

S.D.N.Y. – N.Y.C.
23-cv-3708
Swain, C.J.

United States Court of Appeals
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a stated term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 20th day of March, two thousand twenty-four.

Present:

Robert D. Sack,
Denny Chin,
Joseph F. Bianco,
Circuit Judges.

Jesus M. Romero, Sr.,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

23-7817

BronxCare HealthCare System, et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appellant, pro se, moves for leave to proceed in forma pauperis. Upon due consideration, it is hereby ORDERED that the motion is DENIED and the appeal is DISMISSED because it “lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.” *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989); *see* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

FOR THE COURT:
Catherine O’Hagan Wolfe, Clerk of Court

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe



**United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit
Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse
40 Foley Square
New York, NY 10007**

DEBRA ANN LIVINGSTON
CHIEF JUDGE

CATHERINE O'HAGAN WOLFE
CLERK OF COURT

Date: March 20, 2024
Docket #: 23-7817
Short Title: Romero v. BronxCare Hospital

DC Docket #: 1:23-cv-3708
DC Court: SDNY (NEW YORK
CITY)
Trial Judge - Laura Taylor Swain

NOTICE OF CASE MANAGER CHANGE

The case manager assigned to this matter has been changed.

Inquiries regarding this case may be directed to 212-857-8541.

PUBLIC DOCKET FOR

United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

Court of Appeals Docket #: 23-7817

Docketed: 11/20/2023

Case Name: Romero v. BronxCare Hospital

Status: Determined

Nature of Suit: 3440 CIVIL RIGHTS-Other

Appeal From: SDNY (NEW YORK CITY)

Fee Status: Due

Case Type Information

1. Civil
2. Private
- 3.

Originating Court Information

District: SDNY (NEW YORK CITY): 1:23-cv-3708

Trial Judge: Laura Taylor Swain, Chief District Judge

Date Order/Judgment Date Order/Judgment EOD Date NOA Filed Date Rec'd COA

Associated Cases

Party and Attorney Listing

JESUS M. ROMERO, SR.
Plaintiff - Appellant

Jesus M. Romero, Sr.
[Pro Se]
10 Richman Plaza
Apt. 37C
Bronx, NY 10453

BRONXCARE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM
Defendant - Appellee

Security Officer PEREZ
Defendant - Appellee

MILDRED OLIVERAS--RIVERA

Defendant - Appellee

PATRICIA SNIPES, Patient Representative

Defendant - Appellee

Docket

LEGEND:

(R) - Restricted Document

(L) - Locked Document

| Date Filed | Entry # | Public Docket Text |
|------------|---------|---|
| 11/17/2023 | 1 | NOTICE OF CIVIL APPEAL, with district court docket, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:39 PM] |
| 11/17/2023 | 2 | DISTRICT COURT ORDER OF DISMISSAL, dated 11/06/2023, RECEIVED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:41 PM] |
| 11/17/2023 | 3 | DISTRICT COURT JUDGMENT, dated 11/06/2023, RECEIVED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:42 PM] |
| 11/17/2023 | 4 | (L) MOTION, to proceed in forma pauperis, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 11/15/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:51 PM] |
| 11/20/2023 | 5 | CIVIL APPEAL, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., received on 11/17/2023, OPENED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 11:01 PM] |
| 11/20/2023 | 6 | INSTRUCTIONAL FORMS, to Pro Se litigant, SENT. [Entered: 11/20/2023 11:03 PM] |
| 11/20/2023 | 7 | DEFECTIVE DOCUMENT, Motion, to proceed in forma pauperis, at docket entry 4, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., copy to pro se appellant, FILED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 11:07 PM] |
| 12/05/2023 | 8 | ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 12/05/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 12/05/2023 09:24 PM] |
| 12/05/2023 | 9 | FORM D-P, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 12/05/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 12/05/2023 09:30 PM] |
| 12/05/2023 | 10 | INDEX/ROA NOTICE, to Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., past due material(s) to be submitted by ROA Notice Request Due, SENT. [Entered: 12/05/2023 09:36 PM] |
| 12/06/2023 | 11 | MOTION, to proceed in forma pauperis, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 12/06/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 12/07/2023 12:23 PM] |
| 12/06/2023 | 13 | ELECTRONIC INDEX, in lieu of record, FILED. [Entered: 12/07/2023 12:33 PM] |

| | | |
|------------|----|---|
| 12/07/2023 | 12 | CURED DEFECTIVE Motion, to proceed in forma pauperis, at entry 11, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. [Entered: 12/07/2023 12:27 PM] |
| 01/22/2024 | 14 | LR 31.2 SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., informing Court of proposed due date 03/06/2024, RECEIVED. Service date 01/22/2024 by United States Mail. [Entered: 01/23/2024 10:59 AM] |
| 01/22/2024 | 15 | ORAL ARGUMENT STATEMENT LR 34.1 (a), on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 01/22/2024 by United States Mail. [Entered: 01/23/2024 11:01 AM] |
| 03/20/2024 | 16 | MOTION ORDER, denying the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, at docket entry 11, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., and the appeal is dismissed, by RDS, DC, JFB, copy to pro se Appellant, FILED. [Entered: 03/20/2024 01:58 PM] |
| 03/20/2024 | 17 | NEW CASE MANAGER, Yenni Liu, ASSIGNED. [Entered: 03/20/2024 02:03 PM] |

Docket as of 3/20/2024 2:05 PM

Appendix A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JESUS M. ROMERO, SR., as "Next Friend,"
on behalf of J.R., a minor,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BRONXCARE HOSPITAL; SECURITY
OFFICER PEREZ; MILDRED OLIVERAS-
RIVAS, Manager – Patient Relations;
PATRICIA SNIPES, Patient Representative,

Defendants.

23-CV-3708 (LTS)

CIVIL JUDGMENT

For the reasons stated in the November 6, 2023, order, this action is dismissed.

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from the Court's
judgment would not be taken in good faith.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 6, 2023
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain
LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JESUS M. ROMERO, SR., as "*Next Friend*," on
behalf of J.R., a minor,

Plaintiff,

-against-

BRONXCARE HOSPITAL; SECURITY
OFFICER PEREZ; MILDRED OLIVERAS-
RIVAS, *Manager – Patient Relations*;
PATRICIA SNIPES, *Patient Representative*,

Defendants.

23-CV-3708 (LTS)

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN, Chief United States District Judge:

Plaintiff, who is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* ("IFP"), filed this complaint alleging that Defendants violated his rights and the rights of his minor son. By order dated August 28, 2023, the Court directed Plaintiff to amend his complaint to address deficiencies in his original pleading. Plaintiff filed an amended complaint on October 13, 2023, and the Court has reviewed it. The action is dismissed for the reasons set forth below.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Plaintiff filed this action against BronxCare Hospital, invoking "Title 21 U.S.C. sub-section 360bbb- 3;" "NYC Administrative Code, title 18 chapter 4-8-402;" "NYC Rights Article 4 sub-40-c;" "Title 18 U.S.C. 242;" "title 18 U.S.C. 241;" "Title 3, ADA sub 36.101;" and "42 U.S.C. sub 12181-(7)." ¹ (ECF No. 1 at ¶ I.A.) The following facts are drawn from the original complaint.

¹ The Court quotes the complaint verbatim. All spelling, punctuation, and grammar are as in the original.

On December 19, 2022, Plaintiff, his son, and his son's mother, went to the emergency room at BronxCare Hospital in Bronx County, New York, because his son "had his right ear with a swollen gland." (*Id.* at 9.) Security Officer Perez came over and began "harassing my family to put on a mask," and Plaintiff responded that he would not put on a mask because "it goes against Federal Law Title 21 USC § 360bbb-3." (*Id.*) Officer Perez became more aggressive and insisted that no one could be in the emergency room without a mask, and that Plaintiff must leave.

Officer Perez escorted Plaintiff out of the hospital and "made my son and his mother put on a mask while I was outside." (*Id.*) Plaintiff claims that the hospital's requirement that he and his family wear a mask,

goes against [Section 360bbb-3], where it clearly states that no emergency use authorized medical devices cannot be mandated, such as mask, face coverings, PCR Test and COVID19 Vaccines.

① (*Id.*) According to Plaintiff, "a refusal for an emergency use authorized product is a right." (*Id.*)

Plaintiff alleges that the experience left him "emotionally distressed," for which he seeks money damages. (*Id.* at ¶ IV.) For relief I also want BronxCare Hospital Emergency rm to not require me + my son to wear a mask if some day either one of us was to get ill, or injured, in whichever office, or room we're in. Also, if my son ever gets sick, or injured, + he was to go in BronxCare emergency rm that they will also allow more than 1 parent to enter in with our son instead of just 1 parent + without masks.

Or general, take off mask requirements since it goes against Federal Law U.S. Code 21 sub-sect. 360bbb-3[.]

(*Id.* at 7.)

On the same day that Plaintiff filed this complaint, he filed another complaint asserting similar claims against Bellevue Hospital. *See Romero v. Bellevue Hosp.*, ECF 1:23-CV-3706, 6 (S.D.N.Y. August 28, 2023) (dismissing Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim).

In the August 28, 2023 order, the Court: (1) dismissed any claims filed on behalf of Plaintiff's son; ② (2) dismissed any claims under Section 360bbb-3 because that statute does not

provide for a private right of action; (3) dismissed the claims arising under federal criminal statutes; and (4) directed Plaintiff to replead his ADA claim in an amended complaint because there were no facts in the original complaint suggesting that Plaintiff is disabled, that he was denied the opportunity to participate in or benefit from Defendants' services, programs, or activities because of a disability, or was otherwise discriminated against by Defendants by reason of a disability. (ECF No. 4.)

Plaintiff filed an amended complaint, again on behalf of himself and his minor son, naming as Defendants BronxCare Hospital; Security Officer Perez; Mildred Oliveras-Rivas, Manager, Patient Relations; and Patricia Snipes, Patient Representative. The allegations in the amended complaint are similar to those set forth in his original pleading. Plaintiff reiterates that he had a "right" to refuse Defendants' request that he and his family wear masks. (ECF No. 5 at 5-6.)

§ Plaintiff's amended complaint does not remedy the deficiencies outlined in the August 28, 2023 order. Plaintiff again purports to assert claims on his son's behalf, which he cannot do as a *pro se* litigant, and he fails to allege that he is disabled, or that he was denied the opportunity to participate in or benefit from Defendants' services, programs, or activities, or was otherwise discriminated against by Defendants because of a disability. Plaintiff has thus failed to set forth facts stating a viable claim under the ADA.

District courts generally grant a *pro se* plaintiff leave to amend a complaint to cure its defects, but leave to amend may be denied if the plaintiff has already been given an opportunity to amend but has failed to cure the complaint's deficiencies. *See Ruotolo v. City of New York*, 514 F.3d 184, 191 (2d Cir. 2008); *Salahuddin v. Cuomo*, 861 F.2d 40, 42 (2d Cir. 1988). Because

the defects in Plaintiff's amended complaint cannot be cured with a further amendment, the Court declines to grant Plaintiff another opportunity to amend.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiff's complaint, filed IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).

The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. *Cf. Coppedge v. United States*, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue).

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in this case.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 6, 2023
New York, New York

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain
LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN
Chief United States District Judge

MIME-Version:1.0
From:NYSD_ECF_Pool@nysd.uscourts.gov
To:CourtMail@localhost.localdomain

Message-Id:<30356884@nysd.uscourts.gov>
Subject:Activity in Case 1:23-cv-03708-LTS Romero v. BronxCare Hospital Order of Dismissal
Content-Type: text/plain
This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system.
Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.
NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS There is no charge for viewing opinions.

U.S. District Court
Southern District of New York

Notice of Electronic Filing
The following transaction was entered on 11/6/2023 11:26 AM EST and filed on 11/6/2023

Case Name: Romero v. BronxCare Hospital

Case Number: 1:23-cv-03708-LTS <https://ecf.nysd.uscourts.gov/cgi-bin/DktRpt.pl?598178>

Filer:

Document Number: 6

Copy the URL address from the line below into the location bar of your Web browser to view the document:
https://ecf.nysd.uscourts.gov/doc1/127134370793?caseid=598178&de_seq_num=43&magic_num=MAGIC

Docket Text:
ORDER OF DISMISSAL: Plaintiff's complaint, filed IFP under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii). The Court certifies under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal from this order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore IFP status is denied for the purpose of an appeal. Cf. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 444-45 (1962) (holding that an appellant demonstrates good faith when he seeks review of a nonfrivolous issue). The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in this case. SO ORDERED. (Signed by Judge Laura Taylor Swain on 11/06/2023) (ama)

1:23-cv-03708-LTS Notice has been electronically mailed to:

1:23-cv-03708-LTS Notice has been delivered by other means to:
Jesus M. Romero, Sr
10 Richman Plaza
Apt. 37C
Bronx, NY 10453

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document
Original filename: n/a
Electronic document Stamp:
[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1008691343 [Date=11/6/2023] [FileNumber=30356883-0]
[ab8b839704a29c10f8ca279f16ec7947c330eecbe7e60fb4d84d6cb35d3202366f2c67a3a36a0fd
858bed6ede03b659592cde08879bd246c54ee9af9763af880]]

Appendix C

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
for the
SECOND CIRCUIT

At a Stated Term of the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, held at the Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse, 40 Foley Square, in the City of New York, on the 10th day of April, two thousand twenty-four,

Present:

Robert D. Sack,
Denny Chin,
Joseph F. Bianco,
Circuit Judges.

Jesus M. Romero Sr.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BronxCare HealthCare System, Security Officer
Perez, Mildred Oliveras-Rivera, Patricia Snipes, Patient
Representative,

Defendants - Appellees.

ORDER

Docket No. 23-7817

Appellant filed a motion for reconsideration and the panel that determined the motion has considered the request.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that the motion is denied.

For The Court:

Catherine O'Hagan Wolfe,
Clerk of Court




PUBLIC DOCKET FOR

United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit

Court of Appeals Docket #: 23-7817

Docketed: 11/20/2023

Case Name: Romero v. BronxCare Hospital

Status: Determined

Nature of Suit: 3440 CIVIL RIGHTS-Other

Appeal From: SDNY (NEW YORK CITY)

Fee Status: Due

Case Type Information

1. Civil
2. Private
- 3.

Originating Court Information

District: SDNY (NEW YORK CITY): 1:23-cv-3708

Trial Judge: Laura Taylor Swain, Chief District Judge

Date Order/Judgment Date Order/Judgment EOD Date NOA Filed Date Rec'd COA

Associated Cases

Party and Attorney Listing

JESUS M. ROMERO, SR.
Plaintiff - Appellant

Jesus M. Romero, Sr.
[Pro Se]
10 Richman Plaza
Apt. 37C
Bronx, NY 10453

BRONXCARE HEALTHCARE SYSTEM
Defendant - Appellee

Security Officer PEREZ
Defendant - Appellee

MILDRED OLIVERAS-RIVERA
Defendant - Appellee

PATRICIA SNIPES, Patient Representative
Defendant - Appellee

Jesus M. Romero Sr.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

BronxCare HealthCare System, Security Officer Perez, Mildred Oliveras-Rivera, Patricia Snipes, Patient Representative,
Defendants - Appellees.

Docket

LEGEND:

(R) - Restricted Document

(L) - Locked Document

| Date Filed | Entry # | Public Docket Text |
|------------|---------|---|
| 11/17/2023 | 1 | NOTICE OF CIVIL APPEAL, with district court docket, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:39 PM] |
| 11/17/2023 | 2 | DISTRICT COURT ORDER OF DISMISSAL, dated 11/06/2023, RECEIVED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:41 PM] |
| 11/17/2023 | 3 | DISTRICT COURT JUDGMENT, dated 11/06/2023, RECEIVED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:42 PM] |
| 11/17/2023 | 4 | (L) MOTION, to proceed in forma pauperis, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 11/15/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 11/20/2023 10:51 PM] |
| 11/20/2023 | 5 | CIVIL APPEAL, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., received on 11/17/2023, OPENED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 11:01 PM] |
| 11/20/2023 | 6 | INSTRUCTIONAL FORMS, to Pro Se litigant, SENT. [Entered: 11/20/2023 11:03 PM] |
| 11/20/2023 | 7 | DEFECTIVE DOCUMENT, Motion, to proceed in forma pauperis, at docket entry 4, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., copy to pro se appellant, FILED. [Entered: 11/20/2023 11:07 PM] |
| 12/05/2023 | 8 | ACKNOWLEDGMENT AND NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 12/05/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 12/05/2023 09:24 PM] |
| 12/05/2023 | 9 | FORM D-P, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 12/05/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 12/05/2023 09:30 PM] |
| 12/05/2023 | 10 | INDEX/ROA NOTICE, to Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., past due material(s) to |

be submitted by ROA Notice Request Due, SENT. [Entered: 12/05/2023 09:36 PM]

| | | |
|------------|----|---|
| 12/06/2023 | 11 | MOTION, to proceed in forma pauperis, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 12/06/2023 by United States Mail. [Entered: 12/07/2023 12:23 PM] |
| 12/06/2023 | 13 | ELECTRONIC INDEX, in lieu of record, FILED. [Entered: 12/07/2023 12:33 PM] |
| 12/07/2023 | 12 | CURED DEFECTIVE Motion, to proceed in forma pauperis, at entry 11, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. [Entered: 12/07/2023 12:27 PM] |
| 01/22/2024 | 14 | LR 31.2 SCHEDULING NOTIFICATION, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., informing Court of proposed due date 03/06/2024, RECEIVED. Service date 01/22/2024 by United States Mail. [Entered: 01/23/2024 10:59 AM] |
| 01/22/2024 | 15 | ORAL ARGUMENT STATEMENT LR 34.1 (a), on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. Service date 01/22/2024 by United States Mail. [Entered: 01/23/2024 11:01 AM] |
| 03/20/2024 | 16 | MOTION ORDER, denying the motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, at docket entry 11, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., and the appeal is dismissed, by RDS, DC, JFB, copy to pro se Appellant, FILED. [Entered: 03/20/2024 01:58 PM] |
| 03/20/2024 | 17 | NEW CASE MANAGER, Yenni Liu, ASSIGNED. [Entered: 03/20/2024 02:03 PM] |
| 03/28/2024 | 18 | MOTION, for reconsideration, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., FILED. [Entered: 04/01/2024 09:58 AM] |
| 04/10/2024 | 19 | MOTION ORDER, denying motion for reconsideration, at docket entry 18, on behalf of Appellant Jesus M. Romero, Sr., by RDS, DC, JFB, copy to pro se Appellant, FILED. [Entered: 04/10/2024 01:56 PM] |

Docket as of 4/10/2024 2:35 PM