

23-7275

No. 23-6352

FILED
JUL 14 2023

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

ORIGINAL

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Charles Gary Singletary — PETITIONER
(Your Name)

vs.

Warden Jackson — RESPONDENT(S)

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

United States Court of Appeals Fourth Circuit
(NAME OF COURT THAT LAST RULED ON MERITS OF YOUR CASE)

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Charles Gary Singletary
(Your Name)

Kirkland Corrections 4394 Broad River
(Address) road

Columbia S.C 29210
(City, State, Zip Code)

(Phone Number)

QUESTION(S) PRESENTED

MAY 23, 2023 case NO. 23-6003
was reviewed by Fourth Circuit Court
of Appeals June 21, 2023 case was
Denied I Am seeking review of the
order... 2-13-24 ~~review~~ is sought.
rehearing

- Was Plea Council ineffective
for failing to Investigate
and failing to get an mental
evaluation?

- Was Guilty Plea Involuntary
due to unprofessional Advice
of Plea Counsel and mental Health
medicated conditions?

LIST OF PARTIES

☒ All parties appear in the caption of the case on the cover page.

☐ All parties **do not** appear in the caption of the case on the cover page. A list of all parties to the proceeding in the court whose judgment is the subject of this petition is as follows:

RELATED CASES

CASE 1. Garren V. State

CASE 2. Hill vs. Lock heart

TABLE OF CONTENTS

OPINIONS BELOW	1
JURISDICTION.....	
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	
REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT	
CONCLUSION.....	

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

APPENDIX B

APPENDIX C

APPENDIX D

APPENDIX E

APPENDIX F

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

CASES

PAGE NUMBER

Case 1. Garren v. State

Case 2. Hill vs. Lock heart

STATUTES AND RULES

Case 1. Before a defendant may plead guilty it must be established that the defendant is competent and that the defendant's decision to plead guilty is knowing and voluntary.

Case 2. Where defendant enters guilty plea counsel's advice voluntariness of plea depends on whether advice was within range of competence demanded of attorneys.

OTHER

In Criminal Cases Amendment VI

IN THE
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment below.

OPINIONS BELOW

☒ For cases from **federal courts**:

The opinion of the United States court of appeals appears at Appendix A to the petition and is

☒ reported at United State ~~district~~ court of Appeals 9th Circuit; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the United States district court appears at Appendix B to the petition and is

☒ reported at United State district court Charleston Division; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

☒ For cases from **state courts**:

The opinion of the highest state court to review the merits appears at Appendix C to the petition and is

☐ reported at Court & Appeals; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

The opinion of the Santer County court appears at Appendix D to the petition and is

☐ reported at _____; or,

☐ has been designated for publication but is not yet reported; or,

☐ is unpublished.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

- Plea Council arranged a trial without scheduling a mental Health evaluation to test my Competency. Plea Council knew about family history of Schizophrenia and knew that I was diagnosed Schizophrenic plus he knew of all mental health medications Influence I was under
- Plea Council has failed to Investigate and to obtain two potential alibi witnesses that wrote confirming statements that I was seen at Home and not at a crime.
- If Plea Counsel would have obtain those favorable witnesses I would have contested that we finished trial because witnesses knew of my Innocence.
- Plea Counsel Has Had me in trial under the Influence of mental Health medications (Reserpine, Haldol, Cogentin, trazedone, ramon) when a plea was offered I was unaware to my rights I may have waived
- (view trial transcript plea Council had to answer to evaluation questions by Judge Yang)

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION

- reason 1. Had I've been mentally evaluated prior to trial I may have had an Insanity defense In my favor I would have Consisted that we Finnish trial.
- reason 2. Had Plea Council obtained those two Alibi; witness they would have testified on my behalf And It would have been known on trial that I have an Innocent Alibi.
- reason 3. Had Plea Council not Coerced me to not tell Plea Judge About my mental Health Plus medication Status the Plea Judge may not have granted the Plea And Insisted on trial and a mental health evaluation.
- reason 4. Due to Councils unprofessionality I was Violated out of Due Process VI Ammendment as well as 6th Ammendment of effective Assistance of Council.

CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be granted.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles Gary Singleton III
Charles Gary Singleton III

Date: 2-18-24