


23-17475  
No.

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES



STEVE PODKULSKI — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

ILLINOIS — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

IL APPELLATE COURT 1ST DIST  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

STEVE PODKULSKI #BS3394  
(Your Name)

PoBox 1000  
(Address)

MENARD IL 62259  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

1ST AMEND RIGHT TO NOT SPEAK TO POLICE.

6TH AMEND RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE COUNSEL

LACHES SHOULD APPLY DUE TO THE 12 YEAR  
DELAY IN ARREST.

SEE APPEAL CASE BRIEF/ORDER

## LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW .....	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED .....	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....	
CONCLUSION.....	

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	SENTENCE 454RS 10-3-19
APPENDIX B	ORDER DENYING POST 9-2-21
APPENDIX C	APPELLATE COURT 1ST DIST IL ORDER 1-21-1270 DATE 1-16-24
APPENDIX D	DENY PLA IL SUP COURT DATE 3-27-24
APPENDIX E	
APPENDIX F	

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

STATUTES AND RULES

OTHER

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix 6 to the petition and is

☐ reported at 1-21-1270; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

☐ reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
☐ is unpublished.

## JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was 3-27-24.  
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix D.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. \_\_\_\_ A \_\_\_\_.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1ST AMENDMENT

6TH AMENDMENT



## STATEMENT OF THE CASE

I AM ACTUALLY INNOCENT

TWO PEOPLE PARTY TO A MURDER WERE  
GIVEN FULL IMMUNITY FOR THERE  
TESTIMONY

A THIRD PERSON AT MURDER WAS  
PAID \$25,000.00 IN ADVANCE OF  
TRIAL FOR TESTIMONY.

NUMEROUS BRAP, AND MALICIOUS PROSECUTION  
TAMPERING WITH STATEMENTS

IMPEACHABLE TESTIMONY BY ALL 3  
DIANE BANR, LAUREN MUNCH, AND  
JAMES GUBLE

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION


THIS CASE IS A MISARRANGE  
OF JUSTICE

READ APPEALATE BRIEFS

## CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, consisting of a large, stylized 'S' or 'Z' shape with a horizontal line extending to the right.

Date:

4-11-24