

No. 23-7360

Related Application No. 23A596
Related Application No. 23A144

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Meghan M. Kelly, Petitioner

v.

United States District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania

On Petition for Writ of Certiorari to Third Circuit Court of Appeals

**Petitioner Meghan Kelly's Motion for Leave to file in Forma Pauperis her Petition for Writ
of Certiorari of the Orders to the
United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit,
Case Number 22-3372**

April 14, 2024

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939
meghankellyesq@yahoo.com
(302)493-6693
US Supreme Court No 283696
Pro se, Defending 1st Amendment religious
belief in Jesus as God, not money as God
Matthew 6:24

Questions Presented:

I. Whether Meghan Kelly must be granted permission to file in forma pauperis so as not to unfairly vitiate her First Amendment right to access to the courts or deprive her due process fair opportunity to be heard before her fundamental rights of life, liberties of speech, religious belief, exercise of religious belief in Jesus not money as God, association as a Christian, right to petition, and property interests in her license, and reputation, are vitiated applicable to the federal courts via the 5th Amendment.

II Whether this Court must waive costs, potential costs and Court fees under Supreme Court Rules 38 and 43, or that may be authorized but not required under 28 U.S.C. § 111 through 28 U.S.C. § 1932, 1. to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, 2. to prevent a government compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to petition the Court in defense of the exercise of fundamental rights and license(s), and 3. to prevent government compelled involuntary servitude in exchange with access to the courts to defend my licenses and liberties from being taken away for my religious beliefs in Jesus. US Amendments I, V, XIII

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover Page	i
Questions Presented	ii
Table of Exhibits	iv-x
Table of Authorities	
I. Statement of Case	1
II. Reasons to Grant Motion	1-15
Conclusion	15

TABLE OF EXHIBITS

<u>Appendix 1 IFP</u>	Affidavit United States Supreme Court's Requires and the exhibits attached thereto and incorporated therein, including but not limited to	1
<u>Appendix 2 IFP</u>	Letter confirming I am eligible for food benefits starting April 1, 2024 through September 30, 2024	1
<u>Appendix 3 IFP</u>	Appellant addendum of additional information to the affidavit, This is Proof of physical submission of my renewal application for food benefits stamped on Friday February 16, 2024, which was granted.....	1
<u>Appendix 4IFP</u>	Appellant addendum of additional information to the affidavit, Appellant's Petition for an exemption from work, volunteer and training requirements which were previously granted the past 2 years, wherein I outline my religious objection to volunteering, 13 th Amendment involuntary servitude to March 6:1-4 violations, debt, organized charity, pro bono, science, and healthcare and other asserted rights. It is important to note I am ant-science, and my religious beliefs are genuine, which was granted.....	1, 8
<u>Appendix 5 IFP</u>	Exhibit to App G attached Complaint in Kelly v Department of elections and Democrats et al to show I pleaded religious objections to Matthew 6:1-4 violations against volunteering, gathering signatures and fundraising	1
<u>Appendix 6 IFP</u>	Email confirmation that I am exempt from the volunteer work requirements.....	1
<u>Appendix 7 IFP</u>	Exhibit 43 thereto which includes	
1.	Newspaper article I drafted published in the Coastal Point, Representative candidate says health is wealth, dated July 20, 2018, Coastal Point, Guest Column, <i>Representative candidate says health is wealth</i> , By Meghan Kelly, Esq., Candidate Delaware House of Representatives, 38th District,	
2.	“Your Health is your Wealth You are Priceless. Not a price tag! Kelly seeks Federal Consideration of Health Care Proposal,	
3.	Meghan Kelly’s teaching certificate, which goes to credibility. I learned psychology and behavior theories like BF Skinner’s. I also am licensed to teach health so I know something about health.	
4.	Meghan Kelly’s redacted law school transcript to show she took a course Health Care Finance and the course Law and Medicine while attending Duquesne School of Law.	
5.	Evidence of surgery that requires I drink water, rest and eat so I do not faint or die due to dehydration when I have my period. I lose five pounds every month. This is still a challenge. I must assert my right to live because many people serve Satan by not wanting to be inconvenienced to care to adapt to safeguard my life, or the lives and health of others. Health care record.	
6.	Meghan Kelly’s redacted undergraduate college transcript to show she took relevant courses related to	

- a. History of Western Medicine
- b. Economics
- c. Medieval Philosophy
- d. Psychology courses.....1, 13, 15

Appendix 8 IFP Letter dated 8/23/2021 by DE ODC regarding it was concerned about my mental capacity based on my religious speech contained in my petitions in Kelly v Trump.....8-10

Appendix 9 IFP DE ODC Petition at 7 where Defendants attack my citations to the Bible for my support of my private personal religious belief the exercise of which I sought to safeguard by suing President Trump/Biden to alleviate a substantial burden the establishment of government religion caused on my free exercise of religious belief in Jesus.....8-10

Appendix 10 IFP Petition for Writ of Certiorari excluding the exhibits in 21-5522.....10-13, 15

Appendix 11 IFP A-4, Appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to Reign in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it deems just, Internal Exhibit thereto, including December 1, 2020 letter to Master Patricia Griffin of the Chancery Court regarding my belief I received disparate treatment by the court's staff based on religious belief, political association or poverty; emails, Internal Exhibit, Oct 19, 2020 letter to Patricia Griffin regarding I am acting as a party not as an attorney, DE-Lapp threatening email, Internal Exhibit, letter dated May 21, 2020.8-10, 14, 15

Appendix 12 IFP A-5, Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to require the recusal of the honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Junior in this matter, exhibits thereto, proof of payment of bar dues, emails to Mark Vavala confirming he did not incite the investigation, Internal Exhibit Letter from the Court in response to my request for exemption of bar dues for all attorneys facing hardship, dated **February 5, 2021**; attachment relating my concerns relating to recent US Supreme Court cases.....8-10, 14, 15

Appendix 13 IFP **Exhibits on an Agenda to Eliminate the courts and people in the law** to eliminate the government that restrains entities from getting as much as they can for as little unrestrained from the just rule of law from oppressing, killing, stealing or destroying human life, liberty or health for the bottom line, including internal exhibits

- Obituary of Richard Goll, a Delaware attorney who was exploited by an out of state real estate company practicing law without a license
- Newspaper Article I drafted in the Coastal Point on a proposition on how to resolve the fact non attorneys are practicing law without a license, I discovered lobbyists scheming to eliminate people judges too like Sebastian Thrun at 2018 World Government Summit at Day 2
- Article by the Venus project *How can laws be eliminated* regarding a new system to replace governments after 2050; found at
<https://www.bing.com/search?pglt=41&q=venus+eliminating+law&cvid=e7b5cce>

704df459e8ce2cb5cc329012b&gs_lcrp=EgZjaHJvbWUyBggAEEUYOdIBCDQ2MTdqMGoxqAIAAsAIA&FORM=ANNTA1&PC=ASTS

- Excerpts from the Book *Shaping the Fourth Industrial Revolution* By Klaus Schwabb, Founder of the World Economic Forum and Chairman with Nicolas Davis, Copywrite 2018, Published in the United States by Currency, an imprint of the Crown Publishing Group, a division of Penguin Random House LLC
- Excerpts from the Fourth Industrial Revolution by Klaus Schwabb published 2016
- Article by World Government Summit Could an AI ever replace a judge in court?, dated 2017
- *Article Robot justice: China's use of Internet courts*, By Tara Vasdani This article was originally published by The Lawyer's Daily (<https://www.thelawyersdaily.ca/>), part of LexisNexis Canada Inc
- Excerpts from *The Great Narrative for a Better Future*, by Klaus Schwabb
- Letter Dated October 1, 2012 regarding DE Judges' and attorneys' prejudice and disparate favoritism towards lawyers based on place of origin, firm size and insidious prejudice against PA Attorneys with two attacks against me by judges based on my birth place, Pennsylvania, it was not funny it was scary and threatening, the second time is not in the letter by Judge Slights. First verbal attack by Former Chief of Court of Common Pleas Judge Smalls....8-10, 12, 15

Appendix 14 IFP Email from Delaware's efilng provider File and serve showing PROOF the DE Supreme Court sealed two motions and two exhibits to my motion for reargument shown by graphs, at A-4 and A-5, *Appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to Reign in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it deems just*, Internal Exhibit thereto and *Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to require the recusal of the honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Junior in this matter*, exhibits thereto. I had no notice or opportunity to be heard on the sealing of these 4 items necessary for my appeal to the US Supreme Court in 21-5522, and as a defense in the DE Disciplinary proceeding.....14-15

Appendix 15 IFP Email submission of *Appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to Reign in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it deems just*, Internal Exhibit thereto on May 25, 2021 per the graph it was accepted for filing May 27, 2021 date and wrongly sealed 😞 without notice or opportunity to be heard on that date, and E-mail dated May 28, 2021 filing *Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Court to require the recusal of the honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Junior in this matter*, exhibits thereto. This was sealed in this case during Kelly v Trump. I had no notice or opportunity to be heard on the sealing of the 4 items necessary for my appeal to the US Supreme Court in 21-5522, and E-mail regarding the fact I asserted my right not to violate Jesus Christ's teachings by servitude to Satan by swearing when I was admitted to the DE Bar. I have religious objections to swearing and affirming under penalty. The DE Supreme Court simply compelled my religious violation without due process opportunity to be heard before vitiation of my First Amendment right to exercise of religious belief.....14-15

Appendix 16 IFP *Exhibits showing belief of danger based on partnerships between not only church and state but government backed and condoned foreign and private partners inciting private attacks based on perceived religious or political association or beliefs*, including Email to Bo at the Delaware Department of elections, forwarding an email to Jesse Chadderon at the democrat's office where I was concerned about a neighbor threatening me for my sign because he previously threatened to ram my car if I park it on my parents side lot, and he allegedly threatened to use his gun should someone at the board of the development come onto his property to inspect it without authorization, pictures of substance thrown at my car, Police report concerning 2 bullets shot into the home of Greg Layton hitting the wall above the dining room table as he and his wife sat there but for his political beliefs incited by Trump-religion, some of my signs I created which caused outrage and attacks, excluding Impeach [Trump] Serve your country not your seat, excluding Impeach [Trump] No one is above the law, No one is below the law.....12

Appendix 17 IFP Documents relating to the US Supreme Court submission of my appeal in the civil rights case Kelly v Swartz, dated March 8, 2024 including but not limited to:

- Email to US Supreme Court via Clerk Danny Bickell and Robert Meek copying opposing counsel, dated Sunday, March 10, 2024 regarding the police at the USSC stationed for pick ups would not allow me to drop off my submission, Meg asserted her right to access to the courts and the substantial burden poverty, and religious objection to debt. I noted my concern the USSC may have insidiously deprived me of access to the courts to prevent another denial when I intend to drive up and did drive up to drop them off in the work week;
- Email dated March 9, 2024 to Clerk of Court Robert Meek, copying opposing counsel stating I am at the USSC. I was told I could drop off documents 24 hours even on Christmas. They are not accepting my documents, even though they accepted a similar amount of documents when it was closed on Dec 25-26. I drove here and it took more than 2-3 hours. Can you please help me. Thank you. I attached pictures
- Email dated March 15, 2024 to Clerk of USSC Robert Meek and attachments thereto copying US Supreme Court Clerk Danny Bickell and opposing counsel, noting on March 15, 2024 I received a letter from Robert Meek along with what appeared to be certificates of services and two appendices, that stating my submission was rejected as out of time, Email by US Supreme Court Clerk Lisa Nesbitt curing error so as not to vitiate my 1st Amendment right to access to the courts and 5th Amendment opportunity to fairly be heard on petitions before vitiation of fundamental rights not mere licenses, dated March 14, 2024, granting 60 days to fix the title and issues presented section, and attachments to March 15, 2024 email, picture of postal tracking sticker, picture of stack of certificates of service, and 2 copies of the appendix, 1st page of certificate of service changed to reflect I did not serve the USSC on March 9, 2024 and will not provide a memory stick to the USSC upon service to the USSC, Asserted my 1st Amendment right to access to the courts and 1st Amendment right to religious belief as debt violates it, March 13, 2024 letter by Robert Meek indicating Meg's submission was rejected as out of time, Letter by Lisa Nesbitt dated January 12, 2024 indicating the petition to exceed the page limits was denied. I had 60 days to cure the defect before March 12 or 13th 2024; March 14, 2024; Letter from Lisa Nesbitt dated March 14, 2024 indicating the title and the questions presented must change; Meg's change to IFP exhibit identification to conform to case

manager's instructions, Meg's amended certificate of service where I note the Court denied me access to it to serve and noted *inter alias*

"I attempted to serve the original documents the above referenced documents therewith, at the following address:

Clerk's Office

Supreme Court of the United States
1 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20543

However, Sgt McBeth and Officer Johnson, said they could not accept any digital date, nor could they accept any of pleadings on March 9, 2024.

They requested I come in during the week....

To confirm, I drove from my home in the early afternoon and arrived back after 6:00 PM. I was unsuccessful in service to the US Supreme Court today March 9, 2024...

Please find proof of attempts to serve and pictures I verify in good faith.

Poverty creates a substantial burden upon my access to the courts. I cannot afford to mail in documents due to Defendants 'preventing me from seeking to return to my former law firm for the job of my choice and assert US Amend XIII with regards to involuntary servitude. So, I must physically drop documents off since poverty creates a substantial burden to my access to the courts. I also have religious objections to debt. I believe go to hell seeking money, avoidance of costs and convenience for themselves to care for their own or the convenience of others at the exchange of enslaving or oppressing others or harming other people's health or life for profit, especially research and science. I believe most people go to hell per Jesus. *Matthew 7:13-15, Luke 13:23-28*. I believe correction in court may save lives of the victims and the eternal souls of the wrongdoers by correction to help the blind see and the dumb hear. Upholding justice is a religious command. *Amos 5:15* and *Matthew 23:23*, and to persist like the pestering widow who petitioned an unjust judge over and over again until he granted her relief to get rid of her. *Luke 18:1-8*.

I assert my 1st Amendment right to religious belief in Jesus, 1st Amendment right to exercise my religious beliefs, my 1st Amendment right to speech in my petitions, and my 1st Amendment right to petition, 5th Amendment due process protections to be heard fully and fairly by this Court without insidious partiality to the government as opposed to the impartial application of the Constitution to the rule of law by the government including this US Supreme Court in accordance with the 5th Amendment's due process component. I reserve my right to be separate, holy and not conformed to the wicked vanity of other people to lose my soul in hell."

- The Old certificate of service, noting service to both opposing counsel and the USSC on March 9, 2024 which I was required to change since service was denied March 9, 2024;
- Tracking postal receipt to confirm I mailed opposing counsel the petition for writ of certiorari within the purview of the page limits on March 9, 2024; email Saturday March 9, 2024 to opposing counsel regarding service March 9, 2024; copy of envelop, Email to Robert Meek, these are attachments to the Cert of service I electronically filed; pictures of my attempts to serve the USSC on March 9, 2024, envelop with three stamps for correcting certificate of service, Meg electronically filed her petition for writ of cert upon physical delivery to USSC on March 11, 2024 not the date to service to opposing counsel March 9, 2024, it remained submitted on March 13, 2024 around 12:57 PM per the picture; Exhibit A copy of electronic submission data, Exhibit B email conformation

electronic service was complete 12:07 AM on March 11, 2024; Exhibits C and D police court stamped proof I physically delivered the Petition to appeal on March 11, 2024 which was accepted the second time; E-mail dated March 16, 2024 to Robert Meek indicating the boxes contents, and 6 fewer than previously stated because I forgot I was able to condense them in the boxes, a copy of the civil rights petition for writ of certiorari dated March 8, 2024.....9, 12, 13

Appendix 18 IFP Email to case manager while copying opposing counsel thanking her for her brilliant idea, and asserting my 1st Amendment right to religious exercise of belief, attaching health needs to sustain my health and life, and my objections to healthcare, science and mental healthcare, with attached health record.....8, 13, 15

Appendix 19 IFP 180th Affidavit, dated March 5, 2024 regarding denial of access to law library on March 5, 2024, and Richard Abbott's appeal to the USSC, temporary denial and odd notification from PACER; and Chief Judge Colm F Connelly's patent cases and report of discipline to the DE ODC and Attorney Generals office where the conduct harmed private people, the petitions petitioned concerning private parties whereas Richard Abbott and I petitioned to alleviate harm and address grievances by government agents or officials, with exhibits not limited to emails to DE Supreme Court Clerk Lisa Dolph since she assisted me in regaining access to the law library previously.....8, 15

Appendix 20 IFP FCC March 4, 2024 letter to congress concerning the Affordable Connectivity Program ending in April 2024 with potentially partial payment available in May 2024 should Congress not provide additional funding; Letter from Mediacom dated Jan 23, 2024, received April 5, 2024 concerning lack of funding, Meg did not receive this notice in January 2024.....7-8,

Appendix 21 IFP 189th affidavit, dated March 23, 2024, regarding my heart has been hurting, grateful it appears the USSC may grant Richard Abbott's petition to resolve important issues as to whether judges and lawyers are below the Constitutional rule of law, exchanging Constitutional liberties for licenses, Meg's additional thoughts on Former President Donald J. Trump's immunity arguments, Meg avers to religious objections to healthcare and mental healthcare, Defendant Kathleen Vavala's Uncle was appointed Chair of DE-Lapp, one of the conspirators who colluded with threats and attacks to cause me to forgo Kelly v Trump, attached Oct 21, 2020 letter where DE Supreme Court instigated ODC attack during Kelly v Trump, Emails with Mark Vavala where he indicated if DE-Lapp contacted me it was because I was in trouble, they threatened me to respond within 10 days, two funeral notices, one for my friend Monica's dad Neil Fleming, and one for my childhood friend the state of DE's treasure esteemed Dad Bill Carroll.....8, 12, 14

Appendix 22 IFP Part of the Docket in 21-1490, the Civil rights case Kelly v Swartz filed prior to any disciplinary law suit with notations that I maintained objections to the disciplinary hearings transcript as too faulty to correct at page 1, with my marked notes on 4/13/22 attached 23 IFP because I did not print out the three books attached to the exhibit. I also noted on DI 58 I notified the DE District Court two staff were fired to prevent their testimony, Constitutional challenges to Delaware rules and running motion to amend the complaint since

new and additional information keeps arising, dated 4/26/2022 prior to Chief Judge Colm's order.....8, 12

Appendix 23 IFP April 13, 2022 filing in civil rights case, the DE Supreme Court had exhibits at law library for a week to serve. Pro se parties are required to file DE Supreme Court filings at the law library in Sussex County, The DE Supreme Court refused to docket my petitions in full, noted bailiffs denied me access to the law library at various times, denial to access to resources at Del tech, discussion of global plans in books and the World Government Summit.....7-8, 12, 14, 15

Appendix 24 IFP April 21, 2022 filing in civil rights case assertion of the practice of law as a religious exercise, arguments against self-regulating making ourselves our own God essentially being our own law, partial to our convenience and interests while harming the people to sustain profit streams, power and positions by sustaining pain/injustice, entities information regarding part of the foundation which will enable the overthrow of the United States not limited to clearpass and green pass. evidence of planned crash, US Supreme Court allowed bible citations in attached document to support religious beliefs per attached document, I should not be deemed mentally disabled for seeking to safeguard my religious exercise of religious beliefs supported in the bible from the substantial Biden Trump caused by the establishment of government religion, and additional assertions of religious beliefs and concerns about the environmental carbon credit sustainability scheme, which will allow an overthrow if the courts do not save us.....8, 12

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Constitution

US Amend I.....	2, 4-15
US Amend V.....	2, 6-15
US Amend XIII.....	2, 6-15
US Amend XIV.....	9, 6, 8-14

Executive orders

E.O. 13798.....	10-12
Ex. Or. No. 13198, Jan. 29, 2001, as amended by Ex. Or. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021.....	10-12
Ex. Or. No. 13199, Jan. 29, 2001, as revoked by Ex. Or No. 13831, May 3, 2018....	10-12
Ex. Or. No. 13279, December 12, 2002, as amended by Exec. Or. No. 13559, November 17, 2010.....	10-12
Ex. Or. No. 13559 Nov. 17, 2010.....	10-12
Ex Or. No. 13831, May 3, 2018.....	10-12
Ex. Or. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021.....	10-12

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 111 through 28 U.S.C. § 1932.....	1
28 USC § 1915.....	1, 2
28 USC §§ 2106.....	1
42 U. S. C. § 2000bb-1 through 4 Religious Freedom Restoration Act.....	1, 8-12

US Supreme Court Rules

Supreme Court Rule 38.....	1,2
Supreme Court Rule 43.....	1,2

Cases

<i>Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie</i> , 239 F.3d 307, 317 (3d Cir. 2001).....	6
<i>Africa v. Pennsylvania</i> , 662 F.2d 1025, 1025 (3d Cir.), cert. denied, 456 U.S. 908 (1982).....	9
<i>Ben-Levi v. Brown</i> , 136 S. Ct. 930.....	10
<i>Buck v. Colbath</i> , 70 U.S. 334 (1865).....	2
<i>Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.</i> , 573 U.S. 682.....	9
<i>Brief of the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, the Ethics & Religious Liberty Commission, the International Mission Board, and Dr. R. Albert Mohler, Jr. as amici curiae in Support of Petitions before the US Supreme Court by the Little Sisters of the Poor Home for the aged, Denver Colorado, et.al, Petitioners v. Sylvia Matthews Burwell, Secretary of Health and Human</i>	
<i>Cantwell v. State of Connecticut</i> , 310 U.S. 296, (1940).....	9
<i>Covell v. Heyman</i> , 111 U.S. 176 (1884).....	2
<i>Employment Div., Dept. of Human Resources of Ore. v. Smith</i> , 494 U. S. 872.....	9
<i>Fulton v. City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania</i> , 593 U.S. 522, 141 S. Ct. 1868, 210 L. Ed. 2d 137 (2021).....	7

<i>Gumbel v. Pitkin</i> , 124 U.S. 131 (1888).....	2
<i>Harris v. McRae</i> , 448 U.S. 297, 323, (1980).....	6
<i>Holt v. Hobbs</i> , 574 U.S. 352.....	10
<i>In re Eternal Word Television Network, Inc.</i> , 818 F.3d 1122 (11th Cir. 2016).....	10
<i>Isaacs v. Caldwell</i> , 530 S.W.3d 449, 455 (Ky. 2017).....	14
<i>Jones v. Board of Education</i> , 397 U.S. 31, (1970).....	9
<i>Kalman v. Cortes</i> , 723 F. Supp. 2d 766, 769, (2010).....	11
<i>Kreshik v. St. Nicholas Cathedral</i> , 363 U.S. 190 (1960).....	13
<i>Krippendorf v. Hyde</i> , 110 U.S. 276, 283 (1884).....	2
<i>Lewis v. Casey</i> , 518 U.S. 343, 370 (1996).....	6
<i>Minn. Ass'n, Health Care v. Minn. Dept.</i> , P.W, 742 F.2d 442 (8th Cir. 1984)....	9
<i>Murray v. Giarratano</i> , 492 U.S. 1, 18 (1989).....	6
<i>Presbyterian Church in U. S. v. Mary Elizabeth Blue Hull Memorial Presbyterian Church</i> , 393 U. S. 440, (1969).....	10
<i>Remmers v. Brewer</i> , 361 F. Supp. 537, (S.D.Iowa 1973).....	9
<i>Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe</i> , 530 U.S. 290 (2000)....	12
<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i> , 374 U.S. 398, (1963).....	9
<i>Tennessee v. Lane</i> , 541 U.S. 509, 533 n.20 (2004).....	6
<i>Thomas v. Review Board</i> , 450 U.S. 707 (1981).....	10
United States Supreme Ct in <i>Kennedy v. Bremerton School Dist.</i> , No. 21-418, (June 27, 2022)....	9
Western Southern Life Insurance Co. v. State Board of Equalization, 451 U.S. 648, (1981)...	9

Bible

<i>1 Timothy 6:10</i>	3
<i>Amos 5:15</i>	4
<i>Deuteronomy 15:1</i>	4
<i>Deuteronomy 15:2</i>	5
<i>Deuteronomy 23:19</i>	5
<i>Exodus 22:24-26</i>	5
<i>Exodus 22:25</i>	5
<i>Ezekiel 18:13</i>	5
<i>Hosea 4:6</i>	3
<i>John 13:34-35</i>	4
<i>Leviticus 25:36-37</i>	4-5
<i>Matthew 6:1-4</i>	4-7
<i>Matthew 6:12, 6:14-15</i>	5
<i>Matthew 6:24</i>	3
<i>Matthew 6:30-33</i>	4
<i>Matthew 10:8</i>	4
<i>Matthew 16:26</i>	4
<i>Matthew 18:21-35</i>	5
<i>Matthew 23:23</i>	4
<i>Proverbs 28:8</i>	5
<i>Romans 13:8</i>	4

I. STATEMENT OF CASE

I, Petitioner Meghan Kelly, pro se appellant, pursuant to 28 USC §§ 1915, 2106, US Amend¹ I, V, XIII, or in any other legal authority in the interest of justice move this Court for permission to file a petition for writ of certiorari, and submit the attached affidavit accompanying the motion for permission to appeal in forma pauperis, as required by 28 USC § 1915(a)(1), related attachments included therein, and incorporate herein the petition for writ of certiorari and exhibits thereto (“Pet”) submitted simultaneously herewith. I having been granted in forma pauperis relief in other Matters, move this Court to waive costs, potential costs and Court fees under Supreme Court Rules 38 and 43, or that may be authorized but not required under 28 U.S.C. § 111 through 28 U.S.C. § 1932, 1. to prevent unaffordable costs from becoming a substantial burden upon my access to the courts, 2. to prevent a government compelled violation of my religious beliefs against indebtedness in order to exercise my right to pet² the Court in defense of the exercise of fundamental rights and license(s), and 3.to prevent government compelled involuntary servitude in exchange with access to the courts to defend my licenses and liberties from being taken away for my religious beliefs in Jesus. (Am I, V, XIII, XIV).

II. REASONS TO GRANT MOTION

1. This case arises from the Court suing me for reciprocal discipline of a Delaware Order placing my license on inactive/disability to punish me for petitions I brought against former-President Trump (“Trump”)under the Religious Freedom Restoration Act to protect my exercise of belief in Jesus Christ without government sponsored persecution in the state of Delaware. I am impoverished. The State order prevents me from working as an attorney. I assert my 13th Amendment right against involuntary servitude by government economic, social and physical

¹ Amendment or Amendments (herein referred to as “Am) Constitution (“Const”), Court (“ct”) Courts (“cts”)

² Right (herein referred to as (“rt”) rights (herein referred as “rts”)

force through indebtedness to enslave me to eliminate constitutional freedoms and chill the exercise of fundamental rts. I am not capable of pre-paying or paying court costs or fees to defend the exercise of my Constitutionally protected rts to exercise private-religious, private-religious speech, petitioning the courts for grievances, and political and religious association without grant of this motion. I believe I am “entitled to redress.”

2. Rule 43 outlines costs, “unless the Ct otherwise orders.” This Ct has discretion to exempt costs, including but not limited to costs under Rule 38. I ask this Ct to exercise its discretion to exempt costs and fees as applied to me in this case. This Ct must exempt costs and fees in my case in order not to compel me to forgo my 1st Am rts of religious belief and religious exercise of beliefs by compelled violation of exercise of my religious beliefs in exchange with the exercise of the 1st Am rt to petition the cts, based on disdain for my belief in God as God not money as savior and guide. US Am I, V, *Matthew 6:24*.

3. This Ct has inherent equitable powers over their process to prevent abuse, oppression, and injustice.³ This Ct must grant my request for an exemption of costs and fees to prevent government abuse against my person, oppression, and injustice. I was previously granted in forma pauperis status.⁴ Even a few dollars in fees would cause a substantial burden upon my access to the cts to address Constitutionally protected activity relating to fundamental rts, creating an obstacle so great as to prevent my access to the cts. I have religious objections to debt and should not be deprived of the 1st Am rt to petition and 5th Am rt to be heard on such petitions before government vitiation of my liberties, property interests in my licenses and harm

³. *Gumbel v. Pitkin*, 124 U.S. 131 (1888); *Covell v. Heyman*, 111 U.S. 176 (1884); *Buck v. Colbath*, 70 U.S. 334 (1865); *Krippendorf v. Hyde*, 110 U.S. 276, 283 (1884).

⁴ *Delaware District Ct Case* No 21-1490, Third Circuit Ct of Appeals Case No. 21-3198, Third Circuit Ct of Appeals No. 22-3372, Delaware Supreme Ct matter No. 21-119, Chancery Ct matters No. 2020-0809 and No. 2020-0157, and Eastern District Ct of PA No. 22-45.

to my life. I do not choose to sin against God by incurring debt. I believe people sin against God by incurring debt. God teaches in *Romans* 13:8, “Owe no one anything, except to love each other, for the one who loves another has fulfilled the law.” Debt compromises our loyalty to God towards the pursuit of money, as savior instead of God. Jesus teaches you cannot serve both God and money as savior. *Matthew* 6:24. I choose God. Earning money is not sin. When our desire to earn money takes the place of our desire to do God’s will, I believe I sin.. I believe “the love of money is the root of all evil.” *1 Timothy* 6:10. I believe that Ct correction can help those blinded by desire for money to see to save their souls. *Hosea* 4:6 I believe cts have the power to save lives and eternal lives. I believe every time the ct prevents individuals, entities, charities and even religious organizations from oppressing, killing, stealing and destroying human life, health or liberty, for material gain judges save souls.⁵ If people don’t forgive monetary debts by those who have no means to pay, other than selling their souls for labor, I believe people will be damned to hell for loving money and material gain more than one another as commanded. I am commanded to love people, not money and the things it can buy.⁶ Since I am commanded to love people, I do not want to create a situation where I increase the odds, others will be damned to hell by accruing profit off of debt. I do not want to be damned to hell by seeking money in place of God as my savior due to indebtedness. Debt is against my religious beliefs because it

⁵ *Amos* 5:15, *Matthew* 23:23. I believe creditors will be damned to hell for not forgiving monetary debts, should they not repent. (See, *Matthew* 6:12, “And forgive us our debts, as we also have forgiven our debtors.”); (*Matthew* 6:14-15, “For if you forgive other people when they sin against you, your heavenly Father will also forgive you. But if you do not forgive others their sins, your Father will not forgive your sins.”); (*Deuteronomy*, 15:1 “At the end of every seven years you must cancel debts.”); (*See also, Matthew*, 18:21-35. Debts once forgiven will be remembered if we do not forgive others.); (Jesus teaches “What good will it be for someone to gain the whole world, yet forfeit their soul? Or what can anyone give in exchange for their soul?” *Matthew* 16:26.); (Jesus teaches us do not seek after material things, “but seek first his kingdom and his righteousness, and all these things will be given to you as well.” *Matthew* 6:30-33.); (With regards to eternal treasure we are commanded to share his word without pay as without pay we received the gift of the way to eternal life, through the word. *Citing, Matthew* 10:8).

⁶ *See, John* 13:34-35, “A new command I give you: Love one another. As I have loved you, so you must love one another. By this everyone will know that you are my disciples, if you love one another.”

makes money guide and savior instead of Jesus as guide and savior. Interest on alleged debt, and debt is against my religious beliefs as I believe it increases servitude to Satan by teaching people to be enslaved to earning money to pay artificial interest or debt, instead of being free in Christ, essentially making money the savior in place of God. (See, *Leviticus* 25:36-37, "Do not take interest or any profit from them, but fear your God, so that they may continue to live among you. You must not lend them money at interest or sell them food at a profit." and *Exodus* 22:24-26). It is my genuine religious belief charging interest or a fee on money lent or artificial debt is a sin against God, I believe misleading many to hell by indebtedness to the pursuit of money, instead of God.⁷ I believe it is a great sin to go into debt, and an even greater sin to require a person to go into debt to exercise fundamental freedoms, that are no longer free, but for sale to those who can afford to buy the ability to exercise Constitutional 1st Am liberties, the wealthy, rendering the poor less equal, no longer free, but for sale bought people, as wage slaves, in violation of the 13th Am, and Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Am applicable to the states, and the Equal Protections component of the 5th Am applicable to the Federal government, with government support. DE Order and the reciprocal orders, including the order below prevent me from returning to my former law firm, and may prevent me from getting a job as a lawyer to render any fees impossible to pay back. In addition, asking for donations is against my religious beliefs as I believe people are misled to hell by *Matthew* 6:1-4 violations of organized charity, fundraising and pro bono. Going into debt, of even a few dollars, is against my religious belief,

⁷ (*Ezekiel* 18:13, "He lends at an interest and takes at a profit. Will such a man live [By live, I believe it means losing eternal life in the second death should he not repent]. He will not! Because he has done all these detestable things, he is put to death; his blood will be on his own head."); (*Deuteronomy* 23:19, "Do not charge your brother interest on money, food, or any other type of loan."); (*Proverbs* 28:8, He who increases his wealth by interest and usury lays it up for one who is kind to the poor.); (*Exodus* 22:25, "If you lend money to one of my people among you who is needy, do not treat it like a business deal; charge no interest."); (*Deuteronomy* 15:2 "This is the manner of remission: Every creditor shall cancel what he has loaned to his neighbor. He is not to collect anything from his neighbor or brother, because the LORD's time of release has been proclaimed.")

and the additional costs of even a few dollars is a substantial burden upon my access to the cts due to my utter poverty, and my inability to pay back any fees. I respectfully request that no fees or costs relating to this case be required of me due to such costs creating an economic strain upon my exercise of the access to the cts to defend 1st Am rts, as a substantial burden due to my poverty, with little prejudice to respondent, the public or this Ct, and due to violations, such cost requirements create upon my exercise of my religious beliefs. This Ct must not require I violate my religious beliefs by agreeing to personal indebtedness should costs arise in order to exercise my 1st and 5th Am rts to petition this Ct to safeguard my exercise of Constitutionally protected activity from government interference or retaliation including the rt, to petition, exercise religious beliefs, freely speak concerning my religious beliefs for which my petitions relate to and the freedom to associate.

5. In order for this Ct to require I consent to costs which violates my religious beliefs, compromising my faith in Jesus to servitude to Satan by making money God by costs, and potential costs relating to this matter, the Ct must have a compelling interest somehow more important than the free exercise of religion, narrowly tailored to support such interest. The Ct may not require forced indebtedness through costs and fees in violation of my religious beliefs and the 13th Am protections against forced labor to pay debt because its justification to compel forced violations of my religion is not narrowly tailored in this case, since the Ct may grant an exemption to prevent the government forced violation of my religious beliefs. The rule of law is not a business where only those with money may purchase justice in violation of Equal Protections. Justice is not for sale by barter or exchange, but must be determined by truth under the Constitutional principles that protect individual freedom of conscience from the forced, collective conditional will of mobs or entities by the vote or otherwise. Any costs create a

substantial burden and obstacle to my access to the Cts in contravention to my Equal Protection to the 1st Am rt to access to the Cts to defend my exercise of fundamental rts applicable to the Federal Cts via the Equal Protection component of the 5th Am, for me, a member of class of one due to religious beliefs against incurring debt combined and due to utter poverty.⁸ While, poverty is not a suspect class, my rt to meaningful access to the cts, despite the inherent burden of poverty, and my religious beliefs and strongly held religious exercise relating to my religious belief against indebtedness is protected. In addition, fundamental rts are implicated. Delaware Disciplinary Counsel and Delaware agents violated my Fundamental rts of religious beliefs, religious-political speech, religious-political petitions, religious-political-association, religious-political exercise, procedural and substantive due process opportunity to be heard, to prepare and present evidence, to subpoena witnesses, and to cross examine my accuser. DE and the ct below persecute me and seek to defame my character by taking away my property interest in my active license to practice law but for my exercise of Constitutionally protected conduct, in violation of my freedom to petition concerning my religious-political speech, religious-political exercise, religious-political belief, religious-political association, and association as a party, attorney, Democrat and Catholic when I believe there has been a grievance committed against me.⁹

⁸ See, *Abdul-Akbar v. McKelvie*, 239 F.3d 307, 317 (3d Cir. 2001) (“This requires us first to determine whether Appellant is a member of a suspect class or whether a fundamental rt is implicated. See, *Harris v. McRae*, 448 U.S. 297, 323, (1980) (noting that poverty is not a suspect classification).” (But see, *Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343, 370 (1996) “[A]t all stages of the proceedings the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses protect [indigent persons] from invidious discriminations.”). “Because this case implicates the [Constitutionally protected rts of exercise of religion, speech, petition, belief and association and the] rt of access to the cts,” the government’s disparate treatment towards me, based on poverty, is still unconstitutional under a strict scrutiny basis test. Citing, *Tennessee v. Lane*, 541 U.S. 509, 533 n.20 (2004). The Supreme Ct noted, “There can be no equal justice where the kind of trial a man gets depends on the amount of money he has.” *Lewis v. Casey*, 518 U.S. 343, 370 (1996); (internal citations omitted).

⁹ Justice Stevens, with whom Justice Brennan, Justice Marshall, and Justice Blackmun joined, in dissenting of US Supreme Ct in *Murray v. Giarratano*, 492 U.S. 1, 18 (1989) recognized, “When an indigent is forced to run this gauntlet of a preliminary showing of merit, the rt to appeal does not comport with fair procedure. . . [T]he discrimination is not between ‘possibly good and obviously bad cases,’ but between cases where the rich man can require the ct to listen to argument of counsel before deciding on the merits, but a poor man cannot. . . The

6. Ct costs, taxes, and fees as applied, violate my religious beliefs, religious practices and religious exercise against incurring debt, and costs, as applied. I seek protections under the 5th Am's Equal Protection component, as a party of one, with unique religious beliefs to gain access to the cts to defend my exercise of 1st, 5th and 14th Am liberties. The Ct has no compelling interest somehow more important and narrowly tailored to its interest outweighing my 1st Am rts to religious beliefs, exercise of religious belief, and access to the cts, and 13th Am rt against involuntary servitude to debt which I believe damns people to hell by making mammon savior/master/God narrowly tailored to meet such interest. I believe people go to hell for making money and material gain their guide, master and God, should they not repent. *Matthew 6:24*

7. Even if this Ct allegedly had a compelling interest, so "So long as the government can achieve its interests in a manner that does not burden religion, it must do so, in order to survive strict scrutiny under the Free Exercise Clause of the 1st Am. U.S. Const. Amend. 1." *Fulton v. City of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania*, 593 U.S. 522, 141 S. Ct. 1868, 210 L. Ed. 2d 137 (2021). Denial of this petition would unfairly vitiate violate my religious beliefs and deny me access to the cts in violation of my 5th Am rt to fairly be heard on petitions before I am capriciously denied of liberties and property interest in my license by the Federal Government through the cts. US Am. I, V. Denial would also arguably deny Equal Protections to me as a party of one under the 5th Am's Equal Protection's component, due to my unique religious beliefs I assert and do not willingly nor voluntarily waive. US Amend I, V

8. My circumstances have recently worsened. My car insurance went up to more than 80 dollars a month. I may turn in my license again as I had to do during the case below should I not be able to afford insurance. My internet and access to email also may become unaffordable.

indigent, where the record is unclear or the errors are hidden, has only the rt to a meaningless ritual, while the rich man has a meaningful appeal." Douglas, 372 U.S., at 357-358

Congress stopped the Affordable Connectivity Program, and I may not be able to afford stamps or gas. I may no longer have internet. App. 19-24. Further the state denied me access to the law library again and retaliated against me for complaining to DE Clerk of Court who previously helped me regain access. More threats have arisen and apparent conspiracy of using Mark Vavala at De-Lapp to take me out I am really scared. I went to the courts for help and they persecute me based on disdain for my religious beliefs. This Case has important implications on all other professionals who may have their licenses to buy or sell revoked or suspended but for their religious belief in Jesus as God not money as God. This reciprocal case arises based on my exercise of fundamental rts to religious belief, speech, petition, associate and to exercise religious beliefs without government incited physical, social or economic persecution. DE avers in the attached letter and petition at 7 she brought a lawsuit against me but for my religious beliefs contained in my speech in my Religious Restoration Act Law suit against Trump I brought to alleviate a substantial burden his establishment of government religion brought upon my exercise of religious beliefs. (IFP 8-9). This reciprocal case arises based on DE's punishment of my exercise of fundamental rights meant to chill the future exercise of Constitutionally protected liberties not limited to the exercise of petition, religious belief, exercise of religious belief, association and speech. I asserted petitions to safeguard 1st Am rts applicable to DE via the 14th Am for about 20 years that have been denied or ignored or retaliated against by DE, its agents or partners. I was also demeaned and mistreated by two Delaware Judges based on my birth place PA. (Apps 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, Pet). The culmination of State retaliation occurred during a Religious Freedom Restoration Act lawsuit I filed against President Donald J. Trump ("Trump") to protect my exercise of belief in Jesus Christ without government incited persecution against me with malicious intent to cause me to forgo my

exercise of fundamental rights based on disdain for my religious beliefs. App. 17. DE has no rt to vitiate my rts to Equal Protections, access to the cts, religious belief, exercise of belief, association and my licenses but for my exercise of the fundamental rt to believe in Jesus by the dictates of my conscience because the state disagrees with my genuinely held religious beliefs in Jesus Christ, nor should I be deprived of a state benefit in the form of my license for exercise of my protected Constitutional liberties. US Amend I, XIV. “To be sure, a state may not condition the grant of a privilege, [a license,] or benefit upon the surrender of a constitutional rt.”¹⁰

9. DE ODC said my religious beliefs contained in the petition caused them to be concerned about my mental capacity. App 8-10 The State petitioned a disciplinary suit based on my religious beliefs in the Bible per Pet at 7. Ap. 8-9. This reciprocal law suit is brought to reciprocate punishment and to chill the exercise of fundamental rts. I face irreparable injury by vitiation of my 5th and 14th am rt to a fair opportunity to be heard and DP in the exercise of my 1st Am rt to pet and certain loss of fundamental rts if I am denied access to the cts based on denial of this petition to file IFP and to exempt costs.¹¹

¹⁰ *Minn. Ass'n, Health Care v. Minn. Dept.*, P.W, 742 F.2d 442, 446 (8th Cir. 1984); *Citing, Western Southern Life Insurance Co. v. State Board of Equalization*, 451 U.S. 648, 657-58, 664-65 (1981); *Sherbert v. Verner*, 374 U.S. 398, 404-05, (1963). “The doctrine that a government, state or federal, may not grant a benefit or privilege on conditions requiring the recipient to relinquish his constitutional rts is now well established.” “Neither the state in general, nor the state university in particular, is free to prohibit any kind of expression because it does not like what is being said.” *Jones v. Board of Education*, 397 U.S. 31, 35-36 (1970). *The United States Supreme Ct in Kennedy v. Bremerton School Dist.*, No. 21-418, at *15 (June 27, 2022) held, “Where the Free Exercise Clause protects religious exercises, whether communicative or not, the Free Speech Clause provides overlapping protection for expressive religious activities.”

¹¹ See, *Brief of the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, the Ethics & Religious Liberty Commission, the International Mission Board, and Dr. R. Albert Mohler, Jr. as amici curiae in Support of Petitions before the US Supreme Court by the Little Sisters of the Poor Home for the aged, Denver Colorado, et.al, Petitioners v. Sylvia Matthews Burwell, Secretary of Health and Human Services, et. al*, No.15-105, 2015 WL 5013734 (US). (The Court allowed references to the bible in other RFRA petitions); See, *Burwell v. Hobby Lobby Stores, Inc.*, 573 U.S. 682, 682. (“Courts have no business addressing whether sincerely held religious beliefs asserted in a RFRA case are reasonable.”) Also see, *Africa v. Pennsylvania*, 662 F.2d 1025, 1025 (3d Cir.), cert. denied, 456 U.S. 908 (1982); (“Judges are not oracles of theological verity, and the founders did not intend for them to be declarants of religious orthodoxy.); *Employment Div., Dept. of Human Resources of Ore. v. Smith*, 494 U. S. 872, 887, (“Repeatedly and in many different contexts, we have warned that courts must not presume to determine the place of a particular belief in a religion or the plausibility of a religious claim.”); *Cantwell v. State of Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 60 S. Ct. 900, 84 L. Ed. 1213 (1940); *Remmers v. Brewer*, 361 F. Supp. 537, 540 (S.D.Iowa 1973) (court must give “religion” wide

10. I am a Christian lawyer who was attacked by the DE by the request of the DE Sup Ct members through its arms and agents to cause me to forgo my lawsuit against former President Trump to punish me for my exercise of the private 1st Am rts to petition, private-speech contained in the private-petitions, affiliation, private religious beliefs and exercise of religious beliefs and in retaliation for my 1/7/2021 and 2/5/2021 petitions to exempt bar dues for all attorneys facing economic hardship. I filed a private RFRA lawsuit *Kelly v Trump*, as a private party to protect my private-1st Am free exercise of religion, speech, and association from government sponsored persecution for such exercise, and to dissolve the establishment of government religion by seeking to enjoin former President Trump and current President Biden from enforcing executive orders creating a union of government-religious entity partnerships, including enjoinment of E.O. No. 13798, maintained and reestablished by President Biden by his enforcement of E.O. 13798, and President Biden's enforcement of E.O. No. 13198, Jan. 29, 2001,¹² and Biden's enactment of E.O. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021 ("executive orders").¹³ These executive orders allow money or support to be transferred between government agents and religious organizations to perform government business. I believe the money or support in the bought or bartered for, not free union of church and state, is one reason why religious-political

latitude to ensure that state approval never becomes prerequisite to practice of faith); *Presbyterian Church in U. S. v. Mary Elizabeth Blue Hull Memorial Presbyterian Church*, 393 U. S. 440, 450, (1969) (holding that "the First Amendment forbids civil courts from" interpreting "particular church doctrines" and determining "the importance of those doctrines to the religion."); *Ben-Levi v. Brown*, 136 S. Ct. 930, 934; *See, Holt v. Hobbs*, 574 U.S. 352; *In re Eternal Word Television Network, Inc.*, 818 F.3d 1122, 1140 (11th Cir. 2016) ("The Supreme Court cautioned that "federal courts have no business addressing" such questions of religion and moral philosophy." (Internal citation omitted)); *Thomas v. Review Board*, 450 U.S. 707, 714 (1981), "religious beliefs need not be acceptable, logical, consistent, or comprehensible to others in order to merit First Amendment protection.").

¹² as amended by Ex. Or. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021; Ex. Or. No. 13199, Jan. 29, 2001, as revoked by Ex. Or No. 13831, May 3, 2018; Ex. Or. No. 13279, December 12, 2002, as amended by Exec. Or. No. 13559, November 17, 2010; Ex. Or. No. 13559, Nov. 17, 2010; Ex Or. No. 13831, May 3, 2018

¹³ See *Kelly v Trump* appeal attached, App 10. Albeit, I petitioned on Trump's E.O. 13798 granting churches the license to give candidates and parties parishioners' donations, verbal support and church backing before I included arguments upon the horrific discover of the other orders in other petitions in the Delaware Courts. See US Supreme Court No 21-5522 on this court's dockets)

attacks have increased in recent years, including government incited religious-political attacks against me. President Biden's Valentine's Day executive Order, E.O. No. 14015, Feb. 14, 2021, is troubling since it appears to allow government money to be bestowed to religious organizations, like churches in other countries, to perform government business under the guise of charity in contravention of the Establishment Clause and my religious beliefs¹⁴ In *Kalman v. Cortes*, 723 F. Supp. 2d 766, 769, (2010) this USSC held the "The Establishment Clause prohibits government from establishing a religion in the sense of **sponsorship, financial support,** or active involvement of the sovereign in religious activity." I reasonably believed the Executive Orders violated the establishment clause in light of *Kalman*, especially Trump's E.O. 13798 which permits churches to back candidates and parties using the donations from parishioners to serve the political vanity of men which I believe is blasphemy by making man God's anointed instead of Jesus. I believe the executive orders also violates Jesus's teachings in *Matthew* 6:1-4, and 6:24. Trump also incited religious-political persecution against people for their projected religious beliefs based on not supporting him in his formal government position, or his Republican party, or the religious organizations which supported his presidential candidacy, including me as a party of one, (as a Christian, Catholic, Democrat who exhibited opposition to Trump by drafting 5 proposed articles of impeachment which I contacted all 541 federal law makers to impeach on), substantially burdening my free exercise of religious belief, **by a course of conduct which I argue also establishes government-religion**, causing people to attack me, talk about shooting me, throw things at my vehicle, actually shooting two bullets in the home of Delawarean Greg Layton based on political beliefs, kill, harm or attack others based on religious

¹⁴ Matthew 6:1-4, John 2:16 (Per Jesus Christ, Giving to get is business. Those who do business as worship or charity are not welcome in heaven. They will die in hell on judgment day should they not repent. I do not want people to die in hell.)

or political belief substantially burdening my free exercise of religion, speech, and political-religious affiliation, as I outlined in *Kelly v Trump* to this Sup Ct at pages 23-25:¹⁵ “The very adoption or passage of a policy that violates the Est Cl represents a const injury.” *Santa Fe Independent School District v. Doe*, 530 U.S. 290, 314-315 (2000). The Executive Order and Trump’s policy of persecution is a const injury. The Const does not grant Trump by nature of his position as President a license to demean those with diverse political and religious beliefs like me foreseeably and actually subjecting me to physical, social and economic injury to chill not merely political speech but religious speech, exercise of belief under the threat of death. The Cts, not twitter should restrain Presidential speech within the restrictions of the law not the partial subject choice of social media platforms where Congress removes judicial authority to private partners who are part of the plan to eliminate the cts by design. (Apps 13, 16-17, 21-24) There is plan to eliminate the cts and this ct’s deference to past case law and third parties cannot prevent it. Id. The cts must consider this new threat of harm anew with the guidance of petitioners. Should the cts or petitioners get it wrong, the people’s check on the government, the

¹⁵ ““1. appointing a personal spiritual advisor, and alleged Christian leaders to advise the President, creating the religious backing and the appearance of Godly guidance supporting Defendant’s government authority; 2. holding up a Bible in front of a church for a photo op after people were gassed in response to their Constitutional exercise of affiliation and speech at a protest;3. hypocritically claiming “Biden will hurt the Bible; 4. persecuting people who exercised their freedom to worship or not by the dictates of their own conscience, not government-religious commands, by demeaning those who omitted the word God in the pledge of the allegiance; 5. improperly sharing his alleged prayer to God, while acting under the color of the law;6. creating the illusion there is a war on Christmas, by liberals like me; 7. repeating the government-religious belief that he may be the chosen one by God, contributing to the government-religious belief Trump is anointed by God;8. moving the US embassy in Israel to Jerusalem for a religious group, Evangelicals; 9. sponsoring and inciting private persecution towards liberals by churches and its parishioners, by buying loyalty by barter or exchange, even by praise, for a little something down the line, such as bailouts, or power to persuade politicians, at the cost of teaching some preachers and parishioners to persecute non-Trump supporting liberals like me, and including me; 10. tweeting fabrications making it appear democrats like me are attacking the church including the tweet. ‘DEMS WANT TO SHUT YOUR CHURCHES DOWN, PERMANLY. HOPE YOU SEE WHAT IS HAPPENING. VOTE NOW;’11. signing another Executive Order, Ex. Or No. 13831, May 3, 2018, which increases the temptation for government employees, including the President, to back religions financially or otherwise, in hopes to receive their government backing and government support in return under E.O. 13798; and 12. using his son to glorify him as the savior of Christianity, when I believe Jesus the Christ is the savior under Christianity. Eric Trump said his “father ‘literally saved Christianity...there is a full war on faith on the other side, (meaning my democrat side). (Eric continued), ‘The Democrat Party, the far left, has become the party of atheists, and they want to attack Christianity” (App 10 and App 17)

rt to petition is the tool to remedy any injury. My petitions in *Kelly v Trump* warranted opportunity to be heard before I was deprived of 14th Am. due process (herein referred “DP”) rts to protect my religious exercise of belief from substantial state and federal burdens by disparate selective persecution against me as a party of one. Const principles “forbid the judiciary, as well as the legislature, of a State to interfere with the free exercise of religion.”” *Kreshik v. St. Nicholas Cathedral*, 363 U.S. 190 (1960). Nevertheless I was disparately treated based on the state’s desire to chill and substantially burden my exercise of religious beliefs based on disdain towards my religious-political association, beliefs outlined in my speech in the petitions in violation of Equal Protections, based on viewpoint in speech, and the 1st Am rts app to Defendants via the 14th. Am. This reciprocal case arises based on DE attacks against me, and judicial acts exceeding the scope of their professional authority to **sabotage my RFRA case against President Trump** by writing on my praecipe, instructing me to cross off local counsel’s address to obstruct service, misleading me to miss the deadline to file, sending third parties to attack me in private including Common Pleas Judge Clark at a store, inciting additional threats against me by its arms not limited to sending 3 letters, endangering my life and liberty with knowledge of threat of death and my health limitations¹⁶, and the harm to my health based on Trump’s establishment of government religion¹⁷, DE Sup Ct secretly firing material witnesses in my favor, and preventing me from calling them to conceal the fact the DE Sup Ct colluded with

¹⁶ App. 7, 15, 17 18 (Ex 43, evidence of harm to health at both Exhibit 10). I have religious objections to healthcare, mental healthcare and science. I believe people sin should they not repent of idolatry for trusting in or telling others to trust the science, the professional or what product or service they are selling us unrestrained by love, the holy spirit convicting us of sin, or the just rule of law to prevent them from being above the law when they harm life, health or liberty for material gain. I believe people sin for using people as subjects in science even if they should gain their consent, especially if they paid to do it for instance by gathering statistics and data for the NIH or CDC. Making men and what idea, product or service they are selling us God, makes them above the law reflecting the image of the devil, per Is. 14, and violates Equal protections in favor of experts and professionals and bias against those they harm under the guise of helping them, the consumers, for mammon.

¹⁷ App. 10 Exhibit 10 to USSC Case 21-5522 Kelly v Trump shows Healthcare blood pressure results. There are 2 exhibits 10 sorry about that.

Defendants and private people in concealing evidence in my favor and other unconscionable acts to cause me to forgo my case against Trump where I sought to dissolve the establishment of government religion. During *Kelly v Trump* ct staff prevented me from serving local counsel. See, *Isaacs v. Caldwell*, 530 S.W.3d 449, 455 (Ky. 2017) (“Nothing in the civil rules or statutes governing the initiation of a civil action....permits the clerk to withhold issuance of the summons ”). Then staff misled me to miss my 7 day deadline to appeal by exceptions to a Chancellor based on religious-political association. I petitioned Master Griffen for help concerning the disparate treatment. DE Sup Ct copied DE disciplinary Board Administrator in response to my request to be exempted from notarizing on 10/21/20, in believed retaliation for petitioning Master Patricia Griffin for help. The attacks by DE Ct through its agents and arms became more egregious as the case went to appeal. The entire DE Supreme Ct sent its arms to intimidate me to attack me to cause me to forgo my lawsuit. Their coconspirators sent three threatening letters in violation of witness tampering. De Cts even sent Ct of Common Pleas Judge Kenneth S. Clark to threaten me at a BJs grocery store to cause me to end my lawsuit to conceal disparate treatment and to punish me for petitioning Master Griffen to alleviate her staff’s denial of my access to the cts before it vitiates 1st Am fundamental rts without due process per the 14th Am. Rt to be heard fairly and fully on my petitions. DE-Lapp attacked me with information only DE Supreme ct Judges and Mark Vavala knew about. More threats arose. I petitioned the DE Supreme Ct to alleviate the malicious insidious state attacks against me to cause me to forgo my case based on disdain for my religious political beliefs and viewpoint in my protected speech.¹⁸. I realized then that DE Sup. Ct Judge Seitz incited the attacked before I gathered proof the entire DE Supreme Ct incited attacks against me to deprive me of access to the cts based on disdain for my religious-

¹⁸ App.11-12, 14-15, 21-23

political beliefs. The Ct sealed the attached two motions without giving me notice or an opportunity to be heard.¹⁹ Then the DE Supreme Ct through its staff attorney Robinson fired the two ct staff I complained to Master Griffen about, and denied my motion to call one. While I was not able to serve Trump's local counsel the original complaint because Ct staff prevented service, I seek to void the findings in a civil rts law suit due to violations of DP, and seek other relief relating to Kelly v Trump not limited to nominal damages relating to denial of access to the cts, violations of my religious belief, damages relating to emotional distress in light of the record with knowledge of my health limitations, and religious objections to science, healthcare and mental healthcare. App. 18. I am scared. I reported people talked about shooting me based on my religious political speech, association and beliefs to a policeman. The police man never made a report. I went to the Ct for help. The ct attacked me instead. The state attacked me in retaliation for petitioning the Ct again on March 5, 2024. App.19, 23. I will be attacked again. I fear capricious loss of my life and liberty not merely my license if this ct denies my petition. I have health limitations based on our horrible health careless system where I have risk harm to health if I am not afforded time to sustain my health by rest, drinking gallons not cups of water and exercise. I informed this USSC of my religious objections to healthcare. App. 18. The State and federal cts below know I have religious objections to healthcare, mental healthcare and science. I informed them in petitions. In both DE and PA cases I asserted ADA rights relating to physical limitations which were ignored.

III. CONCLUSION

Wherefore, I pray this Ct grants this request.

¹⁹(See, Apps 10-15.); Appellant's motion for the Delaware Supreme Ct to Reign in its arms through its agents from unlawfully pressuring appellant to forgo or impede her case to protect her free exercise of religion by relief it deems just, and Appellant's Motion for the Delaware Supreme Ct to require the recusal of the honorable Chief Justice Collins J. Seitz, Junior in this matter, attached hereto as App 11-12.

Dated: April 14, 2024

Respectfully submitted,



/s/ Meghan Kelly

Meghan Kelly, Esquire
34012 Shawnee Drive
Dagsboro, DE 19939

meghankellyesq@yahoo.com

(302) 493-6693

United States Supreme Court No.283696

Under Religious objection I declare, affirm that the foregoing statement is true and correct

Dated: April 14, 2024

Meghan Marie Kelly (printed)

Meghan M. Kelly (signed)

IFP (1) IFP

No. _____

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Meghan M. Kelly PETITIONER
(Your Name)

U.S. District Court Eastern District of Pennsylvania VS.
— RESPONDENT(S)

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS*

The petitioner asks leave to file the attached petition for a writ of certiorari without prepayment of costs and to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

Please check the appropriate boxes:

Petitioner has previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the following court(s): Third Circuit Court of Appeals 21-3198, 22-3372, DE District Court No 21-1440, DE Chancery Court No. 20-0809, 2020-0157 DE Supreme Court No. 119-2021

Petitioner has **not** previously been granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* in any other court.

Petitioner's affidavit or declaration in support of this motion is attached hereto.

Petitioner's affidavit or declaration is **not** attached because the court below appointed counsel in the current proceeding, and:

The appointment was made under the following provision of law: _____
_____, or

a copy of the order of appointment is appended.

Meghan Kelly
(Signature)

**AFFIDAVIT OR DECLARATION
IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED *IN FORMA PAUPERIS***

I, Meghan Kelly, am the petitioner in the above-entitled case. In support of my motion to proceed *in forma pauperis*, I state that because of my poverty I am unable to pay the costs of this case or to give security therefor; and I believe I am entitled to redress.

1. For both you and your spouse estimate the average amount of money received from each of the following sources during the past 12 months. Adjust any amount that was received weekly, biweekly, quarterly, semiannually, or annually to show the monthly rate. Use gross amounts, that is, amounts before any deductions for taxes or otherwise.

Income source	Average monthly amount during the past 12 months		Amount expected next month	
	You	Spouse	You	Spouse
Employment	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Self-employment	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Income from real property (such as rental income)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Interest and dividends	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Gifts	\$ <u>360</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Alimony	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Child Support	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Retirement (such as social security, pensions, annuities, insurance)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Disability (such as social security, insurance payments)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Unemployment payments	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Public-assistance (such as welfare)	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Other (specify): _____	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
Total monthly income:	\$ <u>360</u>		\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>

2. List your employment history for the past two years, most recent first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
			\$ <u> </u>
			\$ <u> </u>

3. List your spouse's employment history for the past two years, most recent employer first. (Gross monthly pay is before taxes or other deductions.)

Employer	Address	Dates of Employment	Gross monthly pay
<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>	\$ <u>0</u>
			\$ <u> </u>
			\$ <u> </u>

4. How much cash do you and your spouse have? \$ 0

Below, state any money you or your spouse have in bank accounts or in any other financial institution.

Type of account (e.g., checking or savings)	Amount you have	Amount your spouse has
<u>N/A</u>	\$ <u>0</u>	\$ <u>0</u>

5. List the assets, and their values, which you own or your spouse owns. Do not list clothing and ordinary household furnishings.

Home Value N/A

Other real estate Value N/A

Motor Vehicle #1 Year, make & model 2014 Toyota Corolla
Value \$5,000 unknown amount, Does
Driver's side broke

Motor Vehicle #2 Year, make & model _____
Value _____

Other assets Description N/A
Value _____

6. State every person, business, or organization owing you or your spouse money, and the amount owed.

Person owing you or your spouse money

N/A
N/A
N/A

Amount owed to you

66 N/A
66 N/A
66 N/A

Amount owed to your spouse

\$ N/A
\$ N/A
\$ N/A

7. State the persons who rely on you or your spouse for support. For minor children, list initials instead of names (e.g. "J.S." instead of "John Smith")

Name _____
N/A
N/A
N/A

Relationship
N/A

Age
N/A
N/A
N/A

8. Estimate the average monthly expenses of you and your family. Show separately the amounts paid by your spouse. Adjust any payments that are made weekly, biweekly, quarterly, or annually to show the monthly rate.

You

Your spouse

Rent or home-mortgage payment
(include lot rented for mobile home)

\$ N/A N/A

Are real estate taxes included? Yes No

Is property insurance included? Yes No

Utilities (electricity, heating fuel, water, sewer, and telephone)

$$\frac{N}{A}$$

Home maintenance (repairs and upkeep)

40.00 N/A

Food

\$ 0 \$ N/A

Clothing

\$ 20.00 \$ N/A

Laundry and dry-cleaning

\$ 30.00 \$ N/A

Medical and dental expenses

\$ 40.00 \$ N/A

	You	Your spouse
Transportation (not including motor vehicle payments)	\$ 100	\$ N/A
Recreation, entertainment, newspapers, magazines, etc.	\$ 20	\$ N/A
Insurance (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
Homeowner's or renter's	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Life	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Health	\$ N/A	\$
Motor Vehicle	\$ in unknown = 70 or 50	\$ N/A
Other: _____	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Taxes (not deducted from wages or included in mortgage payments)		
(specify): _____	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Installment payments		
Motor Vehicle	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Credit card(s)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Department store(s)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Other: _____	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Alimony, maintenance, and support paid to others	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Regular expenses for operation of business, profession, or farm (attach detailed statement)	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
Other (specify): Payback month gift to parents when employed for years of Total monthly expenses:	\$ 900.00	\$ N/A
this lawsuit, I have other expenses that supersede necessities, ink, paper, printer, postage, toothpaste, shampoo, clothes because I got out of shape. I go without things I need obviously because I can't afford them.		\$ 1,220

9. Do you expect any major changes to your monthly income or expenses or in your assets or liabilities during the next 12 months?

Yes No If yes, describe on an attached sheet.

10. Have you paid – or will you be paying – an attorney any money for services in connection with this case, including the completion of this form? Yes No

If yes, how much? No determination has been made at this time.

If yes, state the attorney's name, address, and telephone number:

11. Have you paid—or will you be paying—anyone other than an attorney (such as a paralegal or a typist) any money for services in connection with this case, including the completion of this form?

Yes No

If yes, how much? No determination has been made at this time with regards to the future.

If yes, state the person's name, address, and telephone number:

12. Provide any other information that will help explain why you cannot pay the costs of this case.

Please see the attached documents. My health has diminished, I have physical needs I asserted in PA and state of DE cases they ignore. Under the ADA. Please see Ex. 43. I have religious objections to science, healthcare and mental health care. I am eligible for food stamps.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on: March 25, 2024

The state of DE granted me an exemption from volunteer/work requirements due to my religious objections according to the teachings of Jesus Christ. See Matt. 6:1-4, 24.

CM Meghan Kelly
(Signature)