No. 23-7761

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

EDDIE HATCH/ MICHELLE DAVIS-HATCH— PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE ET,AL. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT OF APPEALS 23-3051
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

EDDIE HATCH/MICHELLE DAVIS-HATCH
(Your Name)

4843 NO. 25TH STREET
(Address)

MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN 53209
(City, State, Zip Code)

414-659-2066
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Will Justice be provided by the Federal Courts to these Pro se, Minority Entrepreneurs during an ongoing conspiracy and media cover-up with evidence on the Record?

Do the Minority Plaintiffs have the same Constitutional protections as the White Defendants?

Does the Constitution have power over political corruption?

Will these white-collar criminals be emboldened by the lack of accountability thus far in this matter?

Does the Judicial Cannons mean anything in this matter?

Can Judges and their Clerks tamper with UNITED STATES mail without accountability?

Will Law and Order prevail?

LIST OF PARTIES

[ ] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

The City of Milwaukee, Mayor Tom Barrett, Frist District Aldermans Office, Ashanti Hamilton, Sakuri Fears, Andrea Pratt, Department of City Development, Dwayne K. Edwards, Matt Haessly, Amy E. Turim, Kenneth Little, Vanessa Koster, James Starke, Martha Brown, Gorman and Company LLC, Cinnaire Solutions, Christopher Laurent, James Dow, Stephanie Harlings/HEDC/BID#31, Angelique Sharpe, NWSCDC, Howard Snyder, Willie Smith, Rocky Marcoux, Jeff Hanewall/BID#19,Kenneth Little, and unnamed Defendants remain.

RELATED CASES

20-CV-1791JPS E.D. of Wisconsin District Court
21-2805 AND 23-3051 IN THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT OF APPLEALS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INDEX TO APPENDICES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

RULES:

OTHER

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

[X] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

[x] reported at Order 21-2805 3/28/22 AND 23-3051-Final Judgement 4/30/24 20-CV-1791JPS Final Judgement 10/23/23; or,

[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

[X] reported at ECF -DKT#79 and ECF DKT# 204 On the E.D. of Wisconsin Record; or,

[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,

[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at _____; or,

[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

[ ] is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

[x] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 4/30/2-24 FRANK H. EASTERBROOK

[x] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. ___A_____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 U.S.C. 1982- Property rights of Citizens
42 U.S.C. 1981- Equal rights under the law-Contracts
42 U.S.C. 1983 -Civil rights action for deprivation of rights-Civil conspiracy
18 U.S.C. 1343- Fraud by wire, radio, or television
18 U.S.C. 1343- Fraud and swindles
18 U.S.C. 1361- Government property and Contracts
18 U.S.C. 1361-68- Prohibited activities
IIED
1983 Liability for Defamation
FAIR HOUSING ACT-INTENDED USE EXEMPTION

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Local Government agents, several non-for profits, and the Gorman and Company LLC, conspired to develop three commercial properties on the Villard Ave business corridor from more than 10 years prior, to the request for proposals were published to the largely, minority community. Unbeknownst to everyone outside of their previous back room deal agreement.

The fact that a Minority Entrepreneur would qualify to purchase the same property, with the backing of the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT (SBA) pre-approved financing, as required to even SUBMIT a proposal to be considered. One chosen entity, Cinnaire Solutions, LLC, was chosen as the "highest and best" proposal submitted with zero financing from these Defendants, who control the process and policies.

That Material Fact and many more predicate acts are found in the Open Records received and used to bring this Civil Rights Action. With that clear proof on the Record, these Defendants, intentionally are now corrupting Federal judges, clerks to avoid accountability. They have been very effective and intentional in keeping the media quiet. Proven through emails from Plaintiffs to all local news outlets from Milwaukee to Chicago, Iowa, Minnesota, Michigan and Indiana.

There are no more peaceful, lawful options for those who fight for their families in this community. The two-tier justice system is on full display in this matter and on the Federal Courts during Donald Trump's efforts to avoid accountability as well.

Justice has not been blind in this case. It has been perverted and corrupted. It has been weaponized against these first time Pro se Plaintiffs for more than 6 years since the RFP (request for proposals) to our community in 2018. I remain lawful, peaceful, and Prayerful.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Justice demands that the lower Court judgements are in direct conflict with racketeering Laws, Due Process and Justice. Making the Constitutional protections for all American Minority Entrepreneurs and small businesses of no effect when needed.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted. Immediately for Justice sake.

Respectfully submitted,

EDDIE HATCH/ MICHELLE DAVIS-HATCH

Date: June 10, 2024

VERIANY GARCIA
Notary Public
State of Wisconsin

exp 04-09-202