


ORIGINAL

No. _____

23-7426



IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Jaime Henry Colan Junior — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Cameron C. Constakson et al — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

U.S. Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Jaime Henry Colan Junior, sui juris
(Your Name)

740 E. 17th Street
(Address)

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404
(City, State, Zip Code)

N/A g3ayewhat@gmail.com
(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

Listen I really need help, I am in an extremely stressful environment everyday I am targeted and attacked in some type of stealthy way that is causing harm to my mental, like I can't even think straight right now, because on my way into the Ancker County Govt Center and 3rd Ave, Ancker, Minnesota, I white man walked up with a bag of tools, and I have strong reasons to believe he was going to try and sabotage my bike in some way to slow me down from not only moving around a little easy with all of my extremely heavy bags, but to just cause all types of major interference in all of my movements especially the personal service.

Also other situations continue to play in my head about the April 7, 2024 attack at the Higher Grounds shelter where I sleep, there are people in there that started an issue by way of harassing, crudely poking pushing buttons in an attempt to make me snap and get physical in order to have me arrested for the sole purpose interfering with a lawful civil process

I also do not know how I am going to get the Judgement(s) or other documents that was filed within the Minnesota Court of Appeals because on Feb 29, 2024 I was Trespassed from that Building in a Fraudulent manner against to interfere with civil Rights video on Emancipate Emancipate Youtube a Sheriff officer and the build director

Also last night 4-8-24 can't no telling what was done to me in my sleep, on the 3rd of April 2024 something was done that had me with a real crazy cold, April 7th, 2024, I wake up to the guy next to me in bed and not sure if he was trying to take my phone or stick me with something

4. Was it Lawful or Constitutional for the courts to ignore Jurisdictional Challenge, and all of my Affidavits, Exhibits, and video Evidence in Appendix A, B, C, D, that verifies Conspiracy against civil Rights & 2 was my right to be secure violated by way of forced "Booking Process" on Dec: 29, 2020 without any crime committed by me &

Please forgive me but this is crazy, and this is one of the malicious intent behind all this harassment, to have my head all over the place lack of focus, and too many back to back issues and or arguments about problems that I don't even have against people,

the people in the shelter, it's like they alert each time to start all type of BS, every time I start writing, and or reading my Bible. It's like they also trying to make me turn and curse God, and I will never do that. Glory to God in Jesus name forever, I do not understand this, I mean I don't bother nobody, I don't even like talking too much, but the system that the STATE OF MINNESOTA has came against me with is Evil,

these people be doing witch craft, and or rituals every time one of these moon cycles come around, they was just trying to do something crazy during this eclipse, man it's alot that just, I don't know what to do, I believe that this is some type of coven or coven stalking me,

3 Did this actions of all involved violate my 5th Amendment Right to due Process, specifically ignoring the Jurisdictional Challenge?

Did the Anoka County Jail Sheriff's violate my 4th Amendment Right by way of the strip search and (Xray machine) that was used against me on Dec. 29, 2020 Booking Process?

Did Officer Gustafson violate my Right not to self incriminate by way of forcing me to give him ^{and} my name and DID, B, on Dec. 28, 2020?

Did officer Gustafson violate my 4th Amendment Right to be secured by way of falsely saying that I was resisting, but never charged me with resisting arrest on Dec. 28, 2020?

Did officer Gustafson false arrest violate the 9th Amendment Rights Reserved to the People?

Did any of the court officers listed and named violate my rights to be informed of the nature and cause of any action against me by way of failure to disclose the court's jurisdiction over me by way of failure to disclose the court's jurisdiction over me
Court file No: 02-CR-20-7458

1. Was it constitutional for the courts to ignore the Jurisdictional Challenge on March 10, 2021 &
2. Was my right to due process violated by the Court ignoring the jurisdictional Challenge on March 10, 2021 and 5-11-2022
3. Was my right to a jury trial violated on Nov. 8, 2022 by way of trial without a jury &
4. Did the Anoka County Jail Sheriff officers violate my 4th Amendment Right By way of Strip searches and the Use of XRay machine while I was naked & on Dec. 29, 2020
5. Did Officer Custalson violate my 5th Amend. Right By way of Forcing me to say my name and D.O.B. & on Dec. 28, 2020
6. Did officer Custalson violate my 4th Amend. Right to be secured, By way of falsely saying that I was resisting, but never charged me with resisting arrest on Dec. 28, 2020 &
7. Was my right to be informed of the Nature and Cause of any action against me violated By failure to prove / disclose the jurisdiction on file D2-CR-20-7458
8. Was it constitutional for the Brooklyn Park officers to ignore squatters Rights on Dec. 17, 2022

9. Was my right to be secured violated By the Brooklyn Park Officers By way of issuing a fraudulent Tresspass on Dec. 17, 2022
10. Was my right to be secured violated by way of False arrest and intentionally injuring my rotator cuff by July 15, 2022 incident with COON RAPIDS P.D. see Youtube video of 2
enactment on Youtube
11. Was my right to be secured violated by officer Joseph J. Valente False arrest on August 14, 2022
see Youtube video Evidence
12. Was my 1st Amendment Right(s) violated by way of fraudulent Tresspass issued on 9-14-2022
13. Was my right to privacy violated by way of Strip search and an xray on Dec. 29, 2020 Booking Process?
14. Was my right to be secured violated by way of Officer Tyler Soines fraudulent tresspass issued on Jan. 17, 2023
15. Was my right to equal protection of the law violated by all action(s) taken against me via preponderance of Evidence presented within 21-CV-1249, 21-3203, 23-CV-D749, 23-2817, 23-00108 & 02-CR-20-7458, 02-CR-22-1090, also 02-CR-22-4335, and

LIST OF PARTIES

[] All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

[X] All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

- | | |
|--|----------------------|
| 1. Wilhelmina M. Wright U.S.D.J. | 8. Willem Aldrich |
| 2. Ryan Olsen | 9. Benjamin Bauteh |
| 3. Robb Olsen | 10. Blair Buccicone |
| 4. Andrew Olsen | 11. James Dehert |
| 5. Tyler Senn ^{Senn} | 12. Anna Vest-Carter |
| 6. Jonathan Jasper | 13. Dynna Street |
| 7. Judy L. Holow | 14. Lori O'Brien |

RELATED CASES

- Saye Henry Gofan Jr. v. Cameron C. Gustafson ^{et al.} No. 21-CV-1249
U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota. Judgment Sept. 23, 2021
entered
- Saye Henry Gofan, Jr. v. Cameron C. Gustafson, et al. No. 21-3203
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit. Judgment Dec. 1, 2021
entered 2021
- Saye Henry Gofan, Jr. v. Cameron C. Gustafson et al. No. 23-CV-0749
U.S. District Court for the District of Minnesota. Judgment
entered July 24, 2023
Order Dec 15, 2021
- Saye Henry Gofan, Jr. v. Cameron C. Gustafson et al. No. 23-2817
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit Judgment
entered Nov. 14, 2023
- State of Minnesota v. Saye Henry Gofan, Jr. No. 23-0068
Minnesota Court of Appeals
Anoka County District Court File # 02-CR-20-7458

LIST OF PARTIES

15. David J. Doty
16. Mack E. Berglund
17. Joseph J. Valente
18. Dominic^{*} Nzaca
19. Kevin^{*} Mochez
20. Michelle^{*} Coffey
21. Todd Schoffelman
22. Christa^{*} Butcherford
23. Mike^{*} Whitaker
24. J. Haugen
25. Tracy Ekberg
26. Anoka County Jail Sheriff # 213 T. Smith
27. Evan Tsai
28. Anoka County Jail Sheriff # 273
29. Anoka County Jail Sheriff # 275
30. Anoka County Jail Sheriff # 442
31. Anoka County Jail Sheriff # 444
32. Thomas Michael
33. Brian unknown
34. Lexie unknown
35. Vinod Ambrose
36. B. Luckey or Luckey
37. Andrew Tolbert
38. Max Theirl
39. Brandy Belle
40. Alissa Archer
41. Yoko M. Enders
42. Child Support Tax Offset Unit Mercer Probation
43. US Department of the Treasury Bureau of the Fiscal Service
44. ERICK'S Bike Board ski Distribution Office

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1 & 3
JURISDICTION.....	1
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	4
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	5105
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	RJS
CONCLUSION.....	495

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	<i>At Jan 23, 2024 Judgment, Order</i>
APPENDIX B	<i>Bl 2019, order Dated June 23, 2023</i>
APPENDIX C	<i>Cl order Dated Jan. 24, 2022 case # 21-3203</i>
APPENDIX D	<i>Ol Judgment in civil case 21-cv-1249 Dated 9-30-2021</i>
APPENDIX E	<i>Et clerk office letter informing me that case # 173-0068 was closed.</i>
APPENDIX F	<i>F.I. conditions of sentencing 1pg, Dated 11-8-2022</i>
	<i>#2 March 10, 2021 transcript 7pgs Dated Dec. 13, 2021</i>

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

- Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 (1944)
- Fina Supply, Inc. v. Albion Nat Bank, 726 F.2d 537, (1987)
- Franklin v. Howard 633 F.2d 844, (1980)
- Babcock & Wilcox v. Parsons Corp. 430 F.2d 531 (1970)
- Brandone v. Appellate Department 1971, 5 C3d 536, 550
- Mullane v. Central Hanover Trust Co, 339 U.S. 306 (1950) 314
- Smidanch v. Family Finance Corp. 395 U.S. 337, 339, 340
- Finkletter, 108 F. Supp. 327 (Federal case)
- Morris v. National Cash Register, 44 S.W.2d 433
- ~~Morris v.~~ U.S. v. KIS, 658 F.2d, 526, 536-337 (7th Cir. 1981)

10f3

STATUTES AND RULES Title 18 U.S.C. Sec. 2071 &

Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(j)

28 U.S.C. Chapter 97-Jurisdictional immunities of Foreign State
Sec. 1602-1611, the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act.

15 United States Statutes at Large, Chapter 249 (Sec. 1) enacted
July 27, 1868, the rights of expatriation.

11th Amendment U.S. Constitution

22 CFR 93.1-93.2

28 U.S.C. Sec. 1330

Title 5D U.S.C., Chapter 3, Alien Enemy, in Appendix Section 23,
Jurisdiction of the United States Court and Judges.

10f2

OTHER

WRIT OF ERROR, CORAM NOBIS 1985 Dated March, 2024

- | | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| 1 st issue of fraud | each and every document
Affidavit (5) and Exhibits
filed within above said Docket report
Civil file # 21-cv-1249-DSD-JFO Appellate file #
21-3303 for the eighth circuit
Civil file # 23-cv-0749-WMW-JFO Appellate file #
23-2817 |
| 2 nd issue of fraud | |
| 3 rd issue of fraud | |
| 4 th issue of fraud | |
| 5 th issue of fraud | |

Table of Authorities Cited

U.S. v. Tweel, 550 F.2d 297, 299 (5th Cir 1977)

U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021, 1032, 1033
(5th Cir 1977)

Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932 (1906)

Spencer v. Doe, 139 F.3d 107 (1998)

Green v. Branson, 108 F.3d 1296 (1997)

Boag v. MacDougall, 454 U.S. 364 (1982)

Haines v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 519

Boyd v. U.S., 116 U.S. 616, 635, 4 S. Ct.

Statutes and Rules

Pg. 2 of 3

1933 national "State of Emergency" clause

1959 executive order 10834

Military Commission Act

General Orders 100 under the Lieber Code

GOVERNMENT OF ARMIES OF THE UNITED STATES
IN THE FIELD"

Francis Lieber, LL.D., (Originally issued as
GENERALS ORDERS Nos. 100, Adjutant General's Office,
1863)) & Executive Order 10834, Section 24, 3, 21
Title 8, 22, and 28 U.S.C.

December 26, 1933 49 Statutes Treaty Series 881
(Convention on Rights and Duties of State)

December 9th, 1945 International Organization
Immunities Act

22 C.F.R. 92.12-93.31 FR Heading "Foreign Relationship"
Title 22 U.S.C. (Foreign Relationships and Intercourse
Chapter 11.

Federal Rules of Civil Procedures Rule 4(j)

Title 28 U.S.C. 3002 Section 15 (a)

U.S. Constitution 11th Amendment

22 C.F.R. 93.1-93.2 states that Department of State Offices
has to be notified of any suit, and in turn has to
identify the United States Citizen of said suit.

Title 28 U.S.C. 1330

Federal Rules of Civil Procedures Rule 12(a)(4)

Federal Rules of Civil Procedures Rule 4(n)(5)

Statutes and Rules

Pg. 3 of 3

Pg. of jurisdiction and venue change to the Peoples
Constitutional Article 3 Court

Title 28 > Part 5 > Chapter 99 > Section 11031

Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976

International Organizations Immunities Act of 1945

419, 4489, P.L. 291, 59 Stat. 669

28 U.S.C. Section 11052.

28 U.S.C. Section 1331 and 11052

Title 42 U.S.C. § 1985

Title 28 > Part > Chapter 111 > Section 11052

public. police U.C.C. 3-419, C.P.S. 4-3-419

Uniform Bonding Code.

OTHER

Pg. 2 of 2

Criminal Conspiracy, Robbery, Misprision of Felony,

Conspiracy Against the Rights of People

Extortion

Fraud

False Statements

Perjury & Oaths

TREASON

Cesta Que Vie Trust

A workman is worthy of his hire.

It is the ^{Courts} responsibility to correct plain error
where it involves saving constitutionality

Let Right Be Done though the Heavens Shall Fall
the law helps those who have been deceived
not those deceiving.

Notice to Agent is Notice to Principle

Notice to Principle is Notice to Agent

Application to all successors and assigns

All are without excuse

All have undeniable knowledge.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☐ reported at Cedeno v. Gustafson et al, No. 23-2817; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☐ reported at Cedeno v. Gustafson et al, No. 23cv-0749; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from state courts:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF
THE UNITED STATES PETITION
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS

Pg. 2 of 3

OPINIONS BELOW

A and B is as listed on page 1,

Appendix C and D

C, and the rest of Appendix C, has
the Judgment LSS, etc. received from U.S.
Court of Appeals For the Eighth Circuit
on Court File # 21-3203

The U.S. Marshalls Fraudulently
Trespassed me from the Courthouse or
Clerks Office at 316 N. Robert Street
Room 500 Saint Paul, Minnesota on
Jan. 11, 2022 Because of that, the
U.S. Marshalls would not allow me in
the Clerks Office at 300 South 4th
Street suite 202, Minneapolis, Minnesota
and that's around the time when
both temp agencies let me go, well I
just stopped going to AA & later after
the check that has ZERO on it, and
the other temp agency told me they
get slow around the holidays, what?

they knew that I did not have any work because they made sure of it, they knew that my family put major knives knives I.D.K. in my back.

Betrayed me.

C or Appendix C

Cofan v Gustafson et al, No. 21-3203

is unpublished

Appendix D

Cofan v Gustafson et al, No. 21-CV-1249

D 1-48, prima facie Evidence

Appendix E. Appendix D with documents filed

STATE OF MINNESOTA v. State Henry Cofan Jr.

Minnesota Court File # 02-CR-20-7458

Nov. 8, 2022 Trial without a jury

this was Fraud knowingly committed and conspired against me to obstruct a lawful civil process

Appendix F.

are just some document that was filed on behalf of a fraudulent Trespass

issued on Dec. 17, 2022 and Dec. 22, 2022

JURISDICTION

☐ For cases from federal courts:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was Nov. 14, 2023 or Jan 23, 2024 not really sure which I to put 1st

☐ No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: January 23, 2024, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A 1.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including November 29, 2023 (date) on December 17, 2024 (date) in Application No. 2 A 2. I don't know what to put, N/A

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

☐ For cases from state courts:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was N/A.
A copy of that decision appears at Appendix _____. Please see attached

☐ A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: N/A, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix _____.

☐ An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including N/A (date) on N/A (date) in Application No. N/A A N/A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

Minnesota state court namely Ancker County District Court Judge and Prosecutor on Nov. 8, 2022 conspired against me along with Officer Gustafson, and organized, and orchestrated a fraudulent non-jury trial, and ignore the jurisdictional challenge that was never proven and or met with an answer.

Once jurisdiction is challenged, the court cannot proceed when it clearly appears that the court lacks jurisdiction, the court has no authority to reach merits, but rather, should dismiss the action
Melo v. U.S. 505 F.2d 1026

The law requires proof of jurisdiction to appear on the record of the administrative agency and all administrative proceedings
Hagans v. Lavine, 415 U.S. 533

Defense of lack of jurisdiction over the subject matter may be raised at any time even on appeal *Hill Top Developers v. Holiday Pines Service Corp* 478 So. 2d 368 (Fla 2nd DCA 1985)

The burden shifts to the court to prove jurisdiction
Rosemond v. Lambert 469 F.2d 416

Documents listed within Appendix

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

1st Amend. Right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.

1st Amend. Right of freedom of speech, or of the press

4th Amend. Right to be secured against unreasonable searches and seizures.

5th Amend. Right not to be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself

5th Amend. nor shall private property be taken for public use, without just compensation.

6th Amend. Right to speedy trial

6th Amend. Right to jury trial

7th Amend. Right to jury trial in civil matters

8th Amend. Right to be free from cruel and unusual punishment

9th Amend. Rights retained by the people

9th Amend. shall not be construed to deny or disparage.

48 U.S.C. § 241, 2076, 2071, 1529, 2412

42 U.S.C. § 1985, 1986, 1981,

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

Please understand Truth is expressed in the form of an Affidavit, I rely, stand on all, each and every Affidavit Exhibit, video, etc. Presented by me to the courts on Doc. No. 02-CR-26-7458-123-6068, 21-CV-1249-DSD-JFD, 21-3203, 23-CV-0749-WMA JFD, 23-2817, 02-CR-22-1090, 02-CR-22-4285,

I do not know what was done to me last night 4-14-2024 while I was sleep, but something has the right side of my Brain, Head feeling extremely bad, these people are trying hard to take my life and make it look like something else, It is extremely hard for me to catch colds, head aches etc. I've had 3 different colds within the last month and a half. I've attached 5 pages with this, it is extremely important to me that I mail these documents to U.S. Supreme Court

This is for real no lie, I really would like assistance of a court order Attorney, the last five years of events has left my head overloaded with back to back situations, fight or flight

I do have video Evidence of service upon each and every individual and Attorney or both, also all of these documents have been served upon Minnesota Attorney General Office 445 Minnesota Street suite 1400, Saint Paul, Minnesota, and

U.S. Attorney General's Office, 300 South 4th Street Unit 600 Minneapolis, Minnesota

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. This matter is being filed with the court from an incident that occurred on the date of December 28th, 2020 from the unconstitutional actions knowingly committed by Minneapolis Minnesota Police Department Officer Cameron C. Gustafson
2. I know I am only limited 40 pages, so respectfully I stand and rely on my sworn statements under penalty of perjury written within the following listed named Affidavit(s).
3. Officer Gustafson's actions started a snowball effect in the act of Obstruction of Justice involving a huge number of individuals, some listed and named within U.S. District Court matter Cameron C. Gustafson et al. 23-cr-0749-WMD-JFD U.S. Court of Appeals for the eighth circuit court file # 23-2847
4. To sum it up the best and shortest way possible, after the Dec. 27th, 28, 2020 arrest I was transported to Anoka County Jail, and forced into a Booking Process, which I was strip naked and made/forced to stand within an what I was told was an airport xray machine
5. My first hearing date after Dec. 29th, 2020 release from Anoka County Jail was held on March 10th, 2021 Zoom hearing with Judge James Dehen and Prosecuting attorney Blair Buccione
6. I challenged the Jurisdiction of the court per Federal Rules of Procedure Rule 4(c) I provided a copy of said hearing date transcript within Appendix —
7. I also informed said Judge Dehen and Prosecutor Buccione that an affidavit for Dissolution and redemption 20yrs. Dated Feb. 10, 2021, which was filed with clerk of court at the Administration window, I have the video evidence to prove it.
8. Prosecutor Buccione lied and said that I had to notice the court intentionally undermining Minn. R. Civ. P. Rule 4.03(a) personal service
9. I forgot on Dec. 29, 2020 Judge Dyanma Street said that I could not be released from the Jail if I did not undergo the Booking Process, and I had never committed any crime to even be in a Jail cell in the first place

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1

I was arrested on Dec 28, 2020 by Coon Rapids, MN, Police D. Officer Cameron C. Gustason, allegation 155, was personally ^{Eligible} March 10, 2021, I challenged the jurisdiction of the court on the ~~Eligible~~ that was said to be Officer Gustason's prescribed method of law per Federal Rules of Procedure Rule 4(c) jurisdiction of the court was never proven and/or answered

this incident happened Dec 28, 2020 Mr. Gustason knew that I lived in my car I have a video of the incident (preponderance of evidence) video's verifying police harassment, misconduct, obstruction, Clerk's of court altering document, issuing fraudulent delinquency notices to conceal and remove documents filed within a list of my court cases

Sheriff officers, Police Officers, Metro Transit bus drivers, shelter staff members, that work at the opportunity center in the downtown area of Minneapolis, Minnesota, US Postal Service works, and U.P.S store worker tampering with legal mail, gang stalking, asking what I was told later was meth in my coffee when I want to get my bed covers, falsely arresting, harassing, attempting to intimidate, create and plot situations to take my life poking me with needles when I'm sleeping and doing other malicious act, like spraying toilet water on me after giving me a faulty COVID test, it's so much that has happened and still happening it's crazy.

~~the~~ officers, Dis. Marshall's, Brooklyn Park, Brooklyn Center P.D., Ancker P.D., Coon Rapids MN P.D., used Trespassing notices against me intrude upon my right to access the courts, and obstruction of a lawful civil process, the bogus arrest that took place on the dates of Dec 28, 2020 July 15, 2022, and August 14, 2022 all of which was premeditated was all acts actions taken against me to interfere with Civil Case matter *Cowan Junior v. Darkunedi Perakstactali 3116-cv-08559-PGS-LHC*, Dis. Court of Appeals Third Circuit Court Docket 22-3313, that's what Dec 28, 2020 arrest was intended go to spend too much work to do discriminating on me because I didn't harmful situation.

Teaming up with temp agencies, greyhound bus scammer Dis. Postal Service worker ~~grayhound~~, committing some real crime acts against me for the last June 9, 2024 will make 54000

As an example, if the state trial court ruled against you, the intermediate court of appeals affirmed the decision of the trial court, the state supreme court denied discretionary review and then denied a timely petition for rehearing, the appendices should appear in the following order:

Appendix A Decision of State Court of Appeals

Appendix B Decision of State Trial Court

Appendix C Decision of State Supreme Court Denying Review

Appendix D Order of State Supreme Court Denying Rehearing

VIII. Table of Authorities

On the page provided, list the cases, statutes, treatises, and articles that you reference in your petition, and the page number of your petition where each authority appears.

IX. Opinions Below

In the space provided, indicate whether the opinions of the lower courts in your case have been published, and if so, the citation for the opinion below. For example, opinions of the United States courts of appeals are published in the Federal Reporter. If the opinion in your case appears at page 100 of volume 30 of the Federal Reporter, Third Series, indicate that the opinion is reported at 30 F. 3d 100. If the opinion has been designated for publication but has not yet been published, check the appropriate space. Also indicate where in the appendix each decision, reported or unreported, appears.

X. Jurisdiction

The purpose of the jurisdiction section of the petition is to establish the statutory source for the Court's jurisdiction and the dates that determine whether the petition is timely filed. The form sets out the pertinent statutes for federal and state cases. You need provide only the dates of the lower court decisions that establish the timeliness of the petition for a writ of certiorari. If an extension of time within which to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted, you must provide the requested information pertaining to the extension. If you seek to have the Court review a decision of a state court, you must provide the date the highest state court decided your case, either by ruling on the merits or denying discretionary review.

XI. Constitutional and Statutory Provisions Involved

Set out verbatim the constitutional provisions, treaties, statutes, ordinances and regulations involved in the case. If the provisions involved are lengthy, provide their citation and indicate where in the Appendix to the petition the text of the provisions appears.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

2 the clerks, Prosecutors, Judges, knowingly ignored each and every constitutional safe guards, and provisions declared under the penalty of perjury in sworn affidavits, Exhibits video Evidence 18 USC § 4 prima facie evidence, these judges listed and named impaired, obstructed and notified the commercial affidavit process with out verifying any proof of a bonded summary process and counter affidavits rebutting any allegation against respondents.

Abuse of power and conspiracy against civil rights can and will be verified by any and all decisions, Judgements, orders, etc. there were never any counter affidavits to rebut any of the actions the defendants, respondents, that I expressed in affidavit form sworn declarations demanding proof of claim or debate all actions against me the court intentionally prolong the court process on smoke court file # 02-CR-20-7408 never answered or rebutted any of my affidavits with counter affidavits or proved unconstitutional jurisdiction Judge T.S. and prosecuting attorney Robb Olson, who I have reasons to believe is indeed related to Ryan Olson Contrabands P.D. officer Andrew Olson Contrabands P.D. officer who are named in civil matter US District Court for the District of Minnesota court docket No. 23-CV-0749-WMW-JFD. Safe Henry Colon Jun v. Cameron C. Gustafson et al 21-CV-1249-DSD-JFD

with Ryan Olson being a listed named defendant in the 2021 civil matter which was also obstructed, and notified the same way. the ruling, judgment, orders, etc. which dismissed my civil matters in 2021, 2023, above said court docs was unconstitutional that actions methods taken against me was pure ignorance, and willful disregard for the law criminal, immoral, malicious, and extremely wicked each and everyone of my affidavits filed and sworn upon each and every defendant/respondent can be verified not only by affidavit(s) of personal service, and service by mail but also on my 3 youtube channels namely 1. the world doc 2. Eman Freeman 3. Deez Nitz All of which was provided to the courts on both civil matters in 2021 and 2023

Due to heavy gang stalking, spying, stealing my personal property such as car, bike, clothes, court documents or anything else they could get or do to me all of this was again ignored by the courts in everything presented as evidence, prima facie evidence

whether on direct appeal or through state or federal collateral proceedings. Below is an example of the format that should be used for the list:

V. Page Limitation

The petition for a writ of certiorari may not exceed 40 pages excluding the pages that precede Page 1 of the form. The documents required to be contained in the appendix to the petition do not count toward the page limit. See Rule 33.2(b).

VI. Redaction of Personal Information

Pursuant to Rule 34.6, certain types of personal information should not be included in filings. For example, social security numbers and taxpayer identification numbers should be redacted so that only the last four digits of the number are included, and the names of minor children should be redacted so that only initials are included. In general, Rule 34.6 adopts the redaction practices that are applicable to cases in the lower federal courts. See, e.g., Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2.

VII. Method of Filing

All documents to be filed in this Court must be addressed to the Clerk, Supreme Court of the United States, Washington, D. C. 20543 and must be served on opposing parties or their counsel in accordance with Rule 29.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORMS

I. Motion for Leave to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* - Rule 39

A. On the form provided for the motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, leave the case number blank. The number will be assigned by the Clerk when the case is docketed.

B. On the line in the case caption for "petitioner", type your name. As a *pro se* petitioner, you may represent only yourself. On the line for "respondent", type the name of the opposing party in the lower court. If there are multiple respondents, enter the first respondent, as the name appeared on the lower court decision, followed by "et al." to indicate that there are other respondents. The additional parties must be listed in the LIST OF PARTIES section of the petition.

C. If the lower courts in your case granted you leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, check the appropriate space and indicate the court or courts that allowed you to proceed *in forma pauperis*. If none of the lower courts granted you leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*, check the block that so indicates.

D. Sign the motion on the signature line.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

3. I am seeking Supreme Court supervision and or judgment on and about the constitutionality of the processes taken against, even a fraudulent warrant was used against me cause more unnecessary delays and distractions my sister Yoko M. Enders, my kids was used against me, Yoko secretly calling and collaborating with different Cook County P.D. officers a number of different times trying to poison me, July 15, 2022, and August 14, 2022 and even Dec 17, 2022 incident where Brooklyn Park P.D. officers abused used the trespass against me to remove me out of my daughters old apartment ignoring and willful disregards for supporters rights, After Brooklyn Park Department officers on the date of Dec 14, 2022 informed H.P. apartment building management and maintenance men that they would have to go through the proper civil process to have me removed these people have teamed up and collaborated with a huge number of people not even listed and named as defendants but can be verified within YouTube video Evidence

the Court rely upon a preponderance of evidence, I am going to start with Appendix A, the affidavits filed with eighth Circuit Court of Appeals USI Appendix B, are all of my uncontested, un rebutted affidavits sworn under penalty of perjury notarized. I was told by the Clerk USI Court of Appeals for the eighth circuit that I did not have to refile any documents that was filed with the USI District Court October 23-CV-0749, a lot was going on it only calmed down because of the video's recorded and posted on YouTube, a lot was said within this statement of the case that was not said within affidavits likewise these people are still plotting on me now trying to take me out, wanting to make it look like some tragic accident and or COVID in order to escaped judgment and penalties for these malicious and wicked actions knowingly committed against me. "eluding Justice"

I really need help this is crazy, I'm being held hostage here in the state of Minnesota I'm being black balled, Black listed from receiving any and all meaningful employment, all of my phones have been hacked blocking me from even going online to make an income. Now I know why so many others who was being stalked ended up killing themselves so many fraudulent claims brought against me to make me quit, give up, or not wanna live any more, these are terrorist acts, Domestic terrorism, I am an American citizen.

I know I only have 40 pages, with that being said respectfully to be continued within Appendix B Just thinking about this causes all types of crazy feelings and emotions of Distress June 1st, 2024 will make 5 years of this madness, it would be a blessing to really receive justice.

XII. Statement of the Case

Provide a **concise** statement of the case containing the facts material to the consideration of the question(s) presented; you should summarize the relevant facts of the case and the proceedings that took place in the lower courts. You may need to attach additional pages, but the statement should be concise and limited to the relevant facts of the case.

XIII. Reasons for Granting the Petition

The purpose of this section of the petition is to explain to the Court why it should grant certiorari. It is important to read Rule 10 and address what compelling reasons exist for the exercise of the Court's discretionary jurisdiction. Try to show not only why the decision of the lower court may be erroneous, but the national importance of having the Supreme Court decide the question involved. It is important to show whether the decision of the court that decided your case is in conflict with the decisions of another appellate court; the importance of the case not only to you but to others similarly situated; and the ways the decision of the lower court in your case was erroneous. You will need to attach additional pages, but the reasons should be as concise as possible, consistent with the purpose of this section of the petition.

XIV. Conclusion

Enter your name and the date that you submit the petition.

XV. Proof of Service

You must serve a copy of your petition on counsel for respondent(s) as required by Rule 29. If you serve the petition by first-class mail or by third-party commercial carrier, you may use the enclosed proof of service form. If the United States or any department, office, agency, officer, or employee thereof is a party, you must serve the Solicitor General of the United States, Room 5614, Department of Justice, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D. C. 20530-0001. The lower courts that ruled on your case are not parties and need not be served with a copy of the petition. The proof of service may be in the form of a declaration pursuant to 28 U. S. C. § 1746.

4. mind you the appeal that I filed with the Minnesota Court of Appeals 305 Minnesota Judicial Center 25 Dr. Rev Martin Luther King Jr Blvd. Saint Paul Minnesota, Court file #123-0068 on Jan. 9, 2023 I gave one of the clerks my documents to file for appeal, this lady lied and told me (misleadingly) me to believe by the words that came out of her mouth, that she could not file my appeal papers because I did not I am I, F, P form, and it was already late that day on Jan. 9, 2023 when I made it there.

She gave me the form, I tried to fill it out at the law library within that building and had to leave because the building was closing I came back Jan. 10, 2023 and my case was dismissed because I filed one day late I informed the one lady namely Christa Futhertford about the clerk on Jan. 9, 2023 and Ms. Futhertford refused to give me the lady name who ill informed me on Jan. 9, 2023 and refuse to file my documents.

served and certified mailed affidavits on Feb. 3, 2023 my mail was tampered with false claiming that I did not have enough postage, when I mailed my documents, the funny thing is I have a recording of the date I mail out my affidavits to Ms. Futhertford Feb. 3, 2023 where the US postal worker can be seen weighing some envelope post mark it and me paying the price that she told me.

Also the same documents was filed with Anoka County District Court on Feb. 3, 2023 and the clerk stamped filed on Feb. 3, 2023, and I have a video of me handing her the documents on Feb. 3, 2023.

The Sheriff Officers within Anoka County Gov. Center on Jan. 18, 2022 said that the xray machine was not working and they had to search my things (bags) etc. by hand the Affidavit that I was going to mail to H & F Block Defendant Titt, went missing and a \$5,000 scratch off that I was holding on to winning ticket (Yes I won) and one of the officers stole it.

these people have done so much, and it was even brought to my attention that some of these people was doing witch craft on me, this is not funny at all this is no joke it's for real, I can see if I was saying this with no proof, however if a picture is worth a 1000 words, how many words is video evidence of gang stalking, denying me access to the court, intimidation, I can't go no where without someone constantly spying on me.

steal my ideas via conversation, hacking, stalking and say or do some of the things I do, then try to make me feel bad about my idea that was stolen.

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☐ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at Codan v. Gustafson et al, No. 23-2817; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at Codan v. Gustafson et al, No. 23-cv-0749; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☒ is unpublished.

☐ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix N/A to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the N/A court appears at Appendix _____ to the petition and is

- ☐ reported at N/A; or,
☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,
☐ is unpublished.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

51 this feel like I'm in a lifetime movie that don't wanna end. Truth be told I'm not perfect, however I was never the type to bother any if I don't see eye to eye with some one, I have no issue with removing myself, it cost me nothing to leave you alone, so how or why did I end up a target here in Minnesota
Betrayal my family sold me out and are hiding something from Betrad me because, my birth certificate is missing, my SS card is missing and child support talking about spousal support, I have never been married, so who used my name to get married, and to who did someone marry in my name?

My 2020 income tax was stolen and can be verified via tax account transcript for tax year 2020 \$236 was refunded on 3-17-2021 I was over charged \$200 for tax service because I did not have any money to pay and Dominic tax service received my refund and held it for 12 days and gave it to child support and Mr Nar lied to Minneapolis Police officer and said he never received the refund when I went to their office on 3-19-2021 so much done happened and still happening, I do not know what to do.

Under the penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America I attest the above and foregoing to be true correct and not to mislead

48 U.S.C. § 48

witness my hand on this day of
2024

in the year of our Lord

STATE OF MINNESOTA
COUNTY OF ANOKA

Joseph Henry Cohen Junior
Joseph Henry Cohen Junior, serves
Principal by Special Appearance in propria
Personam Proceeding but Juris Alii Rights
and Liberties expressly Reserved Unimpaired
without Prejudice U.S.C. § 3058

Subscribed and affirmed to before me this 16 day of April 2024

John T. Murphy
Notary Public
1/21/26
My Commission expires



July 2019

**OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20543**

**GUIDE FOR PROSPECTIVE INDIGENT PETITIONERS FOR WRITS OF
CERTIORARI**

I. Introduction

These instructions and forms are designed to assist petitioners who are proceeding *in forma pauperis* and without the assistance of counsel. A copy of the Rules of the Supreme Court, which establish the procedures that must be followed, is also enclosed. Be sure to read the following Rules carefully:

Rules 10-14 (Petitioning for certiorari)
Rule 29 (Filing and service on opposing party or counsel)
Rule 30 (Computation and extension of time)
Rules 33.2 and 34 (Preparing pleadings on 8½ x 11 inch paper)
Rule 39 (Proceedings *in forma pauperis*)

II. Nature of Supreme Court Review

It is important to note that review in this Court by means of a writ of certiorari is not a matter of right, but of judicial discretion. The primary concern of the Supreme Court is not to correct errors in lower court decisions, but to decide cases presenting issues of importance beyond the particular facts and parties involved. The Court grants and hears argument in only about 1% of the cases that are filed each Term. The vast majority of petitions are simply denied by the Court without comment or explanation. The denial of a petition for a writ of certiorari signifies only that the Court has chosen not to accept the case for review and does not express the Court's view of the merits of the case.

Every petitioner for a writ of certiorari is advised to read carefully the *Considerations Governing Review on Certiorari* set forth in Rule 10. Important considerations for accepting a case for review include the existence of a conflict between the decision of which review is sought and a decision of another appellate court on the same issue. An important function of the Supreme Court is to resolve disagreements among lower courts about specific legal questions. Another consideration is the importance to the public of the issue.

III. The Time for Filing

You must file your petition for a writ of certiorari within 90 days from the date of the entry of the final judgment in the United States court of appeals or highest state appellate court or 90 days from the denial of a timely filed petition for rehearing. The issuance of a mandate or remittitur after judgment has been entered has no bearing on the computation of time and does not extend the time for filing. See Rules 13.1 and

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

PLEASE TAKE JUDICIAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE NOTICE; IN THE NATURE OF A WRIT OF ERROR, CORAM VOBIS AND A DEMAND FOR DISMISSAL FOR FAILURE TO STATE THE PROPER JURISDICTION AND VENUE Pursuant to (F.R.C.P.) Rule 4(c).

The Anoka County District Court TENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT 2100 Third Avenue, Anoka, Minnesota [55303] on Court file Docket number 02-CR-20-7458 is defined under (F.R.C.P.) Rule 4(c) as a FOREIGN STATE as defined under 28 USC, Chapter 97- JURISDICTIONAL IMMUNITIES OF FOREIGN STATES, see, 1602-1611, THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT (F.R.C.P.) allows the Petitioner to challenge jurisdiction, therefore full disclosure is being demanded.

Any failure to disclose the true jurisdiction of the Court is a violation of 15 United States Statutes at Large, Chapter 249 (Section 1) enacted July 27, 1868

Chapter 249, an Act concerning the rights of American Citizens in foreign states, whereas the rights of expatriation is a natural and inherent right of all people, indispensable to the enjoyment of the rights of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness; and whereas in the recognition of this principles this government has freely received emigrants from all nations and invested them with the right of citizenship; and whereas it is claimed that such American

Citizens, with their descendants, are subjects of foreign states, owing allegiance to the government thereof; and whereas it is necessary to the maintenance of public peace that this claim of foreign allegiance to the government thereof; and whereas it is necessary to the maintenance of public peace that this claim of foreign allegiance should be promptly disavowed; thereof;

Be it enacted by the Senator and the House of representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, that any declaration, instruction, opinion, order, or decision, of any officer of this government which denies, restricts, impairs or questions the rights of expatriation, is hereby declared inconsistent with the fundamental principles of this government

As an American Citizen, I hold the inherent right of the 11th Amendment. "the judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by citizens of another state, or by citizens or subjects of a foreign state" IF THIS FOREIGN STATE is misusing the name of this American citizen by placing it in all capital letters

or misusing the term "person" as a CORPORATION, all complaints and suits against such CORPORATION fall under the (F.S.I.A.) and the DEPT. OF STATE OFFICES in Washington D.C. D.C. had to be notified pursuant to 22 CFR 93.1-93.2, this procedure was not followed by the Plaintiff(s). A copy of the (F.S.I.A.) has to be filed with the complaint to the Defendant's agent and the chief executive officer of that CORPORATION.

Any MUNICIPAL, COUNTY, OR STATE COURT lacks jurisdiction to hear any case under the FOREIGN STATE definitions, this jurisdiction lies with the UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT under the (F.S.I.A.) statutes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1330.

Because the Defendant Saye Henry Corbin Junior, junius is a non-corporate entity and is not registered with any Secretary of State as a CORPORATION, the prosecution has failed to state a claim to which relief could be granted under Rule 12(b)(6). therefore this matter must be dismissed for lack of political personhood, subject matter jurisdiction, and venue under the 11th Amendment.

the Petitioner is now placing a demand for jurisdiction and venue change under new discovery of information of fraud and failure of disclosure by the Clerk of Court, the judge, and by the Prosecutor/Executor, and therefore a dismissal of charges, with prejudice in favor of this Petitioner is demanded because of fraud upon the court, (see: Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co., 322 U.S. 238 1944).

Farther, any agreements made by this Petitioner was made on the basis of mistake due to lack of full disclosure creating a lack of full knowledge, a deliberate action of fraud, non-disclosure concealment of material facts, and misrepresentation. Such actions thereby creates a stressful situation of duress and intimidation, vitiating all documents by such actions of fraud.

The first issue of fraud is that the Anoka County District Court on File # 02-CR-20-7458 has denied this Petitioner the right to a trial by jury.

a) the fact that the Petitioner has been denied the use of constitutionally protected rights under the Bill of Rights, and

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

Pg. 5 of 26

- b) the denial of the use of acts of congress,
- and
- c) the fact that the Petitioner has been denied the use of this States and Federal laws as a defense,
- and
- d) this is a direct violation of the Clear Field Trust Doctrine

the second issue of fraud is that "there is but one cause of action and that is civil," and the Anoka County District court has, had this Petitioner in a "criminal action."

the third issue of fraud is that all criminal action comes under Title 50 U.S.C. chapter 3, Alien Enemy, in Appendix Section 23, Jurisdiction of the United States Court and judges.

- a) the said court and judges has fraudulently allowed the Prosecutor in declaring (or assuming) this Petitioner is an "Enemy of the State" by the use of the "State of emergency," under
- b) the 1953 national "State of Emergency" clause resulting in the kidnapping and extortion with intent to cause harm to this Petitioner, and

C), this was not disclosed to the Petitioner by the court clerk, judge or by the Prosecutor at the time of arraignment, trial or sentencing resulting in a deliberate action of fraud.

The fourth issue of fraud is that the Clerk of Court, the Judge, and the Prosecutor / Executor all have full knowledge of the 1959 executive order 10834 that placed the Anoka County District Court on docket file No. 02-CR-20-7458 under the State of Emergency and under jurisdiction of the Presidential flag and military jurisdiction.

a) this Court and its Officers are in violation of the Military Commission Act, and

b) In violation of the General Orders 100 under the Lieber Code ("INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE GOVERNMENT OF ARMIES OF THE UNITED STATES IN THE FIELD" prepared by Francis Lieber, LL.D. Originally issued as GENERALS ORDERS No. 100, Adjutant General's Office, 1863)), and

c) Of Executive Order 10834, section 24

d) The Secretary of Defense in respect to procurement for the Department of Defense (including military colors) and the Administrator of General Service in respect of procurement for executive agencies other

that the Department of Defense may, for cause, which the Secretary or the Administrator, as the case may be, deems sufficient, make necessary minor adjustments in one or more of the dimensions or proportionate dimension prescribed by this order, or authorizes proportions or sizes other than those prescribed by section 3 or section 21 of this order.

the fifth issue of law is that the Court Clerk, judge, and the Prosecutor are undermining the 11th Amendment and Acts of Congress to enforce unamended Codes and Statutes.

a). Title 3, 22, and 28 U.S.C.

b). December 26, 1933 49 Statutes Treaty Series 881 (Convention on Rights and Duties of State) stated Congress replaced statutes with international law, placing all states under international law.

c). December 9th, 1945 International Organization Immunities Act relinquishing every public office of the United States to the United Nations.

d). 22 CFR 92, 12-93, 31 FR Heading "Foreign Relationship" state that an oath is required to take office.

e). Title 22 U.S.C (Foreign Relationships and Intercourse) Chapter 11 identifies all

agents as foreign agents.

f) Federal Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 4(j) states that the Courts jurisdiction and immunity fall under a foreign state.

g) Title 28 U.S.C. 3002 Section 15 a state that the UNITED STATES is a CORPORATION and not a Government, including the judiciary procedural section.

h) the 11th amendment states "the judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another state, or by Citizens or subjects of a foreign state." A foreign entity, agency, or state court cannot bring any suit against any a United States Citizen without abiding by the following procedures:

*1) 22 C.F.R 93.1-93.2 states that the DEPARTMENT OF STATE OFFICES has to be notified of any suit, and in turn has to identify the United States Citizens of said suit.

j) Title 28 U.S.C. 1530 states that the United States District Court has to grant permission for the suit to be pursued

once the Court has been supplied sufficient proof that the United States Citizen is actually a corporate entity.

K) Under (F.R.C.P.) Rule 12(b)(6) the Anoka County District Court Prosecutor 2100 3rd Avenue, Anoka, Minnesota [55303] on court file docket No. 02-CR-20-7458 has failed to provide adequate proof that this Petitioner is actually a Corporate Entity; Further, this Petitioner is demanding the dismissal of all charges with prejudice for lack of political, personal, and subject matter jurisdiction; and also failure to operate under proper venue.

L) Under (F.R.C.P.) Rule 4(H), (3) whenever it appears by suggestion of the parties or otherwise that the Court lacks jurisdiction of the subject matter, the Court shall dismiss the action.

The Petitioner now Demands a proper jurisdiction and venue change to the People's Constitutional Article 3 Court, and for the Court to function in good behavior or for this action to be dismissed, with prejudice, in favor of this Petitioner.

Title 28 > Part 5 > Chapter 99 > Section 11631
Sec. 11631 TRANSFER TO CURE WANT OF
JURISDICTION

Whenever a civil action is filed in a Court as defined in section 1010 of this title or an appeal, including a petition for review of an administrative action, is noticed for or filed with such a Court and that Court finds that there is a want of jurisdiction, the Court shall, if it is in the interest of justice, transfer such action or appeal to any other such Court in which the action or appeal could have been brought at the time it was filed or noticed, and the action or appeal shall proceed as if it had been filed in or noticed for the Court for which it is transferred on the date upon which it was filed in or noticed from the Court from which it is transferred.

- a) this information was not properly disclosed at the time of the filing of this case by the Anoka County District Court Clerk on Court Docket File # Nov. 02-CR-AD-7458,
- or
- b) It was not disclosed by the Court/Judge/Prosecutor or

at the time of arraignment or sentencing.

FAILURE TO DISCLOSE THE FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES ACT OF 1976

- 1) Under such Court action the Petitioner was not properly served per Federal Rules of Civil Procedure under Rule 4(j).
- 2) The Petitioner under such foreign status and/or jurisdiction has immunities under the International Organization Immunities Act (I.O.I.A) of 1945, H.R. 4489, P.L. 291, 59 Stat 669, This is an Act of Congress as defined under 28 U.S.C. Section 1652.
- 3) The Petitioner also holds immunities under 49 Stat 3097, Treaty Series 881, Rights and Duties of the State, This is an Act of Congress as defined under Sec. 1652.
- 4) The Petitioner also holds immunities under the 11th Amendment of the U.S. Constitution which was also an Act of Congress and under the U.S. Constitution as defined under 28 U.S.C., Section 1334 and 1652.

The Petitioner will point out that 28 U.S.C.

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

Pg. 12 of 26

Sec. 640 clearly shows the District Court of United States is the correct jurisdiction and venue as an Article 3 Court to hear this Petitioner's grievances under the bankruptcy of the United States which is just one of the issues before this Court.

THE STATE OF MINNESOTA/UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is/was aware of the 1933 bankruptcy, and in Title 12, Chapter 2, Section 95, 95 (a), and that a state of Emergency has been declared by many presidents of the United States of America.

1) Title 28 U.S.C. under Sec. 1331

the district court shall have original jurisdiction of any civil action authorized by law to be commenced by a person:

a) to recover damages for injury to his person or property, or because of the deprivation of any right or privilege of a citizen of the United States, by any act done in furtherance of any conspiracy mentioned in section 1985 of title 42;

b) to recover damages from any person who fails to prevent or to aid in preventing any wrong mentioned in 1985 of Title 42 which he had knowledge were about to

occur and power to prevent.

C). To redress the deprivation, under color of any state law, statute, ordinance, regulation, custom or usage, of any right, privilege or immunity secured by the Constitution of the United States or by any Act of Congress providing for equal rights of citizen or of all persons within the jurisdiction of the United States,

2) Title 28 > Part > Chapter 121 > Section 1652
Section. 1652. State laws as rules of decision

The laws of the several States, except where the Constitution or Treaties of the United States or acts of Congress otherwise require or provide, shall be regarded as rules of decision in civil actions in the Courts of the United States, in cases where they apply,

All cases in law, equity, admiralty or maritime, are now classified as "civil actions." Civil maritime and admiralty actions require a contract between the Plaintiff and the defendant for the Plaintiff to have a standing to sue,

there is never an accommodating

agreement, and there was no accommodation agreement pursuant to public policy U.C.C. 3-419, C.F.S. 4:3-419 between the alleged victim and the Prosecutor/Executor because the prosecutor is only allowed to be in court on behalf of the STATE OF MINNESOTA resulting in fraudulent concealment; also a violation of the Uniform Bonding Code.

a) Party having superior knowledge who takes advantage of another's ignorance of the law to deceive him by studied concealment or misrepresentation can be held responsible for that conduct.

Fina Supply, INC. v. Albion Nat. Bank, 724 P.2d 537, 1987.

b) When a judge knows that he lacks jurisdiction or acts in the face of clearly valid Constitutional provisions or valid statutes expressly depriving him of jurisdiction or judicial capacity judicial immunity is lost. *Franklin v. Howard* (1980) 633 F.2d 844.

c) Subject matter jurisdiction cannot be waived by parties, conferred by consent, or ignored by court. *Babcock & Wilson v. Parsons Corp.*, 430 F.2d 531 (1970)

"All matters must be expressed to be

expressed to be resolved" is an eternal unchanged principle of law; "All are equal under the law (Moral and Natural Law) is the like; therefore, fraud, misrepresentation, nondisclosure, intimidation, deceit, concealment of material fact, lying and treachery are morally wrong; this Petitioner was falsely imprisoned under pretext and duress on the dates of December 27th or 28th of 2020, July 15th, 2022, and August 24th of 2022.

Note: December 27, 2020 is the date on the recording on my phone with Con Rapids Police Officer Cameron C. Gustafson et al, however due to all the phone hacks, tampering with my devices etc, it's funny how the recording has two dates different dates on the same clip at 3 am, and they hired some one to damage that phone in the shelter while I was sleeping, but he did not do a good job on it.

Video Evidence on Youtube at

1. Eman Freeman
2. the world doc, and
3. Deez Nitz

Title 28 U.S.C. 3002 Sec. 15(a) defines the

government and the judiciary procedural section as a corporation, and this Petitioner is in fact and in law a sentient man, flesh and blood, with a living soul, with a distinct mind that is capable of possessing personal knowledge.

this Petitioner is in law and in fact a Beneficiary of the Cesta Que Vie Trust that has been defrauded of Rights, Life, Liberty, and Property by the Judge that is the TRUSTEE and EXECUTIVE ADMINISTRATOR of the Petitioner's Trust Account. this judge has conspired with the Prosecutor who created a constructive TRUST in the name of the Trust Account that this Petitioner is in fact and in law the Beneficiary of, and the Petitioner holds Titled to this Trust Account making this Petitioner in law and in fact the holder in due course of the property. the judge who is the Trustee of this Beneficiary has in fact and in law conspired with the Prosecutor who is the Executor and Creator of the Constructive Trust (S) that was/is set up in the name of this Petitioner's Account. this results in a Lack of Duty of Care, the Petitioner's the victim, this Want of Error verifies the Damages, and the intent is established

by the Petitioner's false imprisonment / kidnapping under protest and duress on December 27th or 28th of 2020, July 25th, 2022 and August 14th, 2022, and without subject matter jurisdiction, Further defined crimes are; Criminal Conspiracy, Robbery, Misprison of Felony, Conspiracy Against the Rights of People, Extortion, Fraud, False Statements, Perjury of Oath, and other such crimes are related to issues of BRACKETEERING plus such Constitutional violations not listed combined and described simply as TREASON. The Petitioner is not a Legal Fiction, Artificial Person or Corporation, and is known as a Real Man and a Beneficiary of the Cesta Que Tenet and the Holder In Due Course of Titled Property under the name / title SAFÉ HENRY GODAN JUNIOR (An ARTIFICIAL PERSON); that is furcively pronounced the same as the real man Safé Henry Godan Junior whose name is spelled in proper context, not in all CAPS or under the term Capitis Diminutio Maxima (to be reduced to a slave). Further, it is the sincerest belief and spiritual conviction that slavery and peonage are immoral, and are violations of the First Precept of Commercial Law (A workman is worthy

of his wife") that fraud, and misrepresentation, nondisclosure, intimidation, deceit, concealment of material fact, lying and treachery are morally wrong.

It is the Courts responsibility to correct plain error where it involves saving constitutionality.

An Affidavit unrebuked stands as truth

Also Petitioner Jane Henry Cohen Jones, subject is in fact and in Law a Lien Claimant, via (Affidavit (5) of Truth, and Affidavit (6) of Obligation) a Consensual Lien Obligation on the part of the Lien Debtors, Respondents;

Arising out of a private contract formed between LIEN CLAIMANT and LIEN DEBTOR(S) Said contract was initiated by Lien Debtors by way of claims asserted against Lien Claimant, LIEN CLAIMANT responded to Lien Debtors, Respondents by way of Commercial AFFIDAVIT (5) and

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

Pg. 19 of 26

Subsequently a Notice of Default enumerating the position of LIEN DEBTOR(S) to be in error and criminal and demanding a point for point rebuttal by LIEN DEBTOR(S) and proof of claim, basis for his/her allegations alleged cause of action against LIEN CLAIMANT within thirty (30) days or abate all action(s) against LIEN CLAIMANT, in which failure to rebut Lien Debtor(s) were put on notice that they would be in default.

Subject Affidavit of Notice, Declaration and Demand, Fair Notice And Warning of Commercial Consequence, Notice of Non-Judicial Proceeding 60pgs. Dated 3-24-2021 (2) Affidavit For Dissolution And Redemption Proceedings 23pgs. Dated Feb. 7, 2022, (3) Affidavit For Dissolution And Redemption 20pgs. Dated Feb. 10, 2021 (4) Doc. No. 1, 274pgs. File within civil case #21-cv-1249-DJK-JFD (5) Affidavit of Obligations Commercial Lien 70pgs. Dated April 18, 2022 (6) Affidavit of Obligations 47pgs. Dated Sept. 5, 2023 File within civil case #23-cv-0749-WMW-JFD with a long list

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

Pg. 20 of 26

Other un rebutted affidavit(s) that can be verified on said court docket(s), all in relevant and documented within civil case matter namely

Sage Henry Colan Junior

v.

Cameron C. Constatson et al

See Anoka County Court file # 02-CB-20-7458

Appellate file # 123-0068, 02-CB-22-4285,

02-CB-22-1090

U.S. District Court file # 21-cv-1249-RBR-JFD,

eighth Circuit Court of Appeals file # 21-3303

U.S. District Court file # 23-cv-0749-WMW-JFD

all of which and more filed with Clerk,

U.S. District Court Minneapolis Division 300 South

4th Street Suite 202 Minneapolis, Minnesota on

the date(s) of May 19, 2021 and March 27,

2023 a DVD with video Evidence namely

Evidence was filed with said clerk and

Minnesota Attorney Generals Office at

445 Minnesota Street suit 1400

Saint Paul, Minnesota,

LIEN DEBITOR(S) failed to respond to Affidavit(s) Notice, thereby admitting

and acquiescing to the declaration by LIEN CLAIMANT, and Notice (S) of DEFAULT etc was served upon them per Minn B. Civ. P. Rule 4.03 (a) & U.S. Postal Certified postage, and first class mail.

Such default (S) admit (S) that the LIEN DEBTOR (S), Respondents claim was false and fraudulent and that LIEN DEBTOR (S) are guilty of the Criminal violation (S) involved in the actions of LIEN DEBTOR (S) as set forth in LIEN CLAIMANTS COMMERCIAL AFFIDAVIT (S) and Notice of DEFAULTS and subsequently charges charged within CRIMINAL COMPLAINT (AFFIDAVIT OF INFORMATION) given to the U.S. District Court on 7-19-2021 and to U.S. Attorney's office at 300 South 4th Street Unit 600, Minneapolis, Minnesota on 1-26-2022 received by Katie May^{*} Legal Assistant for Debtors actions.

" Due process requires, at a minimum that an individual be given a meaningful opportunity to be heard prior to being

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

By 22d 26

Subjected by force of law to a significant deprivation... that the hearing required by due process is subject to waiver, and is not fixed in form does not affect it's root, requirement that an individual be given an opportunity for hearing before he is deprived of any significant property interest... originalitalics; 401 U.S. 378-379

Boardman v. Appellate Department 1971,
5 C3d 536, 550

In the latter case *Mullane v. Central Hanover Trust Co.*, 339 U.S. 306 (1950) was said that the right to be heard has little reality or worth unless one is informed that the matter is pending and can choose for himself whether to appear or default, acquiesce or contest 339 U.S. at 314 *Snadanch v. Family Finance Corp.* 395 U.S. 337, 339, 340

"Allegations in affidavit in support of motion must be considered as true in absence of counter-affidavit," *Group v. Finletter*, 108 F. Supp. 327 (Federal case)

routine it should be corrected immediately.²¹
U.S. v. Tuzel, 550 F.2d 297, 299 (5th cir. 1977)
also see U.S. v. Prudden, 424 F.2d 1021,
1032, 1033 (5th cir. 1977);
Carmine v. Bowen, 64 A. 932 (1906)
Note:

This document will be made part of public
record under Rule 902 (4) (8) of the
Federal Rules of Evidence and will be
used to establish an Administrative
record, and will be used or provided as
evidence in any judicial process at law or
equity regarding these issues

The Respondent(s) has 30 days from the
presentment to disavow instrument or meet
the demands regarding proper jurisdiction
and venue, or dismiss all charges without
court file # 02-CR-2007458 and release me
from the penalties, and award the
Petitioner the penalties for the charges

Notice to Principal is Notice to Agent
Notice to Agent is Notice to Principle
Application to All successors and assigns

Reasons For GRANTING the Petition

Pg. 25 of 26

All are without excuse

All have undeniable knowledge

Let Right Be Done Though the Heavens Shall
Fall

"the law helps those who have been deceived
not those deceiving"

under penalty of perjury under the
laws of the United States of America, I
attest that the above and foregoing is true,
and correct to the best of my knowledge
and belief, not meant to mislead,

WITNESS My Hand this 16 day of April in
the year of our Lord 2024

~~Sgt. Henry Colson Junior~~

Sgt. Henry Colson Junior


without Prejudice U.C.I. 1308

Subscribed and affirmed to before me this 16
day of April 2024

John T. Murphy
Notary Public

1/31/26

My Commission Expires



Due process provides that the rights of pro se (survivors) litigants do not
are to be construed liberally and held to less stringent standard
than formal pleadings drafted by lawyers, if court can reasonably
read pleadings to state a valid claim on which litigant could
prevail, it should do so, despite failure to cite proper legal authority
confusion of legal pleading requirements"

Spencer v. Doe, 139 F.3d 107, (1998)

Green v. Branson, 108 F.3d 1296 (1997)

Boag v. MacDougall, 454 U.S. 364 (1982)

Harris v. Kerner, 404 U.S. 579

Constitutional provisions for the security of person and property are to be
liberally construed, and it is the duty of courts to be watchful for the
constitutional rights of the citizen, and against any stealthy
encroachments thereon. Boyd v. U.S. 116 U.S. 616, 635, 65. Ct.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Boag v. MacDougall

Date: April 16, 2024

Subscribed and affirmed to before me this 16 day of April
in the year of our Lord 2024

John T. Murphy
Notary Public

1/31/26
My Commission Expires

