

No. _____

23-7661

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Supreme Court, U.S.
FEB 1972

JUN 03 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Muhammad E. Milhouse — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

the "City of New York et al
vs. — RESPONDENT(S)
The Hilton Worldwide Holdings Inc. et al
ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

2nd Circuit US Court of Appeals
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Muhammad E. Milhouse
(Your Name)

339 Harbor Rd. (Apt 8B)
(Address)

Staten Island N.Y. 10303
(City, State, Zip Code)

929-312-8619

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Chief Justice Mr. John G. Roberts. If the rapist are to go —
unpunished by — the law protects the victim what is —
the victim to do — And can the Respondents' attorneys —
decide whether i have legal representation "if so" —
Where then are my 42 U.S. code 1983 Civil Action for —
deprivation of rights and my 14th amendment being —
protected? Must i and my family continue to live —
in fear everyday as I do.

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

Juanita Williams - Ron Williams - Shirley Gale -
Anthony Milhouse - Tasha Milhouse - Jamie L. Lathrop - Michael Milhouse JR -
Darren Milhouse - Damien Milhouse - Sean Milhouse ^{NYPD officer} - Carla Simpkins
Joyce Brown - Deans from United house of Prayers for all people
Lee Jones - Deneen - from United house of prayer for all people -
Junior Jones - Michelle Seat - Reese Hollyfield - Ashley May - Winston Pierre
Amy Ramsey - Lawana Jones - Chris Parrish ^{Street Name CP the Rides}
Wendy Burpo Jones - Arthur and Elaine Williams - please see the
April Milhouse - Delilah Milhouse ^{RELATED CASES} Jemma Johnson - Attached \rightarrow
from Cambia Inc

ADDITIONAL LIST OF PARTIES

A.D. Cunningham Leader Bishop of United House of prayers.

John Doe / Jane Doe isolation site supervisor.

John Doe / Jane Doe isolation site NURSE

John Doe / Jane Doe isolation site medical Team

John Doe / Jane Doe Isolation site Observation on site 24/7 staff members

John Doe / Jane Doe Building owner security isolation site Job Title access control

John Doe / Jane Doe Building owners Housekeeping staff members.

(et.al.) John Doe / Jane Doe non-employees Local cult Street ENFORCERS. ET AL.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1.
JURISDICTION.....	2,
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	3.
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	4,-5
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	6
CONCLUSION.....	7

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A Denied for Lack of Law and argument motion -
for Reconsideration also denied.

APPENDIX B Dismissed for failure to prosecute.

APPENDIX C Recommendation Dismiss for failure to prosecute.

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

42 U.S. Code 1983 Civil action for
deprivation of Rights 3
↓
14th amendment - 10 U.S. code 920 art. 120 Rape and
Sexual Assault generally. - Civil Rights
The Victims Rights and Restitution Act. (VRRA)
(34 U.S. 20141). the Crime Victims Rights Act.
(CVRA) 18 U.S. Code 3771*
Local Rule 901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence
(2)(8)(A)(B)(9)(10). (Surveillance).

STATUTES AND RULES

34 U.S. Code 20141 (VRRA)
18 U.S. Code 3771 (CVRA)
Local Rule 901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence (a)-
42 U.S. Code 1983 Civil action for deprivation of Rights
14th Amendment. Equal protection of the Law
10 U.S. Code 920 art. 120 Rape and sexual assault generally

OTHER

the abbreviations (ET AL) means additional
information on the respondents is needed for
prosecution. it also means information on
the respondents that needs to be examined
by proper law enforcement personnel.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was _____.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A _____.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Page #2

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

42 U.S. code 1983 Civil Action for ~~deprivation of~~ —
Rights 14th Amendment equal protection of the Law —
10 U.S. code § 920 - Art. 120. Rape and sexual assault
generally. CIVIL RIGHTS. The Victims Rights and —
Restitution Act (VRRA) (34 U.S. § 20141) the Crime
Victims Rights Act (CVRRA) 18 U.S.C. § 3771 —
LR.901. Authenticating or Identifying Evidence (a)(8)(A)(B)(9)(10)
(Surveillance)

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

* Chief Justice Mr. John G. Roberts the respondents —
attorneys only want to conceal the crime no one was willing to
disclose anything with me and the District Judge(JPC) and the
-Magistrate Judge(BCM) in my firm belief encouraged it
because the Respondents some of them are employed by
The City of New York and the Hilton Worldwide Holdings
Inc. and I was just one man a homeless it did not —
matter that the Respondent are Guilty and the 2nd —
Circuit who also did not have my best interest in mind if —
they had they would not have issued such a Judgment —
Against me but instead would have Let my complaint go —
Forward and order the Surveillance of the isolation site.
Then the identities of ever Respondents name and Job titles
would be present, Chief Justice Mr. John G Roberts underline
Ponder this for a moment who is on this surveillance! —
theres the real argument and I know that the respondents
Attorneys have all seen it but no one wants to review it —
with me because no Judge would sign my motions even my motion
for reconsideration was denied immediately by the 2nd circuit I put
those motions for good reasons pursuant to the victims rights and
restitution act, 34 US code 20141 and the Crime Victims rights Act.
18 US code 3771 and the 14th Amendment Equal protection of
the Law. the denial of those rights where handed down
from the District Judge(JPC) against my constitutional protected —
under 42 U.S. code 1983 civil action for deprivation of rights
in my case to Magistrate Judge(BCM) who After —
realizing the victim had no power to defend himself my
motion being overruled continued on in the same —
fashion and denied my entire complaint base on errored —
Judgement and handed right back over to the District Judge.

Statement of the case

Chief Justice Mr. John G. Roberts. I AM very ill I Just started chemotherapy see I was Just diagnosed with pancreatic cancer And it is my firm belief that due to All the trauma incurred at the hand of the respondents because of the respondents I recieve my treatments at the Perlmutter cancer center. I submit the following pursuant to court Rule 32.2 see the attached Exhibit marked(T) — now know one really know where cancer really comes from and Every one has the own theory, but what's inside me is called "A biomarker" it is Just as deadly as would treat cancer. I will Have more — details from my surgent once they Take it out of me and yes it is considered A cancer. But A biomarker. Chief Justice Mr. John G. Roberts the Respondents did this to me when the Respondents did in fact conduct illegal surgical procedures on me.

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

Chief Justice Mr. John G. Roberts the 42 U.S. Code 1983 is a Rule of Law that protects ordinary citizens like me from government employees whenever a incident — Accures. Now what must a ordinary citizen do when the state or federal courts deny him or her of those rights which are secured within the 1983 and is the very reason the 1983 was drafted into law to safeguard all of my rights are overruled, how then can I — organize my complaint and present the facts when everything I need is taken from my defenses. —

For instance Chief Justice Mr. John G. Roberts take into effect the Letter from Ms. Marlena Smith Attorney for the Respondents from Appendix(C) Exhibit (1) to the U.S. Magistrate Judge Moses which states her opposing my motion for legal help from the pro bono program which I did not know was even available to me until the District Judge (JPC) Recommended it to me just to approve it then take it away from me before I even knew I had one the only way I found out I even had one U.S. Department of Justice USMS Service form from appendix(B) Exhibit (2) and (3) name of

ATTorney is Ms. Ashley A whom I have never met nor signed any additional forms that are necessary to establish attorney-client relations and I was to know who she is and which Judge hired her and signed for her wages see Exhibit (3) From Appendix (B) because according to court records I don't have an attorney see Appendix B and C. this type of — (Page #6). actions is Abuse discretion.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M.W. McNamee". The signature is fluid and cursive, with "M.W." on top and "McNamee" on the line below.

Date: June 3rd 2024