

No. _____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Meghan M. Kelly, Petitioner

v.

Disciplinary Counsel Patricia B. Swartz, Disciplinary Counsel Kathleen M. Vavala; David A. White, Chief Disciplinary Counsel, Office of Disciplinary Counsel, Board on Professional Responsibility of the Supreme Court of the State of Delaware, Preliminary Investigatory Committee, Attorney General Delaware

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

Petitioner Meghan Kelly's Motion for Leave to file in Forma Pauperis

March 15, 2024

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
Pro Se,
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
(302) 278-2975
US Supreme Court No 283696

Questions Presented:

I. Whether Meghan Kelly must be granted permission to file in forma pauperis so as not to unfairly vitiate her First Amendment right to access to the courts and depriving her of due process before her rights are vitiated applicable to the federal courts via the 5th Amendment.

II Whether this Court must waive costs, potential costs and Court fees under Supreme Court Rules 38 and 43, or that may be authorized but not required under 28 U.S.C. § 111 through 28 U.S.C. § 1932, 1. to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, 2. to prevent a government compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to petition the Court in defense of the exercise of fundamental rights and license(s), and 3.to prevent government compelled involuntary servitude in exchange with access to the courts to defend my licenses and liberties from being taken away for my religious beliefs in Jesus. US Amendments I, V, XIII

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Case:	1-2
Reasons to Grant Motion	2-15
Conclusion	15

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

Appendix A IFP Affidavit United States Supreme Court's Requires and **the exhibits attached thereto and incorporated therein, including but not limited to**

Appendix B IFP Exhibit 43 thereto which includes

1. Newspaper article I drafted published in the Coastal Point, Representative candidate says health is wealth, dated July 20, 2018, Coastal Point, Guest Column, *Representative candidate says health is wealth*, By Meghan Kelly, Esq., Candidate Delaware House of Representatives, 38th District,
2. "Your Health is your Wealth You are Priceless. Not a price tag! Kelly seeks Federal Consideration of Health Care Proposal,
3. Meghan Kelly's teaching certificate, which goes to credibility. I learned psychology and behavior theories like BF Skinner's. I also am licensed to teach health so I know something about health.
4. Meghan Kelly's redacted law school transcript to show she took a course Health Care Finance and the course Law and Medicine while attending Duquesne School of Law.
5. Evidence of surgery that requires I drink water, rest and eat so I do not faint or die due to dehydration when I have my period. I lose five pounds every month. This is still a challenge. I must assert my right to live because many people serve Satan by not wanting to be inconvenienced to care to adapt to safeguard my life, or the lives and health of others. Health care record.
6. Meghan Kelly's redacted undergraduate college transcript to show she took relevant courses related to
 - a. History of Western Medicine
 - b. Economics
 - c. Medieval Philosophy
 - d. Psychology courses

Appendix C IFP Letter regarding the food benefits to confirm eligibility for food benefits

Appendix D IFP Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's 159th Affidavit update concerning 23A607 Dept of Homeland Security, Et. Al. v Texas containing my concerns about the threat to national security and my anti-science religious beliefs. I am anti-science, I believe people commit lawlessness in the eyes of God and risk losing their souls in hell without repentance for using people as subjects in experiments, regardless of the patients' consent or they are doing what they are trained to do. dated 01/26/24, with attached draft letter regarding Due Process, First Amendment and Equal Protections dated April 20, 2021. I filed the two motions the court in bad faith sealed without notice or opportunity to be heard as to deprive me of the 1st Amendment right to petition applicable to the DE Court via the 14th and my 14th amendment right to a fair not fixed proceeding in Kelly v Trump instead of this letter presented for present sense impression purposes.

Appendix E IFP **93rd Affidavit**, dated which outlines religious beliefs against debt and a potential draft complaint against Biden and Yellen should the government default on pay to the courts and food benefits, as to inhibit my access to the substantially burdened and weakened courts or access to food benefits. Albeit the courts are more important than food or shelter since they protect something people cannot buy and sell freedom under the Constitution to petition which is the basis of safeguarding every freedom not limited to First Amendment asserted right to exercise religious beliefs without government incited persecution or substantial burden.....1, 9-10

Appendix F IFP Appellant addendum of additional information to the affidavit, This is Proof of physical submission of my renewal application for food benefits stamped on Friday February 16, 2024, which was granted.....1, 9-10

Appendix G IFP Appellant addendum of additional information to the affidavit, Appellant's Petition for an exemption from work, volunteer and training requirements which were previously granted the past 2 years, wherein I outline my religious objection to volunteering, 13th Amendment involuntary servitude to March 6:1-4 violations, debt, organized charity, pro bono, science, and healthcare and other asserted rights. It is important to note I am ant-science, and my religious beliefs are genuine, which was granted.....1, 9-10

Appendix H IFP Exhibit to App G attached Complaint in Kelly v Department of elections and Democrats et al to show I pleaded religious objections to Matthew 6:1-4 violations against volunteering, gathering signatures and fundraising1, 9-10

Appendix I IFP Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's Opening Brief in Kelly v Swartz et al. moving the US Supreme Court to vacate the Third Circuit's Orders dismissing the case under Younger, denying recusals of Judge Scirica and Phipps and to remand the matter to the Delaware District Court for consideration dated 11/17/2023 for Kelly v Swartz et. al (rejected civil rights petition)1, 2, 12

Appendix J IFP Appellant Meghan Kelly's Petition of Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, Case Number 22-3372 dated 12/26/2023 for Kelly v United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania (EDPA petition).....1, 2, 12

Appendix K IFP **PA documents filed in US Supreme Court in PA PDF** Letter To Donald Baker dated December 4, 2023

- News Article posted in Desert News, *Senate Judiciary Committee issues subpoenas to private citizens connected to Clarence Thomas*, By Eva Terry, dated Thu, November 30, 2023 at 8:28 PM EST
- Exhibit 1 Certificate of Receipt of December 1, 2023 filing of Second Petition for a rehearing, postal tracking, pictures of boxes dropped off, US Police receipt
- *PETITIONER MEGHAN KELLY' SECOND PETITION FOR A REHEARING ON DENIAL OF A WRIT OF CERTIORI LIMITED TO INTERVENING CAUSES OF SUBSTANTIAL OR CONTROLLING EFFECT CONCERNING MY ARGUMENTS AND OTHER CLAIMS NOT PREVIOUSLY CONSIDERED WHICH MAY VITIATE MY RIGHTS SHOULD THE COURT NOT HEAR THIS REHEARING*, dated Nov. 30, 2023

- 124th Affidavit, dated 11/24//23, wherein I discuss denials of other documents which appeared in deprivation of my 1st Amendment right to access to the courts by PA Supreme Court and the United States Supreme Court including the attached motion at
- Exhibit B, *Petitioner Meghan M. Kelly’s Motion for an exemption from the requirement to serve 10 paper copies of pleadings with this Court pursuant to Rule 12(2), 29(1), and 39(2), by the filing of one paper copy, and in addition to, or in the alternative of, permission to serve the United States Supreme Court electronically without a paper copy for future filings, due to costs relating to printing, mailing and transporting pleadings to the Post Office, creating a substantial burden upon my access to the Court’s to defend my exercise of fundamental rights, and forced violation of religious beliefs by the threat of indebtedness*
- Letter denying motion despite case indicating the court has jurisdiction to grant the relief, Snider v. All State Administrators, 414 U.S. 685 (1974), albeit it denied it under the facts of that case.
- Petitioner Meghan M. Kelly’s Supplemental Brief to provide additional information not previously available on how private partnerships with the UN is schemed to be used to eliminate judicial authority in open and by stealth, Petitioner’s belief the courts are in danger especially with the debt ceiling approaching November 17, 2023 with no agreement to date, and the convening of Congress October 19, 2023 to attack Justice Thomas and the integrity of the court by subpoenaing witnesses to be used against Justice Thomas and the Court, submitted Nov. 6, 2023.....10-15

Appendix L IFP PA Docs Continued Petitioner Meghan Kelly’s Petition for a rehearing on the 11/13/23 order denying petition for a rehearing submitted 10/18/23, denied on 11/13/23 to consider intervening causes of substantial or controlling effect concerning my arguments and other claims not previously considered which will vitiate my rights should the court not hear this rehearing.....10-15

Appendix M IPF Petitioner Meghan Kelly’s Emergency Application to the Honorable Justice Samuel A. Alito, Junior to stay or pause the time to appeal the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit 21-3198 to discern whether Richard Abbott may represent me as counsel in the civil rights case.....12

Appendix N IFP 77th Affidavit update, dated 9/6/23, filed in DE District Court 21-1490 Docket Item (hereinafter “DI”), 192 with exhibits thereto including

- Exhibit 1 docket Petitioner’s Motion to Correct an error in Kelly’s petition for writ of certiorari in Kelly v PA ODC No. 22-7695, dated 9/6/23
- Exhibit A thereto, the Federal reserve reduced the limit of reserves to 0 instead of the normal 10 percent setting up banks to crash
- Exhibit B Great Narrative excerpts about eliminating paper money, and eliminating the rule of law’s restraints on central banks control of money and debt
- Exhibit C Senator Whitehouse’s complaint and Attorney Rivken’s Rebuttal
- Exhibit 2 Email to Robert Meek and opposing counsel with copies of the November 6, 2023 filings dated Sept. 6, 2023
- Exhibit 3 Proof of Mailing the Sept. 6, 2023 supplemental brief to opposing counsel sheet for Kelly v PA ODC, 22A478.....10-15

Appendix O IFP 124th Affidavit, regarding unaccountable Nov 6th Supplemental Brief, and other documents the US Supreme Court did not file, and PA Supreme Court filings not docketed

- Exhibit A filings in red not accessible to me on the electronic filing system
- Exhibit B , Petitioner Meghan M. Kelly’s Motion for an exemption from the requirement to serve 10 paper copies of pleadings with this Court pursuant to Rule 12(2), 29(1), and 39(2), by the filing of one paper copy, and in addition to, or in the alternative of, permission to serve the United States Supreme Court electronically without a paper copy for future filings, due to costs relating to printing, mailing and transporting pleadings to the Post Office, creating a substantial burden upon my access to the Court’s to defend my exercise of fundamental rights, and forced violation of religious beliefs by the threat of indebtedness submitted to the US Supreme Court but not docketed and letter of return
- Exhibit C Petitioner Meghan M Kelly’s Motion for permission to use electronic filing before this Honorable Court, even if my active license to practice law is suspended, in representing myself, in appeals of State Disability Proceedings and in a potential Disability proceeding before this Court, and in all proceedings I act pro se in, including civil rights proceedings and for a waiver of the paper original requirement, to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, and compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to petition the Court in my defense of the exercise of fundamental rights was similarly rejected for filing per the attached letter.
- Exhibit D undocketed Petitioner Meghan Kelly’s Motion for Leave to file Different in Forma Pauperis Motion to waive costs due to utter poverty, and due to foreseeable costs creating a substantial burden upon Petitioner’s access to the courts and forced violation of her religious beliefs by threat of indebtedness
- Exhibit E undocketed Petitioner Meghan Kelly’s Motion to exempt costs and waive Court fees under Supreme Court Rules 38 and 43 eliminate people lawyers and people judges by creating a foundation of immunity from debt or responsibility
- Letter to the US Supreme Court invoking the 5th Amendment wherein the US Supreme Court indicated they did not want any more boxes and to stop sending them reciprocal discipline or disciplinary documents. The Court indicated my invocation of the 5th was sufficient.
- Law review article where the US Supreme Court accepted multiple petitions for rehearing and sua sponte accepted previously denied petitions by reopening cases
- Email to opposing counsel.....10-15

Appendix P IFP 127th Affidavit regarding Supplemental brief properly filed in accordance with Rule 25.6.us Supreme Court on 11/6/23. As of 11/20/23 case manager I have not received acceptance or a letter outlining the deficiency and opportunity to cure in accordance with case law. Discovered another petitioner appeared to be denied access to the courts by the US Supreme Court in contravention to the 1st Amendment based on viewpoint of speech contained in petitions, I attached as exhibit to 127th Affidavit hereto.....10-15

Appendix Q IFP 133rd Affidavit regarding the Petition for a rehearing on the Supplemental brief for the PA matter, and exhibits thereto relating to removal of items I filed on the docket and deprivation of access to the courts fully and fairly by changing the pleadings or not docketing them

Exhibit 1 email to US Sup Ct staff and opposing counsel missing 127th Affidavit and 127th importance

Exhibit 2 Docket showing 127th affidavit is missing

Exhibit 3 electronic filing view my side of Dec 7 filings for a rehearing on the Nov 13, 2023 Order.

Application to place exhibits back on the docket US Supreme Court Case No. 22-6783, Application No. 22A747, Kelly v Swartz et al, Appellant Plaintiff Meghan Kelly's Application to the Honorable Justice Alito to place removed exhibits back on the Docket to prevent the deprivation of her 5th Amendment Equal Protections and procedural due process right to a full and fair opportunity to be heard without selective, arbitrary, disparate, unfavorable treatment towards her as applied

Part 2 Second different motion for an interim stay in US Supreme Court Case No. 22-6783, Application No. 22A747, Kelly v Swartz et al

Exhibit 5 Email Dec 9 and attachments thereto showing removed items in my pleading an emergency application to Justice Alito to expedite consideration of an application in Kelly v Swartz, et. al, Case Number 22-6783. in the record, the first exhibit 1 shows the pleadings as I filed them in papers and electronically, the second docket page at Exhibit 2 shows 6 items removed. The third docket page at Exhibit 3 shows Clerk Meek appeared to make partial not complete adjustments in response to my request. Also attached an email by Attorney Yin Toa Zoa providing evidence documents were changed, removed or not docket in violation of the 1st Amendment access to the courts based on viewpoint of speech contained in her petitions, to correct court staff which relates to Kelly v Trump and my assertions before this US Supreme Court that it is bound by the Constitution and must uphold the Constitution by curing defects by its own agents to uphold the law and the courts not to destroy the courts or its staff (emphasis intended)

Exhibit 6 1 copy of Dec 2023 filing returned by letter dated Dec 5, 2023

Exhibit 7 Allstate alleviated warranty concern

Exhibit Appellant's Motion to withdraw her Petition before Judgment 169th Affidavit and Application to Justice Jackson, since relief if granted will be too late in US Supreme Court No. 22-6783, Application No. 22A747 Kelly v Swartz, since my right to access to the US Supreme Court was already vitiated for the original disciplinary proceeding due to delays in docketing (Emphasis intended) intended).....10-15

Appendix R IFP 152nd Affidavit dated Jan 16, 2024, USSC sent me someone else's pro se filings and the Eastern District Court papers in error/Not the Nov 6 PA papers/Case Manager will correct error by resubmitting my application to Chief Justice Roberts in Eastern District of PA case/Looks like the court erred in not reviewing the pet for pages in our civil rights case by the note no IFP when I filed one/Meg preserved rights to resubmit or for review of app by a full court should Chief Justice Roberts deny her resubmission/Meg requested 60 days should it be rejected/attached letter not ripe in Exhibit 112-15

Appendix S IFP **153rd Affidavit dated Jan 17, 2024**, Jan 3 filing resolution attempts/concerns with defense bill provision removing Art II Presidential power necessary to safeguard young troops/Disagree with fall of Rome theories and Merrick Garland's pursuit of death penalty despite his declaration of a moratorium re 123 Affidavit,12-15

Appendix T IFP **154th Affidavit Jan 18, 2024** regarding Chief Justice Roberts or the full court may be tempted to deny pages to cover up Court mistakes to make argument no prejudice by causing worse prejudice by denial of a fair opportunity to be heard, meg is scared12-15

Appendix U IFP **159th Affidavit Jan 24, 2024** US Supreme Court in bad faith with notice of my emergency application by letter to Robert Meek or in the alt Justice Roberts distributed petition to entire court for conference/They cannot distribute the papers they mailed back to me/they do not have them to give to each of the judges/.the emergency petition to Robert Meek is how I sought to cure defects the letter attached shows the court says it returned the papers I am in tears12-15

Appendix V IFP **167th Affidavit Feb 9, 2024** Richard Abbott filed beautiful appeal with two splits the court should hear/I did not know about one/Scary change by USSC on docket/Meg religious beliefs against enlightenment thinkers philosophies/the Vote to be used to argue representation means consent to debt slaves to allow a debt overthrow if Art 1 Sect 8, 14th Amendment arguments do not prevent as opposed to aid in it/Contract clause to be used to aide in overthrow after 2050 too/Meg is scared of US Supreme Ct/understands 10 million dollar jury case would scare the court/Yet partiality to self violates due process and equal protections especially under guise of common good or public good/I seek to preserve and protect the courts even when I correct them by upholding the Constitutional law that binds and sustains these United States/3rd Cir Granted extension to PACER12-15

Appendix W IFP **169th Affidavit Feb 12, 2024** regarding threat of defund to eliminate staff/Mitchell meant another way other than impeachment/Not Stuart case/Jan 23 not docketed or rejected without opportunity cure deny Meg's rights and access to courts in exercise of 1st Amendment right to petition/Court deleted extra entry it put on Friday which was scary/George Washington started global 7 year war additional evidence history channel/bad general causing conflicts instead of alleviating it to preserve precious troops' lives12-15

Appendix X IFP **171st Affidavit Feb 14, 2024** Meg religious beliefs/US Supreme Court on Jan 23 filing 3 plus weeks assert speedy decision under 6th Amendment, Court ignored and deprives of asserted rights/Forward Email to Richard Abbott Meg is serious and thinks he is an outstanding lawyer and the public would be at a loss without his service and12-15

Appendix Y IFP **173rd affidavit February 15, 2024** regarding /Email asking why app to stay to discern if Richard Abbott was rejected/Meg received letter rejection/emailed meg disagreed and asked why I have not received an acceptance or rejection of Jan 23rd emergency filing necessary for tomorrow's conference, 23-24 days later/Meg is scared.....12-15

Appendix Z IFP **175th Affidavit February 20, 2024**, Meg was wrong US Supreme Court did not file emergency application Feb 16th but referred the matter relation back to Jan 24th which is not time to fully and fairly hear my petition/Court denied my pages I am in tears/Vote and money are tools of slavery to eliminate individual rights/Manner money is coined out of debt

that cannot be paid is the vestige of slavery to sin which the courts may require to coin correctly to protect Constitutional liberty before new debt system eliminates all hope of courts restraining entities from eliminating the laws and the governments that safeguard Constitutional liberties/Catholic Church not magna carta indicated no money without consent through representative papal authority/ no taxation without representative consent is a pope doctrine not initially created by magna carta12-15

Appendix AA IFP 176th Affidavit showing the 2030 30 agenda by Biden’s executive order endangers the security of the USA.....12-15

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Constitution

US Amend I.....	2, 4, 5, 6-9-15
US Amend V.....	2, 6, 7, 8, 9-15
US Amend VI.....	8-11, 12
US Amend XIII.....	1, 2, 6, 10
US Amend XIV.....	2, 5, 6, 9, 10

Executive Orders

E.O. 14008 on 1/27/21, 86 FR 7619 (Feb. 1, 2021).....	11
---	----

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 111 through 28 U.S.C. § 1932.....	1
28 USC § 1915.....	1, 2

US Supreme Court Rules

Supreme Court Rule 38.....	1,2
Supreme Court Rule 43.....	1,2

Cases

<i>Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie</i> , 239 F.3d 307, 317 (3d Cir. 2001).....	8
<i>Abington School Dist. v. Schempp</i> , 374 U.S. 203, 225 (1963).....	13
<i>Buck v. Colbath</i> , 70 U.S. 334 (1865).....	2
<i>Covell v. Heyman</i> , 111 U.S. 176 (1884).....	2
<i>Doe v. Indian River Sch. Dist.</i> , 653 F.3d 256, 259, (3d Cir. 2011)...	13
<i>Elrod v. Burns</i> , 427 U.S. 347, 374, (1976).....	13
<i>Gumbel v. Pitkin</i> , 124 U.S. 131 (1888).....	2

<i>Harris v. McRae</i> , 448 U.S. 297, 323, (1980).....	8
<i>Krippendorf v. Hyde</i> , 110 U.S. 276, 283 (1884).....	2
<i>Lewis v. Casey</i> , 518 U.S. 343, 370 (1996).....	8
<i>Mullin v. Sussex County</i> , 861 F. Supp. 2d 411.....	14
<i>Murray v. Giarratano</i> , 492 U.S. 1, 18 (1989).....	9
<i>Salazar v. Buono</i> , 559 U.S. 700 (2010).....	13
<i>Saucier v. Katz</i> , 533 U.S. 194 (2001).....	13-14
<i>Stokes v. Delo</i> , 495 U.S. 320, 323 (1990).....	14
<i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> , 541 U.S. 509, 533 n.20 (2004).....	8
<i>Werkheiser v. Pocono Twp.</i> , 780 F.3d 172 (3d Cir. 2015).....	14

Bible

1 Timothy 6:10.....	3
Amos 5:15.....	4
Deuteronomy 15:1.....	4
Deuteronomy 15:2.....	5
Deuteronomy 23:19.....	5
Exodus 22:24-26.....	5
Exodus 22:25.....	5
Ezekiel 18:13.....	5
Hosea 4:6.....	3
John 13:34-35.....	4
Leviticus 25:36-37.....	5
Matthew 6:1-4.....	6

<i>Matthew</i> 6:12, 6:14-15.....	5
<i>Matthew</i> 6:24.....	3
<i>Matthew</i> 6:30-33.....	4
<i>Matthew</i> 10:8.....	4
<i>Matthew</i> 16:26.....	4
<i>Matthew</i> 18:21-35.....	5
<i>Matthew</i> 23:23.....	4
<i>Proverbs</i> 28:8.....	5
<i>Romans</i> 13:8.....	4

STATEMENT OF CASE

I, Petitioner Meghan Kelly, pro se appellant, pursuant to 28 USC § 1915, move this Honorable United States Supreme Court for permission to file a petition¹ for writ of certiorari, and submit the attached affidavit accompanying the motion for permission to appeal in forma pauperis, as required by 28 USC § 1915(a)(1), and related attachments included therein.

Petitioner Meghan M. Kelly further, having been granted in forma pauperis relief in other Matters, move this honorable to waive costs, potential costs and Court fees under Supreme Court Rules 38 and 43, or that may be authorized but not required under 28 U.S.C. § 111 through 28 U.S.C. § 1932, 1. to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, 2. to prevent a government compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right² to petition the Court in defense of the exercise of fundamental rights and license(s), and 3. to prevent government compelled involuntary servitude in exchange with access to the courts to defend my licenses and liberties from being taken away for my religious beliefs in Jesus. (Citing, US Amendments I, V, XIII). I aver as follows. I aver as follows.

1. I incorporate herein by reference in its entirety the Pet for writ of certiorari I submit simultaneously herewith, any exhibits thereto or the documents referred therein and herein even if not attached, and all of the documents attached herein, not limited to the rejected Petitions for writ of certiorari for this case dated 10/17/23 (rejected-civil rts pet) and for Eastern District Court of Appeals case dated 12/26/23 (individually rejected EDPA pet, collectively rejected pets). I am impoverished, and am not capable of pre-paying or paying court costs or fees to defend the

¹ Petition, Petitioner or petitions or petitioners (“Pet” or “pet”)

² Right (“rt”) Rights (rts”)

exercise of my Constitutionally³ protected rts not limited to exercise private-religious beliefs, private-religious speech, petitioning the courts for grievances, and political and religious association without grant of this motion. US Amend I, V, XIV; Rejected Petitions. I believe I am “entitled to redress.” Id. I pray the honorable Court considers the issues contained in my writ of certiorari I incorporate herein by reference in its entirety herein to prevent irreparable injury in terms of loss of fundamental rts and claims that will be vitiated based on statutes of limitations should this pet not be granted. Rejected petitions.

REASONS TO GRANT MOTION

2. Rule 43 outlines costs, “unless the Court⁴ otherwise orders.” This Ct has discretion to exempt costs, including but not limited to costs under Rule 38. I ask this Ct to exercise its discretion to exempt costs and fees as applied to me in this case. I also argue this Honorable Ct must exempt costs and fees in my case in order not to compel me to forgo my 1st Amendment⁵ fundamental rts of religious belief and religious exercise of beliefs by compelled violation of exercise of my religious beliefs in exchange with the exercise of the 1st Am rt to pet the Cts, based on disdain for my belief in God as God not money as savior and guide. US Amend I, V, *Matt* 6:24. This Ct has inherent equitable powers over their process to prevent abuse, oppression, and injustice. *Gumbel v. Pitkin*, 124 U.S. 131 (1888); *Covell v. Heyman*, 111 U.S. 176 (1884); *Buck v. Colbath*, 70 U.S. 334 (1865); *Krippendorf v. Hyde*, 110 U.S. 276, 283. This Ct must grant my request for an exemption of costs and fees to prevent government⁶ abuse against my person, oppression, and injustice. I was previously granted in forma pauperis status under Delaware District Ct Case No 21-1490, Third Circuit Ct of Appeals Case No. 21-3198,

³ Constitution, Constitutionally or Constitutional (“Const”)

⁴ Court (“Ct”) Courts (“Cts”)

⁵ Amendment (“Am” or Amend”)

⁶ Government (“gov” or “Gov”)

Third Circuit Ct of Appeals No. 22-3372, Delaware Supreme Ct matter No. 21-119, Chancery Ct matters No. 2020-0809 and No. 2020-0157, and Eastern District Ct of PA No. 22-45. Even a few dollars in fees would cause a substantial burden upon my access to the Cts to address Const protected activity relating to fundamental rts, creating an obstacle so great as to prevent my access to the Cts.

3. I am a Christian, a child of God. I attend a Catholic church, but place my faith in God, not man, or money. I do not want to sin against God by incurring debt. I believe people sin against God by incurring debt. God teaches in *Rom* 13:8, “Owe no one anything, except to love each other, for the one who loves another has fulfilled the law.” Since it compromises our loyalty to God towards the pursuit of money to free us from bondage to sin, as savior instead of God. Jesus teaches you cannot serve both God and money as savior. *Matt* 6:24. I choose God. Earning money is not sin. When our desire to earn money takes the place of our desire to do God’s will, by hardening our heads, hardening our hearts and hardening our hands preventing us loving God foremost and subordinately loving others as ourselves, I believe we sin. I believe “the love of money is the root of all evil. 1 *Tim* 6:10.” I believe people go to hell for blindly doing their job, doing what they are trained to do to gain money to care for their family, not seeing clearly when they ignorantly harm others, even by delegation of duties. I believe not knowing is guilt. *Hb* 4:6 I believe that Ct correction can help them know and save their souls from being thrown as unworthy into the fires of hell on the last day. I do believe Cts have the power to save lives and eternal lives. I believe every time the Ct prevents individuals, entities, charities and even religious organizations from oppressing, killing, stealing and destroying human life, health or liberty, judges save souls. *Amos* 5:15, *Matt* 23:23.

4. I believe creditors will be damned to hell for not forgiving monetary debts. (*See, Matt 6:12*, “And forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors.”); (*Matt 6:14-15*, “For if you forgive other people when they sin against you, your heavenly Father will also forgive you. But if you do not forgive others their sins, your Father will not forgive your sins.”); (*Deut., 15:1* “At the end of every seven years you must cancel debts.”); (*See also, Matt., 18:21-35*. Debts once forgiven will be remembered if we do not forgive others.); (Jesus teaches "What good will it be for someone to gain the whole world, yet forfeit their soul? Or what can anyone give in exchange for their soul?" *Matt. 16:26.*); (Jesus teaches us do not seek after material things, “but seek first his kingdom and his righteousness, and all these things will be given to you as well.” *Matt 6:30-33.*); (With regards to eternal treasure we are commanded to share his word without pay as without pay we received the gift of the way to eternal life, through the word. *Citing, Matt. 10:8.*) If people don’t forgive monetary debts by those who have no means to pay, other than selling their souls for labor, I believe people will be damned to hell for loving money and material gain more than one another as commanded. We are commanded to love people, not money and the things it can buy. (*See, Jn 13:34-35*, “A new command I give you: Love one another. As I have loved you, so you must love one another. By this everyone will know that you are my disciples, if you love one another.”) Since I am commanded to love people, I do not want to create a situation where I increase the odds, they will be damned to hell by accruing profit off of debt. I do not want to be damned to hell by seeking money in place of God as my savior due to indebtedness. Debt is against my religious beliefs because it makes money guide and savior instead of Jesus as guide and savior. Interest on alleged debt, and debt is against my religious beliefs as I believe it increases servitude to Satan by teaching people to be enslaved to earning money to pay artificial interest or debt, instead of being free in Christ, essentially making money

the savior in place of God. (See, *Lev* 25:36-37, "Do not take interest or any profit from them, but fear your God, so that they may continue to live among you. You must not lend them money at interest or sell them food at a profit." and *Ex* 22:24-26). It is my genuine religious belief charging interest or a fee on money lent or artificial debt is a sin against God, I believe misleading many to hell by indebtedness to the pursuit of money, instead of God. (*Ez* 18:13, "He lends at an interest and takes at a profit. Will such a man live [By live, I believe it means losing eternal life in the second death should he not repent]. He will not! Because he has done all these detestable things, he is put to death; his blood will be on his own head."); (*Deut* 23:19, "Do not charge your brother interest on money, food, or any other type of loan."); (*Pr* 28:8, He who increases his wealth by interest and usury lays it up for one who is kind to the poor.); (*Ex* 22:25, "If you lend money to one of my people among you who is needy, do not treat it like a business deal; charge no interest."); (*Deut* 15: 1-11..."This is the manner of remission: Every creditor shall cancel what he has loaned to his neighbor. He is not to collect anything from his neighbor or brother, because the LORD's time of release has been proclaimed...") I believe it is a great sin to go into debt, and an even greater sin to require a person to go into debt to exercise fundamental freedoms, that are no longer free, but for sale to those who can afford to buy the ability to exercise Const 1st Am liberties, the wealthy, rendering the poor less equal, no longer free, but for sale bought people, as wage slaves, in violation of the 13th Am, and Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Am applicable to the states, and the Equal Protections component of the 5th Am applicable to the Federal gov, with gov support. DE Disciplinary Order and reciprocal orders placing my license to practice law on inactive/disability prevent me from returning to my former law firm, and may prevent me from getting a job as a lawyer to render any fees impossible to pay back. In addition, asking for donations is against my religious beliefs as I

believe people are misled to hell by *Matthew* 6:1-4 violations of organized charity, fundraising and pro bono. Incurring debt which damns the creditors to hell violates my beliefs.

5. Going into debt, of even a few dollars, is against my religious belief, and the additional costs of even a few dollars is a substantial burden upon my access to the Cts due to my utter poverty, and my inability to pay back any fees should my appeal fail. I respectfully request that no fees or costs relating to this case be required of me due to such costs creating an economic strain upon my exercise of the access to the Cts to defend 1st Am rts, as a substantial burden due to my poverty, with little prejudice to respondent, the public or this Honorable Ct, and due to violations, such cost requirements create upon my exercise of my religious beliefs. This Ct must not require I violate my religious beliefs by agreeing to personal indebtedness should costs arise in order to exercise my 1st and 5th Am rts to pet this Ct to safeguard my exercise of Const protected activity from gov interference or retaliation including the rt, to pet, exercise religious beliefs, freely speak concerning my religious beliefs for which my petitions relate to and the freedom to associate. In order for this Ct to require I consent to costs which violates my religious beliefs, compromising my faith in Jesus to servitude to Satan by making money God by costs, and potential costs relating to this matter, the Ct must have a compelling interest somehow more important than the free exercise of religion, narrowly tailored to support such interest. The Ct may not require forced indebtedness through costs and fees in violation of my religious beliefs and the 13th Am protections against forced labor to pay debt because its justification to compel forced violations of my religion is not narrowly tailored in this case, since the Ct may grant an exemption to prevent the gov forced violation of my religious beliefs. The rule of law is not a business where only those with money may purchase justice. Justice is not for sale by barter or exchange, but must be determined by truth under the Const principles that

protect individual freedom of conscience from the forced, collective conditional will of mobs or entities by the vote or otherwise.

6. As a child of God, I believe we each must use our individual conscience mind to choose to do God's will or not in order to have any hope of eternal life. The freedom to think and believe by the dictates of our own conscience instead of the gov's compelled, conditional, controlled, conformed thoughts based on the ever-evolving fickle thoughts or fads of experts or entities or associations, or foreign and private backed partners is the source of all freedom in this country. It is insulting the state of DE, and reciprocating Cts seek to declare me mentally disabled and unfit to practice law, but for my faith in Jesus Christ.

7. Any costs create a substantial burden and obstacle to my access to the Cts in contravention to my Equal Protection to the 1st Am rt to access to the Cts to defend my exercise of fundamental rts applicable to the Federal Cts via the Equal Protection component of the 5th Am, for me, a member of class of one due to religious beliefs against incurring debt combined and due to utter poverty. *See, Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie*, 239 F.3d 307, 317 (3d Cir. 2001) ("This requires us first to determine whether Appellant is a member of a suspect class or whether a fundamental rt is implicated. Neither prisoners nor indigents are suspect classes; *See, Harris v. McRae*, 448 U.S. 297, 323, (1980) (noting that poverty is not a suspect classification); *But see, Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343, 370 (1996) ("[A]t all stages of the proceedings the due process⁷ and Equal Protection Clauses protect [indigent persons] from invidious discriminations.") "Because this case implicates the [Const protected rts of exercise of procedural DP, Equal protections, religion, speech, pet, belief and association and the] rt of access to the Cts," the gov's disparate treatment towards me, based on poverty, is still unconst under a strict scrutiny

⁷ Due process ("dp" or "DP")

basis test. *Citing, Tennessee v. Lane*, 541 U.S. 509, 533 n.20 (2004). The Supreme Ct noted, “There can be no equal justice where the kind of trial a man gets depends on the amount of money he has.” *Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343, 370 (1996); (internal citations omitted) While, poverty is not a suspect class, my rt to meaningful access to the Cts, despite the inherent burden of poverty, and my religious beliefs and strongly held religious exercise relating to my religious belief against indebtedness is protected. In addition, fundamental rts are implicated. DE Disciplinary Counsel and DE agents violated my Fundamental rts of religious beliefs, religious-political speech, religious-political petitions, religious-political-association, religious-political exercise, procedural and substantive DP opportunity to be heard, to prepare and present evidence, to subpoena witnesses, and to cross examine my accuser, and 6th Am rt to self-representation in a quasi-criminal proceeding. DE Disciplinary Counsel and reciprocating Cts persecute me and seek to defame my character by taking away my property interest in my active license to practice law but for my exercise of Const protected conduct, in violation of my freedom to pet concerning my religious-political speech, religious-political exercise, religious-political belief, religious-political association, and association as a party, attorney, Democrat, Catholic and Christian when I believe there has been a grievance committed against me.

8. Justice Stevens, with whom Justice Brennan, Justice Marshall, and Justice Blackmun joined, in dissenting of US Supreme Ct in *Murray v. Giaratano*, 492 U.S. 1, 18 (1989) recognized,

“When an indigent is forced to run this gantlet of a preliminary showing of merit, the rt to appeal does not comport with fair procedure. . . . [T]he discrimination is not between ‘possibly good and obviously bad cases,’ but between cases where the rich man can require the Ct to listen to argument of counsel before deciding on the merits, but a poor man cannot. . . . The indigent, where the record is unclear or the errors are hidden, has only the rt to a meaningless ritual, while the rich man has a meaningful appeal.” Douglas, 372 U.S., at 357-358

9. Ct costs, taxes, and fees as applied, violate my religious beliefs, religious practices and religious exercise against incurring debt, and costs, as applied. I seek protections under the 5th Am's Equal Protection component, as a party of one, with unique religious beliefs to gain access to the Cts to defend my exercise of 1st, 5th and 14th Am liberties. On 2/15/24 I received a renewal application for food benefits. I have religious objections to the work training requirements in order to obtain food benefits. On or about 2/16/24 I submitted the renewal form along with the attached pet for an exemption and exhibits thereto, while only attaching hereto the Exhibit *Kelly v Democrats and Department of elections* et al. to show volunteering is against my asserted 1st Am religious exercise of beliefs. I also outlined religious objections to science, healthcare and mental healthcare and examinations. I am anti-science religion. I am against the worship of man and man's creation, science, technology, products or services. This abominable belief allows people or their creation to reflect the image of Satan in Isaiah 14 by being like God above the law when people or their creation harms humanity under the lie of helping it. I believe people go to hell for using people as products in experiments to gain NIH money or other funding under the guise of healthcare. I object to collection of any of my information to be used in statistics, surveys or to be used in science analysis on religious grounds. I am a person whose life and liberty must be protected as more valuable than all the money in the world same as every other person. I am not a product to exploit for material gain.

10. I do not have a bank account. I realize the amount of value I previously stated in haste lent from my parents in terms of stamps, BJs gift cards for gas and such to be paid back upon employment or forgiven if I cannot pay it back unlike unjust debts is higher than reality. I am impoverished. I estimated the amount of my parents' help since I do not have a bank account or means to provide amounts with exact precision. The amount lent under gifts is actually lower

than I initially believed. The debt owed to my parents for the amount labeled under gifts in the attached affidavit are distinguished from the compelled debt in violation of the 13th Am and my 1st Am rts to pet and religious belief and assertion of my rt exercise religious belief in Jesus under the US Amend I, XIV, and my rt to equal access to the Cts as a party of one with unique individual religious beliefs which do not conform to the world applicable to the Federal gov including the US Supreme Ct under the 5th Am's Equal Access Component and procedural DP requirements of a fair proceeding or fair opportunity to pet under US Amend I, without compelled violation of my asserted and not waived US Amend I, V, XIII Const liberties based on poverty animus or religious-political animus. Any other debt I believe is sin.

11. In the 93rd Affidavit and the initial complaint not attached, I asserted my religious beliefs against debt, and alerted opposing counsel and the Ct of my belief the Ct which maintains and sustains the United States may be weakened by compelled work without pay should a gov shutdown occur whereas the two other branches would be fully funded in violation of Equal protections of the employees within the judiciary and my certain alleged personally rts. A gov indebted to those it delegates its coining power to the Federal Reserve, banks, and the foreign and private partners it is indebted to pay back is not a free or independent sovereign gov. It is an enslaved state which enslaves its citizen to serve greed not life, liberty, or the citizens it is charged to serve as opposed to exploit for material gain.

12. My religious beliefs against debt and against science may grant me unique standing to prevent the schemed overthrow of the gov after 2050 by its private and foreign partners. Biden passed E.O. 14008 on 1/27/21, 86 FR 7619 (Feb. 1, 2021) which may be used to delegate 30 percent of the United States water, land and resources to NGO partners to use science to profit off of patents with immunity through the United Nations as agents of the United Nations. The

global agenda sustains the environmental problems to sustain the power, profit stream and debt control private NGO's have over the governments to control the resources to control the gov to eliminate the gov after 2050. A new corporate structure beneficial entities were created in schemed alignment with the new carbon credit environmental system to introduce a new economic system where savings will be eliminated and the value of exchange would be controlled by NGOs to control a no longer free people with governments that restrain NGOs from enslaving, oppressing, killing, stealing or destroying human life, liberty or health should the Cts not stop it. There is a scheme to eliminate the rule of law.

13. Although the 93rd affidavit incorporated herein contains an analysis to prevent the debt that would allow the overthrow, I attempted to alert this US Supreme Ct of the threat to national security in the PA case Nos. 22-7695, 22A981, other cases and even in this case where I seek to protect not merely my own Const liberties, but the people and the Cts too. See, PA documents filed in US Supreme Ct. This Ct neither accepted nor rejected 11/6/23 PA Supplemental Brief filed timely and necessary to consider with my pet for rehearing in the PA Case. This Ct did not file Petitions for a rehearing to cure this defect or to reopen the case causing manifest injustice by depriving me of the underlying fundamental rts I sought to preserve and vitiating my asserted 1st, 5th and 6th Am rts and additional claims to fairly, fully and publicly determine petitions in the quasi-criminal PA proceeding. US Amend I, V, VI. Id. This has increased costs creating a substantial burden upon me in terms of fair effective access to the Cts, and it has vitiated underlying rts I sought to defend.

14. Even if I win this appeal this case may be remanded below causing me to fight without means given the cost of paper and other costs. I do not freely choose to waive any rts. I choose to pet to assert them. Accordingly, on 2/7/24 I filed Emergency Application to stay or

pause the time to appeal the United States Ct of Appeals for the Third Circuit 21-3198 to discern whether Richard Abbott may represent me as counsel in the civil rts case, I incorporate herein, but this Ct did not docket it. 152-175th affidavits. The failure to docket papers timely or at all required to assert and not waive my rts increased substantial burdens to my access to the Cts given my poverty and health limitation, which increases the need that this pet be granted. This Ct delayed in docketing so as to vitiate the rts I sought to preserve. I was denied access to the United States Supreme Ct to appeal the original DE Disciplinary Order by delays by US Supreme Ct in docketing my application for an interim stay to Justice Jackson in this civil rts case by more than two weeks See USSC No. 22A747 and No 22A981 (regarding application to assert US Amend I, V, VI Am rt to a full, fair and public proceeding and record in these criminal like proceedings for exhibits not docketed.) Rejected Petitions. US Supreme Ct staff's delay in docketing an interim stay of this civil rts case prevented appeal of original DE Order despite asserted 1st and 5th Am rts to fair access to the Cts to prevent foreseeable deprivation of my access to the Cts and the underlying fundamental rts I sought to defend, assert and preserve and not willfully lose. (19th, 26th, 77th, 123rd, 124th, 127th and 133rd Affidavit, Rejected Petitions)

15. The US Supreme Ct staff tampered with my filings relating to the interim stay in the Civil rts case depriving me of a full and fair opportunity to be heard as a party of one in violation of the 5th Am Equal protections component in the exercise of my 1st Am rts in the interim civil rts appeal. The staff at the US Supreme Ct removed my submitted documents as shown in the 133rd Affidavit at Exhibit 5 Email to the Ct dated 12/9/23 and attachments thereto showing removed items in my pleading submitted 3/3/23 in an emergency application to Justice Alito to expedite consideration of an application in Kelly v Swartz for an interim stay pending the US Supreme Ct's decision on a stay, et. al, Case Number 22-6783. The first exhibit 1 shows the

pleadings as I filed them in papers and electronically. The second docket page at Exhibit 2 shows 6 items removed. The third docket page at Exhibit 3 shows Clerk Meek appeared to make partial not complete adjustments in response to my request. I submitted *Application to place exhibits back on the docket US Supreme Ct Case No. 22-6783, Application No. 22A747, Appellant Plaintiff to place removed exhibits back on the Docket to prevent the deprivation of her 5th Am Equal Protections and procedural DP rt to a full and fair opportunity to be heard without selective, arbitrary, disparate, unfavorable treatment towards her as applied.*

16. The US Supreme Ct's staff's delays in docketing deprived me of my asserted 1st and 5th Am rt to access this Ct fairly to appeal the original disciplinary matter I averred in the attached 19th, 26th, 77th so as to deprive me of the fair and full opportunity to be heard. My rt to a fair, unobstructed trial to alleviate a substantial burden upon my free exercise of religion is a const rt. "Congress, the Executive, and the Judiciary all have a duty to support and defend the Const." *Salazar v. Buono*, 559 U.S. 700 (2010) "There is no 'de minimis' defense to a 1st Am violation." *Doe v. Indian River Sch. Dist.*, 653 F.3d 256, 259, (3d Cir. 2011), *Citing, Elrod v. Burns*, 427 U.S. 347, 374, (1976) ("The loss of 1st Am freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury."); *see also Abington School Dist. v. Schempp*, 374 U.S. 203, 225 (1963) ("[I]t is no defense to urge that the religious practices here may be relatively minor encroachments on the 1st Am."). The delay in docketing vitiated my rt to access to the Ct to pet the original disciplinary case by making relief moot. "The loss of 1st Am freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury." *Mullin v. Sussex County*, 861 F. Supp. 2d 411, 415. I went to the Cts to alleviate a substantial gov incited burden against me that caused physical, social and economic threats against me including people who talked about shooting me which I reported to the police though they did

not create a report, throwing things at my car and other threats. State Ct increased and caused additional religious economic persecution instead of alleviating it based on disdain for my religious belief in Jesus. “Gov official's conduct violates “clearly established” law, so that the official is not entitled to qualified immunity, when, at the time of the challenged conduct, the contours of a rt are sufficiently clear that every reasonable official would have understood that what he is doing violates that rt.” *Werkheiser v. Pocono Twp.*, 780 F.3d 172 (3d Cir. 2015), (explaining Supreme Ct's two-step Saucier test)] This Ct’s violations of my 1st Am rts to access to the Cts clearly violates law. The US Supreme Ct’s delays in docketing in the civil rts Interim application for a stay vitiated my access to the Cts and deprived me of the 1st Am rt to pet to appeal to void the original disciplinary case due to procedural DP violations.⁸ This Ct sent me someone else’s documents along with documents it alleged it would review in 23A596. How can it review documents it does not have. On 1/23/2024 I submitted pet to please cure defect in inadvertently mailing back papers Chief Justice Roberts and the Ct requires to fairly, fully and publicly determine petitions in this proceeding. US Amend I, V, VI Ct in bad faith scheduled a conference for 2/16/24 on 1/24/24. It neither accepted or rejected the application as of 2/21/24. Instead on 2/16/24 the day of the conference it filed “referred to the Ct” relating back 1/23. The Ct denied me of an opportunity to be heard fairly and vitiated my 1st, 5th and 6th Am rts. How can it review documents the same day it does not have. Yet, the Ct may reopen the case sua sponte to cure defects and deprivations. 124th Affidavit, See, *United States v. Ohio Power Co.*, 353 U.S. 98 (1957); 152, 153, 154, 159, 167, 169, 171, 173, 175th affidavits. When I contact

⁸ See, *Stokes v. Delo*, 495 U.S. 320, 323 (1990) (“Delay or default by courts in the federal system must not be allowed to deprive parties...of the lawful process to which they are entitled. It is the duty of the courts...to adopt and follow procedures which ensure all parties expeditious determinations with respect to any request for a stay. Prompt review and determination is necessary to enable criminal processes to operate without undue interference from the federal courts, and to assure the proper functioning of the federal habeas procedure.”)

this US Supreme Ct, it is to pet to safeguard my rts and to safeguard the rule of law. I do not seek to destroy the court or the staff. Nevertheless, the court wrongly is defensive. Jordan Bickell hung up on me twice while preventing me from speaking to explain the need of the paper documents sent back to me which are part and parcel to the pet for leave for additional pages since I incorporated them in their entirety as attachments thereto by reference. Moreover, other staff members hung up on me as I sought to return another party's documents that were sent to me in error, and another time to gain clarity on efilng discrepancies. Mistakes happen, and Const defects occur which make court staff invaluable and necessary to uphold the fair administration of justice. Nevertheless, I should not be deprived of access to the courts because the court is scared of accepting petitions to correct mistakes or errors I allege it makes. When a court makes a mistake or violates the law, the people's check through the rt to pet coupled with DP affords the Court authority to cure defects or deny the pet. I seek to protect the 1st Am rt to pet and 5th and 14th Am rts to be fairly heard on petitions before the gov may deprive petitioners of life, liberty or private property. The 1st Am rt to pet combined with the 5th and 14th Am rt to DP is the cornerstone of a government of, by and for the people. The power of the gov and the power of the people including the 1st Am rt to pet is based on the rule of law, the Const, which sustains and maintains these United States. The 1st Am rt to pet protects the rt to access to the courts to complain to the gov including the court without fear of punishment. Yet I am punished by DE but for exercising this rt in contravention of the law. That is not okay. I am not below the law's protections and courts are not above the law. This is important to address to preserve USA

CONCLUSION

Wherefore, I, Meghan M. Kelly, respectfully pray the Ct grant me allowance to file in forma pauperis and an exemption from fees, costs or taxes to address important rts.

Dated: 3/15/2024 Respectfully submitted, *Kelly*
Meghan
/s/ Meghan Kelly
Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
(302) 493-6693
United States Supreme Court No.283696

Under religious protest as declaring and swearing violates God's teachings in the Bible, I
declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct.

Dated: 3/15/2024

Meghan Kelly (printed)
Meghan Kelly (signed)