

No. \_\_\_\_\_

23-7802

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED  
JUN 22 2024  
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

FESTUS O. OHAN — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

AXOS Bank et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

FESTUS O. OHAN  
(Your Name)

1280 E. 17<sup>th</sup> AVENUE, #121  
(Address)

ANCHORAGE, AK 99501  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(907) 222-4929  
(Phone Number)

## QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Judge Sharon L. Gleason has been following me and my case around counties, states and from court system (State) to court system (Federal); for she is implanted to destroy my case, it was because of her that I filed my cases in Fairbanks and she left Anchorage to Fairbanks and returned to Anchorage at the end; I need her investigated and her background thoroughly checked.

BOFI Federal bank joined/merged with Internet Bank to form AXOS bank recently (BOFI means Business of Ohan Festus Investments/Institution), For I own AXOS Bank since 1981 that I opened it with Treasury Bank. The routing # is my former address 1222... on East 59th Street, LA, CA 90001 and I am yet to receive a cent.

## LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## RELATED CASES

- District Ct. of Alaska
- Olan V. Duruherre et al, NO. 3:23-cv-00176-SLG, n
  - Olan V. Duruherre et al, NO. 23-2769, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, judgement entered on May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2024.
  - Olan V. American Medical Association et al, NO. 3:23-cv-00047-SLG, U.S. District Court of Alaska
  - Olan V. AMA et al, NO. 23-35571, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, judgement entered on May 31, 2024.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	13
JURISDICTION.....	14
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	15
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	16
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	17
CONCLUSION.....	18

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	U.S. APPEALS COURT FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT.
APPENDIX B	U. S. DISTRICT COURT OF ALASKA
APPENDIX C	ADDITIONAL PERSONAL INFORMATION
APPENDIX D	
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
(1) Organized Capital crimes is the most serious punishment under Federal law. It include drug trafficking seen with the Nigerian mafia and what they defendants have done to me - half dead and over decades.	
(2) Veera Korhonen March 4, 2024 article initial Pages tells me that I can recover all my properties including Axos bank. Statista on Crime & Law Enforcement. 1	

## STATUTES AND RULES

- (1) Fed. Rule of civil procedure 4(b)
- (2) Ex Parte Young
- (3) Fed. R. CIV. P. 15 and local 15.1

## OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

144

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was MAY 31, 2024

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☒ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 06/07/2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

# CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

- (1) I requested that U.S. District Court Judge Sharon L. Gleason be removed from my case but the Court refused and ... had her supervise my cases. As almost always, my civil rights were severely violated.
- (2) My home at 18727 Malden Street, Northridge, CA 91324 was taken in an open and notorious manner (adverse Possession). It was 10,000 sqft, they worked with Remax to split it into 2. One of 5,300 sqft and another with 4,700 sqft with a bungalow. Then kept me miserable to lose my low paid job with the County of LA, then foreclose the remaining 5,300 sqft home in an unknown out of county location on Sheriff Sale with both the IRS and Franchise Tax Board after my flesh.
- (3) INVOLVED ARE: <sup>FEDERAL LAW:</sup> (a) Personal injuries Fed. Statute 360, assault, Libel and Slander - 320, Tort Product Liability 245 <sup>and</sup> other contracts 190. NOTE: Criminal Contracts are null and void at face value. (b) False Claim ACT 375, Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a), Constitutionality of State status 920 and other labor litigations 790 that include but not limited to Fair Labor Standard Act. CA STATE: (a) Crime against the Person PC 187-248 (b) Crime against Public Justice PC 95-186.33. (c) Civil Rights Violations, PC 422.6-423.2 (d) Attempt to kill PC 217.1-219.3. (e) Hate Crimes, PC 422.55-423.93 (f) False Imprisonment PC 236, PC 529-538g (false personation and cheats). (g) Embezzlement PC 503 and Conspiracy PC 182-185. (h) Identity Theft BP 25661 and 459, 484, 484(c) (b) 1, 483.5(a)(b)(c) (i) Threaten Witness or Victim 140 ETC
- (4) Integrity may be an issue in this case. Therefore, I submit myself to genetic +++ testings that include lie detector test like the use of Sodium Amytal Interview and the brain (Broca's area). I am requesting that it be done to Respondents as well.



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

① I had full, permanent and unrestricted licensure in 1981 for both medicine and surgery. Along with other licensures that were stolen by the FBI Profiled Nigerian Mafia (See DHQ v. Duruhesre et al 9th circuit of the USA Case Number 23-2769). ~~My~~ Respondents refused to replace my credentials and hired me at a minimum wage when actually I make in billions of dollars per year. They had me marry a low classed human who gets impregnated by them and I had to sponsor everyone on my minimum wage jobs.

(2) No proceedings took place in lower court for my case was dismissed at screening levels because of District Judge Sharon L. Gleason who followed me state by state, and local by local and state and Federal Courts. She was implanted to do me and I want her thoroughly checked background wise and thoroughly investigated. She has a very questionable background.

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- (1) Certiorari should be granted because my case was dismissed at screening level by a very questionable Judge Sharon L. Gleason that has been following me around state to state local to local and state to Federal Court. She was an implant with a very questionable background.
- (2) I own ~~BOBI~~ (Business of Phan Festus Investments/Institution) Federal Bank with Routing Number 1222... which was my former address (until August 1983) i.e. 1222 E. 59th Street, #4, LA, CA 90004. and Internet bank. Both merged to become AXOS bank recently. I am yet to receive a cent from my investments and do not access to them.
- (3) Although I am at least 3 times a doctor, an institution<sup>++</sup> and had Full and Unrestricted license to Practice Medicine and Surgery, I was kept at a very low wage by the County who runs <sup>of programs</sup> a lot through me. I was never paid my worth and I developed the "WIN Program". My mails were offset, homes burglarized and Credentials + licenses taken for good as they delete my name from all database(s). I am a non-human and I am 100% open for genetic<sup>++</sup> testings. I am 68 1/2% and have absolutely no children of my own and unmarried. Per Harvard University Group of 1978, I had the best recorded photographic memory in the World and had been published at least in Medicine and Physics globally.

### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: JUNE 21, 2024

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

MAY 31 2024

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FESTUS O. OHAN,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

AXOS BANK, et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 23-3054

D.C. No. 3:23-cv-00161-SLG

District of Alaska,

Anchorage

ORDER

Before: FRIEDLAND, BENNETT, and SANCHEZ, Circuit Judges.

The district court certified that this appeal is not taken in good faith and has denied appellant leave to proceed on appeal in forma pauperis. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). On October 30, 2023, this court ordered appellant to explain in writing why this appeal should not be dismissed as frivolous. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) (court shall dismiss case at any time, if court determines it is frivolous or malicious).

Upon a review of the record, the response to the court's order to show cause, and the opening brief received on November 13, 2023, we conclude that this appeal is frivolous. We therefore deny appellant's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Docket Entry No. 5) and dismiss this appeal as frivolous, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2).

All other pending motions are denied as moot.

No further filings will be entertained in this closed case.

**DISMISSED.**