

23-7290

No. \_\_\_\_\_

ORIGINAL

IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FILED  
APR 12 2024

OFFICE OF THE CLERK  
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

Theodore Macon CARRINGTON, Jr. — PETITIONER  
(Your Name)

vs.

United States of America et al. — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

4th Circuit Court of Appeals, Richmond, Va.  
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr.  
(Your Name)

Federal Medical Center, P.O. Box 1600  
(Address)

Butner, N.C. 27509  
(City, State, Zip Code)

(910) 528-8854  
(Phone Number)

10640

## QUESTION PRESENTED

- I. Whether the district court properly "committ[ed] [Appellant] to the custody and care of the Attorney General" pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4246, given that Appellant was no longer in the valid custody of the Attorney General pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241(d).

The 4th Circuit Court of Appeals refused to rule on this fundamental issue of due process, calling it a "thorny issue!" In addition the Court misrepresented the facts, stating that I did not raise the due process objections during my Competency-Commitment Hearing. However, I certainly did raise the due process objections most vehemently; and I have included the transcript pages that prove my claim as the attachment labeled Appendix D. Please put an end to this travesty of Justice, send a message that Mental Health Due Process Laws are meant to protect the US Citizen from indefinite detention and Judicial Tyranny.

Sincerely,

Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr.



## LIST OF PARTIES

All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

N.C. Federal Court, EASTERN DIVISION

Judge TERENCE Boyle (CASE no. 5:22-hc-02093-BO)

Assistant D. A. Genna Petre

Attorney Sonya Allen (Federal Public Defender)

## RELATED CASES

4<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court (Appeal no: 23-6348)

Judges Richardson, Heytens, and Floyd  
Attorney MARK A. JONES (Federal Public Defender)

US SOLICITOR GENERAL

US Department of Justice

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |   |
|--|---|
| OPINIONS BELOW .....                                   | 1 |
| JURISDICTION.....                                      |   |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED ..... |   |
| STATEMENT OF THE CASE .....                            |   |
| REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT .....                    |   |
| CONCLUSION.....  |   |

## INDEX TO APPENDICES

|            |  |
|------------|--|
| APPENDIX A | Copy of Order Denying petition for rehearing En banc. (Dated 3/22/24)  |
| APPENDIX B | Appellant's Reply Brief (filed 8/15/23) by Attorney  |
| APPENDIX C | 4 <sup>th</sup> Circuit Court Order/Decision (dated 1/23/24) <sup>MARK Jones</sup> Affirming Lower Court Order for Appeal #23-6348 |
| APPENDIX D | March 14 <sup>th</sup> 2023 Commitment Hearing   |
| APPENDIX E | Transcript, pages 1,28, and 29   |
| APPENDIX F |  |

## STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This is an appeal from a judgment of involuntary civil commitment in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina. The district court's subject-matter jurisdiction was derived from 18 U.S.C. § 4246(a). This Court has jurisdiction by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1291.<sup>1</sup> The district court entered its judgment on March 20, 2023. JA50–53. Appellant timely noted this appeal on April 7, 2023. JA63–66; *see* Fed. R. App. P. 4(a).


## QUESTION PRESENTED

- I. Whether the district court properly "committ[ed] [Appellant] to the custody and care of the Attorney General" pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4246, given that Appellant was no longer in the valid custody of the Attorney General pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 4241(d).

The 4th Circuit Court of Appeals refused to rule on this fundamental issue of due process, calling it a "thorny issue!" In addition the Court misrepresented the facts, stating that I did not raise the due process objections during my Competency-Commitment Hearing. However, I certainly did raise the due process objections most vehemently; and I have included the transcript pages that prove my claim as the attachment labeled Appendix D. Please put an end to this travesty of Justice, send a message that Mental Health Due Process Laws are meant to protect the US Citizen from indefinite detention and Judicial Tyranny.

Sincerely,

Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr.



IN THE  
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES  
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

**OPINIONS BELOW**

[ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[V] is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

[ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

The opinion of the \_\_\_\_\_ court appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_ to the petition and is

[ ] reported at \_\_\_\_\_; or,  
[ ] has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,  
[ ] is unpublished.

1.

## JURISDICTION

### [ ] For cases from **federal courts**:

The date on which the United States Court of Appeals decided my case was 1/23/24.

[ ] No petition for rehearing was timely filed in my case.

A timely petition for rehearing was denied by the United States Court of Appeals on the following date: 3/22/24, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix A.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1254(1).

### [ ] For cases from **state courts**:

The date on which the highest state court decided my case was \_\_\_\_\_. A copy of that decision appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] A timely petition for rehearing was thereafter denied on the following date: \_\_\_\_\_, and a copy of the order denying rehearing appears at Appendix \_\_\_\_\_.

[ ] An extension of time to file the petition for a writ of certiorari was granted to and including \_\_\_\_\_ (date) on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) in Application No. A.

The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under 28 U. S. C. § 1257(a).

## Statement of the Case - Opening Oratory -

By refusing to rule on the  
laws of due process, the 4th Circuit  
Court has ruled AGAINST ME; AS  
I remain INCARCERATED indefinitely.

FURTHERMORE, it is the duty of the  
Court to rule on matters of LAW;  
And the 4th Circuit Court Judges have  
shirked their duty. DUE PROCESS LAWS  
ARE BEING VIOLATED, AT WILL, in the  
NAME of "Mental Health." Prosecutors  
and Judges have found a way to  
DETAIN ANY U.S. CITIZEN indefinitely,  
EVEN though they have a WEAK CASE,  
OR NO WAY of winning at trial.

## Statement of Case

THE court psychologists ARE ALWAYS EAGER to declare that the defendant is "Incompetent to Stand Trial," thereby denying the Right to Trial of ANYONE STANDING in the WAY of the Court. And when THESE psychologists and Courts violate basic LAWS of DUE process? Well the court just proclaims, "How can we give him a speedy trial when he's been found Incompetent?" Or, "This is a thorny issue that we need not decide today." Hence, it is important for the Supreme Court to step in and

## Statement of Case

reign in the widespread abuse of THESE mental health due process statutes. Or, ARE we going to be a nation WHERE whistleblowers, political dissidents, and the poor ARE subjected to indefinite detention in the name of the mental health agenda.

SINCERELY,  
Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr.  
TMC, Jr.


Thank you for reading this opening statement. Please continue to the legal argument appearing in Appendix B, the Appellants Reply brief dated 8/15/23. 90640

## REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

..... The right to a trial by jury, the right to a speedy trial, and the right to due process are the Rights of every U.S. Citizen. However, private prison bosses and big pharma found a way to deprive Americans of their Basic Civil Rights, and make \$\$\$Billions from the New Mental Health Slavery Racket. Overzealous Prosecutors, unscrupulous Psychologists, and crooked Public defenders are all cashing in on the Mental Health Slavery Bonanza! This new paradigm is particularly hard on the poor, who are profiled as "good candidates" for a lifetime of Commitment, Probation, and Force Medication. Political dissidents and whistleblowers are targeted, committed, and buried for life; all in the name of Mental Health. And this case is a prime example of the corruption and abuse that flourishes in the murky waters of the Mental Health Statutes. Here we have the 4th circuit Court of Appeals, and the lower court ignoring due process laws. The 4th Circuit Court also went as far as misrepresenting the truth about objections to due process violations being raised at the competency and commitment hearing. Therefore it is imperative that the Supreme Court step in and insist that Mental Health Due Process Time Limits are respected as rights and the law! Please take up this case and send a clear message against indefinite detention and judicial tyranny.

Sincerely,

Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr." The signature is fluid and cursive, with "Theodore" and "Carrington" being more formal and "Macon" and "Jr." being more stylized.

To: the Honorable Justices,

DEAR Sirs And Madams,

You may ask the question, "What category of the oppressed do you fall into, to be taken advantage of by the Mental Health Statutes? I Am A Whistleblower.

**CONCLUSION**

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Theodore Macon Carrington, Jr. W. M. May Jr.

Date: 4/11/24