

03/12/2024

23-7531

No. _____

FILED

MAR 12 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Tiffany P. Range — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

Postmaster General vs.

Louis DeJoy Et — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals Eleventh Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Tiffany Range
(Your Name)

60 Mullis Street
(Address)

Fairburn, GA 30213
(City, State, Zip Code)

404-781-3826

(Phone Number)

Tiffany Range

03/12/2024

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

I do understand this case has been very prolonged especially without being able to obtain the proper legal representation during this entire process, and lacking the knowledge of legal terminology due to me not being a lawyer as I stated throughout the entire case. I analyzed the merits of this case which hasn't been brought up during majority of this case but only the procedures and deficiencies that were made and were constantly addressed continuously using it against me without responding to my alleged complaints in regards to (sexual harassment, discrimination, and hostile work environment etc. How can I Tiffany P. Range settle out with the courts or negotiate an agreement where I can be made whole.

Pro Se/plaintiff- Tiffany p. Range

Email:patricerange@gmail.com

Contact-404-781-3826

03/12/2024

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

① [V] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

1. Deajhe Hutchinson
2. Bobby Scott
3. Wallace Johnson
4. Gunna Barnes
5. Ray Johnson
6. Monique Washington

RELATED CASES

- 1.) Padilla v. USPS- 0120090062 09/02/2010 (EEOC)
- 2.) Jackson v. USPS- NO- 99-2357 - JWL 09/26/2001 (U.S. district court D. Kansas)
- 3.) Schneider v. USPS 16-cv-0013 01/28/2022 (U.S. district court eastern district of Wisconsin)
- 4.) Kokinchak v. USPS- 99-48351 04/16/2021 (U.S. district court D. New Jersey)
- 5.) Alten v. USPS- 22-30297 03/21/2023 (U.S. district court of appeals fifth circuit)

Tiffany Range
T-R Range 03/12/2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW..... | 1 |
| JURISDICTION..... | |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED | |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE | |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT | |
| CONCLUSION..... | |

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A 08/08/23 opinion issued by Court as to Appellant Tiffany P. Langl Decision: affirmed. Opinion type: Non published. Opinion Method: *per curiam*. The opinion is also available through the Court's opinion www.ca11.uscourts.gov/opinions Entered 08/08/2023 10:20am

APPENDIX B 08/29/2023 petition for panel rehearing filed by appellant Tiffany P. Langl entered 08/29/2023 09:21am

APPENDIX C 02/15/2024 The petition for panel rehearing denied filed by the Appellant is denied. Entered 02/11/2024,

APPENDIX D 02/23/2024 Motion to Stay mandated filed by the Appellant entered 02/23/2024 1:50pm

APPENDIX E 02/26/2024 order: the motion of Appellant to stay the issuance of the mandate pending a petition for writ of ~~certiorari~~ certiorari is granted.

APPENDIX F

10.1

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Not Sure?

I don't have clear understanding
I've answered the best I can without any
legal representation?

STATUTES AND RULES

Not Sure?

OTHER

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

reported at Eleventh circuit courts of appeals; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at Northern district of Georgia; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

The opinion of the _____ court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

reported at _____; or,
 has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
 is unpublished.

JURISDICTION

For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 03/15/2024.

No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 03/15/2024 10:29 am, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix C.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including 05/15/2024 (date) on 03/26/2024 (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was _____. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____.

A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: _____, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including _____ (date) on _____ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1. Prohibits retaliation and discrimination (Title VII 42 U.S.C. 2000 e-3)
2. Sexual Harassment (29 CFR 1604.11- violation of sec 703 title VII)
3. Hostile work environment (the Title VII of the Civil Rights act of 1964 and under the ADA, Title I, Anti-discrimination laws, the Americans with disabilities of 1990 ADA.)
4. Nepotism-(5 U.S Code 3110 Employment of relatives/restrictions)
5. Threatening on the job- (0 tolerance work policy and 18. U.S.C 241)

Pro Se/Plaintiff Tiffany P. Range
Email:Patricerange@gmail.com
Contact-404-781-3826

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On August 28, 2023 my documents were hand delivered to the United States Eleventh Circuit Court clerk, requesting a panel hearing in which she did receive verifying and stamping all documents. Later on August 29, 2023 I received a letter from the court clerk stating my documents are deficient due to me missing a Certificate of Compliance form after all documents were hand delivered verified and stamped. I couldn't understand after I verified everything with the clerk in person the day prior, but the letter stated I had 14 days from the date received to send in a Certificate of Compliance which was completed within the timeframe provided by the courts.

I received another letter on 02/21/2024 denying my panel rehearing due to me not requesting a "Stay of Mandate" along with the "Certificate of Compliance" form that was sent in which I wasn't aware I had to send in a "mandate to stay" form as well because the case wasn't denied. The response was "deficient". I called the court Clerk and spoke with Mr. Joseph Curusso and he stated I had 7 days to request a "Mandate to Stay" form, for the case not be denied, and the date the decision was made left me only 1 day to respond because the decision again was made on the 02/15/2024 and the letter I received by mail on 02/21/2021. I was able to file in a timely manner the following morning in person with the court clerk. I am requesting the court to follow up with this case. As I stated they never responded to the alleged complaints filed (Sexual harassment, retaliation, hostile work environment, discrimination etc. only the procedures and deficiencies of this entire were constantly acknowledged throughout this case knowing I wasn't able to obtain or offered any legal representation and lacking knowledge of legal terminology.

Pro Se/ Plaintiff- Tiffany P Range

Contact 404-781-3826

Email-patricerange@gmail.com

03/12/2024

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

I am asking the Courts to please focus more on the merits of this case, the complaints (sexual harassment, retaliation, hostile work environment, discrimination etc. And not only hold me accountable for the procedures and deficiencies that were made on my behalf mainly caused due to me not being able to obtain or being offered any legal representation throughout this entire case and lacking knowledge of legal terminology because I am not a lawyer as I stated before and this has been very stressful so I am asking the courts to please reconsider this case and show mercy so I can be made whole.

Pro Se/Plaintiff – Tiffany P. Range

Email-Patricerange@gmail.com

Contact-404-781-3826

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Tiffy hange

Date: 03/12/2024