

APPENDIX

A1. Ineffective counsel because counsel failed to object to hearsay in that the brother of the victim gave hearsay evidence identifying the petitioner

A2. Counsel failed to introduce exculpatory evidence from petitioner's eye doctor.

B. Violation of Fifth and Fourteenth Amendment rights on identification.

C. Violation of Fifth and Sixth Amendment rights.

3. Petitioner appealed his convictions to the Court of Appeals of Virginia which denied his petition on July 24, 2008, (Record No. 2490-07-1). His further appeal to the Virginia Supreme Court was denied on February 3, 2009, (Record No. 081651). Petition for a certiorari in the United States Supreme Court was denied on October 5, 2009, (Record No. 09-5182).

4. The first prong of the Strickland test, the "performance" inquiry, requires a showing "that counsel made errors so serious that counsel was not functioning as 'counsel' guaranteed the defendant by the Sixth Amendment." Strickland v. Washington, 466 U.S. 668, 687 (1984). In judging the particular act or omission, the question is whether it was reasonable considering all the circumstances. Id. at 688. The reviewing court must "indulge a strong presumption that counsel's conduct falls within the wide range of reasonable professional assistance." Id. at 689; Darden v. Wainwright, 477 U.S. 168, 186 (1986).

The second prong of the Strickland test, the "prejudice" inquiry, requires a showing that there is a "reasonable probability that, but for counsel's unprofessional errors, the result of the proceeding would have been different."

APPENDIX

466 U.S. at 694. A reasonable probability is a "probability sufficient to undermine confidence in the outcome." *Id.* Counsel's errors, even if unreasonable, must be shown to have "actually had an adverse effect on the defense," *Id.* at 693, not merely that the errors created the "possibility" of prejudice, but that they worked to his actual and substantial disadvantage, infecting his entire trial with error of constitutional dimensions." *Murray v. Carrier*, 477 U.S. 478, 494 (1986) (citations omitted, emphasis in original). ✓

Finally, since a petitioner's burden is two-fold, the reviewing court "need not determine whether counsel's performance was deficient before examining the prejudice" issue. *Strickland*, 466 U.S. at 697. "Courts should strive to ensure that ineffectiveness claims not become so burdensome to defense counsel that the entire criminal justice system suffers as result." *Id.* Thus, if a court can dismiss an ineffectiveness claim on the ground of lack of prejudice, the court should do so without scrutinizing counsel's performance. *Id.* See also *Moore v. Hinkle* 259 Va. 479, 527 S.E.2d 419 (2000). (Habeas petitioner must prove prejudice). ✓

5. Petitioner's Claims B and C are claims which must be raised at trial and on appeal and therefore are not cognizable in a petition for a writ of habeas corpus. *Slayton v. Parrigan*, 215 Va. 27, 205 S.E.2d 680 (1974).

APPENDIX

6. In petitioner's Claim A1 he alleges that counsel was ineffective for failing to object to hearsay testimony when the police detective stated that he received information from petitioner's brother which identified the petitioner as the attacker of the victim. The record reveals that this information was elicited by petitioner's trial attorney at the motion to suppress evidence hearing held on June 12, 2006. It was an obvious attempt by petitioner's counsel to discredit the police officer's testimony as well as the victim's testimony concerning the identity of the petitioner as the assailant. See Tr. 11. Such a trial tactic is not ineffective assistance of counsel. It was a deliberate act by the attorney to further the petitioner's defense. Moreover, the petitioner has not demonstrated any prejudice as the result of counsel's alleged ineffectiveness.

7. Additionally, the petitioner raised this claim at sentencing on October 5, 2007, post trial. He complained to the court at that time about the victim's brother helping identify the petitioner. This court ruled that there was no merit to the claim. (Tr. 31-35). Petitioner cannot demonstrate any prejudice because the court found no merit to the claim itself and this claim is denied and dismissed.

8. As to petitioner's Claim A2, petitioner's exhibit demonstrates that the injury to his eye occurred on August 5, 2005. Offenses in the case at bar occurred on September 24, 2005. Petitioner has not demonstrated that his eye was "swollen shut" on the day of the offense. Indeed, almost six weeks had passed since his earlier injury. Petitioner has not demonstrated by affidavit or

APPENDIX

other appropriate evidence that the petitioner's eye was in fact swollen shut on the day that the offenses took place.

A habeas petition which consists of bald conclusory claims without any factual support is insufficient. As the court said in United States v. Schaflander, 743 F.2d 714 (9th Cir. 1984):

[T]he appellants have not made a sufficient factual showing that would require an evidentiary hearing; they have not produced affidavits or sworn statements from any of the witnesses or from counsel. In the absence of the necessary factual showing, their allegations remain "merely conclusory statements," which, in the circumstances of this case, the District Court properly rejected.

Id. at 721. See also Ross v. Estelle, 694 F.2d 1008, 1011 (5th Cir. 1983). (bald, unsupported assertions do not state a claim for relief); Nickerson v. Lee, 971 F.2d 1125, 1136 (4th Cir. 1992). ("in order to obtain an evidentiary hearing on an ineffective assistance of counsel claim -- or, for that matter, on any claim -- a habeas petitioner must come forward with some evidence that the claim might have merit. Unsupported, conclusory allegations do not entitle a petitioner to an evidentiary hearing.") Gilbert v. Moore, 134 F.3d 642, 655 (4th Cir. 1998)(en banc) (No allegation of how the alleged deficiency prejudiced the petitioner is fatal to claim of ineffective counsel.)

Petitioner has not proffered any affidavit to the Court from the alleged witness as to what his trial testimony would have been. This failure to proffer is fatal to his claim. See Muhammad v. Warden, 274 Va. 3, 18, 646 S.E.2d 182, 195 (2007) (failure to proffer affidavits regarding testimony witness would have offered is fatal to Strickland claims). Cf. Beaver v. Thompson, 93 F.3d 1186,

APPENDIX

1195 (4th Cir. 1996) ("an allegation of inadequate investigation does not warrant habeas relief absent a proffer of what favorable evidence or testimony would have been produced."). See also Bassette v. Thompson, 915 F.2d 932, 940-41 (4th Cir. 1990) (petitioner must allege "what an adequate investigation would have revealed."). Under these circumstances, Petitioner cannot meet his burden under either prong of the Strickland test. Accordingly, his claim is denied and dismissed.

9. Finally, this issue was litigated at sentencing on October 5, 2007. At that time, the Court heard the petitioner's arguments concerning the problem with his eye. The Court overruled petitioner's motion. (Tr. 5-14). Petitioner has not demonstrated any prejudice under Strickland.

~~The petitioner's claims are without merit and are dismissed.~~
Grounds -


The court thus is of the opinion that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus should be denied and dismissed; it is, therefore,

ADJUDGED and ORDERED that the petition for a writ of habeas corpus be, and is hereby, denied and dismissed.

It is further hereby **ORDERED** the Clerk serve, by mail, certified copies of this Order to the Petitioner and Thomas D. Bagwell, Special Assistant Attorney General, counsel for the Respondent. Pursuant to Rule 1:13, the Court waives the Petitioner's endorsement on this Order.

ENTERED: 8/2/10

JUDGE




City County of Wise
Commonwealth of Virginia Department of Correction
Subscribed and sworn before me this 10th
November 2010
David L. Rose
Notary Public
My Commission Expires 12-31-2013

APPENDIX ~~E~~ exhibit

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

I ASK FOR THIS:



Thomas D. Bagwell
Special Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
900 East Main Street
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 786-2071
Facsimile: (804) 371-0151

CERTIFIED TO BE A TRUE COPY
OF THE RECORD IN MY CUSTODY,
FAYE W. MITCHELL, CLERK
CIRCUIT COURT, CHESAPEAKE, VA

BY: 
DEPUTY CLERK

(Pro-Se Petitioner(s)) "APPENDIX" ~~XXXX~~

OCT 07 2011

VIRGINIA:

CRIMINAL LITIGATION SECTION
OFFICE OF THE CLERK, SUPREME COURT

*In the Supreme Court of Virginia held at the Supreme Court Building in the
City of Richmond on Thursday the 6th day of October, 2011.*

Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee, Jr.,

Appellant,

against

Record No. 101847
Circuit Court Nos. CR06-119,
CR06-127 and CR06-152

Bryan Watson, Warden,

Appellee.

From the Circuit Court of the City of Chesapeake

Upon consideration of the record and the pleadings filed in this case, the Court finds that the appeal was not perfected in the manner provided by law, as the assignments of error do not address the circuit court's ruling in Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee v. Bryan Watson, Warden, Circuit Court Case Nos. CR06-119, CR06-127 and CR06-152, from which an appeal is sought. Thus, the petition for appeal fails to comply with the requirements of Rule 5:17(c)(1)(iii) because it does not list the specific error(s) in the lower court proceedings upon which the appellant intends to rely.

Accordingly, the petition for appeal is dismissed.

Upon further consideration whereof, appellant's "motion for retroactive" and motion for evidentiary hearing are denied.

Justices Goodwyn and Mims took no part in the consideration of this case.


A Copy,

Teste:

Patricia L. Harrington, Clerk

By:

Deputy Clerk



~~A~~

~~Exhibit~~

016-1
 for
 P
 courts
 order

Counts of 1000

"APPENDIX"

11 CIVIL NO. CL09-3407

A

~~SECRET~~

ORDER

1. The petitioner is being detained pursuant to convictions in the Circuit Court of the City of Chesapeake of October 5, 2007, wherein the petitioner was convicted of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon (Case No. CR06-119); use of a firearm in a felony (Case No. CR06-152); malicious wounding (Case No. CR06-127). Petitioner was sentenced to a total of 25 years in prison.



IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee,
Petitioner,

v.

Howard Clarke,
Respondent.

1:12cv283 (LO/JFA)

ORDER

Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. By Order dated March 20 2012, petitioner was directed 1) to either pay the \$5.00 filing fee or complete and return an application to proceed in forma pauperis in this action and 2) to show cause as to why the petition should not be barred from federal habeas review based on the state court's finding of procedural default. Petitioner was advised that failure to adhere to any part of the Order within thirty (30) days would result in dismissal of the petition. Petitioner did not respond to the Order, and has filed nothing further in this action.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the petition be and is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

To appeal, the petitioner must file a written notice of appeal with the Clerk's Office within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. A written notice of appeal is a short statement stating a desire to appeal this Order and noting the date of the Order petitioner wants to appeal. Petitioner need not explain the grounds for appeal until so directed by the court. Petitioner must also request a certificate of appealability from a circuit justice or judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253

APPENDIX

Exhibit

C

EXHIBIT


and Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). For the reasons stated above, this Court expressly declines to issue such a certificate.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to petitioner and to close this civil case.

Entered this 3rd day of May 2012.

Alexandria, Virginia

/s/ 
Liam O'Grady
United States District Judge

I have paid for Petition

of \$5.00 Filing Fee's By This Prison Business Office

Informal Complaint

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING: Briefly write your issue in the space provided on the Informal Complaint form, preferably in ink. Only one issue per Informal Complaint. Place your complaint in the designated area at your facility. A receipt is issued within 2 working days from the date received if the informal complaint is not returned during intake. If no response is received within 15 calendar days, you may proceed in filing a regular grievance. You may utilize your receipt as evidence of your attempt to resolve your complaint.

Offender Name Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr.

Offender Number 1072698/3799122

Housing Assignment B4-415 (B)

☐ Unit Manager/Supervisor

☐ Food Service

☐ Treatment Program Supervisor

☐ Personal Property

☐ Commissary

☐ Mailroom

☐ Medical Administrator

☒ Other (Please Specify):

620 R.O.S.P. Business Office

Briefly explain the nature of your complaint (be specific):

I have file my Petition for 28 U.S.C. §2254 in the Federal Courts and received an ORDER stating I need to pay \$5.00 Filing Fee charges along with my petition as Civil Action No: 1:12 cv 283 (LO/JFA).

I have paid and made out a Money Order Withdrawal for this Federal Court and received a money order receipt of \$5.00 and number: 311346653 (4099) on 3-3-2012 I received receipt. But this prison business office stolen my money.

Offender Signature Lorenza G. Ferebee Jr. Date 3-27-2012

Offenders - Do Not Write Below This Line

ROSP-12-INF

Date Received: 3-29-12

Tracking # 01898

Response Due: 4-13-12

Assigned to: B.O.

Action Taken/Response:

APPENDIXES D-D (1-3)

I processed a money withdrawal for you on 3/28/12 to the U.S. District Court. This was forwarded to the mailroom to be mailed out. Attached is a copy of the withdrawal form.

Respondent Signature M. Williams

Printed Name and Title JJ

Date 4/12/12

WITHDRAWAL OF INFORMAL COMPLAINT:

I wish to voluntarily withdraw this Informal Complaint. I understand that by withdrawing this Informal Complaint, I will not receive a response nor will I be able to file any other Informal Complaint or Grievance on this issue.

Offender Signature: _____

Date: _____

Staff Witness Signature: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX

INMATE TRUST SYSTEM - WITHDRAWAL REQUEST

OFFENDER NAME	Lorenza Gerald Foreman Jr.		NUMBER:	1072692/374022
OFFENDER SIGNATURE	<i>Lorenza G. Foreman Jr.</i>		DATE:	2-23-2012
WITHDRAWAL AMOUNT (do not include money order fee)	\$ 5.00	BUILDING B4	CELL 415 B	
PURPOSE OF WITHDRAWAL	☐ COPIES # _____ ☐ ID CARD ☐ BIRTH CERTIFICATE ☒ MONEY ORDER ☐ OTHER <i>Both Money Order and Postage</i> ☐ UPS ☐ LEGAL MAIL LOANS			
REASON FOR WITHDRAWAL	To pay Courts, for legal filing fee's under 28 U.S.C. § 2254			
MONEY ORDER PAYABLE TO:	"CLERK"			
POSTAGE SHIP TO:	United States District Court For the Western District Of Virginia			
ADDRESS:	Post Office Box 1234			
CITY/STATE/ZIP:	Roanoke, VA. 24006-1234			
RELATIONSHIP:	☐ VENDOR ☐ FAMILY ☐ FRIEND ☒ OTHER (explain) "Courts"			

RECEIVED

FEB 24 2012

COPIES RECEIVED BY: *Lorenza G. Foreman Jr.* WITNESSED BY:

ROSP BUSINESS OFFICE

There will be a fee deducted from your spend account for each Money Order. Money Orders are limited to \$300.00; withdrawals in excess of \$300 may result in charges for multiple money orders.
Some types of withdrawals will not be processed if they take your spend account below minimum balances set for that type of withdrawal.

VALID ONLY WHEN RECEIVED DIRECTLY FROM PERSON WITNESSING THE REQUEST

SIGNATURE WITNESSED BY:

DATE: 2-22-12

OVER \$50 APPROVED BY:
(Major or AWO)

APPENDIX D (1)

DATE:

***** DO NOT WRITE BELOW LINE - FOR OFFICE USE ONLY *****

☒ WITHDRAWAL APPROVED
☐ WITHDRAWAL DISAPPROVED (reason):

SIGNATURE:

DATE:

MONEY ORDER #

846653

RECEIVED


FEB 27 2012

PLEASE PLACE NOTARY SEAL HERE

before me on this _____ day
_____ month, and _____ year
PUBLIC NOTARY HERE

APPENDIX

Lorenzo L. Ferebee
Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee, 1072698
Red Onion State Prison
PO Box 1900
Pound, VA 24279



CERTIFIED MAIL RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)

For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	\$	
Certified Fee		
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Total Postage & Fees	\$	

Postmark Here

Money Order #
311846653
date 3-8-2012

Sent To CLERK
United States District Court For The Western District
Street, Apt. No.,
or PO Box No. P.O. Box 1234
City, State, ZIP+4
Roanoke, VA 24004-1234
PS Form 3800, August 2009[®] See Reverse for Instructions

GLOBAL EXPRESS MONEY ORDER PURCH. CLAIM RECEIPT
RETAIN THIS RECEIPT NOT NEGOTIABLE

IF YOUR MONEY ORDER WAS LOST,
MISPLACED OR NOT RECEIVED BY THE
PAYEE, OBTAIN A TRACING/REFUND
REQUEST FORM FROM THE PLACE OF
PURCHASE OR NEAREST GLOBAL
EXPRESS TRUSTEE. THERE IS A
RESEARCH FEE AS NOTED ON THE
TRACING/REFUND REQUEST FORM.

THERE IS A RECORD MAINTENANCE
SERVICE CHARGE (AS PERMITTED BY LAW)
IF THIS MONEY ORDER IS NOT CASHED
WITHIN 1 YEAR FROM DATE OF PURCHASE.
SEE REVERSE SIDE FOR DETAILS.

****\$5.00***

FIVE AND 00/100 DOLLARS

PAYABLE TO

CLERK, US DISTRICT COURT

SENDER

FEREBEE, LORENZO G

ADDRESS

, VA

FOR INFORMATION CONTACT
GLOBAL EXPRESS MONEY ORDERS, INC.
P.O. BOX 8808
SILVER SPRING, MARYLAND 20907
1-800-394-4525

311846653

03/08/2012

APPENDIX

MONEY ORDER CONDITIONS OF SALE

- 1) That the purchaser agrees to inscribe in ink, the name, address, state and name of the Payee and assumes responsibility for all results of his failure to do so.
 - 2) There is a research/replacement fee in order to receive a refund.
 - 3) That no request for refund be made unless this claim receipt is submitted in writing.
- Global Express Money Orders will only be provided for 2 years from the date of purchase.
Global Express Money Orders, Inc. does not have to stop payment on Money Orders.

379922
(B4415 B)

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

INMATE TRUST SYSTEM - STATEMENTS
OF MISCELLANEOUS FEES OR CHARGES

INST: 620 RED ONION STATE PRISON

INMATE: 379922 CORIS #: 1072698 NAME: FEREBEE, LORENZO G

CELL LOCATION: 34-415 -B

TYPE: FEE

AMOUNT: \$10.34

TRANSACTION: 75 POSTAGE

DATE: 03/08/2012

DOCUMENT NUMBER: 000018

DESCRIPTION: POSTAGE US DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX D (2)

Pg. 104-138



Informal Complaint

INSTRUCTIONS FOR FILING: Briefly write your issue in the space provided on the Informal Complaint form, preferably in ink. Only one issue per Informal Complaint. Place your complaint in the designated area at your facility. A receipt is issued within 2 working days from the date received if the informal complaint is not returned during intake. If no response is received within 15 calendar days, you may proceed in filing a regular grievance. You may utilize your receipt as evidence of your attempt to resolve your complaint.

Offender Name: Lorenza Gerald Forester Jr. Offender Number: 1872698/375977 Housing Assignment: B4-415(B)
☐ Unit Manager/Supervisor ☐ Food Service ☐ Treatment Program Supervisor
☐ Personal Property ☐ Commissary ☒ Mailroom
☐ Medical Administrator ☒ Other (Please Specify): 1202.0.5.P. Mailroom and J. Level

Briefly explain the nature of your complaint (be specific):

On 3-29-2012 I've processed my legal mail to the Business Office to be prepaid postage for my mail to, to be sent to the courts, and on 4-5-2012 Sgt. J. Lovet signed off for receiving my legal mail to be processed and the amount of \$10.44 cents was deducted from my inmate trust system account. While on 5-7-2012 at 1:00 am or around 2:00 am I've received legal mail from the courts stating: petitioner did not respond to the ORDER and has filed nothing further in this action. Which I have complied with the courts as US Postal Service Certified Mail Receipt # 7011-3500-0000-2487-538. Offender Signature: Lorenza G. Forester Jr. # 1872698 Date 5-15-2012 5:00 pm
 Shows as proof and the money being deducted from my account on 4-12-2012 as well as postage for certified mail. Offenders - Do Not Write Below This Line Found on the Court

Date Received: 5-17-12

ROSP-12-INF Tracking # 02574

Response Due: 6-1-12

Assigned to: B.O.

Action Taken/Response:

The Business Office deducted \$10.44 from your account. On 4-12-12 for postage for legal mail. We do not keep track of mail when it is sent out. Please check with the mail room. See attached, showing that the funds were deducted.

Aspruk

Respondent Signature

Printed Name and Title

5/30/12
Date

WITHDRAWAL OF INFORMAL COMPLAINT:

I wish to voluntarily withdraw this Informal Complaint. I understand that by withdrawing this Informal Complaint, I will not receive a response nor will I be able to file any other Informal Complaint or Grievance on this issue.

Offender Signature: [Signature]

Date:

Staff Witness Signature: [Signature]

Date:

APPENDIX

FILED: December 5, 2023

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 23-283

In re: LORENZA GERALD FEREBEE, JR.

Movant

ORDER

Movant has filed a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2244 for an order authorizing the district court to consider a second or successive application for relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

The court denies the motion.

Entered at the direction of Judge Gregory with the concurrence of Judge Rushing and Senior Judge Floyd.

For the Court

/s/ Nwamaka Anowi, Clerk

"APPENDIX" E

(Pro-Se Petitioner(s))

"APPENDIX" ~~XXXX~~

F

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ROANOKE DIVISION

LORENZA GERALD FEREBEE, JR.,¹

Petitioner,

v.

HAROLD CLARKE,

Respondent.

)
) Case No. 7:19CV00774
)
)
)

) TRANSFER ORDER
)
)

) By: Hon. Norman K. Moon
) Senior United States District Judge
)

Petitioner Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee, Jr., a Virginia prisoner proceeding *pro se*, brought this action by filing a document titled as a "Motion to Set Aside and Vacate a Multiplicity of Void Judgment(s)." (Pet. 1, Dkt. No. 1.) In his filing, he argues that a number of judgments entered by various courts are void and states that he is seeking relief pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 55(c) and 60(b)(4). He claims that the judgments are void in that they violate a number of different constitutional provisions.

His filing is properly construed as a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, and it has been docketed as such. First of all, his filing claims that he is actually innocent of the crime for which he was convicted and is currently imprisoned. (Dkt. No. 1-2 at 2.) Second, the nine judgments that he seeks to challenge are all judgments related to his 2006 criminal cases in the City of Chesapeake Circuit Court, his direct appeals from his convictions in those cases, or decisions in his state and federal habeas proceedings challenging those convictions. (*Id.* at 3-4.) Properly construed, then, his submission challenges the validity of his confinement under a state court judgment.

¹ The Clerk is directed to correct the spelling of Petitioner's first name to Lorenza before transferring.

The convictions under challenge occurred in the Circuit Court for the City of Chesapeake, located in the Eastern District of Virginia. Therefore, in light of the substantial advantages in resolving federal habeas actions in the district court that is nearest to the court in which the underlying state conviction arose, *see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court*, 410 U.S. 484, 497-99 (1973), I will transfer this case to the Eastern District of Virginia.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that this action is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. After correcting the spelling of petitioner's name, *see supra* note 1, the Clerk of this Court is directed to transfer all pleadings filed in this case and a copy of the docket sheet to the Clerk of the Eastern District of Virginia.

ENTER: This 21st day of November, 2019.


NORMAN K. MOON
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

~~APPENDIX G~~

~~APPENDIX G~~

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ROANOKE DIVISION

LORENZA GERALD FEREBEE, JR.,)

Plaintiff,)

v.)

LIAM O'GRADY, ET AL.,)

Defendants.)

Case No. 7:21CV00572

TRANSFER ORDER

JUDGE JAMES P. JONES

APPENDIX G

The plaintiff, Lorenza Gerald Ferebee, Jr., a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se, has filed a pleading that I liberally construe a civil action under the Civil Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. §1983, and *Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents of Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). From the job titles of the state and federal officials named as defendants in this lawsuit, it is evident that they are employed within the judicial district of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia and that the events at issue in the lawsuit occurred in that District. Therefore, although the precise legal and factual bases of Ferebee's claims are not clearly defined in his submissions, I find it appropriate to transfer this case to the Eastern District.

For the stated reasons, it is **ORDERED** that this action, that was conditionally filed solely for administrative purposes, is TRANSFERRED to the United States

District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia. The Clerk will transfer all pleadings and a docket sheet to the Clerk of that District.

ENTER: November 5, 2021

/s/ JAMES P. JONES
Senior United States District Judge

IN THE ~~HONORABLE~~ "Roberts"
UNITED STATES "SCOTUS" COURT
FOR ~~WASHINGTON'S~~ DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

TERM
United States "Scotus" Court Docket Number: _____

In Re, Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr.
PETITIONER Id.

PETITIONER(S) "APPENDIX" H - 8 - ITS AWARENESS
IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE

Comes Now, (Prong Petitioner) - Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr.
in (his) "AFRICAN DESCENDANT SOVEREIGN POWERS", - upon (his)
"APPENDIX" H (pursuant to United States "Scotus" Court "RULE" 26.8)
for which,

this ~~"HONORABLE"~~ "Roberts" United States "Scotus" Court
"SHALL GUARANTEE TO MAN", within its Union, A "FUNCTIONAL
FAITHFULNESS, ACCURACY, - N - WATER SHED AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE
for any - n - all Criminal - n - Civil Procedures (pursuant to its United
States Constitutional "ARTICLE IV" § 4, incorporated with United States
"Scotus" Court "RULE" 17.2 - n - Federal "RULE" 8 (a)(1) - n - (e)
of Civil Procedure, interpreted from Perry v. United States,
Page(s) (1 - 29)

294 U.S. 330 (1935);

WHEREIN, "MAN(S)' AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE ANNOUNCE(S)
NEW WARNING(S), MATTER OF WARNING(S), RULE(S), PRINCIPLE(S), GRADING(S),
"N- OBLIGATION(S)" onto (his) Federal, State, "N- Territorial "GOVERNMENTAL
BRANCHES(S)", that(s) beyond (their) Limited Prescribed Powers(s) "N- Making
Authorities(s), while Preserved from (his) Virginia "Sensus" Court
in Lorenza Gerald Forebee Jr. v. Bryon Watson "Hague",
"Hague Corpus Appellant Number": 201847, (October-6th-2011) (according
to Perry v. Lynaugh, 492 U.S. 302, 312 (1988) "N-
Teague v. Lane, 489 U.S. 288 (1989));

HOWEVER MORE, (Prong Petitioner) - Lorenza Gerald Forebee Jr.
"PETITION FOR AN ORIGINAL WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS AB SUBJUDICANT"
Seeking [] Pardon(s) "JURISDICTIONAL" Relief "N- "APPELLATE" H
"Will, Shall, "N- Must Arg (His) GOVERNMENTAL BRANCHES(S) IN GOOD
FATH" (pursuant to Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 434, 472 (1793)
Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 161-63 (1803), Bradley
v. Fisher, 80 U.S. 352-353 (1872), "N- 28 U.S.C. §2105).
Because, "MAN(S)' AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" is intended to
'Structure "N- stabilize its) "MASONIC FOUNDING 1st FATHER" - George
Washington(s) "FOUNDATION OF TRUE SOVEREIGN INDEPENDENCE(S)" for all
others(s) herebybefore "N- hereinafter, from which, "WE MEN "N-
before" dwell into Proper Organizing for Justice "N- Domestic Common
Defenses(s) while Prompting Welfare, "N- Securing "Our" Blessings(s).

(A). AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)

This "AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)" is 'Specifically for Only' "WITNESSES OR VICTIM(S)" to be 'Advised of its) 1st ELEMENT' from the Government' (as is):

(1). Your "Advised" that the "AWARENESS OF WARNING" is to "SAFEGUARD" against any "WRONGFUL IDENTIFICATION PROSECUTION";

(2). Your "Advised" that your "Full Cooperation" is only need towards "IDENTIFYING" a 'Suspect of the Crime' against you with "100% SURETY";

(3). Your "Advised" that "No GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEE" can act upon nor collaborate your "STATEMENT(S) OR TESTIMONY" from under oath with any 'Judge, Magistrate Judge, ~~or~~ Prosecutor' for "WARRANT(S) - Or - INDICTMENT(S)" without "Full AWARENESS" of any allegation;

(4). Your also "Advised" that "No GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE CAN-Act" upon "Your Fact(s)" within its) "GOOD FAITH EXCEPTION", but only upon the "END(S) OF JUSTICE STANDARD" for those whom 'Mentally Ill, Infant, Youth, Child, or Incompetent Person' that its) "Harmless Error" maybe determined by any 'Court' in order to "PROTECT - N - DEFEND JUSTICE" that maybe "Violent" to any 'Constitution, Statute, Rule, or Precedent' made by "Clearly Established Law";

(5). Your also needed upon "ADVICEMENT To ^{BE WARNED} ~~Advised~~" for the 'Court(s)' to determine "Your Fact(s)", that if its) determined that "You've DELIBERATE, MALICIOUSLY, - N - INTENTIONALLY WRONGFULLY IDENTIFIED" a person then you "SHALL BE PROSECUTED"; and

(6). Therefore, do you "Fully Understand" that these "AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)" is a "JUDICIAL SAFE-GUARD" for anyone and everyone in any "CRIMINAL - N - Or - CIVIL PROSECUTION"? Id.;

(B). WATKEN OF AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)

This "WAVES OF AWARENESS OF WARNINGS" is 'Only Specifically'
for the 'Government to Instruct' any "WITNESS, WITNESSES(S), VICTIM,
-N- VICTIM(S)" (as in) this "2nd ELEMENT":

(1). "CIRCLE" (Yes or No)
if you 'Understand' each of these "SAFE-GUARD PROCEDURE(S) OF AWARENESS
OF WARNINGS" that was 'Just Explained' to you?

(2). "CIRCLE" (Yes or No)
if you wish to "TALK, SPEAK, OR COOPERATE" with me or any other "LAW
ENFORCER" for 'Aiding-or-Assisting' you with these "PROCEDURAL SAFE-
GUARDS" in mind?

(3). "CIRCLE" (Yes or No)
if you wish to "WAVE ANY RIGHT" to 'remain mute or silent' from
any "QUESTION FOR FURTHER INFORMATION" about your allegation in order
to "SEEK PROSECUTION" against your "SUSPECT, ASSAULT, PERPETRATOR,
OR CRIMINAL ACTOR"?

(4). "CIRCLE" (Yes or No)
if you wish to "STILL FOLLOW THROUGH" with this "PROCEDURE IN GOOD
FAITH" that 'may or maynot lead' to any "ARREST, PROSECUTION,
CONVICTION -N- SENTENCE" as your being 'Advised-or-Instructed' of
this "AWARENESS PROCEDURE";

and (5). Please "FILL IN THE
BLANK SPACES" below, (a-g), for the 'Necessary Additional Information'
that(s) 'Needed-or-Provided' for "FURTHER INVESTIGATION(S)", (such as to):

(a). Print your "NAME" (here): _____
and Signature (herein): _____;

(b). Circle either "W/O" if your a "VICTIM, WITNESS, OR BOTH"

for this 'Administrative Purposes(s)';

(c) 'Date of Crime and/or Incident' (hereafter):
if you remember;

(d) 'Location of Crime or Incident' in this: _____ State,
_____, City, County, or Town and _____ Zip
Code (if known);

(e) Circle the "Time" of timing from: "MORNING, AFTERNOON,
EVENING, Or NIGHT" time of the crime or allegation;

(f) Circle (Yes or No) if you know or knew who your
"SUSPECT" is, or "SEEN" before, or "NEVER SEEN" before; and

(g) "PLEASE DESCRIBE" in "Specific Details" as much as you
can about this 'Suspect, Assailant, or Perpetrator' that you've encountered
(here below):

Id;

(C) AWAIRENESS RULE

This "AWAIRENESS RULE" is "Specifically" for only "GOVERNMENTAL USEAGE(S)"
of this United States(s) of America "FEDERAL, STATE, -N- TERRITORIAL COURTS" so
it(s) "COURTS CHIEF JUSTICE(S), SENIOR JUSTICE(S), JUNIOR JUSTICE(S), JUSTICE(S),
-N- CHIEF JUDGE(S), SENIOR JUDGE(S), JUNIOR JUDGE(S), & JUDGE(S)" to be considered
'Per Fectly A More Per Fect Union' for our "PEOPLE ESTABLISHING JUSTICE" for
our 'Common Defense with Securing our Blessings' from "LIBERTY" that any
"GOVERNMENTAL MISCONDUCT WITH RES JUDICATA OR STARE DECISIS" all
contrary governmental actions(s) or reactions(s), (as in), 'Constitutional Violations(s)',

'Statutory Violation(s), Procedure Rule Violation(s), - & - Precedent Violation(s)'
of a particular person or persons "Rights" from the "Moving Force Of
GOVERNMENTAL DEPRIVATION(S)", and from ~~these~~ this "3rd ELEMENT" and
its(s) ("3 Prong Settings") (such as to):

"(1st Prong Setting)" is so when a
'Court' has "ACTUAL KNOWLEDGE" of any and all (Different Type(s)) of "Bias
or Prejudicial Act or Acts" by any "LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER, AGENT,
Or EMPLOYEE" using its(s) 'Department Practice, Policy, Custom, or Procedure'
to "Use - & - Support" (their) wrong doing or good faith exception(s), and its(s)
"Not Limited" for any "PROSECUTING ATTORNEY" acting on behalf or in
connection with its(s) "GOVERNMENT" as well, when:

(a). A "GOVERNMENTAL
EMPLOYEE Or EMPLOYEE(S)" have "ADMITTED" to a 'Subject Matter of
Importance or Wrongdoing' that(s) "DEFINED BY LAW";

(b). A "GOVERNMENTAL
EMPLOYEE Or EMPLOYEE(S)" is either ("UNAWARE Or UNKNOWN") of the
'UnDisputed Fact(s)' within this "AWARENESS PROCEDURE", and thereafter, a 'Court(s)'
"~~PRO~~ FACT FINDER Must" decide its(s) assertion from the "INQUIRY OF
GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEE RESPONSE" that "SHALL" be considered either "PLAIN
ERROR, ERROR, Or HARMLESS ERROR" and subjected for 'Appealable Review' by
the "AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)", or, "LAYER OF THE AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)"
for any 'Judicial Official or Reviewer' to use "PRE-EXISTING FORMULA" of its(s)
'Cause - & - Prejudice Standard' when a "GOVERNMENTAL EMPLOYEE" have used
the effort(s) to pursue a criminal actor, action, or procedure; -

and (c). Determining the
"PRE-EXISTING FORMULA" from its(s) 'Cause - & - Prejudice Standard' by any
initial governmental "Failure To Advise - & - Instruct" any grieving

victim or witness of (their) "SAFE GUARD(S) WITHIN THE AWARENESS PROCEDURE" with the 'Intentions(s)' to be "Bias Or Prejudice" towards a 'Particular Person or Suspect' in any "Criminal Or Civil Litigational Matter", wherein, "Jurisdiction Shall, Must, -N/Or- Ktlu" be the 'Re-Evaluation' issue for it(s) "IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" that would be used to obtain "Jurisdiction" over the 'Subject Matter of (Man of Klongen)' for which government have 'Created a Liberty Interest in Law to Prescribed -an Upheld';

"2nd PRONG SETTING" is for when a "VICTIM Or WITNESS To UNAWARE" of the "AWARENESS PROCEDURE" by the 'Government(s) Initial Officer, Investigator, or Prosecuting Attorney', or when The 'Government' has "Failed To Develop, Adopt, Create, Discard Or Prove" to a "FACT FINDER(S) INQUIRY" into it(s) "SAFE GUARD(S)" when it was not presented or signed by a "GRIEVING VICTIM Or WITNESS" before any 'Investigation, Warrant, Indictment, Arrest, Prosecution, Hearing(s), Pleading(s), Motion(s), Trial, Conviction, Sentencing and Direct Appeal' was held or heard, And when any such action or action(s) at law has happened, (thenafter), it "Must, Shall, -N- Ktlu Not Be Considered Harmless Error -N- Nor Do Prejudice Need To Be Shown Or Proven" in any case when it(s) "PRESENTING CAUSE" from those "Procedural SAFE-Guard Doctrines(s)" that 'Government' "MUST BEAR THE BURDEN OF PROOF" for providing it(s) "AWARENESS OF WARNING" (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and/or 6), or that of the "WARNING OF THE AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)" (1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (a, b, c, d, e, f, and/or g), (wherein):

(a). It "Shall" be considered "VOID AB INITIO" when a 'Signature is Not' by a 'victim or witness' on either the
Page (7)

"AWARENESS OF WARNING, Or WAIVER OF AWARENESS OF WARNING" so that (he, she, them, or they) was "FULLY AWARE" of this "PROCEDURE MUST NOT CAUSE FAULT TO TRIAL JURY GUARD(S)";

(b). In fact, any "VICTIM Or WITNESS SHALL" be able to give (his or her) own "GOOD FAITH TESTIMONY FREELY - N/Or - TRUTHFULLY" of the crime or incident, and (he or she) "SHALL NOT BE" (1). Mislead; (2). Suggested; or (3). Coherent by any "GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE" with detail(s) of (their) "Physical Evidence, Scientific Evidence, Admissible Evidence or Etc." in order to persuade any victim or witness with "GOVERNMENT(S) KNOWLEDGE OF FROM ADDITIONAL Or CORROBORATING EVIDENCE" to support (his or her) testimony or oath;

and (c). Any such "VICTIM Or WITNESS SHALL" enjoy (himself or herself) to being "Advised n/Or - Instructed" of this "AWARENESS OF WARNING - N/Or - WAIVER OF AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)" before (he or she) "Subjects any Governmental Employee" to any "Knowful Prosecution Or Injustice" of having a "Actual INNOCENT PERSON, Or FACTUAL INNOCENT PERSON" to be held in "CUSTODY AGAINST (Their) Will" for prosecution, conviction, sentencing, or imprisonment;

but (d). It "SHALL" be permitted for the "End(s) OF JUSTICE FROM GOOD FAITH FOR THE UNJUST" to "Automatically Command" from any "FEDERAL, STATE, - N/Or - TERRITORIAL GOVERNMENT COURT" by any such "Officer" when it(s) "Employee(s) of Government" is or was, acting in connection or on behalf of "GOVERNMENT", for any type of "Criminal or Civil Investigation" for it(s) "PROSECUTORIAL PURPOSE(S)" when they "MUST NOT FAIL TO ADVISE" any "VICTIM or WITNESS" of (his or her) "PROCEDURE RIGHTS" within these "AWARENESS OF WARNING(S), - N/Or - WAIVER OF AWARENESS OF WARNING(S)" because, It "WILL CONSTITUTE A VIOLATION" of the "9th AMENDMENT"

of the United States) Constitution when the "ENUMERATION / (Words)" in the Constitution of "CERTAIN (JUDICIAL) RIGHTS" (under the United States Constitutional "ARTICLE(S)" I § 9, III §§ 1-2, IV §§ 2-4, and VI as along with its) 1st, 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 13th § 1 and 14th § 1 "AMENDMENT(S)") "SHALL NOT BE CONSTRUED / MISCONSTRUED" by any 'Government EMPLOYEE' that "Who, Shall, -N/Or- Must Command A JUDICIAL INQUIRY" from a 'Valid DeFaulted Prosecution', and/or, 'Prosecution by DeFault Judgment';

"(3rd PRONG SETTING)" is how this

"UNAWARENESS" has either:

(a). " "SUSPECTED A VICTIM, WITNESS, OR DEFENDANT" to be in "DEFAULT" when a 'JUDICIAL INQUIRY' is to be considered by any 'Court' upon a "RESPONDENCE OF EVIDENCE", or, "CLEAR-N-CONVINCING EVIDENCE", and/or "IMPEACHMENT EVIDENCE" that the "AWARENESS OF WARNING", or, "LACK OF AWARENESS OF WARNING" have been 'Failed to be Shown, Proven, and/or Signed', or upon a 'Motion or Just Terms of its) Judicial Court(s) Hearing, Order, Opinion, and Decree' that its) "PROCEDURAL SAFE GUARD(S) HAVE NOT BEEN PROVIDED" by its) own 'Government Before any Trial, During any Trial, or After any Trial' for a "CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, OR CIVIL PROCEEDING FROM COLLATERAL REVIEW(S)";

(b). Brought Forth "MORE HARM THEN GOOD" to its) "JUDICIAL BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT" that "SHALL COMMAND" its) "LEGISLATIVE BRANCH OF GOVERNMENT" to 'EXERCISE its) Correction Clause' by "STATUTORY PROVISION", within this "GOOD FAITH SAFE-GUARD(S)", for any 'one or Multiplicity of Constitutional, Statutorial, Rule, and/or Precedent' "VIOLATION OF K/E THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES) SECURE THE BLESSING(S) OF LIBERTY TO FORM A MORE PERFECT UNION TO INSURE OUR DOMESTIC

TRANQUILITY " from wherein, in any 'Criminal or Civil' "Action" a defendant, movant, accuser, appellant, or petitioner "Must Prove Beyond A Reasonable Doubt, Or Plain Doubt Standard", that the 'Government Prosecutor or Respondent' has "Failed To Present" either the "Awareness Of Warnings", Or "Waiver Of Awareness Of Warnings", and only (thenafter) that, I ~~too~~ "Shall Be Considered Bias", and a 'Judicial Cautionary Moment or Phase', when any 'Actual Suspect or Accuser' is presented with (his or her) "Miranda Of Warnings(s)", but any 'Victim or Witness' has "Not" been presented with these "Awareness Of Warnings(s)", or it(s), "Waiver Of Awareness Of Warnings(s)";

and (c). Been "Proven" to be 'Plainly Subjected' to "Violations(s)" of these "Awareness Procedural Safe-Guards(s)" (by these 'Judicial Gate-keeper(s)') for 'Constitutional Doctrines(s) for Standing' for all "Constitutional Violations(s)", Statutory Violations(s), - N- Rule Violations(s), Or Precedent Violations(s)" when it(s) "Proven", and it(s) ('Judicial Gate-keeper(s)') are "satisfied" that a "SPECTERED Wrong Doing" by the 'Subjection(s) of Granted Discovery' within any 'Court Order' for "Discovery" has occurred, and thenafter, it "Must, Shall, -N- Will Not" be "treated lightly, nor considered 'Harmless Error', and at the (Judicial Gate-keeper(s)) "Discretion", or presented pleading from any (Pro-se Defendant or Petitioner) or any defense or petitioner "Counselor", thenafter, such "Requested Relief Will Be Granted" against the 'Government(s) Failure' to "Safe Guard Its Own Protections(s)". I d.,

(d). UnDisputed STATEMENTS OF FACT(s)

I, (Pro-se Petitioner) - Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee Jr. hereby
Page () (20)

"SWARE Under OATH" - pursuant to any "PENALTY OF PERJURY"
(under either 28 U.S.C. § 1746 (1-2) or Virginia "Statutory" Code
§ 8.01-4.3) that these presented "STATEMENT(S) OF FACT(S) ARE
Undisputed", - for the following:

(1). On (September-29th-2005), Chesapeake "POLICE DETECTIVE" -
Thomas R. Downing "SIGNED 60%" onto Unsure Victim - Alvin Benbury
1st Photo Array - N - BESIDE (Petitioners') PHOTOGRAPH (Preserved from
Chesapeake "Circuit" Court in, Commonwealth of Virginia v.
Lorenza Gerald Fenebe Jr., "CRIMINAL JURY TRIAL RECORD NUMBER(S)": CRO6-
119, CRO6-125 -via- CRO6-127, - CRO6-152, (August-22nd-2006),
Transcript Page 93, - Lines 11-25);

(2). Thereafter, on (October-21st-2005) it(s) "SAME" Chesapeake
"POLICE DETECTIVE" - Thomas R. Downing "DELIBERATELY PUT ONLY (Petitioners')
PHOTOGRAPH INTO (the) 2nd Photo Array", because

(a). It(s) City
of Chesapeake "Police" Department "DON'T" have any ██████████ "PARTICULAR
IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" in-place regarding that (he) "CAN'T BE
SUGGESTIVE", wherein,

(b). There's)
"ABSOLUTELY NO PHYSICAL EVIDENCE LINKING (Petitioner) TO ANY CRIME".
(Preserved from Chesapeake "Circuit" Court in, Commonwealth of
Virginia v. Lorenza Gerald Fenebe Jr., "CRIMINAL JURY TRIAL
RECORD NUMBER(S)": CRO6-119, CRO6-125 -via- CRO6-127, - CRO6-
152, (August-22nd-2006), Transcript Page(s) 98-99, - Lines
24th 2, - initially from Chesapeake "Circuit" Court in, Commonwealth
Page (11)

of Virginia v. Lorenzo Gerald Forebee, "~~CRIMINAL MATTER TO
SUPPRESS SUGGESTIVE IDENTIFICATION RECORD NUMBER(S)~~": CR06-119, CR06-125
-via- CR06-127, -η- CR06-152, (June-22nd-2006), -η- Transcript
Page 19, Lines(s) 2-7, -η- Page 23 Lines(s) 26-25);

(3). As a result, (Petitioner) was "~~CONVICTED FOR VIOLATIONS~~" (Virginia
"Statutory" Codes) § 18.2-308.2 Possession of a Firearm by a ~~Convicted~~
Felony, § 18.2-53.1 for Use of a Firearm while committing a Felony, -η-
§ 18.2-51 Malicious Wounding) while simultaneously, (he),
.. (Petitioner) was "~~RECEIVED - N - SENTENCED TO 25 YEARS IN PRISON~~"
on -η- after (August-22nd-2006) "~~JURY TRIAL~~" by Chesapeake
"~~CIRCUIT~~" Court "~~JUDGE~~" - V. Thomas Forehand Jr., ~~wherein~~,
.. (Petitioner(s)) "~~MOTION(S) TO SET ASIDE TO DISMISS ALL CHARGES(S)~~
FOR GOVERNMENTAL MISCONDUCT HAS DENIED - N - DISMISSED" on -η- after
(October-5th-2007). (Preserved from Chesapeake "~~CIRCUIT~~" Court η,
Commonwealth of Virginia v. Lorenzo Gerald Forebee Jr.,
"MOTION, ~~CONVICTION~~, - N - SENTENCING TRIAL RECORD NUMBER(S)": CR06-
119, CR06-127, -η- CR06-152 before, on, -η- after (October-10th-
2007) "~~MOTION, ~~CONVICTION~~, - N - SENTENCING ORDER(S)~~");

(4). (PETITIONER(S)) NATURE - N - PURPOSE OF (his) 2008
FOUNDING AWARENESS PROCEDURES

(A). (PETITIONER(S)) NATURE

(Pro-Se Petitioner(s)) "~~TRUE NATURE~~" of (his) 2008 "~~FOUNDING
AWARENESS PROCEDURES~~", is for, it(s) United States of "~~AMERICA~~", -η-
Page (22)

its) States, Territories, and District of "Washington" Columbia
"Twin Daughters", Forces and Processes, from "the The Sovereign
People", Uniting to Form a More Perfect "Twin Sister of Justice"
being Blindfolded to our "Fragile Independent Democracy", in its
Domestic TransQuillities, Common Defenses, General Welfare, into
Securing our Blessing of "Twin Sister Liberty" for Ourselves and
Our Posterity, (hence), its United States for "American" producing
and controlling all living things Domestically and Foreignly of this
"Material World" for which, . . . 1st . . . "Man and Woman Human Nature"
Existence may be Touched to be Influenced by Uncivilized and
Artificial character and citizen and person and place and or thing and
within, . . . 2nd . . . The "Jurisdiction of Homesteads Phenomenon
Essentials and State of Being inside of its and outside of its
Country, State, Nation, Municipalities, Cities, Counties, and or
Towns and Masonic Branches of Legislative, Executive, and
Judicial (Safe Guardian) for Sovereignty" that (his) "Honorable"
. . . 3rd . . . "First Founding Father" - George Washington who's) From
Virginia and was "Deputy Governor and Declared and Decreed" before, on,
and after our Independence and and (September - 17th - 1787)
(pursuant to Chisholm v. Georgia, at 2 U.S. 463 (1793)
and 28 U.S.C. § 1746 (2-2)). Id.;

(3). (PETITIONER(S)) PURPOSE

(Pro-Se Petitioners) "True Purpose" of (his) 2008 "Founding
Awareness Procedure", is also for and from (his), "Natural Born African
Sovereign Power" (hereinafter) October - 31st - 1985 wherein, . . .

1ST . . . "MAN WAS BORN FREE WOMAN", -η- (he) has been obligated
in (his) "SOVEREIGN AFRICAN POWER(S)" to Delegate -η- Renaissance
such "SOVEREIGN DOCTRINE(S)", to Aid -η- Assist, (his) "PEOPLE" in
Our Past, Present, -η- Future "STABILITY - N - STRUCTURE" of
"TWIN SISTERS" JUSTICE - N - LIBERTY" for which, . . . 2ND . . . It(s)
"TWIN BROTHER(S) OF ARTICLE - N - AMENDMENT MUST, SHALL, - N - WILL"
Take Center Stage from These "S. I. G. - N - G. A. L. e Vian Diamond
"SUPREME RULER" - Lonza Gerald Ferebee Jr. Extending (his)
"SOVEREIGN POWER(S)" to Aid -η- Assist (his) "MASONIC ELDER(S) FOUNDATION
OF SOVEREIGN TRUTH", that, . . . 3RD . . . Such "MASONIC ELDER(S)
FOUNDATION FROM SOVEREIGNTY IS TRUTH", but been SideTracked
upon it(s) Traveling back to it(s) Darkness where "MAN - N - WOMEN
SHINE INTO IT(S) LIGHT", upon it(s) Making of Law(s) for it(s)
"PEOPLE" inside Complex, Complicated, Crucial, Critical, -η- or -
Comprehensive Circumstance(s) -η- or - Situation(s) for "SOVEREIGN(S) TO
DECREE - N - DECLARE LAW" (pursuant to Chisholm, at 2 U.S. 472
(1793); "PRESIDENT" - Theodore Roosevelt Opening Jamestown Exposition
in (Norfolk, VA., April - 26TH - 1907); American Banana Co. v.
United Fruit Co., 29 S.Ct. 511, 513; "PRESIDENT" - James(s) Monroe
Se2ND Inaugural Addressment on (March - 5TH - 1821); Black's
Law Dictionary 4TH Edition from (1951) on page 1568; -η-
Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330 (1935)). Id. . . ;

(5). (PETITIONER(S)) CONSENT OF CONTRACTUAL - N - SPECIFIC
AUTHORIZATION(S) OF LIMITED SOVEREIGN POWER(S)

(Pro-Se Petitioner) hereinafter 'Declar(s) -η- Decree knowingly,
Page (24)

Deliberately, Intelligently, -or- Voluntarily "CONSENT FOR CONTRACTUAL
-or- SECRETARY AUTHORIZATION(S) OF (His) LIMITED SOVEREIGN POWER(S)"
to be conducted in (his):

(1). Entity, Title, -or- Name within this "HONORABLE LAND OF
LIBERTY" of this "UNITED STATES OF AMERICA", from which, "HAN"
Represents) S.I.G. - N.G.A. as a Li Yang Diamond -or- "SUPREME
RULER" who(s) Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr. in (his) individual
"Public -or- PRIVATE FORM", for which,.

(2). "HAN(S) CREATED" Entity of "S.I.G. - N.G.A." stands for
Sovereign Individual Gangsters -or- None-Governmental Affiliators,
-or-, Sovereign Independent Gangster(s) -or- None-Gang Affiliators.
Therefore, "HAN(S) CREATED" is Neither Government -or- Gang Member(s),
Subordinates, Branches, -or- Employees. Even though,
"Men -or- Women OF S.I.G. - N.G.A." are Naturally Born with
Entitlement(s) to Express -or- Exercise (his -or- her) "SOVEREIGN POWER(S)"
to Commence Business on Mature -or- Mutual Contractual Agreement(s)
whenever (he -or- she) is conducting Representation -or- Business with
any other Government, Gang, -or- Etc. for the Common Interest of
OneSelf of (his -or- her) "S.I.G. - N.G.A."
Diamond, whereinafore, . .

(3). "S.I.G. - N.G.A. Li Yang Diamond "SUPREME RULER" - Lorenza
Gerald Ferebee Jr. seek(s) to conduct Present -or- Future Business
herein it(s) "LAND OF LIBERTY", -or- with it(s) "MASONIC ELDER(S)
FOUNDATIONAL TRUTH FOR SOVEREIGN REPRESENTATION(S)" by coming within

it(s) United States) "JUGITAL BRANCH" of Government to Show an Appreciation of (his) Virginia(s) "Southern" Hospitality of "PERMITTING FREE Will To Apply Man(s) AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" - via - it(s) United States) of America, - it(s) States - it(s) Territories of No Change, but "Great Hopess" to conduct Present - ~~it~~ Future Business upon "Man(s) Pursuit Toward(s) Happiness". Id. . .

(6). (PETITIONER(S)) DEFAULT CLAUSE

(a). On - it - After the 'Acknowledgment of "S. T. G. - N. G. A. L E Vian Diamond "Supreme Ruler" - Lorenzo Gerald Ferber Jr. Toast to it(s) "SOVEREIGN Round Table Shall, Must, - N - ~~Will~~ Have Men - N - Women Back To Business" With Our Founder(s) of "Masonic Truth" - it - Sovereign Representator(s), as in, Back To Business wherein "DEFAULT Judgment" With Stood in it(s) Stages) Outside "Masonic - N - Diamonds" Consent is illegal, void, - it - litigation recourse for "Masonic Truth Are Representator(s) For Sovereign(s)" while simultaneously, "S. T. G. - N - G. A. Diamonds)" Are Natural Born Sovereign(s)". Id.;

(b). Therefore, it "Shall, Will, - N - Must Command SOVEREIGN DEFAULT" by it(s) "SOVEREIGN(s) - N - Representator(s)" when Founded, Discovered, Presented, ~~it~~ Brought Forth (pursuant to Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 453, 455, 461, 472 (1793) embodied with Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. 161, 163 (1803)). Id.;

(c). How Ever More, only in any 'Event if "SOVEREIGN REPRESENTATOR(S) DECLINE(S) To ACCEPT It(s) ORIGINAL Peace Shall (They After) It(s)

S. T. G. - N. G. A. NATURAL BORN SOVEREIGN DIAMOND Shall Be Free To Seek SOVEREIGN REPRESENTATION" elsewhere, -or- otherwise (Id at Marbury, 5 U.S. 162, 164, 166, 169 (1803)). Id. . . ;

(d). Truly More, it "Must AUTOMATICALLY EXTEND JUDICIAL POWERS" towards) preventing Breach of Treaties) -or- Contracts, for any -or- all "S. T. G. - N. G. A. DIAMONDS", to be Subjected to any part of its) "S. T. G. - N. G. A. Li Yien Diamond 'SUPREME RULER'" - Longza Gerald Fenebe Jr. "AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE", when its) Founded that Government have Subjected any "NATURAL BORN SOVEREIGN DIAMOND To Its) FOUNDING FIRST FATHER" - Longza Gerald Fenebe Jr. Toast to its) Round Table Peace Treaty -or- Free 'Lies' to Exercise (his) "AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE" (herein) its) United States) of America -or- its) States), Territories), -or- Districts (pursuant to Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330 (1935)). Id. . . ;

CONCLUSION

(Preuge Petitioner) - Longza Gerald Fenebe Jr. (comps) forth in (his) "NATURAL BORN SOVEREIGN POWERS) To Make LAWS" for its) presenting 'Complex, Complicated, Crucial, Critical, -or- Comprehensive "AWARENESS IDENTIFICATION PROCEDURE(s) FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS, ACCURACY, -or- WATERSHED MAN MADE CRIMINAL -or- CIVIL PROCEDURE" Outside of Governmental "LEGISLATIONS)" Authority who "CAN-NOT MAKE-NOT-PRESERVED NATURAL LAWS" that Only "MAN-NOT-WOMAN HAVE SOVEREIGN POWERS) To CREATE", -or- Hereafter, Negotiate its) Instrument(s) as Peace

Treaties for Past, Present, -n- Future Business Commercements -n- Deals that even "CONGRESS" knows their "POWERS ARE LIMITED IN ORIGINAL CONSTRUCTION" which only "SOVEREIGN CAN EXPRESSLY GRANT SOMETHING FOR SOMETHING" wherein, In Any part of it(s) United States of America "BRANCHES, CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIPS" -N- AGREEMENT CAN, WILL, SHALL, -N- MUST BE MADE FROM CONSENT" whenever, "MAN" correctly balance (Himself) in (his) "SOVEREIGN, PUBLIC, -N- PRIVATE INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY UPON CRAFTS/MANSHIP", and (he's) Announced -n- Broken New Grounds -n- Obligation onto (his) "BRANCHES OF GOVERNMENTAL REPRESENTATION" to place certain kinds of "SOVEREIGN POWERS BEYOND THEIR LIMITED ROLE WITHOUT CONSERVATIVE PRINCIPLES FOR LIBERAL CONSTRUCTION INTO MODERN INDEPENDENCES" for it(s) Masonic "SOVEREIGN" Representations that "SUPREME RULE" - Lorenzo Gerald Forebee Jr. is a "NATURAL BORN SOVEREIGN AFRICAN DESCENDANT MAN" (pursuant to Perry v. United States, 294 U.S. 330, 350-52 (1935); embodied with Congressional "SENATE" Record, Volume 75 - Part 11 from (June - 20th - 1933), page 12522; Pollard v. Hagan, 44 U.S. 212, 221, 223; United States v. National Exchange Bank of Baltimore, 270 U.S. 527, 534 (1926); United States v. Cruikshank, 92 U.S. 542 (1875); Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 472 (1793); Perry v. Lynaugh, 492 U.S. 302, 312 (1988) -n- Teague v. Lane, 489 U.S. 288 (1989); Hughes v. Rowe, 499 U.S. 5, 9-20 (1980) -n- Smith v. Smith, 539 F.3d 736, 738 (4th Cir. 2009); -n- Hale v. Hefel, 201 U.S. 43, 74 (1906); incorporated with Luther v. Borden, 48 U.S. 1, 12 L. Ed 581 (1841)). Id.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY

Signature L. Ferebee Jr.

"S.T.G.-N-G.A." Le Van Diamond "SUPREME RULE" L. Ferebee Jr.

VA. D.O.C. (CHDC) Red Onion State Prison

3521 Woodson Way

State Farm VA. 23160

Prisoner State Number: 1072698

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1746(1-2)

APPENDIX ~~1~~ ~~2~~ ~~3~~ ~~4~~ ~~5~~ ~~6~~ ~~7~~ ~~8~~ ~~9~~ ~~10~~ ~~11~~ ~~12~~ ~~13~~ ~~14~~ ~~15~~ ~~16~~ ~~17~~ ~~18~~ ~~19~~ ~~20~~ ~~21~~ ~~22~~ ~~23~~ ~~24~~ ~~25~~ ~~26~~ ~~27~~ ~~28~~ ~~29~~ ~~30~~ ~~31~~ ~~32~~ ~~33~~ ~~34~~ ~~35~~ ~~36~~ ~~37~~ ~~38~~ ~~39~~ ~~40~~ ~~41~~ ~~42~~ ~~43~~ ~~44~~ ~~45~~ ~~46~~ ~~47~~ ~~48~~ ~~49~~ ~~50~~ ~~51~~ ~~52~~ ~~53~~ ~~54~~ ~~55~~ ~~56~~ ~~57~~ ~~58~~ ~~59~~ ~~60~~ ~~61~~ ~~62~~ ~~63~~ ~~64~~ ~~65~~ ~~66~~ ~~67~~ ~~68~~ ~~69~~ ~~70~~ ~~71~~ ~~72~~ ~~73~~ ~~74~~ ~~75~~ ~~76~~ ~~77~~ ~~78~~ ~~79~~ ~~80~~ ~~81~~ ~~82~~ ~~83~~ ~~84~~ ~~85~~ ~~86~~ ~~87~~ ~~88~~ ~~89~~ ~~90~~ ~~91~~ ~~92~~ ~~93~~ ~~94~~ ~~95~~ ~~96~~ ~~97~~ ~~98~~ ~~99~~ ~~100~~ ~~101~~ ~~102~~ ~~103~~ ~~104~~ ~~105~~ ~~106~~ ~~107~~ ~~108~~ ~~109~~ ~~110~~ ~~111~~ ~~112~~ ~~113~~ ~~114~~ ~~115~~ ~~116~~ ~~117~~ ~~118~~ ~~119~~ ~~120~~ ~~121~~ ~~122~~ ~~123~~ ~~124~~ ~~125~~ ~~126~~ ~~127~~ ~~128~~ ~~129~~ ~~130~~ ~~131~~ ~~132~~ ~~133~~ ~~134~~ ~~135~~ ~~136~~ ~~137~~ ~~138~~ ~~139~~ ~~140~~ ~~141~~ ~~142~~ ~~143~~ ~~144~~ ~~145~~ ~~146~~ ~~147~~ ~~148~~ ~~149~~ ~~150~~ ~~151~~ ~~152~~ ~~153~~ ~~154~~ ~~155~~ ~~156~~ ~~157~~ ~~158~~ ~~159~~ ~~160~~ ~~161~~ ~~162~~ ~~163~~ ~~164~~ ~~165~~ ~~166~~ ~~167~~ ~~168~~ ~~169~~ ~~170~~ ~~171~~ ~~172~~ ~~173~~ ~~174~~ ~~175~~ ~~176~~ ~~177~~ ~~178~~ ~~179~~ ~~180~~ ~~181~~ ~~182~~ ~~183~~ ~~184~~ ~~185~~ ~~186~~ ~~187~~ ~~188~~ ~~189~~ ~~190~~ ~~191~~ ~~192~~ ~~193~~ ~~194~~ ~~195~~ ~~196~~ ~~197~~ ~~198~~ ~~199~~ ~~200~~ ~~201~~ ~~202~~ ~~203~~ ~~204~~ ~~205~~ ~~206~~ ~~207~~ ~~208~~ ~~209~~ ~~210~~ ~~211~~ ~~212~~ ~~213~~ ~~214~~ ~~215~~ ~~216~~ ~~217~~ ~~218~~ ~~219~~ ~~220~~ ~~221~~ ~~222~~ ~~223~~ ~~224~~ ~~225~~ ~~226~~ ~~227~~ ~~228~~ ~~229~~ ~~230~~ ~~231~~ ~~232~~ ~~233~~ ~~234~~ ~~235~~ ~~236~~ ~~237~~ ~~238~~ ~~239~~ ~~240~~ ~~241~~ ~~242~~ ~~243~~ ~~244~~ ~~245~~ ~~246~~ ~~247~~ ~~248~~ ~~249~~ ~~250~~ ~~251~~ ~~252~~ ~~253~~ ~~254~~ ~~255~~ ~~256~~ ~~257~~ ~~258~~ ~~259~~ ~~260~~ ~~261~~ ~~262~~ ~~263~~ ~~264~~ ~~265~~ ~~266~~ ~~267~~ ~~268~~ ~~269~~ ~~270~~ ~~271~~ ~~272~~ ~~273~~ ~~274~~ ~~275~~ ~~276~~ ~~277~~ ~~278~~ ~~279~~ ~~280~~ ~~281~~ ~~282~~ ~~283~~ ~~284~~ ~~285~~ ~~286~~ ~~287~~ ~~288~~ ~~289~~ ~~290~~ ~~291~~ ~~292~~ ~~293~~ ~~294~~ ~~295~~ ~~296~~ ~~297~~ ~~298~~ ~~299~~ ~~300~~ ~~301~~ ~~302~~ ~~303~~ ~~304~~ ~~305~~ ~~306~~ ~~307~~ ~~308~~ ~~309~~ ~~310~~ ~~311~~ ~~312~~ ~~313~~ ~~314~~ ~~315~~ ~~316~~ ~~317~~ ~~318~~ ~~319~~ ~~320~~ ~~321~~ ~~322~~ ~~323~~ ~~324~~ ~~325~~ ~~326~~ ~~327~~ ~~328~~ ~~329~~ ~~330~~ ~~331~~ ~~332~~ ~~333~~ ~~334~~ ~~335~~ ~~336~~ ~~337~~ ~~338~~ ~~339~~ ~~340~~ ~~341~~ ~~342~~ ~~343~~ ~~344~~ ~~345~~ ~~346~~ ~~347~~ ~~348~~ ~~349~~ ~~350~~ ~~351~~ ~~352~~ ~~353~~ ~~354~~ ~~355~~ ~~356~~ ~~357~~ ~~358~~ ~~359~~ ~~360~~ ~~361~~ ~~362~~ ~~363~~ ~~364~~ ~~365~~ ~~366~~ ~~367~~ ~~368~~ ~~369~~ ~~370~~ ~~371~~ ~~372~~ ~~373~~ ~~374~~ ~~375~~ ~~376~~ ~~377~~ ~~378~~ ~~379~~ ~~380~~ ~~381~~ ~~382~~ ~~383~~ ~~384~~ ~~385~~ ~~386~~ ~~387~~ ~~388~~ ~~389~~ ~~390~~ ~~391~~ ~~392~~ ~~393~~ ~~394~~ ~~395~~ ~~396~~ ~~397~~ ~~398~~ ~~399~~ ~~400~~ ~~401~~ ~~402~~ ~~403~~ ~~404~~ ~~405~~ ~~406~~ ~~407~~ ~~408~~ ~~409~~ ~~410~~ ~~411~~ ~~412~~ ~~413~~ ~~414~~ ~~415~~ ~~416~~ ~~417~~ ~~418~~ ~~419~~ ~~420~~ ~~421~~ ~~422~~ ~~423~~ ~~424~~ ~~425~~ ~~426~~ ~~427~~ ~~428~~ ~~429~~ ~~430~~ ~~431~~ ~~432~~ ~~433~~ ~~434~~ ~~435~~ ~~436~~ ~~437~~ ~~438~~ ~~439~~ ~~440~~ ~~441~~ ~~442~~ ~~443~~ ~~444~~ ~~445~~ ~~446~~ ~~447~~ ~~448~~ ~~449~~ ~~450~~ ~~451~~ ~~452~~ ~~453~~ ~~454~~ ~~455~~ ~~456~~ ~~457~~ ~~458~~ ~~459~~ ~~460~~ ~~461~~ ~~462~~ ~~463~~ ~~464~~ ~~465~~ ~~466~~ ~~467~~ ~~468~~ ~~469~~ ~~470~~ ~~471~~ ~~472~~ ~~473~~ ~~474~~ ~~475~~ ~~476~~ ~~477~~ ~~478~~ ~~479~~ ~~480~~ ~~481~~ ~~482~~ ~~483~~ ~~484~~ ~~485~~ ~~486~~ ~~487~~ ~~488~~ ~~489~~ ~~490~~ ~~491~~ ~~492~~ ~~493~~ ~~494~~ ~~495~~ ~~496~~ ~~497~~ ~~498~~ ~~499~~ ~~500~~ ~~501~~ ~~502~~ ~~503~~ ~~504~~ ~~505~~ ~~506~~ ~~507~~ ~~508~~ ~~509~~ ~~510~~ ~~511~~ ~~512~~ ~~513~~ ~~514~~ ~~515~~ ~~516~~ ~~517~~ ~~518~~ ~~519~~ ~~520~~ ~~521~~ ~~522~~ ~~523~~ ~~524~~ ~~525~~ ~~526~~ ~~527~~ ~~528~~ ~~529~~ ~~530~~ ~~531~~ ~~532~~ ~~533~~ ~~534~~ ~~535~~ ~~536~~ ~~537~~ ~~538~~ ~~539~~ ~~540~~ ~~541~~ ~~542~~ ~~543~~ ~~544~~ ~~545~~ ~~546~~ ~~547~~ ~~548~~ ~~549~~ ~~550~~ ~~551~~ ~~552~~ ~~553~~ ~~554~~ ~~555~~ ~~556~~ ~~557~~ ~~558~~ ~~559~~ ~~560~~ ~~561~~ ~~562~~ ~~563~~ ~~564~~ ~~565~~ ~~566~~ ~~567~~ ~~568~~ ~~569~~ ~~570~~ ~~571~~ ~~572~~ ~~573~~ ~~574~~ ~~575~~ ~~576~~ ~~577~~ ~~578~~ ~~579~~ ~~580~~ ~~581~~ ~~582~~ ~~583~~ ~~584~~ ~~585~~ ~~586~~ ~~587~~ ~~588~~ ~~589~~ ~~590~~ ~~591~~ ~~592~~ ~~593~~ ~~594~~ ~~595~~ ~~596~~ ~~597~~ ~~598~~ ~~599~~ ~~600~~ ~~601~~ ~~602~~ ~~603~~ ~~604~~ ~~605~~ ~~606~~ ~~607~~ ~~608~~ ~~609~~ ~~610~~ ~~611~~ ~~612~~ ~~613~~ ~~614~~ ~~615~~ ~~616~~ ~~617~~ ~~618~~ ~~619~~ ~~620~~ ~~621~~ ~~622~~ ~~623~~ ~~624~~ ~~625~~ ~~626~~ ~~627~~ ~~628~~ ~~629~~ ~~630~~ ~~631~~ ~~632~~ ~~633~~ ~~634~~ ~~635~~ ~~636~~ ~~637~~ ~~638~~ ~~639~~ ~~640~~ ~~641~~ ~~642~~ ~~643~~ ~~644~~ ~~645~~ ~~646~~ ~~647~~ ~~648~~ ~~649~~ ~~650~~ ~~651~~ ~~652~~ ~~653~~ ~~654~~ ~~655~~ ~~656~~ ~~657~~ ~~658~~ ~~659~~ ~~660~~ ~~661~~ ~~662~~ ~~663~~ ~~664~~ ~~665~~ ~~666~~ ~~667~~ ~~668~~ ~~669~~ ~~670~~ ~~671~~ ~~672~~ ~~673~~ ~~674~~ ~~675~~ ~~676~~ ~~677~~ ~~678~~ ~~679~~ ~~680~~ ~~681~~ ~~682~~ ~~683~~ ~~684~~ ~~685~~ ~~686~~ ~~687~~ ~~688~~ ~~689~~ ~~690~~ ~~691~~ ~~692~~ ~~693~~ ~~694~~ ~~695~~ ~~696~~ ~~697~~ ~~698~~ ~~699~~ ~~700~~ ~~701~~ ~~702~~ ~~703~~ ~~704~~ ~~705~~ ~~706~~ ~~707~~ ~~708~~ ~~709~~ ~~710~~ ~~711~~ ~~712~~ ~~713~~ ~~714~~ ~~715~~ ~~716~~ ~~717~~ ~~718~~ ~~719~~ ~~720~~ ~~721~~ ~~722~~ ~~723~~ ~~724~~ ~~725~~ ~~726~~ ~~727~~ ~~728~~ ~~729~~ ~~730~~ ~~731~~ ~~732~~ ~~733~~ ~~734~~ ~~735~~ ~~736~~ ~~737~~ ~~738~~ ~~739~~ ~~740~~ ~~741~~ ~~742~~ ~~743~~ ~~744~~ ~~745~~ ~~746~~ ~~747~~ ~~748~~ ~~749~~ ~~750~~ ~~751~~ ~~752~~ ~~753~~ ~~754~~ ~~755~~ ~~756~~ ~~757~~ ~~758~~ ~~759~~ ~~760~~ ~~761~~ ~~762~~ ~~763~~ ~~764~~ ~~765~~ ~~766~~ ~~767~~ ~~768~~ ~~769~~ ~~770~~ ~~771~~ ~~772~~ ~~773~~ ~~774~~ ~~775~~ ~~776~~ ~~777~~ ~~778~~ ~~779~~ ~~780~~ ~~781~~ ~~782~~ ~~783~~ ~~784~~ ~~785~~ ~~786~~ ~~787~~ ~~788~~ ~~789~~ ~~790~~ ~~791~~ ~~792~~ ~~793~~ ~~794~~ ~~795~~ ~~796~~ ~~797~~ ~~798~~ ~~799~~ ~~800~~ ~~801~~ ~~802~~ ~~803~~ ~~804~~ ~~805~~ ~~806~~ ~~807~~ ~~808~~ ~~809~~ ~~810~~ ~~811~~ ~~812~~ ~~813~~ ~~814~~ ~~815~~ ~~816~~ ~~817~~ ~~818~~ ~~819~~ ~~820~~ ~~821~~ ~~822~~ ~~823~~ ~~824~~ ~~825~~ ~~826~~ ~~827~~ ~~828~~ ~~829~~ ~~830~~ ~~831~~ ~~832~~ ~~833~~ ~~834~~ ~~835~~ ~~836~~ ~~837~~ ~~838~~ ~~839~~ ~~840~~ ~~841~~ ~~842~~ ~~843~~ ~~844~~ ~~845~~ ~~846~~ ~~847~~ ~~848~~ ~~849~~ ~~850~~ ~~851~~ ~~852~~ ~~853~~ ~~854~~ ~~855~~ ~~856~~ ~~857~~ ~~858~~ ~~859~~ ~~860~~ ~~861~~ ~~862~~ ~~863~~ ~~864~~ ~~865~~ ~~866~~ ~~867~~ ~~868~~ ~~869~~ ~~870~~ ~~871~~ ~~872~~ ~~873~~ ~~874~~ ~~875~~ ~~876~~ ~~877~~ ~~878~~ ~~879~~ ~~880~~ ~~881~~ ~~882~~ ~~883~~ ~~884~~ ~~885~~ ~~886~~ ~~887~~ ~~888~~ ~~889~~ ~~890~~ ~~891~~ ~~892~~ ~~893~~ ~~894~~ ~~895~~ ~~896~~ ~~897~~ ~~898~~ ~~899~~ ~~900~~ ~~901~~ ~~902~~ ~~903~~ ~~904~~ ~~905~~ ~~906~~ ~~907~~ ~~908~~ ~~909~~ ~~910~~ ~~911~~ ~~912~~ ~~913~~ ~~914~~ ~~915~~ ~~916~~ ~~917~~ ~~918~~ ~~919~~ ~~920~~ ~~921~~ ~~922~~ ~~923~~ ~~924~~ ~~925~~ ~~926~~ ~~927~~ ~~928~~ ~~929~~ ~~930~~ ~~931~~ ~~932~~ ~~933~~ ~~934~~ ~~935~~ ~~936~~ ~~937~~ ~~938~~ ~~939~~ ~~940~~ ~~941~~ ~~942~~ ~~943~~ ~~944~~ ~~945~~ ~~946~~ ~~947~~ ~~948~~ ~~949~~ ~~950~~ ~~951~~ ~~952~~ ~~953~~ ~~954~~ ~~955~~ ~~956~~ ~~957~~ ~~958~~ ~~959~~ ~~960~~ ~~961~~ ~~962~~ ~~963~~ ~~964~~ ~~965~~ ~~966~~ ~~967~~ ~~968~~ ~~969~~ ~~970~~ ~~971~~ ~~972~~ ~~973~~ ~~974~~ ~~975~~ ~~976~~ ~~977~~ ~~978~~ ~~979~~ ~~980~~ ~~981~~ ~~982~~ ~~983~~ ~~984~~ ~~985~~ ~~986~~ ~~987~~ ~~988~~ ~~989~~ ~~990~~ ~~991~~ ~~992~~ ~~993~~ ~~994~~ ~~995~~ ~~996~~ ~~997~~ ~~998~~ ~~999~~ ~~1000~~ ~~1001~~ ~~1002~~ ~~1003~~ ~~1004~~ ~~1005~~ ~~1006~~ ~~1007~~ ~~1008~~ ~~1009~~ ~~1010~~ ~~1011~~ ~~1012~~ ~~1013~~ ~~1014~~ ~~1015~~ ~~1016~~ ~~1017~~ ~~1018~~ ~~1019~~ ~~1020~~ ~~1021~~ ~~1022~~ ~~1023~~ ~~1024~~ ~~1025~~ ~~1026~~ ~~1027~~ ~~1028~~ ~~1029~~ ~~1030~~ ~~1031~~ ~~1032~~ ~~1033~~ ~~1034~~ ~~1035~~ ~~1036~~ ~~1037~~ ~~1038~~ ~~1039~~ ~~1040~~ ~~1041~~ ~~1042~~ ~~1043~~ ~~1044~~ ~~1045~~ ~~1046~~ ~~1047~~ ~~1048~~ ~~1049~~ ~~1050~~ ~~1051~~ ~~1052~~ ~~1053~~ ~~1054~~ ~~1055~~ ~~1056~~ ~~1057~~ ~~1058~~ ~~1059~~ ~~1060~~ ~~1061~~ ~~1062~~ ~~1063~~ ~~1064~~ ~~1065~~ ~~1066~~ ~~1067~~ ~~1068~~ ~~1069~~ ~~1070~~ ~~1071~~ ~~1072~~ ~~1073~~ ~~1074~~ ~~1075~~ ~~1076~~ ~~1077~~ ~~1078~~ ~~1079~~ ~~1080~~ ~~1081~~ ~~1082~~ ~~1083~~ ~~1084~~ ~~1085~~ ~~1086~~ ~~1087~~ ~~1088~~ ~~1089~~ ~~1090~~ ~~1091~~ ~~1092~~ ~~1093~~ ~~1094~~ ~~1095~~ ~~1096~~ ~~1097~~ ~~1098~~ ~~1099~~ ~~1100~~ ~~1101~~ ~~1102~~ ~~1103~~ ~~1104~~ ~~1105~~ ~~1106~~ ~~1107~~ ~~1108~~ ~~1109~~ ~~1110~~ ~~1111~~ ~~1112~~ ~~1113~~ ~~1114~~ ~~1115~~ ~~1116~~ ~~1117~~ ~~1118~~ ~~1119~~ ~~1120~~ ~~1121~~ ~~1122~~ ~~1123~~ ~~1124~~ ~~1125~~ ~~1126~~ ~~1127~~ ~~1128~~ ~~1129~~ ~~1130~~ ~~1131~~ ~~1132~~ ~~1133~~ ~~1134~~ ~~1135~~ ~~1136~~ ~~1137~~ ~~1138~~ ~~1139~~ ~~1140~~ ~~1141~~ ~~1142~~ ~~1143~~ ~~1144~~ ~~1145~~ ~~1146~~ ~~1147~~ ~~1148~~ ~~1149~~ ~~1150~~ ~~1151~~ ~~1152~~ ~~1153~~ ~~1154~~ ~~1155~~ ~~1156~~ ~~1157~~ ~~1158~~ ~~1159~~ ~~1160~~ ~~1161~~ ~~1162~~ ~~1163~~ ~~1164~~ ~~1165~~ ~~1166~~ ~~1167~~ ~~1168~~ ~~1169~~ ~~1170~~ ~~1171~~ ~~1172~~ ~~1173~~ ~~1174~~ ~~1175~~ ~~1176~~ ~~1177~~ ~~1178~~ ~~1179~~ ~~1180~~ ~~1181~~ ~~1182~~ ~~1183~~ ~~1184~~ ~~1185~~ ~~1186~~ ~~1187~~ ~~1188~~ ~~1189~~ ~~1190~~ ~~1191~~ ~~1192~~ ~~1193~~ ~~1194~~ ~~1195~~ ~~1196~~ ~~1197~~ ~~1198~~ ~~1199~~ ~~1200~~ ~~1201~~ ~~1202~~ ~~1203~~ ~~1204~~ ~~1205~~ ~~1206~~ ~~1207~~ ~~1208~~ ~~1209~~ ~~1210~~ ~~1211~~ ~~1212~~ ~~1213~~ ~~1214~~ ~~1215~~ ~~1216~~ ~~1217~~ ~~1218~~ ~~1219~~ ~~1220~~ ~~1221~~ ~~1222~~ ~~1223~~ ~~1224~~ ~~1225~~ ~~1226~~ ~~1227~~ ~~1228~~ ~~1229~~ ~~1230~~ ~~1231~~ ~~1232~~ ~~1233~~ ~~1234~~ ~~1235~~ ~~1236~~ ~~1237~~ ~~1238~~ ~~1239~~ ~~1240~~ ~~1241~~ ~~1242~~ ~~1243~~ ~~1244~~ ~~1245~~ ~~1246~~ ~~1247~~ ~~1248~~ ~~1249~~ ~~1250~~ ~~1251~~ ~~1252~~ ~~1253~~ ~~1254~~ ~~1255~~ ~~1256~~ ~~1257~~ ~~1258~~ ~~1259~~ ~~1260~~ ~~1261~~ ~~1262~~ ~~1263~~ ~~1264~~ ~~1265~~ ~~1266~~ ~~1267~~ ~~1268~~ ~~1269~~ ~~1270~~ ~~1271~~ ~~1272~~ ~~1273~~ ~~1274~~ ~~1275~~ ~~1276~~ ~~1277~~ ~~1278~~ ~~1279~~ ~~1280~~ ~~1281~~ ~~1282~~ ~~1283~~ ~~1284~~ ~~1285~~ ~~1286~~ ~~1287~~ ~~1288~~ ~~1289~~ ~~1290~~ ~~1291~~ ~~1292~~ ~~1293~~ ~~1294~~ ~~1295~~ ~~1296~~ ~~1297~~ ~~1298~~ ~~1299~~ ~~1300~~ ~~1301~~ ~~1302~~ ~~1303~~ ~~1304~~ ~~1305~~ ~~1306~~ ~~1307~~ ~~1308~~ ~~1309~~ ~~1310~~ ~~1311~~ ~~1312~~ ~~1313~~ ~~1314~~ ~~1315~~ ~~1316~~ ~~1317~~ ~~1318~~ ~~1319~~ ~~1320~~ ~~1321~~ ~~1322~~ ~~1323~~ ~~1324~~ ~~1325~~ ~~1326~~ ~~1327~~ ~~1328~~ ~~1329~~ ~~1330~~ ~~1331~~ ~~1332~~ ~~1333~~ ~~1334~~ ~~1335~~ ~~1336~~ ~~1337~~ ~~1338~~ ~~1339~~

ORDERED that petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis is conditionally granted to the extent that petitioner need not prepay the filing fee at this time. If the Court grants petitioner in forma pauperis status, petitioner will not be required to pay the fee; and it is further

ORDERED that the Clerk request petitioner's institution to provide an Inmate Account Report Form on petitioner within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order; and it is further

ORDERED that petitioner show cause within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order why this petition should not be barred from federal habeas review due to his procedural default. Petitioner has the right to file affidavits or other material contesting the application of the state procedural bar; and it is further;

ORDERED that this petition will be dismissed as barred by the statute of limitations unless, within thirty (30) days, petitioner contests the application of the one-year statute of limitations or establishes that he is entitled to equitable tolling. To establish this entitlement, petitioner must present affidavits (sworn statements subject to the penalty of perjury) or other material contesting the application of the statute limitations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d); and it is further

ORDERED that petitioner's Motion for Discovery (Docket # 6) is DENIED as premature. The court will reconsider this issue, upon motion, at a later and more appropriate time; and it is further

ORDERED that plaintiff's "Order to Show Cause for a Prohibitory Injunction an Permanent Injunction and Temporary Restraining Order" (Docket #7, 8, 9) be and is DENIED; and it is further

ORDERED that petitioner's failure to comply with any part of this Order within THIRTY (30) DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ORDER, or failure to notify this Court

immediately in the event he is transferred, released, or otherwise relocated, may result in the dismissal of this complaint pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to petitioner, as well as a copy of this Order and the Inmate Account Report Form to petitioner's current institution of confinement.

Entered this 19th day of September 2014.

Alexandria, Virginia

/s/ [Signature]
Liam O'Grady
United States District Judge


~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

Lorenza Gerald Ferebee,
Petitioner,

(Pro-Se Petitioner(s))

"APPENDIX" ~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



v.

1:14cv973 (LO/JFA)

Harold W. Clarke,
Respondent.

ORDER

Lorenza Gerald Ferebee, a Virginia inmate proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, challenging his convictions of possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, use of a firearm in the commission of a felony, and malicious wounding, rendered in the Circuit Court for the City of Chesapeake. By Order dated September 19, 2014, the Court found that petitioner had properly only exhausted one of his claims before the Virginia courts, that additional claims were barred from review by the Supreme Court of Virginia's finding of procedural default, and that the petition was barred by the applicable statute

of limitations, 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). Petitioner was therefore directed to show cause as to why his claims should not be dismissed as both procedurally defaulted and time-barred. Petitioner filed his response on October 7, 2014.¹ Because the applicable statute of limitations bars petitioner's claims, and petitioner has failed to establish that he is entitled to equitable tolling, the petition will be dismissed.

¹ In his response, petitioner also makes several arguments under Rule 60(b), related to his previous petition before this Court, 1:12cv283 (LO/JFA). This petition, challenging the same convictions as the instant petition, was dismissed without prejudice on May 3, 2012, for petitioner's failure to comply with the Court's initial Order. To the extent that petitioner's response challenges the Court's actions in 1:12cv283, his Rule 60(b) arguments are untimely. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(c)(1) (providing that a motion under Rule 60(b) must be made within a "reasonable time" after entry of the challenged order, and within one year for allegations of mistake, newly discovered evidence, or fraud).

A petition for a writ of habeas corpus must be dismissed if filed later than one year after (1) the judgment becomes final; (2) any state-created impediment to filing a petition is removed; (3) the United States Supreme Court recognizes the constitutional right asserted; or (4) the factual predicate of the claim could have been discovered with due diligence. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1)(A)-(D). As stated in the Court's September 19, 2014 Order, petitioner's conviction became final on October 5, 2009.

The proper filing of state collateral proceedings, however, tolls the applicable statute of limitations. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(2); Pace v. DiGuglielmo, 544 U.S. 408, 413 (2005) (internal citations omitted) (finding that state law determines when a petition is properly filed). Therefore, petitioner's state habeas corpus petition, filed on May 7, 2010,² tolled the statute of limitations until October 6, 2011, when the Supreme Court of Virginia dismissed petitioner's appeal of the circuit court's denial of his habeas corpus petition. On October 7, 2011, however, the statute began to run again, and continued running until petitioner filed the instant petition on July 2, 2014, for a total of 998 days. Petitioner's 2012 federal petition, although raising identical challenges to the instant petition, did not toll the statute of limitations, since § 2244(d) by its terms applies only to a "properly filed application for State post-conviction or collateral review." See Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269, 274-75 (2005) (citing Duncan v. Walker, 533 U.S. 167, 181-82 (2001)) ("[T]he filing of a petition for habeas corpus in federal court does not toll the statute of limitations."). Accordingly, because the instant petition was filed more than three years after the expiration of the statute of limitations, the petition is time-barred.

² In his 2012 petition, petitioner stated that he filed his state habeas corpus petition on December 2, 2009. The difference between the listed filing dates in petitioner's 2012 petition and the instant petition does not affect the statute of limitations analysis.

In his response, petitioner contends that he is entitled to equitable tolling of the statute of limitations. He argues that, in 2012, he failed to submit the filing fee in response to the Court's initial Order due to fraud by officials at Red Onion State Prison. He states that officials there opened his mail and removed his filing fee, thereby causing the Court to dismiss his petition for failure to comply. See Order for Relief from a Judgment or Order, and A Order for an Evidentiary Hearing (Dkt. 13), at 3-9.

The United States Supreme Court has held that "§ 2244(d) is subject to equitable tolling in appropriate cases." Holland v. Florida, 560 U.S. 631, 634 (2010). The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has also held that the limitations may be equitably tolled in limited circumstances. See, e.g., Rouse v. Lee, 339 F.3d 238, 246 (4th Cir. 2003). However, the Fourth Circuit has held that "any resort to equity must be reserved for those instances where – due to circumstances external to the party's own conduct – it would be unconscionable to enforce the limitation period against the party and gross injustice would result." Id. at 246.

Therefore, for equitable tolling to apply, a petitioner must establish that (1) he has been diligently pursuing his rights, and that (2) some "extraordinary circumstance," beyond his control and external to his own conduct, interfered with his ability to timely file his petition. Holland, 560 U.S. at 649 (quoting Pace, 544 U.S. at 418).

Petitioner's argument does not establish that he is entitled to equitable tolling. First, the Court did not, as petitioner contends, dismiss his 2012 petition for a failure to pay the filing fee. The Court dismissed his petition for petitioner's failure to submit any response to its Order. Thus, petitioner cannot establish equitable tolling simply by stating that he could have paid the filing fee in 2012. Paying the filing fee would not have excused his failure to respond to the Court's initial Order, and would not, on its own, have caused the Court to consider the merits of

petitioner's 2012 petition. In addition, petitioner has not established that he has been diligently pursuing his rights since the dismissal of his 2012 petition. He failed to pursue his appeal of the Court's dismissal of his 2012 petition, and waited more than two years to file the instant petition. Therefore, petitioner has not established that he is entitled to equitable tolling.

Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that this petition be and is DISMISSED, WITH PREJUDICE as barred by the statute of limitations; and it is further


ORDERED that petitioner's "Order for Relief from a Judgment or Order, and a Order for an Evidentiary Hearing" (Dkt. 13), and petitioner's Motion to Proceed in forma pauperis (Dkt. 2) be and are DENIED, AS MOOT.

To appeal, the petitioner must file a written notice of appeal with the Clerk's Office within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. A written notice of appeal is a short statement stating a desire to appeal this Order and noting the date of the Order petitioner wants to appeal. Petitioner need not explain the grounds for appeal until so directed by the court. Petitioner must also request a certificate of appealability from a circuit justice or judge. ~~See 28 U.S.C. § 2253~~ and Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). For the reasons stated above, this Court expressly declines to issue such a certificate.

The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Order to petitioner and to close this civil case.

Entered this 25th day of Nov. 2014.

Alexandria, Virginia

/s/ 
Liam O'Grady
United States District Judge

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

Alexandria Division

Lorenza Gerald Ferebee, Jr.,
Petitioner,

v.

Harold Clarke,
Respondent.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~
1:19cv1483 (LO/TCB)

(Pro-Se Petitioner(s))

"APPENDIX" [REDACTED] K

MEMORANDUM OPINION & ORDER

Under consideration is respondent Harold Clarke's motion to dismiss the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus filed by Virginia state prisoner Lorenza Ferebee. See Dkt. Nos. 14-16. Clarke contends in the motion that the petition is successive and untimely and must, therefore, be dismissed. Id. Petitioner has responded by filing three motions: (1) a motion for an evidentiary hearing [Dkt. No. 20]; (2) a motion in limine [Dkt. No. 21]; and (3) a motion for leave "to challenge the federal and state statutory laws" [Dkt. No. 22]. These motions are lengthy and, at points, difficult to understand. Nevertheless, because it is clear that petitioner has not received leave from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit before filing the instant petition—which is indeed successive as defined by 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)—respondent's motion to dismiss must be granted. Petitioner is advised that this finding in no way bars him from seeking leave from the Fourth Circuit to file in the future a new petition in this Court.

I. Background

In February 2012, petitioner filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus in this Court. See Ferebee v. Clarke, Case No. 1:12-cv-283 (LO/JFA). That petition was dismissed without prejudice after petitioner (1) failed to pay the required filing fee or apply to proceed in forma pauperis and (2) failed to respond to the Court's show cause order regarding potential procedural

defaults arising from the relevant state habeas proceedings. See id. at Dkt. No. 7. The Fourth Circuit later dismissed petitioner's appeal in that action. See Case No. 12-6942.

In July 2014, petitioner filed another § 2254 petition, challenging his convictions—entered in the Circuit Court for the City of Chesapeake on October 5, 2007—of use of a firearm in the commission of a felony, malicious wounding, and possession of a firearm by a convicted felon. See Ferebee v. Clarke, Case No. 1:14-cv-973 (LO/JFA). By Order dated November 25, 2014, the Court dismissed the petition as barred by 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d), which outlines the relevant statute of limitations for federal habeas petitions. Id. On November 18, 2019, petitioner filed the instant petition, challenging the same convictions he challenged in his July 2014 petition. See Dkt. Nos. 1, 6.

II. Analysis

A. The Petition is Successive

Respondent asserts that petitioner's submission is successive and that, because petitioner has not received prefiling authorization from the Fourth Circuit, this Court is without jurisdiction to consider its arguments.

The Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b), provides in relevant part:

(1) A claim presented in a second or successive habeas corpus application under section 2254 that was presented in a prior application shall be dismissed.

(2) A claim presented in a second or successive habeas corpus application under section 2254 that was not presented in a prior application shall be dismissed unless—

(A) the application shows that the claim relies on a new rule of constitutional law, made retroactive to cases on collateral review by the Supreme Court, that was previously unavailable; or

(B)(i) the factual predicate for the claim could not have been discovered previously through the exercise of due diligence; and

(ii) the facts underlying the claim, if proven and viewed in the light of the evidence as a whole, would be sufficient to establish by clear and convincing evidence that, but for constitutional error, no reasonable factfinder would have found the applicant guilty of the underlying offense.

28 U.S.C. § 2244(b). Additionally, “[b]efore a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court,” no matter how compelling its claims, “the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application.” 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A). In the absence of prefiling authorization, the district court is without jurisdiction to entertain the successive petition. Evans v. Smith, 220 F.3d 306, 325 (4th Cir. 2000).

There can be no denial of the fact that petitioner previously filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. See Case No. 1:14-cv-973 (LO/JFA). This point is not determinative, though, as to the question of successiveness. Indeed, the phrase “second or successive” does not “refe[r] to all § 2254 applications filed second or successively in time.” Pannetti v. Quarterman, 551 U.S. 930, 944 (2007). Instead, a petition is successive if it

challenges the same judgment challenged by the preceding petition and the preceding petition was adjudicated on the merits. See Magwood v. Patterson, 561 U.S. 320 (2010); Harvey v. Horan, 278 F.3d 370, 379 (4th Cir. 2002), abrogated on other grounds by Skinner v. Switzer, 562 U.S. 521 (2011) (citing Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 485-89 (2000)).

Here, in his old petition and his new, petitioner has raised challenges to the October 5, 2007 judgment entered against him in the Circuit Court for the City of Chesapeake. See Dkt. Nos. 1, 6; see also Ferebee v. Clarke, Case No. 1:14-cv-973 (LO/JFA). It is thus abundantly clear that petitioner now challenges the same judgment he challenged in a previous petition.

It is equally clear that petitioner's 2014 petition was dismissed "on the merits." Indeed, that petition was dismissed as barred by § 2244(d)'s one-year statute of limitations. See Case No. 1:14-cv-973 (LO/JFA), Dkt. No. 14. Federal courts nationwide agree that the dismissal of a habeas petition on time-bar grounds constitutes a dismissal "on the merits." See, e.g., In re Rains, 659 F.3d 1274, 1275 (10th Cir. 2011) ("The dismissal of ... [petitioner's] first habeas petition as time-barred was a decision on the merits, and any later habeas petition challenging the same conviction is second or successive and is subject to the AEDPA requirements."); In re Flowers, 595 F.3d 204, 205 (5th Cir. 2009) (finding that first § 2254 petition dismissed as time-barred renders subsequent petition raising same claims "second or successive"); McNabb v. Yates, 576 F.3d 1028, 1030 (9th Cir. 2009) ("We hold that the dismissal of a habeas petition as untimely constitutes a disposition on the merits and that a further petition challenging the same conviction would be 'second or successive' for purposes of 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)."); Villanueva v. United States, 346 F.3d 55, 61 (2d Cir. 2003) (holding "that a habeas or § 2255 petition that is properly dismissed as time-barred under AEDPA constitutes an adjudication on the merits for successive purposes"); Altman v. Benik, 337 F.3d 764, 766 (7th Cir. 2003) (finding dismissal of initial § 2254 petition as untimely renders subsequent § 2254 petition "second or successive" pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)).

In an apparent attempt to avoid a finding that his submission is a successive habeas petition, petitioner has titled his filing as a "Motion to set aside and vacate a multiplicity of judgments." Id. Although his filings are difficult to understand, in them, petitioner makes passing references to Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Due to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)'s statutory prohibition against the filing of second or successive habeas petitions, however, the United States Supreme Court has "firmly reined in" the availability of Rule 60(b) to

a prisoner who seeks to reopen a final judgment issued in his federal habeas proceedings. Moses v. Joyner, 815 F.3d 163, 168 (4th Cir. 2016). In Gonzalez v. Crosby, 545 U.S. 524 (2005), the Supreme Court considered the question of whether a habeas petitioner could ever invoke Rule 60(b) without running afoul of the statutory limitations on the filing of second and successive habeas petitions in § 2244. The Court held that Rule 60(b) had a “valid role to play in habeas cases,” but it also made clear that this role is a narrow one. Gonzalez, 545 U.S. at 534. Indeed, Rule 60(b) motions that do not rely on new rules of constitutional law or newly discovered facts, “although labeled [] Rule 60(b) motion[s], [are] in substance [] successive habeas petition[s] and should be treated accordingly.” Id. at 531. This Court fails to identify any instances in which petitioner raises newly-made rules of constitutional law or articulates facts unavailable to him at the time of his initial petition.¹ This submission is therefore properly construed as a petition for writ of habeas corpus.

In light of the above, pursuant to § 2244(b) and relevant Supreme Court authority interpreting that statute, petitioner’s newly-filed petition is “second or successive” as defined by

AEDPA. Because petitioner has not provided (and this Court cannot locate) a prefiling authorization from the United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, this Court lacks jurisdiction to consider the petition. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A) (“Before a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant *shall move* in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the

¹ For this same reason, the newly-filed petition does not satisfy § 2244(b)(2)’s release valve, which allows consideration of claims invoking newly-made rules of constitutional law or facts unavailable to the petitioner at the time of filing of the first petition.

Similarly, the fact that petitioner’s claims do not invoke new rules of constitutional law or a factual predicate that could not previously have been discovered render an evidentiary hearing unnecessary in this matter. See 28 U.S.C. § 2244(e)(2).

application.”) (emphasis added); Jones v. Braxton, 392 F.3d 683, 686 (4th Cir. 2004) (no successive habeas petition may be filed without prior authorization from a Circuit Court of Appeals).

B. The Petition is Untimely

The Court finds in the alternative that this petition is untimely and must be dismissed. Indeed, petitioner was convicted in 2007 and had completed his direct appeal and state habeas proceedings by 2012. See Record Nos. 081651; CL09-3407. He filed two federal petitions—one in 2012 and another in 2014—raising the same or similar arguments that he raised in the instant petition. His passing references to his institution’s law library’s inadequacy and obstacles associated with the institutional mailroom are too vague to explain or justify why he has allowed *five years* to elapse between his most recent petitions. Unfortunately, this unexplained delay dooms petitioner’s hope of salvaging his petition through equitable tolling. Indeed, “equity aids the vigilant and not those who slumber on their rights.” Kansas v. Colorado, 514 U.S. 673, 687 (1995). Nor has petitioner supported with “new reliable evidence” his claim of actual innocence, rendering that ground—another on which petitioner’s untimeliness could have been forgiven—unavailable. See Schlup v. Delo, 513 U.S. 298, 324 (1995) (“To be credible, [a claim of actual innocence] requires petitioner to support his allegations of constitutional error with new reliable evidence—whether it be exculpatory scientific evidence, trustworthy eyewitness accounts, or critical physical evidence—that was not presented at trial.”).

III. Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, it is hereby

ORDERED that respondent’s motion to dismiss [Dkt. No. 14] be and is GRANTED; and it is further

ORDERED that the petition be and is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE as successive and untimely; and it is further

ORDERED that petitioner's motion for an evidentiary hearing [Dkt. No. 20] be and is DENIED as unnecessary; and it is further

ORDERED that petitioner's motions in limine [Dkt. No. 21] and to "challenge the federal and state statutory law(s)" [Dkt. No. 22] be and are DENIED as moot.

To appeal this decision, petitioner must file a written notice of appeal with the Clerk's Office within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a). A written notice of appeal is a short statement indicating a desire to appeal and including the date of the Order the petitioner wishes to appeal. Failure to file a timely notice of appeal waives the right to appeal this decision. Petitioner also must obtain a certificate of appealability ("COA") from a circuit justice or judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(1); Fed. R. App. P. 22(b). The Court expressly declines to issue a COA for the reasons explained above.

The Clerk is directed to (1) send copies of this Memorandum Opinion & Order to petitioner and to counsel of record for respondent and to (2) close this civil case.

Entered this 21st day of Dec- 2020.

Alexandria, Virginia

/s/ [Signature]
Liam O'Grady
United States District Judge

APPENDIX L

FROM ~~WE~~ THE PEOPLE - ~~N~~ DEFENDER(S) OF AMERICA

"DEFENDER(S) OF SOVEREIGNTY" esq.

"SUPREME RULER" - Lorenza G. Fenebee Jr.

Red Onion State Prison

3521 Wood(s) Way

State Farm, Virginia, 23160

"SOVEREIGN" Business Letter Dated: May - 22nd - 2023
"DECLARATION IN THE EYES" OF GOD

United States(s) of America "PRESIDENT" - Joseph Biden, at its
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, North Western, Washington(s),
"DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA" 20006, and the United States(s)
"TREASURY DEPARTMENT" "SECRETARY" - (Ms, Miss, or - Mrs.) Janet
Yellen, at its(s) 44 South Clinton Avenue, in Trenton,
New Jersey. 08609

REFERENCES: "AMERICANS" Taxing - ~~re~~ Renaissance For
Its(s) Financial ReConstruction For Our "PEOPLE, GOVERNMENT,
CORPORATION(S), ENTREPRENEUR(S), COMPANIES(S), BUSINESS MEN - ~~N~~/
OR - WOMAN, - ~~N~~ - POVERTY Men, Woman, Citizen(s), Person(s),
Subject(s), Aliens(s), - ~~N~~ - "INDEPENDANT" Individual(s) - ~~re~~ Etc

"Your HONORABLE(S), PRESIDENT - ~~re~~ SECRETARY" - Joseph Biden - ~~re~~ Janet Yellen,
Page(s) (1-2)

This is "DEFENDER(S) OF LAW SUPREME RULER" - Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee Jr., and I'm a "AFRICAN BORN - N - RAISED" (hence) the United States(s) of "AMERICA", - from the "STATE OF VIRGINIA" and its(s) City of Chesapeake, - its(s) neighborhood - called "CRESTWOOD" but resided into the neighborhood - call(d) "Harbour North" prior to being "ILLEGALLY ARRESTED - N - DETAINED" against (My/His) "Nature Born Will" on (October - 26th - 2005). Id.;

Howevermore "YOUR HONORABLE PRESIDENT" - Joseph Biden, please enclosed to find within this "CORRESPONDANCE AN ATTACHMENT" of "SUPREME RULER" - Lorenzo Gerald Ferebee Jr.(s)' American(s)' "Tax" Renaissance for its(s) Financial Reconstruction for "OUR" People, Government, Corporation(s)', Companies(s)', - n - Business Men - n - Woman. Id.;

Furthermore, this "LAW IS TO DEFEND OUR COUNTRY" against its(s) 'Financial Crisis' that(s) "SWORN UNDER OATH" and pursuant to the "PENALTY OF PERJURY GOOD FAITH STANDARD" (according to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a) - n - § 1746(2)). Id.;

THANK(S) ONCE AGAIN - N/OA - RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By Signature: Lorenzo G. Ferebee Jr.

E.C.: "SUPREME RULER - N -
U.S. "PRESIDENT" and
(his) "TREASURY SECRETARY". Id.;

DEFENDER(S) OF LAW esq.
"SUPREME RULER" - Lorenzo G. Ferebee Jr.

Red Onion State Prison

3522 Woods(s) Way

State Farm, VA. 23160

FROM WE THE PEOPLE - N - DEFENDER(S) OF AMERICA

Defended on: May - 22nd - 2023

Puts Forth this, "AMERICA'S TAX RENAISSANCE FOR THE
FINANCIAL RECONSTRUCTION FOR OUR PEOPLE, GOVERNMENT, CORPORATION(S),
ENTREPRENEUR(S), COMPANY(S), BUSINESS MEN - N - WOMAN; POVERTY
'MEN - N - WOMAN, CITIZEN, PERSON, SUBJECT(S), ALIEN(S), ETC.' - N -
"INDEPENDANT" Individual(s) "Striving From Nothing" wherein "ALL OF US
ARE TRYING 2 PURSUE OUR HAPPINESS - N - SAFETY" within the "1 LIFE TO LIVE",
from the "SOVEREIGN AMERICAN FOUNDING 1st FATHER" - George Washington
"DECLARATION FOR MEN - N - WOMEN RIGHTS" in (1762 - via - 1764), as in
it(s) "SWORN DECLARATION - N - THE EYES OF GOD" for (him, (his) "MASONIC"
"BROTHERS) - N - SISTERS)", and (their) "PEOPLE" who have it(s) "Some Independent
"PROUDNESS" to "Strive" for "GREATNESS" even in the presence of "CHALLENGES"
"LIFE WITH, SHALL, - N - MUST PRESENT" and for "US 2 OVER CAME" anything -
or "anyone" wherein "MAN - N - WOMAN WITH, SHALL, - N - MUST ALLOW NATURE =
(Man) - N - NATURAL = (Woman) To OVER-RIDE" any that "STAND(S) IN MAN - N - OR -
WOMAN" way);

That(s) safe 2 say that "TODAY (HAVE) COME" for this "SOVEREIGN
AMERICAN STRUCTURE - N - STABILIZED SUPREME RULER" - Lorchza Gerald Ferebee Jr.
to "DECLARE FOR WE THE PEOPLE - N - DEFENDER(S) OF AMERICA To RENAISSANCE -
- N - RE CONSTRUCT OUR SOVEREIGN AMERICA'S FOUNDING 1st FATHER" - George
Washington(s) "MASONIC VISION" that it(s) "DIAMONDS(S) SEE(S) - N - INTERPRET(S)",
in which, "WE MEN BORN THIS EARTH" from (1732 - via - 1799) "RESPECTFULLY",
and (October - 31st - 1985, - n - etc.);

Therefore, by the "BREATH OF GOD" from "MAN", I hereby
give the United States of "AMERICA" authorization from "SOVEREIGNTY TO CONTINUE

to do this day-2-day "FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS" IN GOOD FAITH - N-
CREDIT" for the 'Check(s) - n- Balances(s)' from, "HAN
RENAISSANCE FINANCIAL LIABILITY(S); ASSET(S); FINANCIAL SPENDING,
INVESTMENT(S), -N- SAVING(S)" for the, "TAXING
RENAISSANCE FOR 'De Minimis' OF FINANCIAL RECONSTRUCTION FROM
LIABILITY(S), ASSET(S), -N- FINANCIAL SPENDING, INVESTMENT(S), -S-
SAVING" Summarizing,

Howevermore, this "Tax Law" is broken-down into a
"Chronological Alphabetical Order" from (A-D (2-5)), "Meaning
that,

(A). "LIABILITY(S)" : Being held "LIABLE" in the 'Course of
InterAction' with other 'Citizen, Person, Subject, -or- Alien' is only
"UNAVOIDABLE" from 'Human Contact' because of it(s) "HUMAN NATURE"
is to "INTERACT" with one-another in some-way, shape, or- fashion.
Therefore, the 'Course of "FINANCIAL CONDUCT IS FOR LIABILITY CAUTIONARY
MOMENTS" that anyone "Must, Shall, -N- Will" take into accountability
for Personal Savings(s), Family - n- Friends(s), Outside Investor(s),
Personal Line(s) of Credit, Sweat Equity, Vendor(s) Credit, Bank
Loan(s), - n- Customer Deposits(s);

"CREDIT CARD(S)" are always(s) an 'Immediate Cautionary Moment
- n- Substantial High Risk' to "Not Fully Invest - N2 - With Full
Protection" by insuring it(s) 'Manufacturer - n- Distributer' who(s)
"CUSTOMER - N- OR - CONSUMER" may have been 'subjected to DeFault' by
Credit Card slipping, scheming, - n- etc. I.d.;

(B). "ASSETS": "STARTUP IDEAS" from any "LOCAL, REGIONAL, Rural, -N- Etc" from every "Man, Woman, Youth, Citizen, Person, Alien, -n/or- Subject" whom have "GENERATED IDEAS, THEORY, DREAMS, -N- PR-GOALS", from -or- for, many "Historical, Modern, -n- Future" "PEOPLE, GOVERNMENT, CORPORATION, COMPANY, -N/OR- BUSINESS OWNER" that "Will" profit when it(s) "FOUNDATION DEMANDS" RECONSTRUCTION OF ITS) FINANCIAL STABILITY -N- STRUCTURED" offered a basic "3 POINT RENAISSANCE STANDARD" taking center stage of:

"1st POINT": "FOUNDATION" from what "WE THE PEOPLE" of this United States) was "ORIGINALLY STRUCTURED -N- STABILIZED" from "OUR FOUNDATION OF TRUTH" in order for "US" to form a "MORE PERFECT UNION", while "ESTABLISHING JUSTICE", within "DOMESTIC TRANQUILITY", for providing "COMMON DEFENSE", -n- "PROMOTING GENERAL WELFARE" and "Simultaneously" securing "OUR BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY" for "Ourself(s) -n- Our Posterities);

"2nd POINT": "STRUCTURE" from which something is -or- has been 'Made Up' from, in which, "WE AS AMERICANS) DERIVE FROM DIFFERENT PARTS) OF FOUNDATIONAL TRUTH, For, WE THE PEOPLE", within the United States) that "OUR PEOPLE" are held -n- put together in a whole for it(s) "LEGISLATIVE, EXECUTIVE, -N- JUDICIAL", Whence, the 'Arrangement -or- Formation of Origins)' is that "Must BE HIGHLY ORGANIZED" and having a 'Financial Limited Number to Correct' itself from any "ANSWER -N- QUESTION" that 'Money, Coin, Tendency, -n/or- Natural Resource)' maybe given, loan'd, borrowed, accepted, donated, aid'd, -or- assisted anyone with that - that "Will EFFECT SHORT-TERM, MID-TERM, -N- LONG-TERM FINANCIAL STRUCTURE" with ('No Financial Limited Compromizing);

"3rd Point": "STABILITY" from wherein "WE THE PEOPLE - N - OUR"
'Government Branch(es), Corporation(s), Company(s)', - n - Business Man - n -
Woman' are being "FINANCIALY STRUCTURED - N - FINANCIALY STABLE" that
"shall" be a 'Mandatory Resistance - n - Rebellious' to any "ATTEMPT TO
CHANGE - OR - DISPLACE OUR FINANCIAL FOUNDATION WITH STABILITY - N -
NOT ASSETS", which, "WE MUST STANDFAST" within the abilities of "OUR
BLESSING(S) OF ORIGINAL POSITIONS' FROM PEOPLE";

3 Point "Summary": "PEOPLE BRIDGING THE GAP" with 'Congressional
Members(s), President(s), - n - Supreme Court(s) Scotus(s)', (pursuant to
Arcambel v. Wiseman, 3 U.S. 306 (1796)); It is only a
"RESPECTFUL OBLIGATION BY US PEOPLE" holding "OUR MASONARY ELDER(S)
RESPONSIBLE, ACCOUNTABLE, - N - LIABLE" wherein, "OUR LOYALTY, HONORING,
- N - SACRIFICING FOR HUMAN BEING PRESERVATION - N - EXISTENCE(S) IS 1ST -
N - FOREMOST" at the cost of 'Life, Liberty, - n - Property being Maintained'
that "OUR ORIGINAL 1ST FOUNDING FATHER" - George Washington and all
other "MASONARY ELDER(S)" before, then, - n - after (1787) "Built, Crafted,
- n - Constructed" the "TRUTH FOR INDEPENDANT BRANCHES TO HAVE - N -
ENFORCE THE AUTHORITY" "Without Emotional Effect", (citing expert from
Turner v. President, Director and Company of Bank of North
America, 4 U.S. 12 (1799));

Wherein, "ECONOMIC LIBERTIES WILL
always rise at some-point in "OPERATING" anything, but, "DISAGREEMENT
AMONGST GOVERNMENT ELDER(S) ARE NEVER TO STALEMATE" it(s) 'Masonary
Purpose - n - Cause' for "BUILDING, CRAFTING, - N - CONSTRUCTING PEOPLE
SELF-PRESERVATION FOR HUMAN BEING(S)" protecting "Itself from It(s)-Self",
because, The "TWIN SISTER OF JUSTICE IS BLIND" to 'Truth' because

if not, thereafter, (she) "WILL SEE" the destruction of "LIFE ITSELF", and
only after, "QUESTION (HER) THIN LIBERTY", who "SHALL ANSWER" as to why
should "LIFE PEOPLE" protect - and defend whomever who's) "NOT PRESERVING
OUR TRUTH", - and only thereafter, "MUST LIBERTY" respond because (she's))
"FRAGILE", wherein, "EVERY HUMAN BEING" has (her) by "Nature", but
"LAST TO (HER) OBLIGATION FOR THEIR INDEPENDANT PURSUIT TO TRULY
HAPPY", and only thereafter "SISTER(S)", "LIBERTY - N - JUSTICE", that "LIFE"
... "THIN BROTHER(S) OF ARTICLE(S) - N - AMENDMENT(S) MUST, SHALL,
- N - WILL HAVE TO PROTECT YOU THIN SISTERS(S) AT ALL COST - N - AGAINST
THOSE DOMESTIC - N - FOREIGN", (quoting and emphasized from, Fletcher
v. Peck, 10 U.S. 87 (1816));

Therefore, that's why "OUR
UNITED STATES(S) OF AMERICA(S)' NASANTIC CONSTITUTION SHALL ALWAYS(S) SURVIVE",
amongst - and against those, to whomever are within "OUR PEOPLE CONSTRUCTION,
CRAFTING, - N - BUILDING" from nothing whomever, "LIFE MAN - N - WOMAN"
relies on "OUR" own "Nature Abilthess" from "God" who blessed "OUR
1ST FATHER" - George Washington by ("MAN SEEKING PRAYER") for "OUR
PEOPLE RELIEF FOR INDEPENDANCE" to come into "EXISTANCE" by "WINNING
OUR LIFE - N - LIBERTIES" from "KINGS/ MEN" whom want "US" at their
"WILL" (seen empart from Martin v. Hunter's Lessee, 14 U.S.
304 (1816));

- N - "LIFE PEOPLE" adopting to these "CONSTITUTIONAL PRINCIPLES"
MUST, SHALL, - N - WILL" always(s) over-come "CHALLENGES/ LIES" has been
placed before "US", in which, "TAX LAWS" PREVENTING BANKRUPTCY FOR OUR
PEOPLE - MUST DERIVE FROM MAN", wherein, "NASANTIC CONSTITUTION" was enacted
before, on, - and after (September - 17th - 1787) by "MAN - N - VIRGINIA 1ST
FATHER" - George Washington who's) "HEART BORN LIFE - N - MANKIND(S)"

SOVEREIGNTY for its United States of American "PEOPLE ORIGINATED",
in-and-after (1764), and only thereafter, "SHALL MAN" - Lorenza
Gerald Ferebee Jr. "MUST NOT BE CONSIDERED TO RELINQUISHED (HIS)
NATURAL BORN RIGHTS", in order to continue to "BEAT THE HEART OF
SOVEREIGNTY FOR OUR PEOPLE TO BREATHE FOR ESTABLISHING A MORE PERFECT
Union" (pursuant to Sturges(s) v. Crowninshield, 17 U.S. 192-
98 (1819));

That this "SOVEREIGN VIRGINIA AFRICAN" - Lorenza Gerald
Ferebee Jr. is here 'living' and amongst its "PEOPLE-N-HEART" of
the "MASONIC SOVEREIGN FOUNDATION-N-TRUTH", for "US, DIAMOND SOVEREIGN
STRUCTURE(S)-N-STABILIZER(S)" to whom been 'call(d)-n-Answered' by
those whom "OUR TRUTH SISTERS(S)" are in need of 'Aid-n-Assistance' for
"US, TRUTH SOVEREIGN BROTHERS(S)-N-BELIEVERS(S)" are to "CONTINUE OUR
ACCEPTANCE OF HUMAN BEING'S CHALLENGES TO RESOLVE" its "circumstances-n-
obstacles" for "OUR PEOPLE TO PURSUE THEIR HAPPINESS" while living, breathing,
n- "TRAVELING THE JOURNEY LIFE" has open'd this "EYE TO BRING BACK
Earth", (according to Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 462, 463, 472
(1793)); Id.;

(c) "FINANCIAL SPENDING, INVESTMENT(S),-N-SAVINGS(S)" is (pursuant
to Chisholm v. Georgia, at 2 U.S. 442 (1793)), wherein, only
"PEOPLE ARE FOUNDED IN MAN-N-WOMAN" and none-other that "CAN'T
BE FOUNDED", in which, this "MAN" - Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr. "SHALL"
join its "MASONIC SOVEREIGN FOUNDATION-N-TRUTH AS A DIAMOND SOVEREIGN
STRUCTURE-N-STABILIZER", for the United States of America "TREASURER
DEPARTMENT" need of 'Aid-n-Assistance', -N- without further -

adue, Meaning that, it(s) presenting "3 FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS" that of these:

"1st FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS SPENDING", consist of:

"Once thins) a

"OPEN MARKET" for any Large-or-small Amount of Conducting "FINANCIAL TRANSACTION", then, "SPENDING DE MINIMUS" is the "Most Caution" as to how to "SPEND DE MINIMUS" of (\$1,000.00 with \$10.00 left-over).

Meaning that, Breakdown this (\$1,000.00) into three different ways that \$330.00, \$330.00, -n- \$330.00 "When Add Up \$990.00 with \$10.00 left-over.

Thenafter, Questioning thie-self as to how the initial \$330.00 is to be "SPENT". The "Most SUFFICIENT SPENDING DE MINIMUS" of \$330.00 is to seek "INVESTMENTS" of it into anything "SHORT-TERM PROFITABLE FOR 3-9 MONTH(S)", Then again with it(s) secondary \$330.00 is to seek "INVESTMENTS" into anything "MID-TERM PROFITABLE FOR 9 MONTH(S) - Via - 1 1/2 YEARS(S)", or give-n-take, up to "9 MONTH(S) - Via - 2 YEARS(S)" depending on it(s) "Marketing, Venue, -n- Consumer(s) Re View -n- Demand" for "Product", -N- Finally with it(s) last \$330.00 is to seek "INVESTMENTS" into anything "LONG-TERM PROFITABLE FOR 2-6 YEARS(S)".

Therefore, this "ENDING BALANCE When SPEND DE MINIMUS OF FINANCIAL" means), so thatn "shall" "Frone Significant Amount(s)" of "UnNecessary" Liabilities from anyone(s) Personal Savings), Family members), -n- Friends), Outside Investors), Personal Lines of Credit, Sweat Equity, Vendors), Credit, Bank Loans), -n- Customer Deposits

Always) "TAKE CAUTIONARY MOMENTS" to whomever "AFFORDING TO

FINANCIALLY SPEND WITHOUT DE MINIMIS" from any amount of Cash,
Coin, Currency, -or- Tender) that any such individual Desirable
Financial Right to "Spend" anything that can-not be made from
a "NATURAL RESOURCE" (such as) Gold, Silver, Diamonds, Oil, Land, Ocean,
Platinum, Coal, , -or- Etc..." (pursuant to Sturges) v.
Craginshield, 27 U.S. 205 (1819);

"2nd FINANCIAL DE MINIMIS OF INVESTING", consist of:

"If anyone(s)

"CUSTOMER'S BASE" is that of it(s) coming from a "SPECIFIC NATURAL RESOURCE
BY MAN-MADE-OR-MAN-THAT WE PEOPLE DERIVE" from, thereafter,

It(s) decision making-makers have a "SAVED CREATIONAL
MATERIAL MARKETING" into taking 'Accountability of Cautionary Security',
for their, "FINANCIAL INVESTMENT OF DE MINIMIS AB INITIO", because,
seeking "SCALED-OUT CHANCES TO INVEST" into anything -or- anyone
"Must DERIVE" from it(s) "DE MINIMIS OF FINANCIAL SPENDING" of it(s)
\$ 33.00; \$ 330.00; \$ 3,330.00; \$ 33,330.00; \$ 330,330.00; \$ 3,330,330.00;

-or- etc... Because, if "DE MINIMIS OF FINANCIAL INVESTMENT
WITHOUT IT BEING A NATURAL RESOURCE" is something that "We, Shall, -
N-Must" be a "FINANCIAL LIABILITY", when 'Most Overly Financially'
"INVESTMENTS" are into things, persons, -or- places that "TURN AROUND
INVESTMENT STRATEGIES" could be a Utilization to keep-or-Prevent
any "FINANCIAL INVESTOR INSURANCE" to afloat";

"3rd FINANCIAL DE MINIMIS SAVING", consist of:

"A "Must" for

'Applying' the "2nd ABOVE FINANCIAL SPENDING-N-INVESTMENTS" For

IT(s) SAVINGS(s)", Meaning that:

"FINANCIAL SAVING MUST" have a "STANDING FOUNDATION", (such as, a "ADEQUATE" United States) "TREASURER(S) DEPARTMENT" and it(s) "LAW ENFORCEMENT" of it(s) I.R.S., (Insurance Revenue Service)) to "SECURE IT(s) OUTGOING FINANCIAL RESOURCE(S) - N - SECURING IT(s) INCOMING FINANCIAL RESOURCE(S)" that it(s), "STRUCTURING - N - STABILITY W/IT(s)" secure it(s) "FINANCIAL SAVING" - n - from/against it(s) "OWN FINANCIAL SPENDING - N - INVESTMENT" that presumption of it(s) 'Fiscal Scale Predict "TABLET(S)"', because the "FINANCIAL BOOK(S) OF RECORD(S)" are - not kept properly evaluated by default of "Not" having the "STANDARD OF FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS SPENDING" to put \$ 330.00 (as an example); or "STANDARD OF FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS INVESTMENT" for \$ 330.00 (for another example); - n - "STANDARD OF FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS SAVINGS(s)" of \$ 330.00 (as final example) that "TOTAL(S) UP To" \$ 990.00 and "10.00" "LEFT" from it(s) 'Initial Total of \$ 1,000.00;

"PLEASE NOTE - N - NOTICE", to not take out of content the context, nor "CONCEPT" of the "1st FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS SPENDING(s)" in order to "SEEK FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS INVESTING", in which, this basic "FINANCIAL DE MINIMUS SPENDING CONCEPT OF AMOUNT" (\$ 1,000.00) is just 'Broken-Down into Specific Details) for knowing' how to "BALANCE DE MINIMUS AMOUNT OF FINANCIAL BENEFIT" as it(s) 'Structure - n - Stabilities(s)' is to define "SHORT-TERM PROFIT(S)", MID-TERM PROFIT(S), - N - LONG-TERM PROFIT(S)" that if it(s) applied correctly with this "ENTERED TAXING RENAISSANCE - N - RECONSTRUCTION WILL, SHALL, - N - MUST" see 'Extra - Na - Mical "LONGEVITY" when it(s) "APPLIED REGULARLY - N - CONSISTENTLY" (pursuant to both, 28 U.S.C. § 2746(1-2) and Johnson

v. Mississippi, 486 U.S. 378, 587, 108 S. Ct. 1282, 100 L. Ed. 2d 575 (1988)
and Delap v. Dugger, 890 F.2d 285, 300 (11th Cir. 1989). Id.

(D) "1st CLAUSE" FOR AMERICANS' TAXING RENAISSANCE FOR
DE MINIMUS OF FINANCIAL RECONSTRUCTION FROM
LIABILITIES, ASSETS, -N- FINANCIAL SPENDING,
INVESTMENT-~~AND~~-SAVING.

This "1st CLAUSE" is being 'Openly Summarized' from the 'Meaning'
of that:

"PEOPLE, GOVERNMENT(S), CORPORATIONS, ENTREPRENEUR,
COMPANIES, -N- BUSINESS MAN -N- WOMAN AN HOUR, SHAW, -N- WIFE" have
to be held to (their) "OWN INDIVIDUAL TAX BRACKET -N- TAX BREAKS", so
thereafter, "No ONE" is being effected (herein) the United States(s) of
"AMERICA", as if, (he-or-she, or, them-or-they) have to "OBLIGATED"
to be held to a "HIGHER-OR-LOWER FINANCIAL EXPECTATION" for the
'Healthy, Successful, and Merely Favorable have to Provide for the Poverty'
because their "TAX BRACKET -N- BREAKS" are well within (their) means
for (their) 'Individual-or-Collectively Pursuit to Happiness'. So whenever
"PEOPLE -N- GOVERNMENT(S)" are 'Equal as 1', just as those that(s) "CORPORATION,
ENTREPRENEUR, COMPANIES, -N- BUSINESS MAN -N- WOMAN" who all have to
adhere to "RESOLUTIONAL NATURE -N- PURPOSES";

Moreover, "GOVERNMENT(S)" have to 'Humble Itself' to "SOVEREIGN
PEOPLE -N- INTERPRETATION(S)" when it have 'Reduced itself to Corporation',
wherein 'Good Faith' is the 'best benefit' for all to "Pursuit", as:

(1) "PEOPLE" do

(then) "CREDIT CHECK(S) - N - BALANCE(S)" for "MAINTAINING GOVERNMENTAL FINANCIAL BOOK(S) - N - RECORD(S)" from the "SOVEREIGN PERSPECTIVE", of/ for 'De Minimis', in which, "W/ THE PEOPLE UNIONIZING" for a 'More Perfect' "Tax RENAISSANCE - N - RE CONSTRUCTION" 'Petitioning the "GOVERNMENT"' for it(s)' 'ReAddressment', for the 'Validity' of "PUBLIC DEBT" that 'obligate(s)' "US SOVEREIGN PEOPLE" within the United States to "OVERSEE" any 'liability, Accountabilities, - n - Responsibilities' for "FINANCIAL CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP BY TILLEGAL - N/ OR - VOID CONDUCT, CONTRACT(S) - OR - ETC", Only "SOVEREIGN PEOPLE (as in Sovereign Man - n/ or - Woman)" shall, WILL, - N - MUST have "NATURAL ABILITIES" AS PEOPLE TO RE ENFORCE SOVEREIGNTY! (pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2746 (1-2), embodied within the United States) "DECLARATION OF INDEPENDANCE" and it(s) 14th § 3 2-n-4 "AMENDMENT"). Id.;

(2). GOVERNMENT(S) - N - IT(S) ENTITIES MUST be held to a "FINANCIAL STANDARD" for being into it(s) ~~the~~ "OWN TAX BRACKET" of being/ having "50 Billion" (a.k.a. \$ 50,000,000,000.00) - via/ viz - "1 Trillion" (a.k.a. \$ 1,000,000,000,000.00) for within a "2-via-4 Year Income", in which, any 'Government - n - it(s) Entities' "FINANCIAL DE MINIMIS INCOME" for it(s)' 'Maintaining - n - Substaining' it(s) "FOUNDATION, STRUCTURE, - N - STABILITY" from it(s) "EQUAL FINANCIAL BALANCING" (herein) America, Therefore, it(s) United States) "GOVERNMENT - N - IT(S) ENTITIES" WILL, SHALL, - N - MUST have to do it(s) "OWN FULL FAITH CREDIT(S), CHECK(S), - N - BALANCE(S)" of it(s) 'personal property, possession(s), asset(s), liabilities(s), territories(s), jurisdiction(s), citizens(s), person(s), subject(s), alien(s), allies(s), treaties(s), slave(s), - n - involuntary servitudes' that could "CAUSE A CATASTROPHIC FINANCIAL DEFAULT" from "GOVERNMENT - N - IT(S) ENTITIES" OPERATING

In Good Faith That Law Is Not Clearly Established" for, or against
(according to "1st Founding Father" - George Washington(s) "Declaration For
Men-N-Woman Rights" of (1762-viz-1764), incorporated with (his)
United States) Constitutional "TWIN BROTHERS" OF ARTICLE(S)" I -viz/via-
27th "AMENDMENTS", and (his) "TWIN SISTERS" OF JUSTICE-N-LIBERTY"
at 28 U.S.C. § 1338-n-Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 432, 433,
434, 441, 461, 463, 467, 472 (1793), Marbury v. Madison, 5
U.S. 163-via/viz-169 (1803), embodied with Myers(s) v.
Moore, 204 Va. 409, 413, 231 S.E.2d 414, 417 (1963)). Id.;

(3). CORPORATION OWNER(S)

-N- IT(S) COMMITTEE(S) MUST be held to a "CORPORATIONAL FINANCIAL TAX
BRACKET" of 17% for "1 Billion (a.k.a. \$1,000,000,000.00) -via/viz-
\$100 Billion (a.k.a. \$100,000,000,000.00) for its) 'Income Dollars'"
that(s) 'Shown-n/or-Proven' that its) "CORPORATION INCOME VALUE EQUAL
UP TO -OR- WITHIN", Then-n-After, any "CORPORATION SHALL BE
ENTITLED" to a "TAX BREAK" when its) "CORPORATION -N/OR- ENTITY"
is held to its) 'Initial Tax Obligation' as a "CORPORATION", but its)
"FINANCIAL ACCOUNT -N- BOOK(S)" have 'Shown-n/or-Proven' that (their)
"BALANCE DOESN'T PAYOUT -OR- ADD UP TO CORPORATION TAX BRACKET" within
its) 1st -via- 4th Year(s), -N- "CORPORATIONS" SHALL BE ENTITLED
TO "ADDITIONAL TAX BREAKS" when it have "INVESTED" into "Local,
Regional, Town(s), County(s), City(s), State, -N/OR- NATION"
"Religious Events", "Charity Events", "Human Research Events", "Gifts",
Donation(s), Creation(s) of Different Companies", and Etc. Out of "Good
FAITH", Aswell asin, "CORPORATION SHALL BE ENTITLED TO
MORE TAX BREAK(S)", when its) "GOVERNMENT" are holding its)

"CORPORATION TO ITS) FINANCIAL TAX BRACKET" and "Record Pay-out Don't Add-Up" to its) "STANDARD". Therefore, "CORPORATION(S) SHALL" be held to a "ENTREPRENEUR FINANCIAL TAX BRACKET" for each year it did-not reproduce its) "STANDARD REVENUE", -n- by "GOVERNMENT PERMITTING ITS) CORPORATION" to file an "AMENDED TAX FORM" of (1040 x). Id.;

(4). "ENTREPRENEUR FINANCIAL TAX BRACKET" of 17% for 1 Million (a.k.a. \$1,000,000.00) -via/viz- ~~1~~ 1 Billion (a.k.a. \$1,000,000,000.00) for its) "Income Dollars)" that "Shown-n-Proven" its) "ENTREPRENEUR INCOME VALUE FORM UP TO-OR-WITHIN", Thenafter, any "ENTREPRENEUR SHALL BE ENTITLED TO A TAX BREAK" when any "ENTREPRENEUR" is held to its) "Initial Taxing Obligation" as a "ENTREPRENEUR", but its) "FINANCIAL ACCOUNT -N- BOOK(S)" have "Shown-n-Proven" that (their) "BALANCE DOESN'T PAYOUT -OR- ADD UP TO ENTREPRENEUR TAX BRACKET" within its) 1st -via- 4th Year(s), "N- "ENTREPRENEUR SHALL BE ENTITLED TO ADDITIONAL TAX BREAK(S)" when it have "INVESTED" into "LOCAL, REGIONAL, TOWN(S), COUNTRY(S), CITIES", STATE, -N/OR- ^{NATION} ~~WORLD~~ "Religious Event(s), Charity Event(s), Human Research Event(s), Gift(s), Donation(s), Creation(s) of Different Companies(s), -n- Etc "OUT OF GOOD FAITH", And asin, "ENTREPRENEUR(S) SHALL BE ENTITLED TO MORE TAX BREAK(S)" when its) "GOVERNMENT" are holding its) "ENTREPRENEUR(S) TO ITS) FINANCIAL TAX BRACKET" and its) "Pay-out Records) Don't ~~Add Up~~" to it "STANDARD". Therefore, "ENTREPRENEUR(S) SHALL" be held to a "ENTREPRENEUR(S) FINANCIAL TAX ^{BREAK} ~~BRACKET~~" for each year it did-not reproduce its) "STANDARD REVENUE", -n- by "GOVERNMENT PERMITTING ITS) ENTREPRENEUR" to file an "AMENDED TAX FORM" of (1040 x). Id.;

(5). "COMPANIES FINANCIAL
Tax Bracket" of 17% for \$100 Thousand (a.k.a. \$100,000.00) - via/viz -
\$1 Million (a.k.a. \$1,000,000.00) for ~~it(s)~~ it(s) "Income Dollars"
that "Shown - n - Proven" it(s) "COMPANY INCOME VALUE EQUAL UP TO -
OR - WITHIN", Thenafter, any "COMPANY SHALL BE ENTITLED TO
A Tax Break" when any "COMPANY" is held to it(s) "Initial Taxing Level"
as a "COMPANY", but it(s) "FINANCIAL ACCOUNT - N - BOOKS" have
"Shown - n - Proven" that (there) "BALANCING DOESN'T PAYOUT - OR - ADD UP
TO COMPANIES TAXING BRACKET" within it(s) 1st - via - 4th Year,

"N - "COMPANIES SHALL BE ENTITLED TO ADDITIONAL TAX
BREAKS" when it have "INVESTED" into "LOCAL, REGIONAL, TOWN(S),
COUNTY(S), CITIES(S), STATE, - N/OR - NATION WIDE" "Religious Events",
Charity Events", Human Research Events", Gifts", Donations", Creations"
of Different Companies", - n - Etc. "Out Of Good Faith",

And asin, "COMPANIES SHALL BE ENTITLED TO MORE TAX BREAKS"
when it(s) "GOVERNMENT" are holding it(s) "COMPANIES TO ITS FINANCIAL
Tax Bracket" and it(s) "Pay-Out Records" don't Add-Up to it(s)
"STANDARD". Therefore, "COMPANIES SHALL" be held to a "COMPANIES
FINANCIAL Tax BREAK" for each year it did-not reproduce it(s)
"STANDARD REVENUE", - n - by "GOVERNMENT PERMITTING ITS COMPANY"
to file an "AMENDED Tax Form" of (1040 x). Id.;

- n - (6). "BUSINESS MEN - N/OR -
WOMEN Tax Bracket" of 17% for \$1 Thousand (a.k.a. \$1,000.00)
- via/viz - \$100 Thousand (a.k.a. \$100,000.00) for it(s) "Income Dollars"
that "Shown - n - Proven" it(s) "BUSINESS MAN - N/OR - WOMAN INCOME VALUE
Equal Up To - OR - WITHIN", Thenafter, any "BUSINESS MAN -

N/Or- Woman Shall Be Entitled To A Tax Break " when any "Business" is held to its "Initial Taxing Level" as a "Business Man-N/Or- Woman", but its "Financial Account-N-Book(s)" have 'shown-n-Proven' that (their) "BALANCING DOESN'T PAYOUT-OR-ADD UP TO BUSINESS MAN-N/Or- WOMAN TAXING BRACKET" within its 1st-via-4th Year,

-N- "Business Man-N/Or- Women Shall Be Entitled To Additional Tax Break(s)" when it have "Invested" into "Local, Regional, Town(s), County(s), Cities(s), State, -N- Country Wide" "Religious Events(s), Charity Event(s), Human Research Event(s), Gifts(s), Donation(s), Creation(s) of Different Business(s), -n- Etc. "Out Of Good Faith",

And asin, "Business(es)" Shall Be Entitled To More Tax Break(s)" when its "Government" are holding its "Business Men-N/Or- Women To Its Financial Tax Bracket" and its "Pay-Out Record(s) Don't Add-Up" to A "Standard". Therefore, "Business Men-N/Or- Women Shall" be held to a "Business Financial Tax Break" for each year it did-not reproduce its "Standard Revenue", -n- by "Government Permitting Its Business Men-N/Or- Women" for filing an "Amended Tax Form" of (1040x). Id.;

(E) 2nd Clause "For America(s) Taxing Renaissance For De Minimus Of Financial Reconstruction For Poverty Men, Woman, Citizens(s), Person(s), Subject(s), -N- Etc. Within America(s) Home(s), Projects(s), Apartments(s), -N- Communities)

This "2nd Clause" is being 'Openly Summarized' asin its 'Meaning' that, "Poverty Men, Women, Citizens(s), Person(s), Subject(s), -N- Etc."

within the United States) of "America" and its) 'Independent States',
Island, Jurisdiction, -n/- Territory "Must, Shall, -N- Will" be "Notice
By Its) Government For RECONSTRUCTION DE MINIMUS OF (THEIR) LACK OF
FINANCES) FOR RECONSTRUCTION", wherein, The United States
of "America(s)", -n- its) 'Multiplicity of Federal "FINANCIAL" Institutions'
"Shall"

(1). "CONCLUDE" any 'House Hold' of a "SINGLE INDIVIDUAL" with -n/-
or - without children at a 'Income of less than \$ 50,000.00 (a.k.a. \$ 50,
Thousand) to be a "POVERTY INDIVIDUAL AT DE MINIMUS" when comparing its)
year-2-year income to its) "SINGLE INDIVIDUAL COST OF LIVING -N- INCOME";

-n- (2). "CONCLUDE" any 'House Hold' of a "MORE THAN 1 HEAD -N/- OR - COUPLE"
with -n/- or - without children at a 'Income of less than \$ 100,000.00 (a.
k.a. \$ 100, Thousand) to be a "POVERTY COUPLE AT DE MINIMUS" when comparing
its) year-2-year house hold income by a "COUPLES) COST OF LIVING -N-
INCOME"; and

Finally (3). "EQUALLY BALANCE DE MINIMUS OF FINANCIAL RECONSTRUCTION"
For 'Liabilities(s), Assets(s), -n- Financial Spending, Investments(s), and
Saving to comparisons(s) of Poverty Citizen(s), Person(s), Subject(s), Aliens(s),
-n- Etc. from the "TOTALITY OF CIRCUMSTANCES) TEST" when the United
States) "CONSTITUTION IMPOSES) GOVERNMENTAL DUTIES)" to provide "DE
MINIMUS FOR HUMAN BEINGS) BASIC "needs)" (pursuant to DeShaney
v. Winnnebago County Dep't of Social Service(s), 489 U.S. 198-200
(1989)); Wherem, "No FINANCIAL ESTABLISHED INDIVIDUAL shall
be held to 'De Minimus' of "FINANCIAL POVERTY -N- MUST BE PROSECUTED" by
Federal, State, or Territorial "DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY IF FOUNDED

in any instance(s) wherein any "MATTER OCCURRED" (according to Chisholm v. Georgia, 2 U.S. 411 (1793)); That the "VALIDITY OF PUBLIC ASSISTANCE(S)" is being provided for anyone as if (he-or-she) is a "POVERTY INDIVIDUAL" and it(s) discovered to "INQUIRE-N-UNDISPUTEDLY FACTUAL" to 'SHOW-N-PROVE' that (he-or-she) has "FRAUD-N-OR-SWINDLED FINANCIAL" means(s) from the "GOVERNMENT ILLEGALLY-N-OR-VOID AD INITIO" (quoting in part from the United States Constitutional 14th § 4 "AMENDMENT" and 28 U.S.C. § 1746(2)). Id.

C O N C L U S I O N

I, "SOVEREIGN AMERICAN BORN AMERICAN IN VIRGINIA" - Lorenza Gerald Ferbee Jr. do hereby "STRUCTURE-N-STABILIZE" the United States of "AMERICA(S) TAX LAWS" in a 'Chronological Alphabetical Order', wherein, only "HAN" can build, craft, -n- create such from it(s) "SOVEREIGN EUROPEAN BORN AMERICAN IN VIRGINIA" - George Washington(s) (1762-viz-1764) "DECLARATION FOR MEN-N-WOMAN IN HEART RIGHTS" as in how (he) "FOUNDED" the "SOVEREIGNTY" of the United States of "AMERICA-N- (HIS) DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA".

Therefore, the United States of "AMERICA(S) FOUNDATION IS STRUCTURED-N-STABILIZED" to do "FINANCIAL TAXATION FOR OUR PEOPLE, GOVERNMENT, CORPORATIONS, ENTREPRENEURS, COMPANIES, BUSINESS MEN-N-WOMAN, -N- POVERTY" (Citizens, Persons, Subjects, Aliens), and Etc. by "MASONIC FOUNDATION-N-DIAMOND STRUCTURE-N-STABILITY" in any "OFFICIAL CAPACITIES" by "YOUR HONORABLE [REDACTED] SOVEREIGN(S)" - George Washington-n-Lorenza Gerald Ferbee Jr. from (September-1775-1787

-viz- May-of-2023), as I, Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr. do hereby
"SWARE UNDER OATH IN THE EYE OF GOD" while under oath for this
"DECLARATION, FOR WE THE PEOPLE, -N- DEFENDERS OF AMERICA" (Preserved
from, New International Reader's(s) Version "Bible", at Romans)
1:21-25 and 15:4, and at John 8:36). Id.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED BY,

Signature:  L. Ferebee Jr.

"SUPREME RULER - N - MAN" - Lorenza Gerald Ferebee Jr.

Red Onion State Prison

3521 Woods Way

State Farm, Virginia. 23160

E.C. "INDEPENDANT CLAUSE", is to 'Safely Secure any1' within the
United States of "AMERICA" and it(s) "DE MINUTES FOR TAXING FINANCIAL
ESTABLISHED - N - UNESTABLISHED HUMAN BEINGS", to 'Aid - n - Assist'
those within their "TAX BRACKET - N - BREAKS", so thereafter,
"AMERICA'S DOLLAR CAN INCREASE - N - DECREASE ANY DEBT - ON - BORROWING",
because "TAX BRACKET - N - BREAKS(k)tu" support those with "INDEPENDANT
HANDS", IDEAS, -N- THEORIES" to provide away for themselves from
nothing. Id..