predatory lending laws and banking usury laws.

4. Candor to Tribunal Rule 3.3 invoked so fraud on courts is prevented and Rule 60 functions against wrongful supersedeas and protects citizens from illegal and unethical acts described in sister case 22A445 wherein the Mortgagees

are manipulating the federal and state courts against each proposing neither has jurisdiction instead of both in cooperation holding Mortgagees accountable and protecting homeowners.

Respectfully submitted,


Rev. Christopher M. Hunt, Sr., P.D.

Pro Se

5456 Peachtree Blvd. 410

Atlanta, GA 30341 2235

1cor13cmh@gmail.com