

No: 23-7617

**IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES**

1 First Street, NE  
Washington, DC 20543



Andrew H Nguyen, PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

VS,

AT&T, RESPONDENT(S)

**THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT**

“The Court of Appeals from the Fifth Circuit, case number: **23-10277**”


**The Amended Motion for Rehearing  
for THE PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI**

Andrew H Nguyen  
(Petitioner)

504 Juniper Dr  
(Address)

Arlington, TX 76018  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(817) 718-1399  
(Phone Number)



Dear, The Honorable Judges

My name is Andrew H. Nguyen. I am pleading to the Judges at the Supreme Court do for me a favorable considerations for the Motion for Re-consideration and for Re-hearing for my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari. I have been feeling so sad to receive the denied letter on Saturday October 12<sup>th</sup>, 2024 without the denied reason from the Supreme Court

I did not mean to file the lawsuit against the AT&T company which I had been employed. I had told Puckett (first line manager) and my co-workers that I have no enemy in my life. I had been so patient and accepted with the mistreated and suffered with a very bad stresses by the first and a second managers (Puckett and Fulton) for a number of years

I had tried to be patient and lived with its. Because of I was the only one Vietnamese employee under Mr. Puckett's crew and by Mr. Fulton. They both had tried to harass and mistreated to me with the different ways and left the other Non-Asian employees alone

Would you please refer to the copy of the worked schedule when I was the only Asian employee (a Vietnamese) at the AT&T under Puckett's crew since I had returned to work after the work related injury 12/12/16 to my last day from work on 11/19/18

The management teams at the AT&T had been tried to cover up the real problems at their working garage, the lead of EEO, the Hotline investigator, the Access Protector investigator and the defendant lawyer had tried to pay disrespect to the Laws. They were not supposed to submit the untrue statements to the EEOC Department and to the previous Courts. They had been damaged my personality and hurting my health for a number of years

I am pleading to the Judges at the Supreme Court be granting this serious case to the light for a fair Judgements as below:

**REASONS TO REQUEST FOR A FAVOR FOR RE-HEARING:**

**II.** On February 27, 2023. The Dallas District judge had stated that the Plaintiff's claims against Defendant Southwestern Bell Telephone company, improperly named as AT&T. I had never filed a lawsuit against the Southwestern Bell Telephone. Because of they were the excellent management teams

Enclosed with this Motion. Would you please find the copies of the evidence as well as: My employee ID, the at&t uniforms, the Motor Vehicle defensive Driving Certificate and the logo of the at&t (AT&T) on the assigned company vehicles to prove for the judgement from the judge at the Dallas District Court on February 27, 2023 was not a fair decision

**III.** On November 30, 2023 and January 03, 2024. The Judges at the court of Appeals had made a summary judgement denying my claim of race discrimination and retaliation under Title VII. The district judge concluded that I had failed to create a genuine issue of material fact to show that I was treated differently because of race

## **TABLE CONTENTS:**

- 1/. The Amended Motion for Rehearing for the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari
- 2/. The evidence to prove for the judgements from the Dallas District Court
- 3/. The evidence to prove for the judgements from the Court of Appeals
- 4/. Conclusion
- 5/. Reason for Re-consideration and Re-hearing the Petition
- 6/. Notarized Affidavit
- 7/. Certificate of Service
- 8/. Certificate of Compliance
- 9/. Certificate of Conference

## **CONCLUSION:**

The management teams at AT&T had submitted the untrue statements to the EEOC Department by the lead of EEO on August 30, 2019

The Hotline Investigator ( Analisa Lopez) had taken the Oath and signed the untrue statements to the Dallas District Court on 07/15/22

The Access Protection Investigator (Alisa Allen) had taken the Oath and signed the untrue statements to the Dallas District Court on 07/15/22

The Respondent (defendant lawyer) had tried to block the channel newspaper from the Dallas District Court in 2021 per my former lawyer

The Respondent (Appellee) had tried to block the list of interested person on the list of the Appellant from the Court of Appeals on 09/18/23

The Respondent (Appellee) had tried to block the Oral Argument from the Court of Appeals on 09/18/23

The Respondent (Appellee) had tried to block the Appellant's evidence from the Court of Appeals on 09/18/23

The Respondent (Appellee) had submitted the untrue statements the Court of Appeals on 09/18/23 regarding my job performance and my Attendant

The Respondent had made up the untrue statements to the Dallas District Court on July 15, 2022 and to the Appeal Court on 09/18/23

The Respondent had been submitted the untrue statements to the previous Courts and he had been hurting and damaged to my personality

## **REASON FOR RECONSIDERATION AND REHEARING THE PETITION:**

I am pleading to the Judges that the untrue statements had submitted to the previous Courts will need to be clarified by the Honorable Judges at the Supreme Court

I have a couple ten of pictures, emails from managers, the documents of the assignment company vehicles provided by the fleet (the auto repair shop for the AT&T), the audio and video records in my position. I am going to prove the evidence to the Judges for a fair Judgement

I have been feeling so unfair for the decisions had made by the Judges from the previous Courts without seeing the evidence or without testify for the case

I would like to submit the Motion for a favorable Consideration for Re-hearing for my Petition for a Writ of Certiorari

For the foregoing reasons, I am Pleading and Begging to the Judges at the Supreme Court for granting the Petition for a favorable consideration for the Docket number: 23-7617 for a fair judgements

I am certified that the statements had stated as above are true and correct with the Best of my knowledge

Respectfully Submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Nguyen Van', is written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the right.

(Signature of Petitioner)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on November 09th, 2024, I served with the true and correct of the attached statements for the Amended Motion for Re-hearing for the Petitioner for a Writ of Certiorari

- Email / facsimile transmission
- ✓ Mailed with the USPS posted services

Mr. Jonathan G. Rector  
(Respondent (s))

2001 Rose Ave, Ste # 1500  
Dallas, TX 75201

Andrew H Nguyen  
(Petitioner)

504 Juniper Dr  
Arlington, TX 76018

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Andrew H. Nguyen", is written over a horizontal line.

{Signature of Petitioner}

# NOTARIZED AFFIDAVIT

State of Texas  
County of Tarrant

This record was acknowledged before me on this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November 2024

Before me, the undersigned notary public, personally appeared Andrew Nguyen  
( Name of person Acknowledging)

Proved to me through satisfactory evidence of identification, which was DL  
(Type of identification)

To be the person whose name is signed on the preceding or attached document and acknowledged to me that he/she signed it voluntarily for its stated purpose.

B  
(Signature of Notary Public)

11-1-24  
(Commission Expiration Date of Notary Public)

