

23-7695


No. _____

CORR
CIV
COURT

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



GREGORY D COSBY, AKA
GREGORY D COSBY — PETITIONER

(Your Name)

vs.

ADV
A. COLLIER WARDEN ADV et al,
BUTTERFIELD WARDEN, ADV PROGRAMS
RESPONDENT(S)
CME complex
HUC, FBI

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States District Court Colorado
(No 1:24-cv-00151 STV) Lewis T. Sebeck

(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

GREGORY D COSBY, AKA
GREGORY D COSBY

(Your Name)

P.O. Box 8500 ADV. MAT

(Address)

FCC Court TX @
Florence, Colorado

(City, State, Zip Code)

81226-8500

7191784-9484 - FAX

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1) DID THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED
IN NOT GRANTING I.P.A. WHEN A
IMMINENT DANGER WAS SHOWN
UNDER MENTAL CAPACITY?

LIST OF PARTIES

- ☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.
- ☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	2
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	5
CONCLUSION.....	9

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	United States 10th Cir Order (A-1 & A-2)
APPENDIX B	United States District Court Colorado (Order)
APPENDIX C	
APPENDIX D	ORDER U.S. COURT 10TH CIR 9-20-24
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES	PAGE NUMBER
Wittne vs Colorado 157 F3d 1226 10th Cir 1998	3
Harted vs Fed Bur 655 F3d 1172 (10th Cir 2011)	2
Loma vs Ortiz-Munoz 754 F Appx 789 (10th Cir)	2
Green vs Nottingham 90 F3d 415, 418 10th Cir 1996	1
Rivera vs Allin 144 F3d 719 (10th Cir 1998)	3
STATUTES AND RULES	
28 USC 1915 (A)(G)	

OTHER

none see continuation

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES Contd

WILKINSON US v McCullough

PG

875 F3d @ 1304 (10th Cir 2017)

3

BROWN US TAYLOR

677 F. APPX 924.931

3

5th Cir 2017

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A-1-2 to the petition and is

☐ reported at NO. 24-1072 4-22-24; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished. 10TH Cir

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B2 to the petition and is

☐ reported at NO. 24-1072 4-22-24; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at NA; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the NA court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was April 22, 24 / 5-20-24 SEE et treatment C

☒ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: NA, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NA (date) on NA (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was NA.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: NA, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including NA (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Constitutional and Statutory provisions are
governing the fact. When D.O.A. made
was a constitutional right as to
28 USC 1915A? When he made

A mental capacity claim or
imminent danger. Or well as

The district court did not consider
A proper hearing on the matter
of issue at hand.

Dealing with 28 USC 1915(G)
In no event shall a prisoner
bring a civil action or appeal of
a judgment in a civil action or
proceeding under this section if the
prisoner has, on (3) or more prior
occasions, while incarcerated or detained
in any facility brought an action
or appealing a court of the
United States that was dismissed
on grounds that it is frivolous.

See 28 USC 1915(G)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Plaintiff ~~COLEMAN~~ D COSBY, AKA ~~COLEMAN~~
D COSBY, IS A PRISONER CONFINED
@ U.S. PENITENTIARY, MAX @
HOLLER, COLO ON JAN 16, 21 HE
SUBMITTED A COMPLAINT SPO CINE
ECT (1) @ U.S. DIST CT. HE WAS
ALSO ORDERED TO PAY THE \$105.00 FILING
FEE. ON 2-26-21 A R-DY WAS
MADE @ CINE-ECT-DICT (8) A
NOTICE OF APPEAL LEADS MADE TO USPA
ITIT @ NO. 21-1072. FOR THAT
WAS A ERROR NO FINAL JUDGEMENT
HAD BEEN MADE. THAT COURT ORDER
ON FEB 29, 21 TO SHOW CAUSE
WITH NO SHOWING NEEDED TO
PAY A FILING FEE & \$105.00 THE
MATTER WAS DISMISSED ON 5-20-21
SEE ATTACHMENT C. THIS APPEAL
FOLLOW FOR ~~COLEMAN~~ REVIEW.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

1st Argument

DID THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED
IN NOT GRANTING IFP, WHEN A
IMMINENT DANAG-2 (SIC) WAS
SHOWN UNDER MENTAL CAPACITY

IN NO EVENT SHALL A PRISONER BRING
A CIVIL OF APPEAL A WILKINSON IN A CIVIL
ACTION OR PROCEEDINGS UNDER THIS SECTION
IF THE PRISONER HAS, ON 3 OR MORE
PRIOR OCCASIONS, WHILE INCARCERATED
OR DETAINED IN ANY FACILITY, BROUGHT
AN ACTION OR APPEAL IN A COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES THAT WAS DISMISSED
ON THE GROUND OF FRIVOLOUS OR
ABUSIVE, OR FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM
EMPHASIS (C) 28 USC 1915(G)

HERE THE FACTS ARE SIMPLE
MR. COSBY TRIED TO EXPLAIN TO THE
COURT AND TO TAKE JUDICIAL
NOTICE AS TO DISMISSING THE
PETITION UNDER 1915(G) (GREEN
VS. NOTTINGHAM 50 F.3D 415, 418
(10TH CIR 1996) MR. COSBY SOUGHT
LEAVE FOR IFP STATUS UNDER IMMINENT
DANGER (5)

Interim Order of Protection SSI
Cont'd

WHEREAS he has memory loss

AND, BUT THE COURT FAIL TO

VIEW OF LISTEN "THERE IS ONLY

ONE EXCEPTION TO THE NEJAYMENT

Requirement in 1915 (6) "Ainda

DISURES WITH THREE or more

STRIKES who SEEK TO fail with

in their exception must "make

specific credible citation

of imminent danger of serious

physical harm, "Hafed vs Fed. Bd.

635 Fed 1172 (10th Cir 2011) also

SPE. COUNCIL OF OPTIC 1 NOV 052

754 F. Appx 759 (10th Cir App'd

2018 140 S.Ct 1721 (2020) IN

THIS INSTANT MATTER THE

Court fail to addressed

ANY OF THESE FACTORS

Attachment to Petition Court

1
2 You cannot ~~read~~ reliable use on
3
4 Any conclusory assertions of
5
6 harm will not satisfy TAC
7
8 ~~conclusory~~ imminent danger
9
10 clause. See White vs Colorado
11
12 157 F3d @ 1226 (10th Cir 1998).
13
14 Brown vs Taylor 677 F. Appx
15
16 924, 931 (5th Cir 2017); River
17
18 vs. Allen 144 F3d 719 (11th Cir 1998)
19
20 describing plaintiff's misrepresent
21
22 ation of his prior litigation history.
23
24 Quoting Woodson vs McCollum
25
26 875 F3d @ 1304 (10th Cir 2017)
27
28 11th Cir in present matters
29
30 the district court allowed
31
32 the petitioner to set forth
33
34 the issue he alleged it
35
misconduct is reply @ CME
affidavit 8)

Attachment to Petition Center

THE 10TH CIR (U.S.C.A.) ALSO
ERRED BECAUSE IT ONLY FOCUSES
ON FILING FEE ISSUE. THAT IT
HAD NO JURISDICTION ON IT. IT
WAS NEVER NO FINAL JUDGMENT
CENTER. UNDER THE FOREIGN E
SET REASON PETITIONER ASK.

THAT THE VERDICT BE REVERSED
OR THE APPEAL COURT ORDER
BE REVERSED IN IT ENTIRETY

Respectfully Submitted
Gregory D Crosby
ALTA CROSBY

Submitted Greg Sugabi

5-27-24
Gregory D Crosby
ALTA CROSBY 05825045
PO Box 8500 MEX, MEX
FLORENCE, CALIF
CROSBY PROSE
40 of 4

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Date:

90f9